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Abstract: Sex-related differences in physiology and anatomy are responsible for profound differences in neuromuscular performance and fatigability between men and women. Women are usually less fatigable than men for similar intensity isometric fatiguing contractions. This sex difference in fatigability, however, is task specific because different neuromuscular sites will be stressed when the requirements of the task are altered, and the stress on these sites can differ for men and women. Task variables that can alter the sex difference in fatigue include the type, intensity and speed of contraction, the muscle group assessed, and the environmental conditions. Physiological mechanisms that are responsible for sex-based differences in fatigability may include activation of the motor neuron pool from cortical and subcortical regions, synaptic inputs to the motor neuron pool via activation of metabolically-sensitive small afferent fibres in the muscle, muscle perfusion, and skeletal muscle metabolism and fibre type properties. Non-physiological factors such as the sex bias of studying more males than females in human and animal experiments can also mask a true understanding of the magnitude and mechanisms of sex-based differences in physiology and fatigability. Despite recent developments, there is a tremendous lack of understanding of sex differences in neuromuscular function and fatigability, the prevailing mechanisms and the functional consequences. This review emphasises the need to understand sex-based differences in fatigability in order to shed light
on the benefits and limitations that fatigability can exert for men and women during daily tasks, exercise performance, training and rehabilitation in both health and disease.
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**Introduction**

Men and women differ in anatomy and physiology, which results in marked sex differences in neuromuscular performance and fatigability. In general, the skeletal muscles of men are larger and some muscles possess a greater proportional area of metabolically and functionally faster muscle fibres (Type II) than women [e.g. (Simoneau and Bouchard, 1989, Staron et al., 2000, Porter et al., 2002, Roepstorff et al., 2006)] due to sex-related differences in human skeletal muscle gene expression and interactions with sex-specific hormones (Roth et al., 2002, Welle et al., 2008, Maher et al., 2009, Liu et al., 2010). Consequently, the whole muscles of men are usually stronger and more powerful than women. When contractions are sustained or repeated, however, as they are during exercise, rehabilitation and many daily activities, the relative reduction in force and power can differ between men and women when performed at the same relative intensity of contraction. This activity-induced reduction in force or power is known as muscle fatigue (Gandevia, 2001, Enoka and Duchateau, 2008, Kent-Braun et al., 2012) or fatigability (Kluger et al., 2013). In this review the terminology is used interchangeably. Muscle fatigue develops soon after the onset of sustained physical activity and can occur despite continued and successful performance of submaximal exercise. However, if the submaximal task is maintained, task failure will eventually occur. Multiple mechanisms contribute to the force and power decrements, and range from an inadequate activation of the motor cortex to impairment of the contractile proteins within skeletal muscle fibres, however, the dominant mechanism is specific to the process that is stressed the most (Gandevia, 2001). While motor performance is ultimately limited by the output of the muscle, limitations in both activation within the central nervous system and muscle, can lead to fatigability and a decrement in performance of maximal and submaximal tasks in both men and women.
Despite recent developments in our understanding of the mechanisms of muscle fatigue [e.g. (Gandevia, 2001, Enoka and Duchateau, 2008, Kent-Braun et al., 2012)], there is still a tremendous lack of knowledge and appreciation of sex-based differences in fatigability and the prevailing mechanisms under different task conditions. This is, in part, because of the historical and current bias of studying proportionally more males than females in both human and animal-based physiology (Anonymous, 2010, Kim et al., 2010, Zucker and Beery, 2010, Beery and Zucker, 2011, Cahill, 2012, Miller, 2012) and the presumption that sex differences in fatigability do not exist. This review will provide a framework to understand the importance of sex differences in fatigability and its relevance for rehabilitation, training and daily function. The review will highlight: (1) known sex differences in fatigability for different task conditions, and (2) some of the physiological differences between men and women that may explain the sex differences in fatigability.

**Sex Differences in Muscle Fatigue are Task Specific**

Two experimental approaches have emerged over the last 20 years that, together, provide valuable insight into the mechanisms for the sex differences in fatigability. The *classic* approach is to measure the physiological mechanisms during maximal contractions performed by men and women before, during and after fatiguing exercise. A *functionally relevant* approach has been to vary the task requirements and environment of a fatiguing contraction in order to stress different sites (or the same site at a different rate) within the neuromuscular system (Hunter et al., 2004a, Enoka and Duchateau, 2008). This second approach is based on the concept that muscle fatigue is specific to the demands of the task (Enoka and Stuart, 1992) and this specificity can differ for men and women because of sex-related differences within the neuromuscular system (Hunter, 2009). Hence, the sex difference in fatigability and the rate limiting mechanisms can differ, for example, according to the contraction type, speed and intensity, the involved muscle group, environmental conditions and state of arousal. Following are examples of how the magnitude of the sex difference in fatigability will differ between single limb isometric, shortening and lengthening contractions, and multiple sprint exercise.
In general, while much has been learned about sex differences in fatigability during isometric contractions over the last 20 years, less is known about the sex differences in fatigability during dynamic performance.

**Single Limb Contractions**

*a. Isometric Contractions* There can be large sex differences in muscle fatigability for isometric fatiguing contractions, especially for some muscle groups. In general, women are less fatigable than men for isometric sustained and intermittent contractions performed at the same relative intensity for several muscle groups, including the elbow flexors, finger flexors, adductor pollicis, back extensors, dorsiflexors, knee extensors and respiratory muscles [e.g. (Maughan et al., 1986, Fulco et al., 1999, Hunter and Enoka, 2001, Hunter et al., 2002, Clark et al., 2003, Russ and Kent-Braun, 2003, Hunter et al., 2006b, Hunter et al., 2009, Guenette et al., 2010)] (Figure 1A). Some muscle groups, such as the ankle dorsiflexors, demonstrate less of a sex difference in fatigability than the elbow flexor muscles (Kent-Braun et al., 2002, Hunter et al., 2008, Avin et al., 2010), and for the elbow extensor muscles there is no sex difference for a sustained contraction (Dearth et al., 2010). The explanation for the differences in the magnitude of the sex difference between muscle groups likely involves a combination of muscular mechanisms which include contractile properties, fibre type proportion and perfusion. These mechanisms are addressed in the second part of the review. Those muscle groups that exhibit the largest sex differences in fatigability however, for sustained isometric contractions also tend to show associations between strength and fatigability (e.g. elbow flexors and knee extensors) (Maughan et al., 1986, Hunter and Enoka, 2001, Avin et al., 2010). Further, the sex difference in muscle fatigability is diminished as the contraction intensity increases for some of these muscles (Maughan et al., 1986, West et al., 1995, Yoon et al., 2007). These observations provide insight into the role of strength-associated mechanisms and blood flow as potential mechanisms for the sex difference in muscle fatigability, especially at lower intensities of contraction (see blood flow section).
Figure 1. Sex differences in muscle fatigue for voluntary isometric contractions (A) and dynamic contractions (B)
Represented are mean data from 59 studies: 43 isometric contraction studies (A) and 16 dynamic contraction studies (B) that assessed muscle fatigue in men and women for various muscle groups. Plotted in both panels is the difference between the mean fatigue index or time to task failure of the men and women (as a percent of the women's value) within a study as a function of the contraction intensity of the fatigue task. In both panels, upper limb muscles are in closed symbols and lower limb muscles represented in open symbols. Back and neck muscles are represented as grey symbols. A. Sex differences in muscle fatigue for sustained and intermittent isometric fatiguing contractions are plotted. Most data points are above the line indicating women are more fatigue resistant than men for most muscle groups. There was a significant negative relation between the relative contraction intensity and the magnitude of the sex difference for the isometric contractions when all muscle groups
are included \( r^2 = 0.20 \). B. Sex differences in muscle fatigue for shortening (S) and lengthening (L, triangle-up symbols) fatiguing contractions are plotted. There was no relation between contraction intensity and the sex difference for dynamic contractions, although data from two studies for the elbow flexor muscles (between 50–90% max), however, showed a significant negative relation \( r^2 = 0.97 \) for shortening contractions. There are more data points than number of stated studies because some studies involved multiple contraction types or intensities.

Comparison of fatigability in men and women during sustained versus intermittent isometric contractions demonstrates the reliance of the sex difference in fatigability on the details of the task. For example, men (who are usually stronger) have a briefer time to failure than women for the elbow flexor muscles (Hunter and Enoka, 2001, Hunter et al., 2004a, Yoon et al., 2007). For men and women who were matched for strength, however, there was no sex difference in the time to failure of a sustained submaximal contraction (Hunter et al., 2004b), indicating a strength–related mechanism contributed to the longer time to task failure. In contrast, the strength-matched women were able to perform an intermittent isometric task until failure almost three times longer than the strength-matched men (23.5 vs 8.6 mins) (Hunter et al., 2004c). The major difference between the fatiguing tasks is the muscle is more perfused during the intermittent contraction than the sustained contraction. Comparison of these studies with the elbow flexor muscles suggests that the mechanisms responsible for the sex difference in fatigability for an intermittent task: (1) differ from those responsible for the sex difference in fatigue during sustained isometric contractions; and (2) were independent of the absolute strength exerted by the men and women. More studies are needed to understand the magnitude and cause of the sex difference in fatigability during intermittent isometric tasks for many muscle groups (e.g. elbow extensor muscles). Comparisons with sustained contractions would also provide valuable insight into whether or not isometric contractions that are often prescribed in early rehabilitation need to be prescribed differently for men and women under different task conditions and for specific muscles.

A functionally relevant strategy that provides insight into the influence of environment during a submaximal isometric fatiguing contraction is shown with experiments that have varied the cognitive demand and arousal (stress) imposed during a submaximal fatiguing task in men and women (Yoon et al., 2009b) (Figure 2). Women
typically exhibit greater physiological responses to stress-inducing events than men, such as increased cognitive demand or unpredictable electric shocks to the back of the hand (Christou et al., 2004, Kajantie and Phillips, 2006). Sex differences in response to a stressor include different brain activation strategies (Wang et al., 2007) and reduced steadiness (larger force fluctuations) during light-load contractions (Christou et al., 2004). Further, when a cognitive stressor was imposed during performance of a fatiguing contraction sustained at 20% of maximal voluntary contraction (MVC), the time to failure was reduced for men (8.6 %), but more so for women (27.3 %) compared with their control fatiguing contraction that involved no imposed stressor (Yoon et al., 2009b) (Figure 2). The cognitive stressor involved performance of a mental-math task (counting backwards in increments of 13), which increased levels of reported anxiety and stress as well as salivary cortisol levels. Heart rate and blood pressure were also elevated, which increased cardiac work. The increased fatigability was not due to differences in the ability of young men and women to perform the mental-math, nor mental distraction (Yoon et al., 2009b) (Figure 2). This was corroborated by subsequent experiments that showed that when stress was induced in young men and women with unpredictable, but brief, electric shocks to the back of the hand of the non-exercising arm, the women exhibited larger reductions in the time to failure relative to control conditions compared with men (Yoon and Hunter, unpublished findings) (Figure 2).
Figure 2. Summary of findings from 4 studies showing the influence of increased arousal on time to task failure of a fatiguing isometric contraction (20% MVC until failure) with the elbow flexor muscles in young men and women. In each study, two sessions were performed. A fatiguing contraction with no imposed stressor (control) and an experimental session where one of the following were imposed during the
contraction: a difficult mental math task (counting backward by 13, cognitive stressor), easy mental math (dual) or brief electric shocks to the back of the non-exercising hand (E. Stim). A. Shown is the maximal voluntary isometric strength performed prior to the fatiguing contraction by young men and young women. B. Shown is the relative reduction in time to task failure (between the control and stressor contraction, i.e. the Stressor, Dual or E. Stim) for each study. Women had greater reductions than men for the cognitive stressor (study 1, n = 20) (Yoon et al., 2009b); but not when matched for strength (study 2, n = 10) (Keller-Ross et al., 2014). Study 3 showed minimal changes in time to failure when the subjects performed easy mental math (Dual, n = 20)(Yoon et al., 2009b). In study 4, reductions in time to failure were observed when the arousal was induced with unpredictable brief electric shocks to the back of the hand during the contraction (E.Stim, n = 20) (Hunter and Yoon, unpublished findings).

* P<0.05 between men and men, # P = 0.07 although, not included in the results, are 2 women who failed to complete the fatiguing contraction because of increased levels of anxiety.

The cause of the stress-induced increase in fatigability in the women is not fully understood. For the cognitive stressor experiments with the elbow flexor muscles, strength-related mechanisms may, in part, be responsible for the sex difference in the reduction in time to failure. There was an association between the initial maximal strength and the reduction in the time to failure when the cognitive stressor was imposed (Yoon et al., 2009b, Keller-Ross et al., 2014). Further, comparison of strength-matched men and women indicated a similar reduction in time to failure for men and women when the stressor was imposed (Keller-Ross et al., 2014) (Figure 2). The increased fatigability with exposure to the cognitive stressor in the elbow flexor muscles may involve altered perfusion via stress-induced changes in sympathetic activation of muscle that has greater effects in people who are weaker and therefore have a more perfused muscle at the start of low-force sustained contractions. Other mechanisms, however, also likely contribute to the sex difference in fatigability in response to a stressor because there was no association between initial strength and the time to failure (r = −0.09) for experiments that induced stress with the electric shocks (Yoon and Hunter, unpublished findings). Furthermore, the sex effect may only be relevant to the low force contractions. Fatigability was greater when a stressor was imposed during a sustained contraction at 50% MVC and an intermittent maximal task with the handgrip muscles and no sex differences were reported (Bray et al., 2008, Bray et al., 2012). More studies are required to determine the mechanism for the stress-induced increase
in muscle fatigability for different muscle groups and task conditions, and the susceptibility of women.

b. Shortening Contractions The sex difference for dynamic fatiguing contractions has been studied less than isometric contractions, but the findings indicate that women are either less fatigable than men or that the sex difference in fatigability is diminished (Figure 1B). Women were less fatigable than men for a protocol of 30 maximal dynamic contractions with the knee extensor and knee flexor muscles at a relatively constant speed of 3.14 rad·s⁻¹ (180 deg/s) (Pincivero et al., 2003): the magnitude of fatigue was explained by the initial maximal torque, because stronger subjects (men) exhibited greater fatigue (decline in maximal torque). Similarly, the time to task failure was longer for women than men (9.7 ± 5.5 min vs. 6.1 ± 2.1 min, respectively) for a dynamic task that required the participant to lift and lower a load equivalent to 20% of MVC force for as long as possible (1 contraction every 3 seconds) (Yoon and Hunter, unpublished results). These studies indicate that women exhibited less fatigue than men for dynamic fatiguing contractions that assessed fatigue as either the time to task failure or as a loss of maximal torque when the velocity of contraction was relatively controlled. The intensity of the dynamic contraction, however, can alter the sex difference in fatigability because, as the load progressively increased between 50% and 90% of one repetition maximum (1RM), the sex difference lessened (Maughan et al., 1986). For these studies women were less fatigable than men for dynamic contractions.

The sex difference in fatigability of some dynamic tasks, however, can be diminished, and dependent on the contraction speed (Clark et al., 2003, Pincivero et al., 2004, Senefeld et al., 2013, Taipale and Hakkinen, 2013). For example, despite women having a longer time to task failure than men with the back extensor muscles for an isometric contraction sustained at 50% of maximal strength (28% difference), there was no sex difference in the number of repeated shortening contractions performed at 50% of maximal strength until failure (24.3 vs. 24.0 repetitions) (Clark et al., 2003). Furthermore, there was no sex difference in the relative reduction in velocity and power for a task that required the young men and women to contract their elbow flexor and knee extensor muscles as quickly as possible with a load equivalent to 20% of their maximal isometric
strength over ~4.5 minutes (Senefeld et al., 2013). In contrast, the decline in MVC from initial values that were measured immediately following the dynamic exercise in this study was significantly greater for the men than the women for the knee extensor muscles (17.8% ± 2.8 vs 10.4 ± 2.3%), but similar for the elbow flexor muscles. Although a sex difference in fatigability was not apparent during the dynamic task, men experienced greater relative reductions in maximal force than women at the end of the dynamic task with the knee extensor muscles. Fatigue of maximal force is due to fewer high force cross-bridges and/or less force per cross-bridge, but reductions in maximal velocity contractions is related to the speed of cross-bridge cycling and calcium kinetics in the fibre [see (Kent-Braun et al., 2012) for review]. Greater insight into sex differences in the rate limiting mechanisms of dynamic contractions could be gained from experiments that fatigue human single fibres during shortening contractions in vitro. The implications of these findings for clinicians and scientists assessing fatigue of dynamic contractions in men and women are important because the mode of measurement chosen to assess fatigue may not reveal the underlying force decrements and potential differences between the sexes.

c. Lengthening Contractions Many dynamic contractions involve lengthening of the muscle fibres while they are activated; this is also known as eccentric activation. Examples of such tasks include lowering an object with the elbow flexor muscles with the arm in the sagittal plane, or walking down a set of stairs so that the quadriceps muscles are activated while lengthening. A lengthening contraction is able to generate more force within the muscle fibre and, hence, greater muscle torque than maximal isometric and shortening contractions (Duchateau and Baudry, 2013, Herzog, 2013). Prior to fatigue, the sex difference in peak torque during a lengthening contraction is less than the sex difference in torque for a shortening contraction at the same velocity of contraction, although the mechanism is not fully understood [eg. (Seger and Thorstensson, 1994, Lindle et al., 1997)]. Further, voluntary activation is less during maximal lengthening contractions than shortening contractions prior to fatigue (Duchateau and Baudry, 2013), with no apparent differences between men and women (Spurway et al., 2000).
A bout of repeated lengthening contractions will elicit muscle fatigue and also muscle damage, the latter of which results in delayed on-set muscle soreness (DOMS) (Clarkson and Hubal, 2002). Muscle damage involves ultrastructural muscle fibre damage and inflammatory responses (Clarkson and Hubal, 2002). The initial loss of force due to muscle fatigue versus damage is difficult to differentiate, because both potentially reduce force generating capacity, but via different mechanisms. Fatigability of muscle is usually resolved within hours, while muscle damage can impair force generation for up to 7 days. Muscle damage, however, can increase fatigability of the elbow flexor muscles (Heroux and Gandevia, 2013, Semmler et al., 2013), although whether sex differences exist is not known. In contrast to the animal model (Tiidus and Enns, 2009, Enns and Tiidus, 2010), maximal force reductions in humans is either similar for men and women (Rinard et al., 2000, Hubal et al., 2008, Hubal and Clarkson, 2009, Power et al., 2010), or women have greater losses of force than men (Sayers and Clarkson, 2001, Sewright et al., 2008) (Figure 1B). Recently it was shown that women have slower recovery of power and rates of force development compared with men after 150 maximal lengthening actions performed at 60 deg·s\(^{-1}\) with the ankle dorsiflexor muscles (Power et al., 2013). A possible confounder is that women have lower pain thresholds than men (Fillingim et al., 2009, Racine et al., 2012), and pain will influence motor output and fatigability (Prasartwuth et al., 2005, Martin et al., 2008, Semmler et al., 2013). Pain and muscle damage from lengthening contractions also alters voluntary activation (Prasartwuth et al., 2005). Thus, sex differences in muscle fatigue with lengthening contractions may be masked by the pain accompanying muscle damage, which can influence men and women differently. Insight into any potential sex differences in fatigability could be gained by comparing the fatigability of lengthening contractions of men and women after several sessions of training or pre-conditioning (Chen et al., 2012). Because fatiguing lengthening contractions are important in optimising muscle hypertrophy during strength training and rehabilitation programs (Roig et al., 2009), addressing sex differences in fatigability may reveal information that is relevant to individualising such programs for men and women.
Multiple Sprint Exercise

The reduction in maximal power during, and in recovery from, sprint exercise on a cycle ergometer indicates that, in general, men experience greater reductions in power than women (Esbjornsson-Liljedahl et al., 2002, Billaut and Smith, 2009, Billaut and Bishop, 2012). [See (Billaut and Bishop, 2009) for review.] In each study, men were ~30% more powerful than women for the knee extensors (Esbjornsson et al., 2006, Billaut and Smith, 2009, Esbjornsson et al., 2012). The difference in decline in power between men and women with multiple high intensity sprints of short duration was associated with the initial power (Billaut and Bishop, 2012). Consequently, when men and women were matched for initial power, there was no sex difference in the reduction in power (Smith and Billaut, 2012). More mechanistic studies are needed to determine the role that maximal power plays in the difference in fatigability with multiple sprint exercise. Although the relative reduction in power is not always significantly different between men and women [e.g.(Esbjornsson et al., 2006, Esbjornsson et al., 2012, Smith and Billaut, 2012)], several of these studies have revealed sex differences in muscle and whole body metabolism that would likely have functional consequences for multiple sprint exercise of longer durations than several minutes. One functional consequence is that while men exert greater absolute power and speed than women, women recover more quickly than men (Laurent et al., 2010).

Mechanisms for Sex Differences in Muscle Fatigue

Sex differences in physiology and anatomy contribute to differences between men and women in muscle function and fatigue; although, there are other non-physiological factors that may also contribute and are addressed toward the end of this review.

Physiological Mechanisms

Following are mechanisms from physiological sources that potentially contribute to the sex difference in muscle fatigability and task specificity (Figure 3).
Figure 3. Potential physiological mechanisms for the sex difference in muscle fatigue
(or time to task failure).

The figure shows those potential mechanisms that can contribute to women being more fatigue resistant than men. The strength of a potential mechanism will vary with the task conditions so that one dominant mechanism does not fully explain the sex difference in performance of a fatiguing contraction. A negative sign indicates that the physiological variable or process is less in women than men and, conversely, a positive sign indicates it is greater in women than men. Ultimately, the differences in fatigue between men and women can be due to differences in: 1) motor neuron activation; 2) contractile function of the activated fibres; and 3) the magnitude of metabolites accumulating that interfere with contractile function. These mechanisms are stipulated with the large arrows. Black boxes indicate processes within the muscle, white boxes are processes in the nervous system, and the grey are hormonal and sympathetic actions.

a. Muscle Mass and Strength Differences For some muscle groups and tasks, a greater initial strength is associated with increased fatigability, indicating the involvement of a strength-related mechanism (Maughan et al., 1986, West et al., 1995, Hunter and Enoka, 2001, Hunter et al., 2004a, Hunter et al., 2006a, Hunter et al., 2006b, Avin et al., 2010). Men are usually stronger than women because they have a larger
skeletal muscle mass (Miller et al., 1993, Lindle et al., 1997, Ivey et al., 2000, Welle et al., 2008). The relative sex difference in muscle mass and strength is greater for some muscle groups than others, such as the elbow flexor muscles and finger flexors compared with the knee extensor muscles and dorsiflexor muscles (Miller et al., 1993, Russ and Kent-Braun, 2003, Hunter et al., 2006b, Senefeld et al., 2013). Because men and women are able to voluntarily activate their muscles to similar, and near maximal, levels during a maximal voluntary isometric contraction (MVC) of the upper limb muscles (Miller et al., 1993, Hunter et al., 2006a, Yoon et al., 2007) and lower limb (Russ and Kent-Braun, 2003) prior to fatiguing tasks, there are usually minimal reported sex differences in specific strength (ie force/unit of muscle). Thus, subsequent fatiguing contractions are usually performed at similar relative intensities for men and women, and any sex-difference in fatigability, therefore, is not because women activate relatively less muscle than men at the start of a fatiguing task. The sex difference in strength is mainly due to larger diameter muscle fibres in the men than women for both upper and lower limb muscles, and probably not due to a larger number of fibres in the men (Miller et al., 1993).

The larger absolute differences in muscle mass and greater forces exerted during a fatiguing contraction for men compared with women can have mechanical and metabolic consequences during fatiguing exercise, some of which are highlighted in Figure 3 and the following sections. Consequently, for some tasks, greater fatigability of men compared with women is a direct result of men exerting greater absolute strength during the contraction (see blood flow section). In other instances, the sex difference in fatigability is associated with the greater strength exerted by men, but is not the primary cause for the sex difference [e.g. (Hunter et al., 2006a)]. For other tasks, such as the intermittent isometric contraction, the sex difference in muscle fatigue is independent of strength differences [eg. (Fulco et al., 1999, Hunter et al., 2004c)]. Comparing men and women of the same absolute strength can determine the role of absolute strength in the observed sex differences in fatigue, but is not always easy to achieve given that, for most muscle groups, the majority of men are stronger than women.
b. Blood Flow and Muscle Perfusion: Reduced blood supply to an active muscle will result in accelerated fatigue and earlier task failure because of the reduced oxygen delivery to the muscle and rapid accumulation of metabolites that interfere with the contractile function (Russ and Kent-Braun, 2003, Clark et al., 2005). Accumulated metabolites may further accelerate fatigue by increasing peripheral afferent feedback and inhibitory inputs to the motor neuron pool, and further limit voluntary activation (Gandevia et al., 1996). Differences in muscle perfusion between men and women contribute to a sex difference in muscle fatigue and performance, but this mechanism is specific to certain tasks and muscle groups (Russ and Kent-Braun, 2003, Hunter et al., 2004b, Clark et al., 2005, Parker et al., 2007, Thompson et al., 2007, Saito et al., 2008). In general, women can have greater muscle perfusion than men for some muscle groups, due to a difference in mechanical compression onto the feed arteries during low-force sustained isometric contractions [e.g (Hunter and Enoka, 2001)], a sympathetically-mediated difference in vasodilation (Ettinger et al., 1996, Hogarth et al., 2007, Parker et al., 2007), and greater capillarization of the muscle bed. The contribution of each of these mechanisms to any sex difference in fatigability is task and muscle group dependent.

During low-to-moderate force sustained isometric contractions, when the muscle is not fully occluded (Barnes, 1980, Sadamoto et al., 1983), blood flow can be more restricted for men than women at the same contraction intensity. This is because men are typically stronger than women and, therefore, exert more intramuscular pressure onto the feed arteries (Hunter et al., 2006b, Hunter et al., 2009) (Figure 3). Thus, when men were stronger than women for the elbow flexor muscles and handgrip muscles, the time to failure of women was longer than the men (Hunter and Enoka, 2001, Hunter et al., 2004a, Hunter et al., 2006b, Yoon et al., 2007), but similar when the sexes were matched for strength (Hunter et al., 2004b, Hunter et al., 2006b). Accordingly, the metaboreflex [increase in mean arterial pressure due to activation of sensory fibres by muscle metabolites (Kaufman and Hayes, 2002)] was greater during the isometric contractions for the men compared with the women, and associated with greater fatigability (Hunter and Enoka, 2001). For high intensity sustained contractions, when the blood flow is similarly occluded for men and women, the difference in fatigability between men and
women is reduced relative to that for low-force contractions for some muscle groups (Maughan et al., 1986, West et al., 1995, Yoon et al., 2007) (Figures 1 and 3). Under these task conditions, the absolute target force and resultant blood flow appear to be the primary causes for the differences in fatigability between men and women.

There are also widespread sympathetic-mediated actions on skeletal muscle (Joyner and Halliwill, 2000, Roatta and Farina, 2010), and sex differences in these actions potentially alter muscle fatigue of men and women. For example, a sex difference in sympathetic-mediated actions can influence muscle perfusion and may promote a sex difference in fatigability. Possibilities include sex differences in muscle sympathetic nerve activity at rest and during contraction (Ng et al., 1993, Hogarth et al., 2007), and β2 adrenergic receptor-mediated vasodilation. β2 receptors are localized with greater density on type I fibres than type II (Roatta and Farina, 2010). Women have greater type I fibre area than men in some muscles (see fibre type section) and, therefore, possibly greater β2 adrenergic receptor-mediated vasodilation than men during exercise (Figure 3). Although the interactions are not fully understood, the balance of vasoconstriction and vasodilation potentially alters the net perfusion of the active muscle, and, thus, muscle fatigability in men versus women. These altered interactions could be responsible for the greater fatigability during low force contractions when arousal is increased (Yoon et al., 2009b).

Greater vasodilatory responses of feed arteries to the skeletal muscle of women may also allow them to offset muscle fatigue during dynamic contractions compared with men. For example, vasodilatory responses of the femoral artery during dynamic knee extensor exercise were greater in women than men (Parker et al., 2007). These responses were not dependent on strength. Greater vasodilatory responses in women would promote increased muscle perfusion, less accumulation of metabolites and potentially offset the rate of muscle fatigue relative to men. Further studies are required to understand the contribution of these sex differences in vasodilation to fatigability and in men and women.

Last, perfusion can also depend on capillarization of skeletal muscle. There is a higher density of capillaries per unit of skeletal
muscle (measurements made from muscles biopsies) in the vastus lateralis of women than men (Roepstorff et al., 2006), due to a greater proportional area of type I fibres. Such differences will increase muscle perfusion in the women relative to men. In contrast, capillary density within the fibres of the tibialis anterior muscle was similar for both sexes, although less in type II fibres than type I (Porter et al., 2002). The lack of differences in capillary density of the tibialis anterior fibres between men and women are consistent with a smaller sex difference in muscle fatigue in that muscle relative to other muscles (Avin et al., 2010).

Collectively, evidence indicates that women have greater muscle perfusion than men during exercise at the same relative intensity, which potentially explains some of the sex differences in muscle fatigability. However, the cause for the altered blood flow will differ with the details of the task, such as whether the contraction is sustained or intermittent.

c. Contractile Properties Sex-based differences in muscle fibre types, their size, number, contractile properties and metabolism will influence muscle function and fatigability. Several studies show that less muscle fatigue in women was associated with slower contractile properties measured from contractions evoked by electrical and transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) (Hunter et al., 2006a, Wust et al., 2008, Keller et al., 2011). For example, young women demonstrated less muscle fatigue at the end of 2 minutes of electrically evoked intermittent contractions (1 s on at 30 Hz; 1 s off) of the quadriceps muscles than young men (30 ± 10% vs 38 ± 11% reduction respectively) (Wust et al., 2008). Lower initial peak rates of relaxation (slower muscle), which occurred in the women, were associated with less fatigue at the end of the electrically evoked fatiguing contraction (Wust et al., 2008). Similarly, for the elbow flexor muscles, greater fatigue exhibited by men compared with women during voluntary maximal and submaximal isometric fatiguing contractions was associated with faster peak relaxation rates (measured from contractions evoked with TMS, during a MVC) (Hunter et al., 2006a, Keller et al., 2011). For example, by the end of the six sustained MVCs (22 s duration each) with the elbow flexor muscles, young men exhibited greater absolute and relative reductions in torque (65 ± 3% of initial MVC) than young women (52 ± 9%) (Figure 4) (Hunter et al.,
Supraspinal fatigue that was assessed with TMS, increased similarly for the men and women. The motor evoked potential (MEP, EMG response to TMS) increased to similar levels for the men and women, and the superimposed twitch torque (elicited with TMS during a MVC) was also similar for both sexes, indicating no sex difference in neural mechanisms. The sex difference in muscle fatigue, however, was attributed to muscular (peripheral) mechanisms because the reduction in the amplitude of the estimated resting twitch was greater for the men (59%) than the women (27%). Furthermore, the men had faster relaxation rates prior to fatigue than women and the muscle slowed more during the fatigue task in the men (53% from initial values) compared with the women (22% from initial values) (Figure 4). Together, these studies with the knee extensor and elbow flexor muscles underscore the involvement of contractile mechanisms contributing to the sex differences in muscle fatigue in several large muscle groups. Comparison of several studies, however, indicates that the contribution of muscular mechanisms to the sex difference in fatigability vary across muscle groups, such that there is less of a sex difference in the ankle dorsiflexors (Russ and Kent-Braun, 2003, Russ et al., 2005) than the elbow flexors and knee extensors (Hunter et al., 2006a, Martin and Rattey, 2007, Wust et al., 2008). In general, the tibialis anterior muscle (primary ankle dorsiflexor) has a greater proportion of slow type I fibres than the elbow flexor and knee extensor muscles (Johnson et al., 1973, Simoneau and Bouchard, 1989, Porter et al., 2002), possibly limiting the expression of any sex differences in contractile fatigability.
Figure 4. Young men (n = 9) and young women (n = 8) performed an isometric fatiguing protocol with the elbow flexor muscles. The protocol involved 6 × 22 s maximal voluntary contractions (MVC) with submaximal contractions at 60% and 80% MVC before, after and between the sustained MVCs. A. Representative torque data of a man. Arrows at the bottom of the panel show the timing of transcranial magnetic stimuli (Stim). B. MVC torque (mean ± SEM) relative to baseline control values for the men (closed circles) and women (open circles) during, and in recovery from, the fatiguing task. Relative torque is shown at the start and end of each sustained 22-s MVC, and during brief MVCs at the start and end of 10-min recovery. The men exhibited larger reductions in torque than the women during the intermittent fatiguing contractions (65% vs 52%). C. Peak relaxation rate of muscle measured from the fall in force immediately after the superimposed twitch during the MVC. Plotted are the mean (± SEM) from the 5 brief control MVCs, and then values at the start and end of each 22-s maximal contraction, and for the brief MVCs at the start and end of recovery. Peak relaxation rate became slower for both men and women as the muscle became more fatigued and then recovered during the 10-min recovery. However, the men had greater reductions in the peak relaxation rate than the women (P <0.05). Note that the y-axis is inverted. Larger negative numbers indicate faster relaxation. Adapted from (Hunter et al., 2006a).

d. Fibre Types Skeletal muscles of men and women are comprised primarily of a combination of type I, type IIA and IIX fibres that are named based on the primary myosin heavy chain composition of the fibre, but whose metabolic, Ca\(^{2+}\) kinetics and functional contractile properties are generally coupled with the behaviour of the myosin proteins (Schiavon and Reggiani, 2011). Hence, type II fibres, classified according to the dominant myosin isoform, have faster calcium kinetics, generate greater power, faster shortening velocities and relaxation, and are more fatigable than type I fibres (Schiavon and Reggiani, 2011). While these categories are convenient for analysis, in reality there is a continuum of fibre properties based on a combination of myosin heavy and light chain isoforms, polymorphic expression of protein isoforms, metabolic potential, and Ca\(^{2+}\) handling.
properties (Ingalls, 2004). Furthermore, co-expression of myosin heavy chain isoforms within a fibre is not unusual (Klitgaard et al., 1990, Williamson et al., 2000, Calozzo et al., 2003). Ultimately, the functional properties differ across the broad spectrum of fibre types. Because there are sex differences in the proportional area of fibre types within some muscles, the functional properties and fatigability of whole muscles can differ between men and women.

There is considerable evidence that women have a greater proportional area of the ‘slow’ type I fibres than men in several key muscles that are important to locomotion and daily function (Simoneau and Bouchard, 1989, Esbjornsson-Liljedahl et al., 1999, Staron et al., 2000, Carter et al., 2001b, Porter et al., 2002, Roepstorff et al., 2006, Welle et al., 2008) (Figure 5). Most studies also show that men have larger fibres than women across most of the fibre types with proportionally larger cross-sectional area (CSA) of type II fibres in the tibialis anterior, vastus lateralis and biceps brachii (Alway et al., 1989, Simoneau and Bouchard, 1989, Staron et al., 2000, Carter et al., 2001b, Porter et al., 2002). Hence, young women have smaller muscles that possess a greater proportional area of type I fibres compared with men.

Figure 5. Type I fibre area (% of area of sample) of skeletal muscle histochemically analysed for myosin ATPase activity from muscle biopsy samples of vastus lateralis (VL), tibialis anterior (TA) and biceps brachii (BB) in young men (closed symbols) and women (open symbols) that were sampled in the same study. Shown are the mean...
proportional areas of the men and women in each of the 12 studies (Simoneau et al., 1985, Simoneau and Bouchard, 1989, Miller et al., 1993, Esbjornsson-Liljedahl et al., 1999, Staron et al., 2000, Carter et al., 2001b, Esbjornsson-Liljedahl et al., 2002, Porter et al., 2002, Toft et al., 2003, Roepstorff et al., 2006, Maher et al., 2009, Esbjornsson et al., 2012). The mean (± SEM) per cent area of type I fibres of all the muscles from the 12 studies is plotted on the right side. Women had greater type I fibre area (%) than men (P<0.05).

The muscle fibre properties and morphology of men and women are due to sex-related differences in human skeletal muscle gene expression and an interaction with sex-specific hormones (Roth et al., 2002, Welle et al., 2008, Maher et al., 2009, Liu et al., 2010). While genetic factors play an important role in determining variation in muscle fibre properties between men and women, specific genes are still being identified and further study is needed. Certainly, metabolically slower and more fatigue resistant fibres in women compared with men promote a sex difference in muscle fatigability. Greater insight into the sex differences in muscle fatigability can be gained by in vitro experiments of contractile properties and fatigability of various fibre types obtained from muscle biopsies of men and women. Several studies have shown that there are limited differences in the peak force, power and shortening velocity of single fibres (chemically skinned) between men and women relative to cell size (Krivickas et al., 2001, Trappe et al., 2003, Krivickas et al., 2006). Valuable information into sex differences in fatigability would be gained by including both men and women in single fibre studies.

Consistent with a sex difference in fibre properties, there is some evidence that the calcium (Ca$^{2+}$) kinetics of the sarcoplasmic reticulum is slower in women compared with men. Analysis of muscle biopsy samples before and after sprint exercise showed that young women have a 24% lower maximal rate of sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca$^{2+}$ATPase activity than men (Harmer et al., 2014) (Figure 6). Slower Ca$^{2+}$ATPase activity and Ca$^{2+}$ uptake into the sarcoplasmic reticulum is associated with slower rates of relaxation and muscle mechanics (Gollnick et al., 1991). Type II fibres have ~ three-fold higher Ca$^{2+}$ATPase activity and two-fold higher calcium uptake than type I fibres (Li et al., 2002). Also, a significant relationship exists between the proportional area of type II fibres and Ca$^{2+}$ATPase activity (Madsen et al., 1994, Hunter et al., 1999). Although this is the first study to directly compare the calcium kinetics in the skeletal muscle of men
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and women (Harmer et al., 2014), the values reported for men and women are similar to reports of Ca^{2+}ATPase activity of men and women reported as separate cohorts (Booth et al., 1997, Hunter et al., 1999, Ortenblad et al., 2000, Thom et al., 2001, Li et al., 2002) (Figure 6). The lower Ca^{2+}ATPase activity in the muscle of young women is not due to a less active muscle, because Ca^{2+}ATPase activity was not altered after a 12 week high resistance strength training program (Hunter et al., 1999) or 10 days of immobilization (Thom et al., 2001). Thus, the sex difference in Ca^{2+}ATPase activity of the sarcoplasmic reticulum is consistent with women possessing a slower and more fatigue resistant skeletal muscle profile than men. Studies the comparing calcium regulation of single fibres from the skeletal muscle of men and women are needed. Determining associations with fatigability in vitro and in vivo would provide valuable information into the contribution of Ca^{2+} kinetics to the sex difference in fatigability.

Figure 6. Maximal rates of sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca2+ATPase activity for whole muscle homogenates (obtained via needle biopsies of the vastus lateralis) of young men (n = 27) and women (n = 31) at rest. Men are represented in the closed symbols and women in the open symbols. Data plotted as circles, are from 4 different studies that examined men only (Booth et al., 1997, Li et al., 2002), women only (Hunter et al., 1999, Thom et al., 2001). The triangles are men and women in the same study (Harmer et al., 2014). The mean (±SEM) of the men and women from all the studies.
are plotted on the right side of the figure (squares) showing that men have faster maximal Ca2+ATPase activity than women.

e. **Skeletal Muscle Metabolism**  
Sex-based differences in muscle fibre type and contractile properties have consequences for the skeletal muscle metabolism during dynamic and isometric fatiguing contractions in men and women. During high force isometric fatiguing contractions with the tibialis anterior (ankle dorsiflexors), men exhibited greater *in vivo* glycolysis, estimated from magnetic resonance spectroscopy of muscle, than women, with no sex differences in creatine kinase flux or oxidative capacity ([Russ et al., 2005](#)). During high intensity single and multiple sprints with the lower limb, men and women exhibit differences in metabolic pathways of quadriceps muscles. For example, in response to sprint exercise, women exhibited less increase of blood lactate concentration ([Esbjornsson-Liljedahl et al., 1999](#)), a smaller reduction of ATP and less accumulation of its breakdown products, IMP and inosine than men, especially in type II fibres ([Esbjornsson-Liljedahl et al., 2002](#)). These metabolic sex differences within a muscle are likely related to the greater proportional area of type I fibre of women compared with men ([Esbjornsson et al., 1993](#), [Esbjornsson-Liljedahl et al., 1999, Roepstorff et al., 2006, Maher et al., 2009](#)), demonstrating the central contribution of skeletal muscle metabolism to the sex differences in fatigue. Despite differences in muscle metabolism between men and women during short duration isometric and single or multiple sprint exercise, a sex difference in muscle fatigue is not always observed [e.g ([Russ et al., 2005, Esbjornsson et al., 2012](#))], indicating that, for those tasks, other rate limiting mechanisms were more important during the fatiguing exercise. Metabolic sex differences may influence men and women during longer duration high intensity exercise.

During whole body moderate-to-high intensity endurance exercise, women also oxidise more fat and less carbohydrate than men when compared at the same relative intensity of exercise ([Horton et al., 1998, Carter et al., 2001a, Mittendorfer et al., 2002, Roepstorff et al., 2002, Roepstorff et al., 2006](#)). Some of these differences originate from skeletal muscle metabolism, because women demonstrate a larger capacity for lipid metabolism than men, including greater mRNA levels of muscle lipoprotein lipase, membrane fatty acid transport protein-1, FAT/CD36 protein levels, and citrate synthase, irrespective
of training status and age (Binnert et al., 2000, Kiens et al., 2004). Lipid metabolism in skeletal muscle of women is related to the presence of oestrogen (17-estradiol, E2) (Maher et al., 2010). Collectively, these studies demonstrate that the sex-differences in skeletal muscle properties during, and in recovery from, moderate and high intensity exercise can contribute to less muscle fatigue and faster recovery of force and power of women than men.

f. Voluntary Activation and the Role of the Central Nervous System
Activation of the motor neuron pool during voluntary contraction involves integration of synaptic inputs from descending pathways, spinal interneurons and peripheral afferent feedback (Enoka, 2012). The challenge during a fatiguing contraction is to maintain adequate and optimal voluntary activation as spinal and supraspinal centres modulate the changing muscle conditions during maximal and submaximal tasks (Enoka, 2012). Sex differences in voluntary activation and ultimately motor output during fatiguing exercise will arise if the synaptic inputs from descending pathways, spinal interneurons and peripheral afferent feedback differ between men and women.

Descending inputs from cortical centres that affect motor performance potentially differ between men and women because there are widespread sex differences in brain physiology, anatomy and functional activation throughout the lifespan (Becker et al., 2005, Hodes, 2013, Koolschijn and Crone, 2013). In general, during non-fatiguing motor tasks and comparable motor performance, such as finger tapping or preparation to reach, women tend to show greater activation levels of ipsilateral and bilateral cortical areas than men when assessed with functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), which estimates the blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) as a correlate of neural activity in the brain (Lissek et al., 2007, Gorbet et al., 2010). Men, however, exhibited greater activation (fMRI signals) of subcortical areas, such as the basal ganglia (Lissek et al., 2007). Little is known about brain activation patterns during fatiguing tasks in men compared with women. A significant challenge is to determine the functional significance of these sex-based differences in the brain activity during motor control tasks and fatiguing exercise.
At rest, there are minimal sex differences in motor cortical input-output properties characterized with the MEP in healthy young men and women (Pitcher et al., 2003). During contraction, one method to assess adequacy of voluntary activation within the central nervous system involves stimulating the nervous system during maximal efforts at either the muscle or motor neuron (Merton, 1954, Belanger and McComas, 1981, Gandevia, 2001). Similarly, adequacy of voluntary drive to the motor cortex can be estimated with a transcranial magnetic stimulus during voluntary contractions (Todd et al., 2003, Todd et al., 2004). Any observed increase in the increment in force evoked by a superimposed stimulation at the muscle or cortex during the voluntary contraction implies a failure of voluntary drive at one or more sites proximal to the site of stimulation. During brief maximal efforts, men and women are able to similarly activate and drive their motor cortex during upper limb maximal efforts before fatiguing exercise (Hunter et al., 2006a, Keller et al., 2011, Molenaar et al., 2013). Similarly, there is no sex difference in voluntary activation of the elbow flexor muscles (Miller et al., 1993, Yoon et al., 2007) and dorsiflexor muscles (Russ and Kent-Braun, 2003). Hence, during brief maximal efforts in a non-fatigued and healthy muscle, there appear to be minimal sex differences in neural drive to skeletal muscles when assessed with these techniques.

During fatiguing exercise, there is often a failure of voluntary activation by both men and women. A failure in voluntary activation during maximal efforts means that the level of neural drive to the muscle is less than optimal because either the motor units were not all recruited voluntarily, or they were discharging at rates that were not high enough to maximize the force capacity of the muscle (Gandevia, 2001). This fall in voluntary activation is sometimes referred to as central fatigue. Supraspinal fatigue is a component of central fatigue and is attributable to suboptimal output from the motor cortex (Gandevia, 2001). It is seen as an exercise-related fall in voluntary activation measured with cortical stimulation. Despite women sustaining a low-force isometric contraction with the elbow flexor muscles for a longer duration than the men, the sexes demonstrated a similar reduction in voluntary activation at task failure for superimposed stimulations at the muscle (14% decline) (Yoon et al., 2007) and the motor cortex (14% decline to ~79%) (Keller et al., 2011). Comparison of these results indicates that a reduction in
voluntary drive during the maximal contraction at task failure is due to a failure to generate output from the motor cortex in both men and women. Men and women also had similar levels of voluntary activation (assessed with TMS) at the end of six 22 s sustained maximal contractions (~77% for both sexes), despite the men exhibiting greater decrements in maximal force than the women (Hunter et al., 2006a). The greater fatigue exhibited by the men than the women was explained by muscular mechanisms (Figure 4). Thus, there was no sex difference in central and supraspinal fatigue at the end of the low- and high-force isometric fatiguing contractions sustained with the elbow flexor muscles, despite greater fatigue exhibited by the men.

For lower limb exercise, however, greater fatigability of men than women during maximal contractions was associated with a larger loss of voluntary activation (Russ and Kent-Braun, 2003, Martin and Rattey, 2007). Men, for example, had greater decrements in maximal force and voluntary activation than women when assessed with peroneal nerve stimulation during intermittent maximal contractions with the ankle dorsiflexor muscles (Russ and Kent-Braun, 2003). Similarly, men had larger reductions in knee extensor force during a sustained maximal contraction (100 s) than women (24% vs 16%), and this difference was associated with the larger reductions in voluntary activation in men than women (22% vs 9%) when assessed with evoked contractions elicited at the femoral nerve (Martin and Rattey, 2007). It is unknown if this sex difference is universal for submaximal tasks or dynamic contractions.

The fatigue-related reduction in voluntary activation can be increased by peripheral afferent feedback, and is possibly greater for men than women in lower limb muscles (Russ and Kent-Braun, 2003). The firing of group III and IV muscle afferents, for example, is sensitive to ischemia and metabolite accumulation associated with fatigue and pain (Martin et al., 2008, Kaufman, 2011, Murphy et al., 2011). Their effect on increased excitation of motor neurone output with fatigue is not fully understood, but they depress cortical excitation in response to pain [eg. (Martin et al., 2008)]. At the spinal cord, they can excite or inhibit motor neurones, and this may depend on the muscle group (extensors vs. flexors) and whether the excitation is from pain or metabolites [see (Martin et al., 2006, Martin et al., 2008)]. Excitation of group III and IV afferents, however, appears to
result in a net decrease of motor unit discharge rates and impaired voluntary activation [e.g. (Woods et al., 1987, Gandevia, 2001, Martin et al., 2006, Martin et al., 2008, Dideriksen et al., 2010, Amann, 2012, Rossman et al., 2012)], although evidence for their actions are quite indirect in humans. Higher intramuscular pressure in stronger muscles, or sex differences in muscle metabolism and accumulation of metabolic by-products, (Ettinger et al., 1996, Russ et al., 2005) however, may lead to a greater discharge of group III and IV muscle afferents in men than women during similar intensity exercise. While it is possible that excitation of group III and IV afferents and their actions in the central nervous system in some muscle could reduce voluntary activation differently in men and women, more direct evidence is needed to support their role.

A loss of voluntary activation during maximal tasks, however, may not represent the impairments or sex differences in voluntary activation that occur during submaximal fatiguing tasks. Comparison of submaximal isometric fatiguing tasks that are similar in intensity but differ in the load compliance, for example (force vs position task) [e.g. (Hunter et al., 2002, Madeleine et al., 2002, Maluf et al., 2005, Hunter et al., 2008, Yoon et al., 2009a, Rudroff et al., 2010)], suggest that the limitations during submaximal contractions are probably more related to loss of activation rather than the capacity of muscle to develop maximal force or power (Enoka, 2012). The difference between the force and position task involves greater activation of gamma motor neurons, increased excitation of muscle spindles and Ia afferent feedback to correct deviations of limb position, and differential modulation of presynaptic Ia inhibition of the position task (Enoka et al., 2011). Men and women have similar reductions in time to failure for a position task compared with a force task in upper and lower limb muscles (Hunter et al., 2003, Hunter et al., 2005, Hunter et al., 2008), indicating that deficits in activation and the involved Ia afferent spinal networks during a position task are likely similar for men and women.

Because inputs from descending pathways and the periphery are modulated in the spinal cord, any sex–related differences in modulation at this level will influence the motor output. While these inputs may differ between men and women for some tasks and muscles (e.g. greater group III and IV input to spinal centres by men under some task conditions), it is not clear whether spinal modulation...
differs between men and women once the inputs are received. While one study indicated no sex differences in electrophysiological indices [Hoffmann reflex (H-reflex)] of spinal excitability for the lower leg muscles at rest (Christie et al., 2004), another showed recurrent inhibition was greater in the men than women at rest for motor neuron pools of the soleus muscle (Johnson et al., 2012). The lack of sex differences in response to the position task versus force task (see above) would suggest modulation is similar in spinal excitability that involves Ia afferent inputs. Whether there is a sex difference in recurrent inhibition or other indices of spinal excitability that are modulated differently for men and women (i.e. differences in modulation of the circuitry) during fatiguing tasks is not known.

g. Menstrual Cycle and Reproductive Hormone Fluctuations

There is no clear evidence that monthly fluctuations in hormones associated with the menstrual cycle will substantially alter fatigability and contractile function in young women at moderate environmental temperatures (Janse de Jonge, 2003). Initial reports suggested that women were stronger (greater specific tension), but also exhibited increased fatigability and slowing of relaxation in mid-cycle when oestrogen levels were high (Sarwar et al., 1996). More recent and well controlled studies, however, indicate there are minimal differences across the menstrual cycle in strength and fatigability (Ettinger et al., 1998, Janse de Jonge et al., 2001, Friden et al., 2003, Hoeger Bement et al., 2009a). Furthermore, we have repeatedly found no association between the day of menstrual cycle and strength or fatigability of isometric fatiguing contractions e.g. (Hunter et al., 2004a, Hunter et al., 2004c, Hunter et al., 2006b, Hunter et al., 2009, Keller et al., 2011). While differences in muscle sympathetic activity may be greater during the late follicular phase (mid cycle when oestrogen levels were high) than the luteal phase, there were no differences in metabolite accumulation (H+ and H2PO−4 concentrations) during isometric fatiguing contractions (Ettinger et al., 1998).

Whole body substrate utilization during endurance exercise can be influenced by menstrual cycle phase and use of oral contraceptives (Tarnopolsky, 2008). The effects of altered substrate utilization on performance, however, are small and the fluctuations in metabolism during the menstrual cycle are relatively small compared with the larger differences between men and women (Casazza et al., 2002, Suh
et al., 2003, Casazza et al., 2004, Devries et al., 2006, Tarnopolsky, 2008, Fu et al., 2009). Menstrual cycle phase may, however, be more important to performance during longer duration exercise in hot and humid conditions. Time to exhaustion for submaximal exercise on a cycle ergometer (60% of maximal oxygen consumption and > 60 mins duration) was reduced, and heart rate, ventilation and perceived exertion greater for young women during the luteal phase (days 19–25 of the cycle) compared with follicular (days 1–5) during hot, humid conditions but not during temperate conditions (Janse de Jonge et al., 2012). Hence, menstrual cycle may influence long duration fatiguing exercise in hot and humid conditions.

The long term reductions in reproductive hormones associated with age provides an opportunity to determine their influence on fatigability and function in both men and women. The sex difference in fatigability with advanced age is generally reduced (Hunter, 2009), although the role of the hormonal reductions are not entirely clear. Despite the possible anti-catabolic effects of hormone replacement therapy on skeletal muscle in older women and men (Brown, 2008, Greising et al., 2009, Ronkainen et al., 2009, Ahtiainen et al., 2012, Qaisar et al., 2013), the limited number of studies to date indicate there are minimal differences in fatigability and endurance in older women on hormone replacement therapy compared with those who are not (Cheng et al., 2003, Finni et al., 2011). More, well-designed human studies are required to understand and clarify the effects of reproductive hormonal reductions on muscle fatigue and the possible impact of hormone replacement therapy for both men and women.

Beyond Physiology

There are several sociologic factors that potentially mask a true understanding of the sex-based differences in performance and fatigability. They not only contribute to a lack of knowledge of the female response during fatiguing motor tasks but distort the sex differences that may exist and attributed to physiology alone. These factors include: (1) the experimental bias of under-reporting non-significant differences between men and women; (2) the past and present sex bias of studying and reporting the physiology and function
of predominantly males in both human and animal, and (3) sex differences in physical activity levels.

An accurate understanding of the sex differences in performance and fatigability due to physiology alone can be limited because of the sampling bias of studying lower numbers of females than males in animal and human laboratory experiments (Anonymous, 2010, Kim et al., 2010, Zucker and Beery, 2010, Beery and Zucker, 2011, Cahill, 2012, Miller, 2012). The assumption that the underlying mechanisms of fatigability apply to one sex only is limiting; every cell in the human body has a sex which potentially impacts function (Miller, 2012). Generally, women are underrepresented in biomedical research studies (Kim et al., 2010) and this is also true in the fatigability literature. Fatigability is the foundation of neuromuscular adaptations during training and rehabilitation, but information on the female response is lacking. More studies are needed that examine neuromuscular function and fatigability that include both men and women, and also are statistically powered to determine whether sex differences exist. In cases where both men and women were previously included in data sets that are already published, re-examining the data for sex differences, where power is sufficient, may reveal new findings.

In general, women tend to be less active than men (Bassett et al., 2010), and this difference may promote sex differences in muscle performance and fatigability. Certainly, large reductions in activity and activation of a limb results in muscle atrophy and impaired muscle function for both men and women (Narici and de Boer, 2011, Hackney and Ploutz-Snyder, 2012). There is some, but limited, evidence that sex differences in muscle function and fatigability exist after a period of disuse (Ploutz-Snyder et al., in review). For example, women demonstrated greater decrements in strength (isometric and dynamic) compared with men after 7 days of lower limb unloading (Deschenes et al., 2009, Deschenes et al., 2012), although the susceptibility to fatigability was not examined. Further, four weeks of disuse of elbow flexor muscles resulted in large reductions in muscle strength and paradoxically an increased time to failure of a low-force fatiguing contraction (Semmler et al., 2000) but more so in women than men (Semmler et al., 1999). While sex differences may exist in response to large reductions in muscle activity (e.g. casting or unloading models), little is known about the more subtle, but daily, and long term
reductions in physical activity on muscle function and fatigability in men and women. Physical activity levels, therefore, need to be controlled and reported in studies to expose the sex differences due to physiology alone.

Relevance to Clinical Populations, Training and Rehabilitation

Understanding sex-based differences in muscle fatigue across different maximal and submaximal tasks and the prevailing mechanisms is relevant to designing best strategies and practices: (1) for training and rehabilitation; (2) to offset limitations in sports performance and the limitations in daily tasks for some older and clinical populations; and (3) to address sex differences in pain often observed during and after fatiguing contractions. Muscle fatigability, for example, is a primary vehicle for promoting overload and the subsequent adaptation of the neuromuscular system that results in improved muscle performance in both men and women (Staron et al., 1991, Fiatarone et al., 1994, Hunter et al., 1999, Adams et al., 2004, Munn et al., 2005, Burd et al., 2012). Because there are sex differences in muscle fatigue and the contributing mechanisms, then neuromuscular adaption and optimal training regimes that adopt different contraction types will differ between men and women. In the push for greater individualization of medicine and rehabilitation, and more emphasis on genomics (Bouchard, 2012), the sex of the individual and the fundamental presence of XX or XY chromosome pairs in each cell is surely one of the basic individual differences that needs to be considered (Miller, 2012). One potent example is osteoarthritis, which increases in incidence with age but has greater prevalence and severity in women than men across all ages (Boyan et al., 2012, Boyan et al., 2013). Strength and endurance training that rely on fatiguing exercise can be beneficial to treatment and offset pain, however, whether there are sex differences in the response to different exercise regimes is not known (Golightly et al., 2012). The assumption that rehabilitation based on fatiguing exercise at relative intensities after injury or neuromuscular disorder should be similar for men and women is inappropriate, until it is otherwise known.
Fatigability can also limit exercise performance, ergonomic tasks and daily activities, especially for older men and women and people with chronic disease or disability [eg. (Sjøgaard et al., 2010, Skurvydas et al., 2011, Vedsted et al., 2011)]. For example, women exhibit less fatigue than men for maximal sustained contractions in healthy populations due to a more fatigue resistant muscle of the women (Bilodeau et al., 2001, Hunter et al., 2006a). However, this sex difference was diminished in the quadriceps of people with multiple sclerosis. Both men and women with multiple sclerosis demonstrated large and similar decrements in voluntary activation and similar changes in fatigue within the muscle when assessed with evoked contractions using electrical stimulation (Skurvydas et al., 2011). Thus, the mechanisms contributing to sex differences in muscle fatigue in healthy men and women differed to those contributing to fatigue in people with multiple sclerosis. Multiple sclerosis is one of many chronic diseases that results in muscle atrophy and weakness, leading to greater muscle fatigue that can limit daily activities of living.

Despite women being less fatigable than men during many fatiguing tasks, women can experience greater perception of pain during exercise, and there are sex differences in pain perception before and after exercise (Fillingim et al., 2009, Racine et al., 2012). The sex differences in fatigability, interactions with increased pain during fatiguing exercise (Ge et al., 2005, Falla et al., 2008, Johansen et al., 2013), and the potential for exercise to decrease pain in healthy and clinical populations (Hoeger Bement et al., 2008, Hoeger Bement et al., 2009b, Hoeger Bement et al., 2011) deserves greater attention. Understanding the mechanisms involved in sex differences in muscle fatigue will provide information for targeted strategies to offset fatigue and pain that differ between men and women.

Conclusions

Fatigability is the foundation for effective training and rehabilitation, but also limits performance during exercise and daily tasks for some populations. However, there is a superficial understanding of the origins of the sex differences in fatigability under different task conditions, and the responsible physiological mechanisms. This is in part due to the predominance of male only
studies in the physiology, fatigability and exercise training literature, and the false assumption that sex differences do not exist. There is a clear need for more high quality and well-designed studies to examine sex differences in muscle fatigue and performance under differing task conditions. Progress toward a true understanding of the sex differences in fatigability and the involved mechanisms will promote more tailored and effective strategies to enhance neuromuscular adaptations or offset fatigability in both men and women.
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