The Linacre Quarterly

Volume 36 Number 1 Article 20

February 1969

Who Speaks for the Fetus

Eugene F. Diamond

Follow this and additional works at: https://epublications.marquette.edu/lnq

Recommended Citation

Diamond, Eugene F. (1969) "Who Speaks for the Fetus," The Linacre Quarterly: Vol. 36: No. 1, Article 20. Available at: https://epublications.marquette.edu/lnq/vol36/iss1/20

Who Speaks for the Fetus

Eugene F. Diamond, M.D.

To speak for the fetus and to be his advocate is an appropriate assignment for a pediatrician. In keeping with the current modern trend in the relationship between obstetrician and the pediatrician, the obstetrician now recognizes that he is responsible for two patients, the mother and her unborn child.

To consider the fetus not to be a separate person but merely a part of the mother has not been tenable since the sixteenth century when Arantius showed that the maternal and fetal circulations were separate - neither continuous nor contiguous. The genetic material of this separate human embryo is certainly unique, determinative and complete. It is certainly alive since it possesses that hallmark of life - the ability to reproduce dying cells. It can be distinguished from any other non-human species. Once implanted, it requires only time and nutrition. Only two possible futures are open to it. It can become a live human being or a dead human fetus.

(Dr. Diamond is Chairman, Executive Committee, Department of Pediatrics, Stritch School of Medicine, Loyola University.)

58

The incidence of abortion done in hospitals to preserve the moter's life, to preserve the mother's he th, and for psychiatric indications lave all decreased in the past tweet years. The only type of abortion nich has increased during that time is the abortion done for the so-ca d "fetal indication". The use of this rm is in itself a misnomer since of cannot justify an abortion on the sis of a fetal indication since no fet has ever survived an abortion. The junification for such an abortion must hen be either a form of euthanas to spare the child a life with handi ps or for the purpose of saving the prents the happenstance of having an abnormal child.

There is no evidence to in licate that the infant with congenital anomalies would rather not be born since he cannot be consulted and no one really represents him when the abortion decision is made. There is evidence that handicapped persons do value life after they are born since the incidence of suicide among handicapped persons is apparently lower than that of the general population (1).

Fetal indications are more accurately parental indications, then, and are based on a reluctance on the

mathematical risk that an infant will be abnormal. Every pregnancy, of course, carries with it the risk of the birth of an infant with congenital anomalies. The risk is never zero percent. It must be stated that the risk involved in no presently recognized maternal hazard would support a program of routine abortion. There is no accurate and safe method of recognizing the abnormal embryo in utero during the period when an abortion could be done. Trying to do a karyotype during the first trimester carries an excessive risk of terminating the pregnancy or producing fetal damage (4). Recognizing chromosomal sex is not conclusive since the rare sex-linked disorders now recognized are principally sex-linked recessives. What, then are the risks involved and do they justify the consideration of termination of the life of the fetus. In the situation of maternal rubella during the first trimester, modern prospective, virologically controlled studies indicate that no more than 10-20% of infants will be at risk (2). Even a figure of 20% would have to include such anomalies as remediable cardiac defects, tonal hearing loss and intrauterine growth retardation. When one talks of severe life-blighting congenital anomalies due to German measles, he is talking about cataracts and mental retardation. The risk of an infant suffering one of these calamities is much less than 20%. In fact, an eleven year prospective followup of Offspring born to mothers contracting German measles during the first 16 weeks of pregnancy showed their intelligence distribution to be normal (3). The risk of an infant being born with any type of congenital anomaly is much less in any non-epidemic year than it is during a rubella epidemic (5). Since Mayer and Parkman (6) of the National Institute of Health have

part of parents to accept a certain

already reported on field trials of an apparently potent Rubella vaccine, it is likely that a vaccine will be available before the next rubella epidemic occurs, since epidemics usually occur every five to seven years. The answer to the rubella dilemma lies in this vaccine and not in therapeutic abortion.

The problem of teratogenic drug ingestion would also seem irrelevant in this context. Thalidomide was not on the American Market. It is unlikely that a drug with such a teratogenic capability could pass the progeny study requirements now made mandatory by the Food and Drug Administration. Indeed, progeny studies on the rat and more recently on the baboon (7) have produced limb bud anomalies in animal fetuses almost indentical to the phocomelia seen in human beings. The Thalidomide tragedy was, in a sense, iatrogenic and, therefore, deserving of our profession's utmost concern and compassion. In keeping with noblest medical traditions is the work of Dr. Gustav Hauberg of the Anna Stift rehabilitation school in Hanover, Germany. In this institution, a team of orthopedists, social workers, and teachers have been engaged in the developing of abilities of Thalidomide-damaged children so that, despite their heavy handicaps, they will still value life. Mental and psychological development has been normal, in most cases, and higher education potential is attributed to most. Thus even such a poignant situation as the birth of 7,000 phocomelics can have its positive aspect when medical resources are properly mobilized. The best preventative against the recurrence of such a tragedy is the basic reluctance of obstetricians to give any new drugs to pregnant women.

It is difficult to formulate a therapeutic principle which would apply to the various situations posed by exposure to drugs or disease. If the principle is that it is better for eight or nine normal babies to die than for one or two abnormal babies to be born, then I must say that I reject this principal as wasteful and unreasonable. It seems to me that this viewpoint derives from a cult of perfection which says that life is not worth living unless it is free of handicaps. That vita is not vita unless it is La Dolce Vita (8). Experience in working with handicapped children would suggest that human nature frequently rises above its impediments and that, in Shakespeare's words, "Best men are molded out of faults and, for the most, become much more the better for being a little bad".

Certainly the entire medical profession, not just abortion-law revisionists, has compassion for victims of forcible rape and incest. There is a question, however, as to the true dimensions of this problem. Studies on human fertility would suggest that not too many pregnancies are likely to result from a single act of forcible rape. I am informed, by the local states attorneys office that their staff could not recall a single incident of such a pregnancy in an experience covering about nine years of prosecutions for rape. If such a pregnancy were to occur, there is no scientific evidence that psychological trauma would be prevented, unaffected, or intensified by compounding the shame of rape with the possible guilt of abortion. In the case of statutory rape, there is likewise a question as to the relevance of therapeutic abortion. Teenage girls who become pregnant are largely a group characterized by social isolation

60

and alienation from their arents. Frequently, they look forwar to the birth of the infant as a further loneliness compensatio and, therefore, do not present ti mselves for therapeutic abortion consideration. Incestuous pregnancy is n difficult problem. Ma such pregnancies are not recog zed or admitted until physically ob ous and beyond the time when abort a would be possible. Many cases alleged incest will fail of recognitic because the victim or her mother val shrink from the financial ruin in olved in accusing the father or the cial ruin involved in convicting a ther. In 1966, there were on twelve indictments for incest enter in Cook County and only a fractio of these involved pregnancies which therapeutic abortion weld have related under any law.

Much is made of the ppeal to prevent the birth of inwanted children. It seems to me the there is a confusion involved here we ch results from the failure to distinguing between the unwanted child and the unwanted pregnancy. In fifteen years of experience with the prent-child relationship, I have very rarely encountered a mother who sked to be rid of her child once she had taken it home from the nurser. I have encountered many mother, pregnant with their third or fourth child who undergo a kind of panic which requires the sympathetic support of their family doctor and their husband. According to Hoerck, 75% of women who were refused abortion under the Swedish system, went on to have their babies and were happy with them. According to Aren and Amark, more of these women have an improvement in their mental adjustment than a deterioration of mental health. wonder if we really want a situation like that in Denmark for example where the principal indications for abortion are 1) the stress syndrome of house wives 2) symptoms of insufficiency and 3) impending exhaustion (11).

One of the uninsurable risks of medical practice is that we sometimes begin to believe in the phantasies of our patients. Patients may ascribe god-like qualities to us but I doubt that they will approve of our acting them out. The notion that a physician should be allowed to perform any abortion he chooses within the framework of the physician-patient relationship is a unique and unprecedented request for any profession. Does the lawyer ask that since law is his specialty, laws should be left to his conscience? Does the educator suggest that his position as an educator entitles him to decide when prayer should occur in public schools? A doctor may know how to do an abortion, he does not necessarily know when it should be done or if it should be done at all.

Ninety percent of abortions in the United States are performed on women who are married, healthy, and living with their husbands. Ninety-five percent of the fetuses destroyed in these abortions would have been born normal. If we accept the Kinsey statistics, 88-95% of abortions are performed by technically competent doctors of medicine. What do we expect to gain, then, from changing the law?

It seems to me that we have a good law in Illinois. When physicians throughout the state were asked, through the Illinois Medical Journal, to report cases where the present law had worked to the detriment of the physical or mental health of the

mother by depriving her of a needed abortion, no such cases were reported. During the past five years, in this state, we have had five maternal deaths due to septic criminal abortion, an average of one a year. This must be close to an irreducible minimum. If the law is changed to allow for a vast increase in the number of abortions performed, there will be many more lives lost and these will be the lives of unborn children. The mortality is 100% for them. Most states recognize that the unborn child does have rights under the law. A mother may sue for the support of her unborn child or may hold a defendent liable for injuries sustained by her unborn child as a result of accident or assault. An unborn child may share in an inheritance or workmen's compensation benefits. A pregnant woman convicted of a capital crime may not be executed until after her baby is born. The Constitution in the Fifth Amendment provides that no person shall be deprived of life without due process of law. It is certainly a matter of pause for the Medical profession to decide whether two doctors in agreement or even an "Abortion Committee" constitutes due process.

It seems ironical that when we have established a National Institute of Child Health which specifically directs its attention to child development from the time of conception and while tens of millions are being spent by various national foundations to improve the lot of the unborn, that we should see in this day a movement for more liberal "fetal indications" for abortion.

If you ask me therefore to speak for the fetus, then speak for him I will. I speak for him intact or deformed. I speak for him wanted or unwanted. Yes, and I speak for him be he illegitimate or high-born. I am for life and the preservation of life. I believe that any life is of infinite value and that this value is not significantly diminished by physical or mental defect or the circumstances of that

life's beginning. I believe the regard for the quantity and quality of life is a corners western culture. I believe our are served best by a medic which also holds this principle sacred.

REFERENCES

- Hellegers, A. E. A Doctor Looks at Abortion. Edward Douglas White Lecture. Georgetown University Law School, 1966.
- Sever, J. L. et al. Rubella Epidemic 1964: Effect on 6,000 Pregnancies. Am. J. Dis. Child. 110:395, 1965.
- Sheridan, M.D. Final Report of a Prospective Study of Children whose Mothers had Rubella in Early Pregnancy. Brit. Med. J. 2:536, 1964.
- Macintyre, M.N. March of Dimes Symposium on Birth Defects. Quoted in Medical World News 8:82, Jan. 27, 1967.
- Siegel, M. and Greenberg, M. Fetal Death, Prematurity and Malformations after Maternal Rubella. New Eng. J. Med. 262:389, 1960.
- Mayer, H. M. and Parkman, P.D. Clinical Trial of an Experimental Rubella Virus Vaccine. J. Ped. 69:893, 1966.

- 7. Axelrod, L. A. & Hendr Southwest Foundation for and Education. Report Medical Tribune 72:3, 1966.
- 8. Byrn, R.M. The Anatomy Abortion Reform, Report 4:21, Augu 1966.
- 9. Hoerck, cited by Asplund, Joseph Grand Swedish Abortion Experience. Bull. Sloane Hosp. 11-77, 1965.
- 10. Aren, T. and Amark, R. The Prognosis of Granted but not Performed Legal Abortions. Acta Psych. Scandanavian Supplement 9 1955.
- 11. Hoffmeyer, H. Medical As ets of the Danish Legislation on Abortion. Western Reserve Law Review 17:529, 1965.
- 12. Kinsey, A. C. in Abortion of the United States ed. Calderone, M.S. Hoeber-Harper, New York, New York p. 54.