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Abstract

Objective: The purpose of this study was to identify exercise protocols incorporating isometric contractions that provide pain relief in women with fibromyalgia.

Design: A before-after trial.

Setting: A physical therapy department in an academic setting.

Participants: Fifteen women (mean ± SD, 52 ± 11y) with fibromyalgia.

Interventions: Subjects completed 4 sessions: 1 familiarization and 3 experimental. The following randomized experimental sessions involved the performance of isometric contractions with the elbow flexor muscles that varied in intensity and duration: (1) 3 maximal voluntary contractions (MVCs), (2) 25% MVC held to task failure, and (3) 25% MVC held for 2 minutes.

Main Outcome Measures: Experimental pain (pain threshold and pain rating), Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire, and fibromyalgia pain intensity (visual analog scale).

Results: After all 3 isometric contractions, there was considerable variability between subjects in the pain response. Based on the changes in experimental pain, subjects were divided into 3 groups (increase, decrease, no change in pain). Multiple regression analysis revealed that age, baseline experimental pain, and change in fibromyalgia pain intensity were significant predictors of the experimental pain response after the isometric contractions.

Conclusions: We identified subgroups of women with fibromyalgia based on how they perceived pain after isometric contractions. The greatest pain relief for women with fibromyalgia occurred at a younger age and in women with the greatest experimental pain before exercise. Additionally, we established a link between experimental and clinical pain relief after the performance of isometric contractions.

Fibromyalgia is a disorder that is characterized by chronic widespread pain and tenderness with palpation. The prevalence of fibromyalgia is estimated to be as high as 5% of the general population, with the majority of persons being women. The management of fibromyalgia is difficult because many patients do not respond to treatment. For example, only 30% to 50% of patients experience significant improvement with medication therapy, indicating the need to identify alternative therapies for pain relief.

Exercise is one of the few therapies that may improve fibromyalgia symptoms. The majority of the exercise studies have focused on aerobic activity leading to improvements in pain, physical function, fatigue, mood, and self-efficacy. Understanding the
role and potential benefit of isometric contractions in managing pain with fibromyalgia is important because even patients with limited mobility can perform an isometric contraction. Therefore, there is significant potential for self-management of fibromyalgia pain symptoms by using exercise treatments incorporating isometric contractions.

In healthy adults, both low- and high-intensity isometric contractions produce significant pain relief. The dosage of isometric contractions to produce pain relief in persons with fibromyalgia is not known. Thus, the primary purpose of this study was to identify effective exercise protocols incorporating isometric contractions by measuring the pain response to various intensities and durations of isometric exercise in women with fibromyalgia.

A secondary purpose was to explore potential mechanisms for exercise-induced changes in pain perception in persons with fibromyalgia. As an index of HPA activity, we measured salivary cortisol levels before and after exercise to understand the role of the HPA axis in modulating the pain response.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

Fifteen women (mean ± SD, 52 ± 11y; range, 19–64y) diagnosed with fibromyalgia completed this study. Subjects were screened for known cardiopulmonary and neurologic problems. Informed consent was acquired before the start of the study, and the protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board.

**General Experimental Protocol**

Subjects completed 4 sessions: 1 familiarization session and 3 experimental sessions. During the familiarization session, experimental pain was measured by using a pressure pain device before and after 30 minutes of quiet rest. Additional measurements included state and trait anxiety and clinical pain assessments using the FIQ, the McGill Pain (shortform) Questionnaire, and a VAS to measure fibromyalgia pain intensity. Three MVCs were performed at the end of the familiarization session to determine the appropriate target forces for the experimental sessions.
During the following 3 experimental sessions, pain perception was measured before and after isometric contractions that varied in intensity and duration: 25FAIL, 25TIME, and 3 MVCs (MVC session). The isometric contractions were performed during separate randomized sessions, with approximately 1 week between sessions. Heart rate, blood pressure, and the rate of perceived exertion were monitored during the submaximal isometric contractions. Subjects completed the FIQ, the McGill Pain Questionnaire (short-form), and the state anxiety form at the beginning of each session. State anxiety was also assessed after each experimental pain assessment. Fibromyalgia pain intensity measured with a VAS was measured throughout each session at the following time points: start of the experiment, after the first pain test, immediately after the second pain test (and exercise), and 20 minutes after the second pain test.

**Measurement of Force during Isometric Contractions**

Subjects performed isometric contractions with the elbow flexor muscles of the left arm while seated. The elbow joint was flexed to 90°, and the hand and forearm were placed midway between pronation and supination in a modified wrist-hand-thumb orthosis. The forces extended by the wrist in the vertical direction were measured with a force transducer and recorded online by using a Power 1401 A-D converter and Spike2 software.

**Sustained Submaximal Isometric Contraction**

During the submaximal isometric contractions (25FAIL and 25TIME), each subject was required to match the vertical target force as displayed on the monitor. Task failure was determined as the time the force declined by 10% of the target value for 3 of 5 consecutive seconds.

**Experimental Pain**

A pressure pain device was used to measure pain perception before and after exercise. A 100-g mass was applied to a second-class lever at a distance from the axis that was equivalent to a 0.75-kg mass on the finger. The force at the finger was applied through a Lucite edged and placed on the right index for 2 minutes. Subjects were instructed to say “pain” when they first felt pain (ie, pain threshold), and pain ratings were reported every 20 seconds using a 0
to 10 scale. The scale had the following terminology: 0, no pain; 5, moderate pain; and 10, worst pain. We previously established the reliability of this device.

**Cortisol**

All experiments were conducted in the afternoon when the cortisol levels were near their diurnal trough. Salivary cortisol was collected upon entering the laboratory and 10 and 20 minutes after the first and second pain measurement. Cortisol levels were quantified using an enzymatic immunosolvent assay. Our intra- and interassay coefficients of variation were within standard precision levels per the recommendations of the manufacturer.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data are reported as mean ± SD within the text and displayed as mean ± SE in the figures. For each exercise session, repeated-measures analysis of variance was used to compare the following variables across trial and/or time: pain threshold, pain rating, fibromyalgia pain intensity, state anxiety, cortisol levels, MAP, and heart rate. Because the pain response varied considerably among the fibromyalgia subjects, the data were analyzed with the between-subjects factor for pain response (increase, decrease, and no change). Pearson correlations were calculated to determine associations between dependent variables. Stepwise multiple regression was used to analyze the contribution of several variables to the exercise-induced change in pain threshold and pain ratings across all 3 exercise sessions that were pooled together. Only predictors that had significant partial effects were reported for the final regression model. A P value ≤ .05 was used for statistical significance.

**Results**

**Familiarization Session**

Pain thresholds and pain ratings remained unchanged after 30 minutes of quiet rest (P=.86 and .66, respectively). State anxiety and pain intensity assessed from the “current fibromyalgia pain” VAS did not change after the first or second pain test (P=.99 and .90, respectively). Furthermore, state anxiety was not correlated with any of the experimental or clinical pain measures.
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Experimental Pain

Pain threshold did not change after exercise for any of the exercise sessions (trial effect: 25FAIL, \( P = .22 \) [fig 1A]; 25TIME, \( P = .25 \) [fig 2A]; MVCs, \( P = .77 \) [fig 3A]). Similarly, pain ratings did not change for any of the exercise sessions (trial effect: 25FAIL, \( P = .92 \) [fig 1B]; 25TIME, \( P = .40 \) [fig 2B]; MVCs, \( P = .28 \) [fig 3B]). There was, however, substantial variability between subjects in the exercise-induced pain response for each session. Based on the pain response, subjects were divided into 3 groups (decrease, increase, no change in pain) by using criteria for pain subgroups that we established previously.\(^\text{19}\) The decreased pain group reported an increase in pain threshold \( \geq 10 \) seconds and/or a decrease in pain ratings by \( \geq 2 \) for 2 consecutive time points during the 2-minute pain pressure test. The increased pain group reported a decrease in pain threshold \( \geq 10 \) seconds and/or an increase in pain ratings by greater than or equal to 2 for 2 consecutive time points. The no change in pain group experienced a less than 10-second change in pain threshold and a less than 2 change in pain ratings for 2 consecutive time points. After the submaximal contraction held until task failure (25FAIL), there was an interaction between trial and pain response (decreased pain group \([n=5]\), increased pain group \([n=5]\), no change in pain group \([n=5]\)) for both pain ratings \((P = .007)\) (fig 1D, F, H) and pain threshold \((P = .01)\) (fig 1C, E, G). For 25TIME, there was a trial and pain response interaction (decreased pain group \([n=2]\), increased pain group \([n=8]\), no change in pain group \([n=5]\)) for the pain ratings \((P = .02)\) (fig 2D, F, H) but not for the pain threshold \((P = .07)\) (fig 2C, E, G). For the MVC exercise session, there was an interaction between trial and pain response (decreased pain group \([n=5]\), increased pain group \([n=5]\), no change in pain group \([n=5]\)) for both pain ratings \((P = .0001)\) (fig 3D, F, H) and pain threshold \((P = .001)\) (fig 3C, E, G).

Clinical Pain

Fibromyalgia pain intensity measured with the VAS did not change over time when analyzing the group data (time: 25FAIL, \( P = .08 \); 25TIME, \( P = .31 \); MVCs, \( P = .45 \)). For VAS, there was no interaction between pain response and time for the submaximal contraction held for 2 minutes or the 3 MVCs (response \( \times \) time, \( P = .39 \) and \( .42 \), respectively). However, there was an interaction for VAS.
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between pain response and time for the submaximal contraction held until task failure ($P=.02$). Thus, after fatiguing isometric contractions, the pain subgroups were similar for experimental and clinical pain.

**Time to Task Failure**

Women with fibromyalgia sustained the 25% MVC held until task failure for $502 \pm 262$ seconds. Five subjects (33%) requested to stop the exercise session despite minimal indications of fatigue associated with force decline. The RPEs of these 5 subjects were all at a maximal of 10 when they requested to cease the contraction. There was an association between the exercise-induced change in fibromyalgia pain intensity and the time to task to failure ($r=.54$, $P=.04$) such that those subjects who had the longest time to failure experienced an increase in pain after exercise.

**Anxiety**

State anxiety levels did not change during any of the exercise sessions (time effect: $P>.05$). Furthermore, there was no interaction between pain response and time for state anxiety ($P>.05$).

**Cortisol**

Salivary cortisol levels remain unchanged over time during each of the exercise sessions ($P>.05$), indicating that there was no measurable change in cortisol after the pressure pain test or the performance of isometric contractions. There was no interaction for cortisol between the pain response and time ($P>.05$).

**MAP, Heart Rate, and RPE during the Submaximal Sustained Contractions**

During the submaximal contraction held until task failure (25FAIL), there was a progressive increase in MAP ($P<.0001$), heart rate ($P=.001$), and RPE ($P<.0001$). There was no interaction between pain response and time for MAP, heart rate, or RPE ($P>.05$), indicating the different pain response groups responded similarly during the fatiguing contraction for these measures.

During 25TIME, there was an increase in MAP ($P<.0001$) and RPE ($P<.0001$) although heart rate showed no significant increase.
There was no interaction between pain response and time for MAP, heart rate, or RPE ($P > .05$).

**Stepwise Multiple Regression Analysis**

Stepwise regression analysis indicated that age and baseline pain threshold were the most significant predictors for the exercise-induced change in pain threshold when all 3 sessions were entered into 1 regression analysis. Together these 2 variables explained 32% ($r = .56$, $r^2 = .32$, $P = .001$) of the variance in the pain threshold changes after exercise. These results indicate that younger women with fibromyalgia were more likely to experience pain relief after isometric exercise than older women. Also, women with lower baseline pain thresholds were more likely to experience pain relief than those with higher pain thresholds.

For changes in pain ratings, regression analysis showed that baseline pain threshold and change in fibromyalgia pain intensity were the 2 significant predictors, which accounted for 31% ($r = .56$, $r^2 = .31$, $P = .001$) of the variance. These results indicate that greater relief in experimental pain results in greater attenuation of fibromyalgia pain intensity.

**Discussion**

The main purpose of this study was to identify effective isometric contraction intensities and durations that elicit exercise-induced hypoalgesia in women with fibromyalgia. We first established that the application of the experimental pain test did not result in an increase in experimental pain, clinical pain, or state anxiety in women with fibromyalgia (familiarization session). These results show that any changes in pain reports in the subsequent exercise sessions were because of the exercise intervention and not the methods and execution of the pain test.

After the exercise sessions, there was no change in the pain response when analyzing the group of fibromyalgia women together regardless of the intensity or duration of the isometric contraction. However, there was considerable variability between subjects in that an equal number of subjects experienced exercise-induced increases, decreases, and no changes in pain after the isometric task held until task failure and the 3 MVC sessions. Other research studies\(^20\)-\(^22\) have also shown mixed pain responses after isometric exercise in...
fibromyalgia patients. Therefore, the assumption that patients with chronic pain are homogenous may explain the deficit in successful treatment options for some patients.\textsuperscript{23}

Multiple regression analysis revealed that one of the main predictors of the change in pain threshold was the age of the woman. We found that younger women with fibromyalgia were more likely to experience pain relief than older women. Consistent with our results, for studies in which there was an exercise-induced increase in pain, the fibromyalgia subjects had an average age of 46 and 48 years old,\textsuperscript{21,22} whereas there was no change or decrease in pain for a study in which the subjects’ average age was 39 years.\textsuperscript{20} The influence of age on the exercise response has important implications in the management of fibromyalgia because the prevalence of fibromyalgia increases with age.\textsuperscript{1}

This study also established that baseline pain threshold predicted exercise-induced changes in both pain threshold and pain ratings. Specifically, persons with lower pain thresholds during the first experimental pain test were more likely to experience a postexercise decrease in pain compared with subjects who had higher baseline pain thresholds. Interestingly, tenderness with evoked-pain testing has been shown to be an important factor in determining subgroups.\textsuperscript{24} However, our study is the first to show that baseline levels of experimental pain can predict pain relief and the effectiveness of exercise. One explanation for baseline levels as a predictor is that those subjects who experienced the greatest baseline pain (before exercise) may have more pain during the exercise intervention. Thus, exercise would act as a counterirritant (ie, activation of nociceptors produce an endogenous analgesic response), resulting in greater pain relief for these persons after exercise compared with those who experienced less baseline pain.\textsuperscript{25}

Another predictor for exercise-induced changes in pain was the change in fibromyalgia pain intensity. Those subjects who had the greatest experimental pain relief also had the greatest decrease in fibromyalgia pain intensity. Thus, the experimental and clinical pain responses were similar in direction and magnitude after isometric exercise. Fibromyalgia pain intensity (VAS) was also associated with time to failure of the submaximal contraction. Those subjects who maintained the contraction for a longer duration were more likely to experience an increase in pain compared with subjects who maintained
the contraction for a shorter duration. Furthermore, the time to failure was influenced by a subset of subjects (33% of the subjects) who asked to stop the submaximal contraction before the force criteria for ending the task were reached. Previous studies\textsuperscript{22,26-29} have shown that persons with fibromyalgia report greater levels of perceived effort and higher pain levels for both static and dynamic contractions compared with healthy controls. This exertional pain may be related to exercise-induced muscle ischemia and sensitization of the peripheral nervous system.\textsuperscript{21,30} Because we did not assess pain during the exercise sessions in this study, whether subjects requested to stop because of exercise-induced increases in pain cannot be determined.

To determine potential mechanisms responsible for exercise-induced changes in pain perception, activation of the HPA axis was assessed by measuring salivary cortisol levels. However, an acute bout of isometric contractions, regardless of intensity or duration, did not change cortisol levels. These results are similar to our previous study in healthy young women.\textsuperscript{15} Several other studies\textsuperscript{28,31-34} have assessed the effect of various exercise protocols on cortisol levels in fibromyalgia subjects with a wide range of results. Consequently, changes in cortisol levels after an acute bout of exercise do not appear to mediate exercise-induced hypoalgesia.

**Study Limitations**

The main limitation of this study was that pain perception was not measured during the exercise session. Thus, we do not know if persons who requested to stop the fatiguing exercise session did so due to pain. Another related issue is that we do not know if exercise acted as a counterirritant, therefore explaining the hypoalgesia for some subjects. Future studies warrant examining pain during exercise in patients with fibromyalgia.

**Conclusions**

There is considerable variability in pain reports of women with fibromyalgia after the performance of isometric contractions. The pain response was predicted by age, baseline experimental pain measures, and changes in fibromyalgia pain intensity. Additional research is needed to further characterize the subgroups of the pain response to exercise and to determine if these subgroups persist with long-term training programs. Our findings potentially have important clinical
implications for patients with fibromyalgia. First, we established that clinical and experimental pain relief can be harnessed at least in the short-term from static contractions in some patients with fibromyalgia, thereby establishing the important link between clinical and experimental pain. Furthermore, our results show that women with fibromyalgia who experience substantial pain perception before exercise may gain the greatest pain relief from isometric contractions.
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Appendix

Fig. 1

(A) Pain threshold and (B) pain ratings before (pre) and after (post) 25FAIL. There was no significant difference in pain threshold or pain ratings after the sustained contraction ($P > .05$). Based on the pain response, the subjects were divided into 3 groups (pain increase [C, D], pain decrease [E, F], and no change in pain [G, H]). There was a significant interaction between trial and pain response for both pain threshold and pain ratings ($P < .05$). Data are represented as the average ± standard error of the mean.
(A) Pain threshold and (B) pain ratings before (pre) and after (post) 25TIME. There was no significant difference in pain threshold or pain ratings after the isometric contraction ($P > .05$). Based on the pain response, the subjects were divided into 3 groups (pain increase [C, D], pain decrease [E, F], and no change in pain [G, H]). There was a significant interaction between trial and pain response for pain ratings ($P < .05$) but not for pain threshold ($P > .05$). Data are represented as the average ± standard error of the mean.
Fig. 3

(A) Pain threshold and (B) pain ratings before (pre) and after (post) 3 brief MVCs. There was no significant difference ($P > .05$) in pain threshold or pain ratings after the isometric contractions. Based on the pain response, the subjects were divided into 3 groups (pain increase [C, D], pain decrease [E, F], and no change in pain [G, H]). There was a significant interaction between trial and pain response for both pain threshold and pain ratings ($P < .05$). Data are represented as the average ± standard error of the mean.