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This viewpoint argues that the current world record (WR) in the marathon for women is at least equivalent to a sub-2-h marathon for men. The person who may eventually break the 2-h barrier in the marathon (19) will be male. Because the fastest men consistently outperform the fastest women in the marathon (3, 13, 20), an equally intriguing question is what is the 2-h equivalent for women? Here we address this question and highlight physiological, historical, and social factors that contribute to current and past sex differences in marathon performance.
The “equivalent 2-h marathon” for women has already been achieved

The simplest approach to determine the equivalent 2-h marathon time for women is to calculate the time difference (from 2 h) based on the relative sex difference in the WR (~10%). The current WR performances are 2:02:57 (h:min:s) for men (Dennis Kimetto, 2014) and 2:15:25 for women (Paula Radcliffe, 2003), so that a synonymous time for women is 2:12:00. Several indicators, however, suggest a 12–13% sex difference is more appropriate and that the WR by Radcliffe is essentially the equivalent of a 2-h marathon for women.

First, online predictors based on records in men's and women's athletic events indicate the current women's WR by Radcliffe is slightly better than the men's 2-h marathon. The Mercier score (24), for example, indicates that 2:15:34 for women is equivalent to the men's 2-h marathon (see Guenette et al.'s commentary in Ref. 29).

Second, convincing evidence comes from a comparison of the best 100 men's and women's marathon times (Fig. 1A) (31). The key finding is the relative drop-off for women is precipitous in the initial places and then plateaus, whereas the men display a gradual decline. The top three women's times were all accomplished by Radcliffe (2002–2005). If, however, the women's times are expressed relative to the 4th fastest time (2:18:20, 12.5% difference from the current men's WR) and Radcliffe's top three times are excluded, the rate of decline is similar to the men until ~45–50th place where the lines start to diverge and the women display a greater drop-off than the men (Fig. 1A). These data suggest the following.

![Fig. 1.1 Sex differences in the marathon. A: velocity of the top 100 marathons (next fastest after the WR) expressed as a percent (%) of the WR for men, women, and for women when Radcliffe's three fastest times are excluded (4th-104th fastest times relative to 4th place). [Data from (31).] B: world record marathon times for](image-url)

Radcliffe's performances were exceptional. Between 2002 and 2005, she ran the three fastest marathon times ever recorded by a woman, and her WR performance (2003) still stands 12 years on.

There is less depth in women's running than men's, because the drop-off in performance is greater for women; this is obvious with the inclusion of Radcliffe's times and more subtle when viewed vs. the fourth best time after ~50th placed runners (Fig. 1A); this widening continues to at least 200th place (men at 97.0%; women at 96.4%) and beyond. Accordingly, the top 100 men's times were achieved primarily over 7 years (2007–2014) with several in 2002–2003 and one in 1999, whereas the women's are more spread (2000–2013), with several between 1994–1999 and in 1985 (31). Less depth in women's running is also found among elite and subelite runners (12, 13) with there being a greater sex difference when fewer women compete relative to men (12).

Sex Differences in Physiology: What is Unique about Paula Radcliffe?

Human performance in distance running is strongly related to the maximal oxygen consumption ($V\dot{O}_{2\text{max}}$). However, among elite runners, the better athletes are distinguished by the highest sustainable oxidative metabolic rate (related to the “critical velocity” and the “lactate threshold”) and running economy (18, 19). Critical velocity represents the highest intensity that $V\dot{O}_2$, blood lactate, and intramuscular metabolites such as H+, PCR, and Pi can be stabilized (16). The difference between “critical velocity” and the “lactate threshold” is compressed in elite runners compared with recreational runners (16). There is limited difference, however, between elite men and women runners in the relative $V\dot{O}_2$ they are able to sustain for several hours (~85–90% $V\dot{O}_{2\text{max}}$) (4, 9, 10, 17). In highly trained men and women, running economy is similar and does not appear to explain sex differences in performance either (2, 4, 9, 21). In general, in equally trained men and women, the sex difference in performance is mostly dictated by men's larger $V\dot{O}_{2\text{max}}$ because men have a larger heart size, larger muscle mass, less body fat, greater hemoglobin concentration, and consequently a higher $V\dot{O}_{2\text{max}}$ than women (17, 28). Elite male runners usually have a $V\dot{O}_{2\text{max}}$ of ~70–85 ml·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ and elite females ~60–75 ml·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ so the sex difference is 10–14% (2, 7, 15, 18, 25–27). Other factors that potentially affect the sex difference in performance among recreational runners such as substrate utilization (14, 30), muscle fatigability (11), pacing (6), and competitiveness (5), likely have minimal influence among elite distance runners. Whether there are sex differences in the influence of genetic factors that affect elite runners is not known.

There are several aspects of Radcliffe's physiology that explain her extraordinary marathon performances. First, Radcliffe has a superior $V\dot{O}_{2\text{max}}$ relative to many of her elite counterparts of ~70 ml·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ (15). Second, her lactate threshold occurred at a high fraction of her $V\dot{O}_{2\text{max}}$ and at a high absolute running speed (18.5 km/h) and it can be estimated that her critical velocity was very high (19.4 km/h$^{-1}$) (15). Finally, Radcliffe had exceptional running economy (~175 ml·kg$^{-1}$·km$^{-1}$) compared with the “typical” value of ~200 ml·kg$^{-1}$·km$^{-1}$) that improved ~15% over many years of training (15). Radcliffe's superior economy and critical velocity allowed her to run at high absolute speeds for extended periods.

Sex Differences in Opportunity: A Reason for Less Depth among the Women?

In 1992, Whipp and Ward (32) made the provocative prediction that women would outrun men in 1998 with a time of 2:01:59. In retrospect, their statistical projections were influenced by the rapid increase in competitive opportunities for women in the 1970-80s that coincided with increased participation (Fig. 1B) (12, 13, 17). Women first competed in the World Championship marathon in 1983 (Helsinki, Finland) and the Olympic Games in 1984 (Los Angeles, CA). Before the 1970s, women were banned from the marathon because of the belief they would not be able to withstand the demands of the distance (22). Since then, the number of women runners has
steadily increased in major marathons across all age groups (12). Recent improvements in record marathon
times have been incremental (Fig. 1B) and reflect more accurately the sex differences in physiology that allow
elite men to run faster than elite women.

Additionally, elite women runners rapidly adopted training approaches developed over decades by men,
spurring the improvement in WR during the 1970-80s. Consequently, the sex difference among the best runners
has fluctuated minimally over the last 30 years (13). Furthermore, Fig. 1C shows about a 10-yr lag in the
globalization of women's running, with East African dominance emerging later for women compared with men.

Conclusions
We provide evidence that the 2-h equivalent marathon time for women was achieved by Paula Radcliffe in her
2003 WR. Furthermore, comparison of records of elite men and women marathoners indicates a lack of depth
among lower-placed women runners and a sex difference in the ethnic origin of the best runners. Radcliffe's WR
may stand for many more years until a woman, possibly an East African, who possesses superior running
economy and high critical velocity is afforded the opportunity to compete.
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