Bone Properties by Nanoindentation in Mild and Severe Osteogenesis Imperfecta

Carolyne Albert  
*Marquette University*, carolyne.albert@marquette.edu

John Jameson  
*Marquette University*, john.jameson@marquette.edu

Jeffrey M. Toth  
*Marquette University*, jeffrey.toth@marquette.edu

Peter Smith  
*Shriners Hospitals for Children*

Gerald F. Harris  
*Marquette University*, gerald.harris@marquette.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://epublications.marquette.edu/bioengin_fac

Part of the Biomechanics and Biotransport Commons

**Recommended Citation**  
Albert, Carolyne; Jameson, John; Toth, Jeffrey M.; Smith, Peter; and Harris, Gerald F., "Bone Properties by Nanoindentation in Mild and Severe Osteogenesis Imperfecta" (2013). *Biomedical Engineering Faculty Research and Publications*. 56.  
https://epublications.marquette.edu/bioengin_fac/56
Bone Properties by Nanoindentation in Mild and Severe *Osteogenesis imperfecta*

Carolyne Albert  
Department of Biomedical Engineering, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI  
Orthopaedic and Rehabilitation Engineering Center (OREC)

John Jameson  
Department of Biomedical Engineering, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI  
Orthopaedic and Rehabilitation Engineering Center (OREC)

Jeffrey M. Toth  
Department of Biomedical Engineering, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI  
Orthopaedic and Rehabilitation Engineering Center (OREC)  
Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Medical College of Wisconsin

Peter Smith  
Shriners Hospitals for Children – Chicago, Chicago, IL  
Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Rush University Medical Center

Gerald Harris  
Department of Biomedical Engineering, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI
Abstract

Background

Osteogenesis imperfecta is a heterogeneous genetic disorder characterized by bone fragility. Previous research suggests that impaired collagen network and abnormal mineralization affect bone tissue properties, however, little data is yet available to describe bone material properties in individuals with this disorder. Bone material properties have not been characterized in individuals with the most common form of osteogenesis imperfecta, type I.

Methods

Bone tissue elastic modulus and hardness were measured by nanoindentation in eleven osteotomy specimens that were harvested from children with osteogenesis imperfecta during routine surgeries. These properties were compared between osteogenesis imperfecta types I (mild, n = 6) and III (severe, n = 5), as well as between interstitial and osteonal microstructural regions using linear mixed model analysis.

Findings

Disease severity type had a small but statistically significant effect on modulus (7%, P = 0.02) and hardness (8%, P < 0.01). Individuals with osteogenesis imperfecta type I had higher modulus and hardness than did those with type III. Overall, mean modulus and hardness values were 13% greater in interstitial lamellar bone regions than in osteonal regions (P < 0.001).

Interpretation

The current study presents the first dataset describing bone material properties in individuals with the most common form of osteogenesis imperfecta, i.e., type I. Results indicate that intrinsic bone tissue properties are affected by phenotype. Knowledge of the material properties of bones in osteogenesis imperfecta will contribute to the ability to develop models to assist in predicting fracture risk.
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1. Introduction

Osteogenesis imperfecta (OI) is a heterogeneous collagen-related genetic disorder resulting in bone fragility (Rauch and Glorieux, 2004, Sillence et al., 1979). Bone fragility in OI is thought to result from a combination of bone mass deficiency and compromised bone tissue material properties (Rauch and Glorieux, 2004, Roschger et al., 2008b, Weber et al., 2006). Little data, however, is yet available to describe bone material properties in individuals with OI (Fan et al., 2006, Fan et al., 2007a, Fan et al., 2007b, Weber et al., 2006).

Severity of OI varies markedly, from mild to lethal in the perinatal period. OI types I–IV, representing the majority of individuals with OI, are dominant forms caused by defects in the quantity or structure of type I procollagen (Forlino et al., 2011, Smith, 1994). Other less common forms of OI have been identified recently. These include another autosomal dominant form (OI type V) for which the gene defect is unknown, and six recessive forms attributed to deficiency of proteins that affect post-translational modification or folding of collagen (Forlino et al., 2011). In the current study, intrinsic bone material properties were compared between
young individuals with two very distinct forms of OI: type I, the mildest form which tends to result in fewer fractures and near normal stature and physical function; and type III, a severe form that often leads to more frequent fractures and progressive skeletal deformities (Silence et al., 1979).

Structural and material bone abnormalities have been noted in OI. From a structural perspective, individuals with OI tend to have low bone mass. Histomorphometric analyses have noted lower cortical thickness and decreased trabecular bone volume per tissue volume in children with OI (Rauch et al., 2000, Roschger et al., 2008a).

At the ultrastructural level, the diameters of type I collagen fibrils in OI bone have been reported to differ from those of typical bone (Cassella et al., 1994, Stoss and Freisinger, 1993). With respect to the inorganic content of bone, mineral content and the shape, size and composition of the mineral crystals can also be altered with OI pathologies (Traub et al., 1994, Vetter et al., 1991). Calcium to phosphorus (Ca/P) ratio was observed to be lower than normal in OI bone (Cassella and Ali, 1992, Cassella et al., 1995). It was also found that average bone mineralization density tends to be higher than normal in OI (Boyde et al., 1999, Roschger et al., 2008a, Weber et al., 2006).

These abnormalities in collagen and bone mineral crystals suggest that the intrinsic, i.e., tissue-level, bone material behavior is compromised in OI. Limited data, however, is yet available to describe bone material properties in individuals with OI. A few studies have used nanoindentation to characterize bone in severe (type III) and moderately severe (type IV) OI (Fan et al., 2006, Fan et al., 2007a, Fan et al., 2007b, Weber et al., 2006). In nanoindentation, a diamond-tip indenter is pressed into the polished surface of a specimen, typically a few hundred nanometers deep, enabling local measurements of elastic modulus and hardness (Lewis et al., 2006, Weber et al., 2006). These properties were found to be higher in children with severe OI than in age-matched controls (Weber et al., 2006), however, no significant differences were reported between moderate and severe OI (Fan et al., 2007a, Fan et al., 2007b). No data is yet available to describe bone material properties in individuals with the most common form of OI, type I.

It is worth noting that wide ranges of values in elastic modulus (11–24 GPa) and hardness (0.3–0.9 GPa) have been reported for severe OI (Fan et al., 2006, Fan et al., 2007a, Fan et al., 2007b, Weber et al., 2006). Variability between these studies may be attributed in part to the selection of indentation sites. Previous studies have indicated that these properties tend to be higher in interstitial than osteonal bone regions (Hoffler et al., 2005, Rho and Pharr, 1999).

The primary question of this study was: do the material properties of bone differ between individuals with OI types I (mild OI) and III (severe OI)? The secondary question was: how do properties vary between regions of interstitial and osteonal bone? These questions were investigated using small bone specimens from children with OI, collected during routine surgical procedures.

2. Methods

This study investigated the effects of disease severity (phenotype) on the local elastic modulus and hardness of bone tissue from children with OI types I and III, using nanoindentation. The effect of the choice of indentation site on the measured properties was also investigated.

2.1. Specimens

Bone specimens were collected from lower extremity long bones of young individuals with OI. Inclusion criteria were: pediatric patients between 5 and 18 years of age with OI types I and III, and who are undergoing routine surgery at Shriners Hospitals for Children – Chicago for fracture repair and/or deformity correction of lower extremity long bones. Bone specimens selected for this study had a lamellar microstructure, without the
appearance of callous tissue. A total of twelve bone specimens were collected for this study, of which one specimen was excluded due to the presence of callous tissue and a lack of lamellar microstructure, indicating remodeling activity at a fracture site. Eleven bone specimens, obtained from ten donors, were therefore included in this study. Five of the donors (ages 7–16) had the mildest form, OI type I, while the other five (ages 7–14) had a more severe form, type III (Table 1). Donor ages did not differ significantly between the two groups (Student’s t-test, $P = 0.5$). The specimens were obtained under appropriate informed consent and IRB approval (#10101309 from Rush University Medical Center, and #HR-2167 from Marquette University). The unfixed specimens were stored at −85°C until testing. A previous study found that storage at a similar temperature (−80°C) for up to 5 years did not have significant effects on histological features or mechanical properties of bones (Salai et al., 2000).

Table 1. Specimen descriptions: Donor age, gender, OI type, history of bisphosphonate treatment, and anatomic site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>OI type</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Anatomic site</th>
<th>Bisphosphonate treatment</th>
<th># Indents osteonal</th>
<th># Indents interstitial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Tibia (p.d.)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Tibia (m.d.)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3*</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Tibia (m.d.)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Femur (m.d.)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5*</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Femur (p.d.)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Femur (d.d.)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Tibia (m.d.)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Tibia (m.d.)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Tibia (m.d.)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Tibia (m.d.)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Femur (p.d.)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(p.d.) Proximal diaphysis; (m.d.) middle diaphysis; and (d.d.) distal diaphysis.

*Specimens 3 and 5 were obtained from the same donor during surgical procedures that were performed three years apart.

2.2. Specimen preparation

Prior to nanoindentation, the specimens were thawed and prepared for embedding. The specimens were cross-sectioned under constant water irrigation using a diamond saw (Isomet™ Low Speed Saw; Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA). The cross-sections were oriented such that the exposed surface was approximately perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the long bone, using the periosteal surface of the specimen as a reference. The specimens were fixed and dehydrated in graded ethanol solutions: 70%, 24 h; 80%, 2 h; 95%, 2 h; 95%, 3 h; 100%, 2 h; 100%, 2 h; and 100%, 3 h. After dehydration, the specimens were air-dried for approximately 5 min and embedded under vacuum in a low viscosity epoxy resin (Epo-Thin®; Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA). After polymerization, the specimen surfaces were ground on a grinder–polisher (Metaserv® 3000; Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA) using progressively fine grit sizes: 400, 600, 800, and 1200. The surfaces were polished using a 3 μm aluminum oxide coated disc (Fibrmet®; Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA). Final polishing was done with a polishing cloth (Microcloth®; Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA) and a 0.05 μm alumina suspension (Micropolish® B; Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA).

2.3. Nanoindentation testing

Testing was performed on a nanoindenter (Nano Indenter XP; MTS, Eden Prairie, MN, USA), using a Berkovich diamond indenter tip. Measurements of modulus and hardness were obtained using the Continuous Stiffness
Measurement (CSM) algorithm that was associated with the nanoindenter. The CSM algorithm applies a low magnitude oscillating force superimposed onto the nominally increasing load (Li and Bhushan, 2002). This method has been used by others in the characterization of bone material properties (Wang et al., 2008a, Wang et al., 2008b). With this test method, the indenter penetrates the specimen surface with a defined frequency, amplitude, strain rate and maximum depth. Modulus and hardness are measured continuously as a function of penetration depth. Frequency and amplitude were set at 45 Hz and 2 nm, respectively, as was done in previous studies (Wang et al., 2008a, Wang et al., 2008b). A strain rate of 0.05 s\(^{-1}\), and a depth limit of 2000 nm were used. As in previous studies, Poisson's ratio was assumed to be 0.3 for the bone specimens (Fan et al., 2006, Fan et al., 2007a, Wang et al., 2008a, Wang et al., 2008b). Modulus and hardness were calculated automatically as a function of indentation depth using the CSM algorithm. For each indent, average modulus and hardness values were obtained between indentation depths of 800–1600 nm, a range over which these measurements were approximately constant.

A total of 20 indents per specimen were performed. For each specimen, indentation was performed in four separate, pre-defined clusters, each located in a different region of lamellar bone. Bone microstructure was described at each indent site using an optical microscope. The indent sites were divided in two microstructural groups: osteonal bone and interstitial lamellar bone. Typical indent sites are shown in Fig. 1. Microstructure at each indentation site was identified by two independent observers (JJ and CA). Data points for which the two observers did not agree on the type of lamellar microstructure were excluded from the data set. Indents that were found to be in contact with void spaces such as osteocyte lacunae, resorption spaces and Haversian canals were also excluded from the dataset. Finally, indents that were located in non-lamellar bone (e.g., woven bone), and those for which the site could not be distinguished clearly as being located in osteonal or interstitial bone regions were also excluded.

Fig. 1. Location of typical indentation sites. (A) Osteonal bone region. (B) Interstitial lamellar bone region. The bone cross section shown was obtained from the femoral diaphysis of a 16-year-old male with OI type I.

A total of 168 indents were included in this study. Approximately half (85) of the indents were in the specimens of OI type I, while the others (83) were in those of type III. Half of the indents (84) were located in interstitial lamellar bone. The other half of the indents were in osteonal regions (Table 1).

2.4. Statistical analysis
The effects of disease severity (OI types I vs. III) and lamellar microstructure (osteonal vs. interstitial) on local elastic modulus and hardness were analyzed statistically using linear mixed effects models. The statistical analysis was performed by a statistician using an open source software package (The R Project for Statistical Computing, www.r-project.org). OI severity and microstructure were defined as fixed factors in the model.
Specimen number (1–11) was treated as a random factor. The effects of four potential covariates were also explored: patient age, patient gender, anatomic site (femur or tibia), and patient history of bisphosphonate treatment (yes or no). Covariates that were found to be significant ($P < 0.05$) were included in the final statistical models.

3. Results

Modulus and hardness results for each OI type (I and III) are shown in Fig. 2. Within each OI severity group, the results are presented for each microstructure (interstitial and osteonal) in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 2. Elastic modulus (left) and hardness (right) results for all indents. Results for OI type I are shown as diamonds: interstitial (♦) and osteonal bone regions (◊). Results for OI type III are shown as circles: interstitial (●) and osteonal regions (○). Shown are means and standard deviations. *$P < 0.05$ between OI types I and III, based on linear mixed effects models (see Table 2, Table 3).](image)

3.1. Building of statistical model for modulus

Of the possible covariates investigated, only anatomic site (tibia vs. femur) had a significant effect on modulus ($P = 0.014$) and was retained for the final statistical model. The other possible covariates, i.e., donor age, gender and history of bisphosphonate treatment, did not have a significant effect on modulus ($P > 0.05$). Therefore, these factors were not included in the final model.

3.2. Results of statistical model for modulus

The results of the final linear mixed model for modulus are presented in Table 2. Based on the model results, OI disease severity had a significant effect on modulus ($P = 0.024$). Individuals with OI type III had lower moduli than did those with type I by approximately 7% (16.3 GPa vs. 17.5 GPa). Bone microstructure also had a significant effect on modulus ($P < 0.001$), with osteonal bone having lower modulus than interstitial lamellar bone by approximately 13%. Finally, the effect of anatomic site was also significant ($P = 0.014$) with the modulus being higher in the tibia than the femur by approximately 8%.

Table 2. Results of the linear mixed model for modulus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Coefficient (GPa)</th>
<th>SE (GPa)</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept (OI type I, interstitial bone, femur)</td>
<td>17.53</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity = OI type III</td>
<td>−1.23</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microstructure = Osteonal</td>
<td>−2.21</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatomic site = Tibia</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3. Building of statistical model for hardness
With respect to the hardness model, two covariates were found to have statistically significant effects: patient gender and history of bisphosphonate treatment ($P < 0.05$). Therefore, these two factors were included as covariates in the final model for hardness.

3.4. Results of statistical model for hardness
Results of the linear mixed model for hardness are presented in Table 3. As seen in Table 3, OI severity had a significant effect on bone tissue hardness ($P = 0.003$), OI type III having lower hardness than OI type I by 8%. Bone microstructure also had a significant effect ($P < 0.001$), with osteonal bone having lower hardness than interstitial bone by 11%. Finally, hardness was higher for males than females by 6%, and individuals with a known history of bisphosphonate treatment prior to tissue donation had a lower hardness by 6% ($P < 0.04$).

Table 3. Results of the linear mixed model for hardness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covariate</th>
<th>Coefficient (GPa)</th>
<th>SE (GPa)</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept (OI type I, interstitial bone, female)</td>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity = OI type III</td>
<td>- 0.054</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microstructure = osteonal</td>
<td>- 0.735</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender = male</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisphosphonates = yes</td>
<td>- 0.044</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion
Little data is currently available to describe the material properties of bones in individuals with OI, and bone material properties have not yet been characterized for the most common form, OI type I. The primary goal of this study was to determine if bone material properties differ between individuals with OI types I and III. This question was investigated using nanoindentation. To determine the role (if any) of microstructure, these properties were also compared between interstitial and osteonal bone regions.

Osteotomy specimens are often sent for routine histopathology or discarded following orthopedic surgeries in children with OI. These specimens can provide a valuable resource for characterizing bone material behavior. Because of its small scale, nanoindentation lends itself well to the testing of these osteotomy specimens. Nevertheless, use of this technique to characterize bone tissue has some limitations. Calculation of elastic modulus assumes local isotropy of the mechanical properties of the bone specimens, which may not be true. A previous nanoindentation study of OI bone, however, did not find significant modulus differences between longitudinal and transverse directions, suggesting that OI bone tissue at the sub-microstructural scale may be more isotropic than healthy bone tissue (Fan et al., 2006). Modulus calculation in nanoindentation also requires knowledge of the specimen's Poisson's ratio. In the present study, a Poisson's ratio of 0.3 was assumed. Varying Poisson's ratio of bone specimens as input from 0.2 to 0.4 was reported to affected modulus results by 8–9% (Hoffler et al., 2005, Turner et al., 1999). Moreover, bone tissue behavior is viscoelastic in nature, and experimental factors such as specimen dehydration (Hengsberger et al., 2002, Hoffler et al., 2005, Rho and Pharr, 1999), loading rate (Hoffler et al., 2005, Mittra et al., 2006), specimen mounting media (Mittra et al., 2006) and time of storage before testing (Mittra et al., 2006) have been demonstrated to affect modulus measured by nanoindentation. For example, it is a common practice to fix and dehydrate the bone specimens prior to nanoindentation (Fan et al., 2007a, Isaksson et al., 2010, Mittra et al., 2006, Mulder et al., 2007, Turner et al., 1999) in order to facilitate handling, storage, and testing. Modulus of bone measured by nanoindentation,
however, was found to be higher under dry vs. wet conditions (Bushby et al., 2004, Guidoni et al., 2010, Hoffler et al., 2005), and this phenomenon has been attributed to the contribution of the non-mineralized phase (Guidoni et al., 2010). To minimize undue experimental variability between the specimens, dehydration protocol, embedding media, time of storage (2 weeks prior to testing), and loading rate were kept constant in this study. Nonetheless, in light of the abovementioned limitations that are inherent to the use of nanoindentation to characterize bone tissue, emphasis of the current results should be placed on the relative effects of the factors rather than on the absolute values.

Another limitation in this study is the small number of specimens in each OI type. As in any small sample study, the reliability of the statistical conclusions is hindered by the small number of specimens, and a heavy reliance on the chosen statistical models is required.

The results of the present study were within the range of values reported in previous nanoindentation studies of OI bone (Fan et al., 2006, Fan et al., 2007a, Fan et al., 2007b, Weber et al., 2006). Current results for osteonal regions of OI type III were similar to those of another study in which the indentation sites were also located within osteons (Fan et al., 2006). For interstitial regions, previously published average results for modulus (19–22 GPa) and hardness (0.7–0.8 GPa) for severe OI were somewhat higher than the current results (Fan et al., 2007a, Weber et al., 2006). These differences may be attributed to the abovementioned experimental factors, differences between anatomic site (iliac crest vs. long bones), and/or differences in indentation sites within interstitial bone.

The main finding of this study was that OI severity had a small but significant effect on the material properties measured. Elastic modulus and hardness were higher in individuals with OI type I than in those with type III. The reason behind this observation is not fully understood. It has been postulated that the higher modulus previously reported in severe OI compared to normal bone (Weber et al., 2006) could be attributed to a more highly mineralized bone matrix (Roschger et al., 2008a). A relationship between local modulus and local mineralization has indeed been observed in previous studies of animal (Burket et al., 2011, Hoc et al., 2006) and human bones (Smith et al., 2010). A scanning electron microscopy study found that mean bone mineralization density of iliac crest biopsies was higher in children with OI than in normal controls and it was further noted that mineralization density tended to be lower in OI type I than in OI types III–V (Boyd et al., 1999). The current finding of higher elastic modulus and hardness in OI type I than in type III is therefore surprising in that it does not seem to fit the assumption that a higher modulus is attributed to higher mineralization. Nonetheless, in a recent nanoindentation study in a mouse model for OI (oim), modulus was not found to correlate with local measurements of bone matrix mineralization (Vanleene et al., 2012). Therefore, the effect of OI severity on modulus and hardness may be related to factors other than mineralization density, such as: size, shape and composition of the mineral crystals, collagen structure, and/or mechanical interaction between the collagen fibrils and the mineral crystals.

On the collagen side, OI type I is typically associated with a null COL1A1 mutation that results in a reduced production of type I collagen (Barsh et al., 1982, Willing et al., 1992, Willing et al., 1996). In OI type I, collagen is normal in composition and structure but an insufficient quantity is produced (Barsh et al., 1982, Smith, 1994). OI type III, on the other hand, is associated with amino substitution defects within type I collagen molecules, i.e., collagen quality is affected rather than its quantity (Forlino et al., 2011, Smith, 1994). These qualitative collagen mutations could affect the mechanical behavior of the collagen fibril differently than quantitative ones (OI type I). For example, a computational study has demonstrated that structural mutations within type I collagen molecules could compromise fibril mechanical properties by altering stress distribution within the fibrils (Gautieri et al., 2009). At the ultrastructural level, abnormal type I collagen fibril diameters have been reported in OI, although there is disagreement as to whether their diameters are higher (Cassella and Ali, 1992, Cassella et al., 1994) or lower (Stoss and Freisinger, 1993) than normal. Conflicting information also exists when
comparing the fibril diameters between phenotypes. One study noted that fibril diameter tended to be larger in OI type I and smaller in OI type IV (Cassella and Ali, 1992), while another reported the opposite, i.e., that fibril diameter was smaller in OI type I than in types III and IV (Stoss and Freisinger, 1993), although no statistical analysis supported this observation.

On the mineral side, compositional and structural abnormalities have also been reported in OI bone. Ca/P ratio was found to be lower than normal in OI, although phenotypes were not compared (Cassella and Ali, 1992, Cassella et al., 1995). Apatite crystals were also found to be smaller than normal in children with OI and their size was noted to decrease with disease severity (Traub et al., 1994, Vetter et al., 1991). Similar observations were also reported in animal models of OI (Fisher et al., 1987, Fratzl et al., 1996, Grabner et al., 2001, Vanleene et al., 2012). Further work is warranted to investigate the roles of local bone tissue composition and ultrastructure on the mechanical properties of bone in humans with OI.

The current study presents the first mechanical characterization of bone tissue in humans with OI type I. Although the study did not include a normal control group, the results can be compared against those of other previously published studies to get a sense of how modulus compares between OI phenotypes and normal bone. A few studies have looked at tensile and flexural mechanical properties of pediatric bone at the mesoscale (Currey and Butler, 1975, Hirsch and Evans, 1965). Modulus results from the current study lie within the range of moduli previously for pediatric bone specimens (Hirsch and Evans, 1965), however, it could be misleading to compare results obtained by nanoindentation directly to control values obtained at the mesoscale. Moduli of normal as well as OI pediatric iliac crest biopsies have been measured by nanoindentation (Weber et al., 2006). However, modulus values for severe OI in that study were higher than those of the current study. This discrepancy is likely due to differences in indentation site or other experimental factors, as discussed earlier. For this reason, cross-study comparisons of these nanoindentation results should be based on relative observations rather than absolute values. While no significant difference in elastic modulus has been found between individuals with OI types III and IV (Fan et al., 2007a, Fan et al., 2007b), modulus was 13% higher in iliac crest biopsies from children with severe OI than in age-matched normal controls (Weber et al., 2006). One might expect the elastic modulus of bone in milder OI (type I) to fall between those of severe OI (type III) and normal tissue, however, results of the current study indicate that this might not be the case (Fig. 3). In the current study, elastic modulus was slightly higher in OI type I than in type III. As discussed previously, further research is required to explain this observation.

Studies in mice have also produced uncertainties regarding the effect of OI on the elastic modulus of bones. Some mechanical studies of whole bones reported higher modulus in oim/oim mice, representative of severe OI, than in wild-type control littermates (Miller et al., 2007, Misof et al., 2005, Rao et al., 2008), while no significant difference was seen in another study (McCarthy et al., 2002). On the other hand, oim mice were found to have lower modulus by nanoindentation than their wild-type littermates (Vanleene et al., 2012). For the Mov13 mice, which produce bone pathology analogous to mild OI, elastic modulus was reported to be higher than that of control littermates in the anterior femoral region, but not in the posterior region (Jepsen et al., 1997). The
The present study indicates that, at the sub-microstructural level, average elastic modulus is slightly greater in individuals with mild OI than in those with a severe form of the disorder.

Bone microstructure had a significant effect on both modulus and hardness. These properties were higher in interstitial lamellar regions than in osteonal regions. Similar results were reported in other nanoindentation studies of bovine (Rho and Pharr, 1999) and adult human bones (Hoffler et al., 2005, Rho et al., 2002). These differences are likely attributed to differences in degrees of mineralization between these regions. Osteonal regions tend to be less mineralized than interstitial bone (Eschberger and Eschberger, 1986), and a relationship has been observed between local bone modulus and degree of mineralization (Gupta et al., 2005, Mulder et al., 2007, Tai et al., 2005).

Elastic modulus and hardness measure resistance to elastic (recoverable) and localized plastic (irrecoverable) deformation, respectively. Although they represent distinct properties, a relationship exists between them in bone tissue. In the current study, hardness results correlated positively with modulus (Pearson’s correlation, slope = 0.038, R² = 0.80, P < 0.001). This relationship is consistent with previous studies, and it has been attributed to these two properties having similar relationships with local bone mineral content (Currey and Brear, 1990, Hodgkinson et al., 1989, Hoffler et al., 2005).

Bisphosphonates have become commonly used in the treatment of children with OI, as a means to reduce fracture risk by increasing bone mass (Rauch and Glorieux, 2004). Most but not all of the individuals who donated the bone specimens used in this study had a known history of bisphosphonate treatment. This factor was not controlled in our study design; nonetheless the significance of its association with the measured properties was explored. In a previous study of OI bone, hardness and modulus were not found to be affected after two to three years of pamidronate treatment (Weber et al., 2006). In the current study, history of bisphosphonate treatments had no significant effect on modulus, but a small significant effect on hardness was observed. Therefore, some material properties may indeed be affected by the use of bisphosphonates. It should also be emphasized that bone material strength or toughness were not measured in these nanoindentation studies, and that the effect of bisphosphonates on those properties has not yet been determined.

Bisphosphonates inhibit osteoclasts, which play an important role in the cortical bone remodeling process. Consequently, this class of drugs may adversely affect the material strength and toughness of cortical bone tissue. Nevertheless, as previously stated by Weber et al., a reduction in fracture incidence after pamidronate use in OI is likely attributed to an increase in bone mass rather than to changes in bone material properties (Weber et al., 2006).

In the present study, modulus was slightly higher in the tibia than the femur. A similar observation was also reported in a macroscopic-scale tensile study of human bone specimens (Burstein et al., 1976). Males also had slightly higher bone tissue hardness than did females, although the meaning behind this observation is not clear. No difference in modulus was seen between the genders, and this observation is in agreement with the results of a previous study (Lindahl and Lindgren, 1967).

The structural behavior of whole bones is dependent not only on material properties but on geometry as well. Histological studies have shown that individuals with severe OI tend to have less bone tissue than those with mild OI, as evidenced by thinner cortices and decreased trabecular bone volume (Rauch et al., 2000). Therefore, due to a combination of decreased bone volume and lower tissue modulus, the overall structural stiffness of whole bones could be appreciably lower in individuals with severe OI than in those with mild OI.

5. Conclusions

Results of the present study indicate that bone material properties are affected by OI severity, and that modulus and hardness are higher in individuals with OI type I than type III. Based on the current findings, it would be ideal...
if future studies aimed at characterizing the mechanical properties of bones in OI considered each phenotype separately. Further research is recommended to determine how other material properties, such as bone material strength and toughness, are affected by OI severity and by bisphosphonate treatments. Knowledge of these bone material properties in OI will contribute to the ability to develop models to assist in predicting fracture risk.
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