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Abstract
Magnesium aluminum layered double hydroxides (MgAl-LDHs) intercalated with a range of benzyl anions were prepared using the coprecipitation method. The benzyl anions differ in functionality (i.e. carboxylate, sulfonate,
and phosphonate) and presence or absence of an amino substituent. Various methods for preparing LDHs (i.e. ion exchange, coprecipitation and rehydration of the calcined LDH methods) have been compared with the MgAl-benzene phosphonate and their effect on fire and thermal properties was studied. After characterization, the MgAl-LDHs were melt-blended with poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) at loadings of 3 and 10% by weight to prepare composites. Characterization of the LDHs and the PMMA composites was performed using FTIR, XRD, TGA, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and cone calorimetry. FTIR and XRD analyses confirmed the presence of the charge balancing benzyl anions in the galleries of the MgAl-LDHs. Improvements in fire and thermal properties of the PMMA composites were observed. The cone calorimeter revealed that the addition of 10% MgAl-LDHs reduces the peak heat release rate by more than 30%.
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1. Introduction

Layered double hydroxides (LDHs) are interesting anionic clays that may play an important part in the development of fire retardant systems for polymers, because the addition of LDH has been shown to enhance thermal stability and improve fire properties [1], [2], [3]. In addition, it has been observed that LDHs show some synergistic effects with commercial flame retardants, like ammonium polyphosphate (APP), giving rise to improvements in fire retardancy performance [4].

LDHs consist of layers of divalent (M$^{2+}$) and trivalent (M$^{3+}$) cations co-ordinated octahedrally by hydroxyl groups. Their structure is similar to that of brucite, Mg(OH)$_2$, in which isomorphous replacement of the divalent cation with trivalent cation creates a positive charge on the metal layers which is counter balanced by the presence of anions in the galleries [5]. Thus LDHs have a reverse polarity from cationic clays with regard to layer and interlayer anion charge. Their chemical structure is represented by the formula; [M$^{2+}$$_{1-x}$$M^{3+}$_x(OH)$_2$]$$^{(A_{n/2})^{-}·mH_2O}$, where M$^{2+}$ = (Mg$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, or Ni$^{2+}$), M$^{3+}$ = (Al$^{3+}$, Fe$^{3+}$, Mn$^{3+}$ etc.) and A$^{n-}$ is the charge balancing interlayer anion. Like cationic clays, LDH can be organically modified. This is easily achieved by intercalating charge balancing organic anions with different functionalities, such as carboxylate, sulfonate, phosphonate and others. It is important to understand the effect of varying functionalities of organic anions in LDHs to be able to optimize improvements in thermal and fire properties.

Since LDHs are synthetic materials, it is possible to study the effect on fire and thermal properties of various methods of preparation. The commonly used methods of preparing LDHs are: (i) ion exchange of a precursor LDH intercalated with a nitrate or chloride, (ii) direct synthesis, i.e., the coprecipitation method, in which metal nitrates or chlorides are introduced to a solution of the organic anion at a controlled pH, (iii) thermal or melt reaction of MgAl-carbonate LDHs and organic guest anion by heating at low ramp rate up to temperatures 10–20 °C above the melting point of organic acid and (iv) rehydration of the calcined LDH in the presence of water and organic anion [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11]. A swelling agent such as glycerol may be used during rehydration to improve the crystallinity of the LDH [12]. These methods are related by the use of a nitrogen atmosphere, decarbonated water and deionized water, to minimize contamination by carbon dioxide which would lead to the formation of a carbonate in the LDH. Nevertheless, contamination by carbonate is difficult to avoid.

The aim of this work is to study the effect of the mode of preparation for a range of benzyl anions with different functionalities (carboxylate, sulfonate and phosphonate) and amino substituent in MgAl-LDHs on the fire and thermal properties in PMMA composites.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Poly(methyl methacrylate), PMMA, $M_n$ 120,000, benzoic acid (C$_7$H$_6$O$_2$), 4-amino benzoic acid (C$_7$H$_7$NO$_2$), sodium hydroxide flakes, sodium nitrate, glycerol, magnesium nitrate hexahydrate, Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, aluminum
nitrate nonahydrate, Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O were obtained from Aldrich Chemical Company while benzene sulfonic acid, (C₆H₅SO₃H·H₂O,) and benzene phosphonic acid (C₆H₅PO(OH)₂) were acquired from TCI. The hydrotalcite, (pural MG63HT), referred to herein as MgAl-carbonate LDH, was kindly provided by Sasol Germany GmbH.

2.2. Instrumentation

Powder X-ray diffraction measurements (PXRD) and XRD for the composites were performed with a Rigaku, Miniflex II Desktop, X-ray diffractometer with Cu (K alpha) radiation λ = 1.54078 Å, from a sealed X-ray tube. The powder sample was prepared and mounted on a glass holder, while the composites were prepared by compression molding and mounted on aluminum sample holder. The data were collected at various 2θ values from 0° to 70° at a scan speed of 5° per minute with a sampling width of 0.02. Basal spacing of LDHs and ethylene vinyl acetate nanocomposites were obtained from the 00l reflections.

Bright field transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the nanocomposites were obtained at 60 kV with a JEOL 1230 electron microscope. The samples were ultramicrotomed with a diamond knife on AO-E microtome at room temperature to give ~90 nm thick section. The sections were then transferred from the knife-edge to Cu grids.

Fourier transform infrared spectra were obtained using the KBr method on a Nicolet Magna-IR 560 spectrometer operated at 1 cm⁻¹ resolution in the 400–4000 cm⁻¹ region. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential thermal analysis (DTA) were performed on a SDT 2960 simultaneous DTA–TGA instrument from 50 to 800 °C at a heating rate of 20 °C/min in N₂, flowing at 85 ± 5 mL/min, with sample sizes of 15.0 ± 1.0 mg contained in aluminum sample cups. All samples were run in triplicate and the average values are reported; temperatures are considered accurate to ±3 °C and the error on the fraction of non-volatile materials is ±2%. Calcination was performed in a Thermolyne 1300 Furnace at 450 °C for 18 h for the hydrotalcite and at 1000 °C for 24 h for the char obtained after cone calorimetry.

Approximately 30 g of poly(methyl methacrylate) composite samples were compression molded into 10 cm × 10 cm square plaques of uniform thickness (~3 mm) before cone calorimetry was performed on an Atlas Cone 2 instrument according to ASTM E 1354 at an incident flux of 50 kW/m² with a cone shaped heater; the spark was continuous until the sample ignited. All samples were run in triplicate and the average value, with standard deviation, is reported; results from cone calorimeter are generally considered to be reproducible to ±10%.

2.3. Preparation of magnesium aluminum layered double hydroxides by coprecipitation

The magnesium aluminum layered double hydroxides (MgAl-LDHs) intercalated with benzyl anions were prepared following a literature procedure [13]. A solution of Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0.02 mol) and Al(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (0.01 mol) in deionized and decarbonated water (50 ml) was added dropwise to a solution of the organic acid (0.02 mol) (i.e. benzoic acid, (BA), benzene sulfonic (BS), 4-amino benzoic (ABA), or benzene phosphonic (BP)), with the molar equivalent of NaOH in deionized and decarbonated water (100 ml) with vigorous mixing under an inert nitrogen atmosphere. The pH was maintained at 10.0 by adding 1 M NaOH solution. The resultant slurry was aged at 60 °C for 24 h, cooled to room temperature, and repeatedly washed with deionized and decarbonated water before drying under an inert atmosphere at a temperature of 50 °C. The chemical structures of the benzyl anions are shown in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. Chemical structures of the charge balancing anions used to prepare the MgAl-LDHs.](image-url)
2.4. Preparation of magnesium-aluminum nitrate layered double hydroxide

The magnesium-aluminum nitrate layered double hydroxide (MgAl-nitrate LDH) was synthesized by the coprecipitation method, following a procedure similar to that reported by Meyn et al. [14]. The preparation was performed in a nitrogen atmosphere to exclude CO₂ which would lead to the incorporation of carbonate in the LDHs. A solution of 32.0 g of Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0.125 mol) and 23.4 g of Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (0.0625 mol) in 125 ml of degassed and deionized water was added dropwise over 1 h to a solution of 12.5 g of NaOH (0.313 mol) and 18.2 g of NaNO₃ (0.214 mol) in 145 ml of degassed/deionized water. The pH of the solution was maintained at 10.0 by adding 1 M NaOH solution as needed. The resulting white precipitate was aged for 24 h at 65 °C, then filtered until all of the supernatant liquid was removed. The sample was washed several times with large amounts of deionized and degassed water, and was dried at 50 °C in a vacuum oven.

2.5. Preparation of magnesium-aluminum benzene phosphonate layered double hydroxide by anion exchange

The magnesium-aluminum phosphonate layered double hydroxide (referred to herein as MgAl-BP LDH exchange) was prepared from the MgAl-NO₃ LDH using an ion exchange reaction. In a typical preparation, 5 g of the MgAl-NO₃ LDH was dispersed in 100 ml of a 0.008 M anion solution prepared by dissolving the benzene phosphonic acid in warm water containing 0.016 M of NaOH under a steady flow of nitrogen to exclude carbon dioxide. The solution was vigorously stirred for 24 h at 60 °C and the solid was separated, washed with degassed/deionized water and dried at 50 °C. The ion exchange was repeated several times and completion of exchange was ascertained by the absence of the 1384 cm⁻¹ NO₃⁻ band in the IR spectra of the MgAl-LDHs.

2.6. Preparation of magnesium-aluminum phosphonate layered double hydroxide by rehydration

The magnesium-aluminum benzene phosphonate LDH (referred hereafter as MgAl-BP LDH rehydration) was prepared by rehydration of the calcined hydrotalcite following a procedure reported by Dimotakis and Pinnavaia [13]. In a typical experiment, the MgAl-carbonate LDH was calcined in air at 450 ± 10 °C for 18 h. 1 g of the calcined material was then added to a 100 ml of 0.1 M benzene phosphonate solution prepared by dissolving the benzene phosphonic acid in warm water/glycerol solution (1:2 volume ratio), degassed and deionized water containing 0.2 M of NaOH under a steady flow of nitrogen to exclude carbon dioxide at 50 °C and aged for 24 h. The resulting slurry was then filtered and washed several times with hot deionized and degassed water, and then dried at 50 °C in a vacuum oven.

2.7. Preparation of the poly(methyl methacrylate) composites

Poly(methyl methacrylate)-LDH composites were prepared via melt blending using established methods [15]. Poly(methyl methacrylate) composites were prepared by melt blending in a Brabender mixer (temperature = 190 °C, screw speed = 60 rpm, and time = 8 min). The LDH loadings were 3 and 10% by weight. A reference sample of unmodified poly(methyl methacrylate) was obtained by following the same procedure without any additive.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of MgAl-LDH and its PMMA composites

3.1.1. Infrared and X-ray diffraction analysis of the MgAl-LDHs

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) was used to ascertain the presence of the benzyl anions in the LDHs; the FTIR spectra are presented in Fig. 2(i) and an expansion showing the fingerprint region is in Fig. 2(ii). All the MgAl-LDHs showed a broad band around 3400 cm⁻¹ characteristic of the OH stretching vibration arising from the metal hydroxyl groups and hydrogen bonded interlayer water molecules. Bands around 1448 cm⁻¹, 1487 cm⁻¹, 1468 cm⁻¹ and 1602 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the C—C vibrations from the aromatic rings of the charge balancing anions. With the MgAl-BA and MgAl-ABA, peaks at 1557 cm⁻¹ and 1412 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the vibration of the carboxylate, while the presence of the N–H bend at 1612 cm⁻¹ in the MgAl-ABA
is the only visible peak that distinguishes it from MgAl0-BA LDH. The MgAl-BP, showed vibrations between 1200 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) from –PO\(_3\)–. As might be expected, there was no difference in the FTIR spectra for the MgAl-BP prepared using different methods. The strong peaks appearing at 1350 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1180 cm\(^{-1}\) in the spectrum for MgAl-BS are associated with the asymmetric and symmetric vibrations of S–O, respectively.

Fig. 2. FTIR spectra of (i) (a) MgAl-BA LDH, (b) MgAl-ABA LDH, (c) MgAl-BP LDH, and (d) MgAl-BS, (ii) expansion of FTIR spectra for the LDHs from 400 to 2000 cm\(^{-1}\). Spectra are offset for clarity.

Fig. 3(a) presents XRD traces and 2θ values of the MgAl-LDHs obtained from the coprecipitation method with the various benzyl anions. The XRD patterns show first and second order diffraction peaks which are equally spaced, which is characteristic of clays with a good layered structure. Using the Bragg equation, the d-spacing of MgAl-BA, MgAl-ABA, MgAl-BS and MgAl-BP LDHs are 15.5 Å, 15.0 Å, 15.8 Å and 14.2 Å, respectively. The differences in the d-spacing may be due to differences in the length and angle of tilt of the anions in the galleries of the LDHs. Fig. 3(b) shows the XRD traces of the MgAl-BP obtained using the different methods. After ion exchange of the MgAl-nitrate LDH, new diffraction peaks appear at lower values of 2θ indicating expansion of the galleries due to intercalation of the larger benzene phosphonate (BP) anions. In general, the sharpness and intensity of the diffraction peaks is considered to be proportional to crystallinity [16]. From Fig. 3(b), it is clear that the XRD pattern of the MgAl-BP obtained from the rehydration method gives sharper and stronger diffraction peaks, which indicates that the rehydration method gives the best crystallinity and this method may be the best way to preparing LDH with good crystallinity. There is no significant difference in the d-spacings of
the MgAl-BP LDHs prepared using the various methods. FTIR and XRD analyses confirm the intercalation of the benzyl anions in the galleries of the MgAl-LDHs. The FTIR and XRD data are in good agreement with those reported in the literature [17], [18], [19].

3.1.2. XRD analysis and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of the PMMA composites

The dispersion of the MgAl-LDHs was evaluated using XRD and TEM. Fig. 3(c) presents the XRD traces of the PMMA + MgAl-benzyl LDH composites while the TEM images are shown in Fig. 4. The basal spacing for MgAl-BA and MgAl-BS does not change, which may suggest microcomposite formation. No diffraction peaks are observed with the MgAl-ABA and MgAl-BP composites and this may suggest exfoliation or the LDH may be disordered. Further assessment of dispersion was obtained from TEM analyses. The higher magnification TEM images of MgAl-BA and MgAl-BP composites in Fig. 4(c) and (g) show good dispersion while those of the MgAl-BS and MgAl-ABA show large agglomerates and poorly dispersed LDH particles. At higher magnification, the TEM images in Fig. 4(b), (d), (f) and (h) show that nano-dispersion has not been achieved and the MgAl-LDH composites may best be described as microcomposites, in good agreement with the observed XRD data of the composites.
Fig. 4. TEM images at (a) low and (b) high magnification for PMMA + 3% MgAl-ABA, (c) low and (d) high magnification for PMMA + 3% MgAl-BA, (e) low and (f) high magnification for PMMA + 3% MgAl-BS. The scale bars for low and high magnification represent 1000 nm and 200 nm distances respectively. TEM images at (g) low and (h) high magnification for PMMA + 3% MgAl-BP have scale bars of 500 nm and 200 nm.

3.2. Thermal stability of the MgAl-LDHs and their PMMA composites

TGA curves of the LDHs are shown in Fig. 5(a)(i) and the data are summarized in Table 1. As is usual for LDHs, the thermal degradation reveals three or four general regions of mass loss [20]. The weight loss up to 250 °C is ascribed to the loss of adsorbed and interlayer water. Unlike the first step, which is relatively rapid, the last two weight loss steps are very gentle and long. The steps overlap to some extent and involve dehydroxylation of the brucite-like layers followed by decomposition of the organic anions. The thermal degradation steps of the LDHs are clearly visible in the DTG curves presented in Fig. 5(a)(ii). From the summary
of TGA data presented in Table 1, the MgAl-BA gives the lowest temperature at which 10% mass loss occurs ($T_{0.1}$) and amount of residue at 600 °C. There is no significant difference in the amount of residue for MgAl-BA and MgAl-ABA, MgAl-BS and MgAl-BP obtained using the coprecipitation method. TGAs of MgAl-BP LDHs prepared using the various methods (i.e. coprecipitation, ion exchange and rehydration of calcined LDH) are similar, as shown in Fig. 5(b)(i) and (ii). The three methods also lead to the formation of the same amount of residue, 58%, while the $T_{0.1}$ and the DTG plots show no significant differences. These observations suggest that LDHs prepared using different methods have the same thermal stability.

![Fig. 5. (a): (i) TGA and (ii) DTG curves for MgAl-LDHs prepared using the coprecipitation method. (b): (i) TGA and (ii) DTG curves for MgAl-BP LDHs prepared using different methods.](image)

Table 1. TGA data for the MgAl-LDHs prepared using different methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>$T_{0.1}$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_{0.5}$ (°C)</th>
<th>% Char</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MgAl-BA LDH coprecipitation</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgAl-ABA LDH coprecipitation</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgAl-BS LDH coprecipitation</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgAl-BP LDH coprecipitation</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgAl-BP LDH exchange</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgAl-BP LDH rehydration</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$T_{0.1}$, temperature at which 10% mass loss occurs; $T_{0.5}$, temperature at which 50% mass loss occurs; % Char, residue at 600 °C.
TGA curves for the PMMA composites at 3 and 10% loading of the MgAl-LDHs are presented in Fig. 6(a) and the data are summarized in Table 2. Addition of the MgAl-BP/BA/ABA LDHs at low loading, 3 wt%, improves the thermal stability significantly, whether $T_{0.1}$ or $T_{0.5}$ are used as the point of comparison. Increasing the loading of the LDH to 10% results in a further increase in thermal stability and the amount of char. With the MgAl-BS, a reverse effect of loading on thermal stability is observed. A loading of 3% MgAl-BS results in more improvement in thermal stability than is obtained at 10% loading but the amount of char/residue is increased. The thermal stability of the MgAl-BS LDH was better than that of MgAl-BA and MgAl-ABA, as shown by the TGA and DTG data in Fig. 5 and Table 1. This suggests that an LDH with higher thermal stability does not always indicate that enhanced thermal stability can be expected when it is combined with a polymer. TGA curves showing a comparison at 10% loading of MgAl-LDHs prepared using coprecipitation in Fig. 6(b)(i) show that the composite containing 10% MgAl-BS had the least thermal stability while there is no significant difference between the composites prepared using 10% of MgAl-BP LDHs obtained from the various methods, as shown in Fig. 6(b)(ii).

Fig. 6. (a): TGA curves (i) PMMA + MgAl-BA LDH, (ii) PMMA + MgAl-ABA LDH, (iii) PMMA + MgAl-BS LDH and (iv) PMMA + MgAl-BP LDH prepared using the coprecipitation method. (b): A comparison of TGA curves for (i) PMMA + 10% MgAl-LDH from coprecipitation and (ii) PMMA + MgAl-BP prepared using different methods.
information on the effectiveness of the MgAl-LDHs as fire retardants during combustion. The heat release rate
ignition (average mass loss rate; PHRR, peak heat release rate; (% rdtn), reduction in PHRR; THR, total heat release; VOS, volume of smoke released; AMLR, average mass loss rate; \( t_{\text{ig}} \), time-to-ignition; Char, % residue after cone calorimetry.

Table 2. TGA data for poly(methyl methacrylate) and its composites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>( T_{0.1} ) (°C)</th>
<th>( T_{0.5} ) (°C)</th>
<th>% Char</th>
<th>( \Delta T_{0.5} )</th>
<th>( \Delta T_{0.1} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMMA</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 3% MgAl-BA</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 10% MgAl-BA</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 3% MgAl-ABA</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 10% MgAl-ABA</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 3% MgAl-BS</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 10% MgAl-BS</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 3% MgAl-BP coprecipitation</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 10% MgAl-BP coprecipitation</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 10% MgAl-BP exchange</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 10% MgAl-BP rehydration</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( T_{0.1} \), temperature at which 10% mass loss occurs; \( T_{0.5} \), temperature at which 50% mass loss occurs; % Char, residue at 600 °C; \( \Delta T_{0.5} \), \( T_{0.5} \) (composites) – \( T_{0.5} \) (neat PMMA); \( \Delta T_{0.1} \), \( T_{0.1} \) (composites) – \( T_{0.1} \) (neat PMMA).

3.3. Flammability behavior of the PMMA composites

The cone calorimeter was used to assess the flammability behavior and this provided detailed information on the effectiveness of the MgAl-LDHs as fire retardants during combustion. The heat release rate (HRR), total heat released (THR), volume of smoke released (VOS), average mass loss rate (AMLR) and time-to-ignition (\( t_{\text{ig}} \)) are summarized in Table 3 and the HRR curves are shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b).

Table 3. Cone calorimetry data for PMMA composites at 50 kW/m².

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>PHRR (kW/m²)</th>
<th>Rdttn (%)</th>
<th>THR (MJ/m²)</th>
<th>VOS (L)</th>
<th>AMLR (g/s m²)</th>
<th>( t_{\text{ig}} ) (s)</th>
<th>Char (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMMA</td>
<td>1028 ± 48</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>82 ± 2</td>
<td>412 ± 51</td>
<td>34 ± 3</td>
<td>14 ± 1</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 3% MgAl-BA LDH</td>
<td>738 ± 27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>76 ± 1</td>
<td>393 ± 19</td>
<td>26 ± 1</td>
<td>10 ± 2</td>
<td>4 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 10% MgAl-BA LDH</td>
<td>554 ± 35</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>71 ± 1</td>
<td>598 ± 32</td>
<td>16 ± 3</td>
<td>14 ± 1</td>
<td>10 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 3% MgAl-ABA LDH</td>
<td>828 ± 34</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>79 ± 1</td>
<td>292 ± 77</td>
<td>28 ± 3</td>
<td>9 ± 2</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 10% MgAl-ABA LDH</td>
<td>665 ± 6</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>74 ± 1</td>
<td>403 ± 76</td>
<td>21 ± 2</td>
<td>12 ± 2</td>
<td>10 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 3% MgAl-BS LDH</td>
<td>795 ± 21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>77 ± 1</td>
<td>267 ± 83</td>
<td>30 ± 1</td>
<td>11 ± 2</td>
<td>3 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 10% MgAl-BS LDH</td>
<td>736 ± 42</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>74 ± 1</td>
<td>226 ± 64</td>
<td>27 ± 2</td>
<td>12 ± 3</td>
<td>11 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 3% MgAl-BP LDH</td>
<td>819 ± 58</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>78 ± 1</td>
<td>159 ± 27</td>
<td>29 ± 1</td>
<td>10 ± 1</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 10% MgAl-BP coprecipitation</td>
<td>821 ± 82</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>75 ± 2</td>
<td>266 ± 23</td>
<td>28 ± 1</td>
<td>11 ± 3</td>
<td>14 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 10% MgAl-BP rehydrated</td>
<td>716 ± 54</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>75 ± 2</td>
<td>173 ± 64</td>
<td>24 ± 1</td>
<td>11 ± 1</td>
<td>12 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA + 10% MgAl-BP exchanged</td>
<td>718 ± 69</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>77 ± 3</td>
<td>241 ± 179</td>
<td>25 ± 2</td>
<td>11 ± 2</td>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PHRR, peak heat release rate; (% rdtn), reduction in PHRR; THR, total heat release; VOS, volume of smoke released; AMLR, average mass loss rate; \( t_{\text{ig}} \), time-to-ignition; Char, % residue after cone calorimetry.
Fig. 7. (a): Heat release rate curves for (i) PMMA + MgAl-BA LDH, (ii) PMMA + MgAl-ABA LDH, (iii) PMMA + MgAl-BS LDH, and (iv) PMMA + MgAl-BP. The LDHs were prepared using the coprecipitation method. (b): Heat release rate curves for (i) PMMA + 10% MgAl-LDHs, prepared using the coprecipitation method and (ii) PMMA + 10% MgAl-BP LDHs prepared using various methods.

From the data, one can see that the addition of the MgAl-LDHs significantly reduces the PHRR and AMLR and the reduction in both the PHRR and AMLR is higher at 10% loading than at 3%. It has been shown with montmorillonite that the reduction in the PHRR corresponds to a reduction in the mass loss rate, and this is also observed in these LDH systems. For the LDHs prepared using the coprecipitation method, the best reductions, 46% and 35%, are obtained with 10% MgAl-BA and 10% MgAl-ABA while the systems with MgAl-BP and MgAl-BS give reductions that are much lower, 20% and 26%, respectively. Based on the HRR values, there is no significant differences in the fire retardant effectiveness of the LDHs prepared using different methods (i.e. coprecipitation, ion exchange and rehydration methods). The char yield increases as the loading of the LDH increase from 3 to 10% and this is expected as the char is mostly LDH residue. The fire retardant action of LDHs may be attributed to: (i) dilution and cooling of flammable volatiles by non-flammable gases such as water and CO₂ evolved from the thermal degradation of LDHs, (ii) the formation of refractory oxides over the polymer surface that acts as a
barrier for heat and mass transfer and (iii) the endothermic decomposition of the LDHs that results in the absorption of heat from the burning polymer, hence reducing the thermal degradation of the polymer and the flaming process [21].

Photographs of the char after cone calorimetry are presented in Fig. 8. Char formation is an important aspect of fire retardancy [22]. In general, an increase in char formation is associated with a reduction of HRR and is an indication of good fire retardant effectiveness. The char obtained with composites containing 10% loading of MgAl-ABA, MgAl-BS and MgAl-BP consists of several separated small hard particles. Surprisingly, the char particles obtained with MgAl-BA were expanded, large, soft, and airy and showed evidence of blowing. Expanded char provides a very effective barrier for slowing heat and mass and mass transfer [23], [24], which may explain why the best reduction in the PHRR was observed with MgAl-BA LDH.

Fig. 8. Photographs of residual char from (a) PMMA + MgAl-BA LDH, (b) PMMA + MgAl-ABA LDH, (c) PMMA + MgAl-BS LDH, and (d) PMMA + MgAl-BP formulations following cone calorimetry test under a heat flux of 50 kW/m².

XRD patterns for the char obtained after cone calorimetry are non-descript and show no evidence for formation of crystalline metal oxide phases. Calcination of the char at 1000 °C resulted in the formation of crystalline MgO and the spinel MgAl₂O₄, as presented in Fig. 9. These phases have been indexed using literature data [25]. There is no significant difference in the XRD pattern of the char from the MgAl-BA, MgAl-ABA and MgAl-BS systems, but the XRD traces of the MgAl-BP showed low intensities of the MgO and MgAl₂O₄ indicating reduced crystallinity of these phases. These same phases have been previously observed in cone residue with MgAl-LDHs [26].
4. Conclusions

MgAl-LDHs intercalated with various benzyl anions were prepared using various methods. Characterization of the LDHs by TGA and FTIR show no significant differences in the method of preparation while XRD analyses reveals high crystallinity for the LDH prepared using the rehydration of calcined LDH. The MgAl-LDHs were melt-blended with PMMA to prepare composites which were characterized using cone calorimetry and TGA. Addition of the LDHs to PMMA improved the thermal stability of the composites. The least thermal stabilization effect was observed in composites containing MgAl-BS. No significant differences in the thermal stability of composites with LDH prepared using different methods were observed. TEM shows that the MgAl-LDH systems were microcomposites. Fire properties evaluated using the cone calorimeter indicates that the PHRR is significantly reduced when the MgAl-LDHs are added to PMMA. The best reduction of 46% was observed in the PMMA + 10% MgAl-BA system. Composites with LDHs prepared using the different methods showed no significant differences in the HRR. The improved fire performance of the composites was attributed to the endothermic thermal decomposition process of the LDH that release CO₂ and H₂O which cools and dilutes the flaming volatiles and also results in the formation of mixed metal oxides that act as barrier for heat and mass transfer. XRD analyses obtained after cone calorimetry show that the oxides formed during combustion were amorphous while calcination of the char resulted in the formation of crystalline MgO and MgAl₂O₄ spinel phases.
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