

Marquette University

**e-Publications@Marquette**

---

Exercise Science Faculty Research and  
Publications

Exercise Science, Department of

---

9-2018

## **Gait Variability and Energy Cost of Oveground Walking in Persons With Multiple Sclerosis: A Cross-Sectional Study**

Emerson Sebastião

Rachel Bollaert

Elizabeth A. Hubbard

Robert W. Motl

Follow this and additional works at: [https://epublications.marquette.edu/exsci\\_fac](https://epublications.marquette.edu/exsci_fac)

---

Marquette University

e-Publications@Marquette

***Exercise Science Faculty Research and Publications/College of Health Sciences***

***This paper is NOT THE PUBLISHED VERSION; but the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript.*** The published version may be accessed by following the link in the citation below.

*American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*, Vol. 97, No. 9 (September 2018): 646-650. [DOI](#). This article is © Wolters Kluwer and permission has been granted for this version to appear in [e-Publications@Marquette](#). Wolters Kluwer does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from Wolters Kluwer.

# Gait Variability and Energy Cost of Overground Walking in Persons With Multiple Sclerosis: A Cross-Sectional Study

Emerson Sebastião

Department of Kinesiology and Physical Education, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois

Rachel E. Bollaert

Beckman Institute for Advanced Science and Technology, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois

Elizabeth A. Hubbard

Department of Kinesiology, Berry College, Mount Berry, Georgia

Robert W. Motl

Department of Physical Therapy, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama

Abstract

Objective: This study examined the associations between gait variability based on common spatiotemporal parameters and energetic cost of walking in persons with multiple sclerosis.

**Design:** Eighty-six persons with multiple sclerosis underwent the 6-min walk while wearing a portable metabolic unit. The cost of walking was generated by dividing the net steady-state  $\text{VO}_2$  (milliliter per kilogram per minute) by walking speed during the 6-min walk. Participants further completed two trials of walking on the GAITRite mat at a self-selected pace for measuring spatiotemporal parameters. Variability of step length, step time, stride length, swing time, stance time, stride velocity, and single- and double-support time was indexed by the coefficient of variation.

**Results:** Variability in the spatiotemporal variables and Expanded Disability Status Scale scores were significantly correlated with cost of walking (i.e.,  $[\rho] = 0.25\text{-}0.36$ ). Multivariate analysis revealed that disability (Expanded Disability Status Scale:  $[\beta] = 0.186$ ), stance time variability ( $[\beta] = 1.446$ ), and step length variability ( $[\beta] = -1.216$ ) explained significant variance ( $R^2 = 0.38$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) in cost of walking.

**Conclusions:** We provide evidence of the positive association between gait variability and cost of walking during overground walking in persons with multiple sclerosis. The findings highlight the need for interventions aiming to reduce gait variability, thereby reducing the energetic demands of walking in this population.

There is evidence that the energetic cost of walking ( $C_w$ ), defined as the amount of oxygen consumed per kilogram of body weight per unit distance traveled,<sup>1</sup> is higher in persons with multiple sclerosis (MS) compared with healthy controls.<sup>2</sup> The higher  $C_w$  may be associated with gait impairment in MS.<sup>3</sup> We are aware of one study that reported significant inverse correlations between gait speed and stride length with  $C_w$  and a positive correlation between double-limb support and  $C_w$  in persons with MS.<sup>4</sup> Persons who walked with slower velocity, had shorter strides, and spent more time with both feet on the ground (i.e., double-limb support) spent more energy when walking.

Previous studies examining the associations between gait parameters and  $C_w$  in MS have focused on mean values of gait parameters but have not considered measures of gait variability (i.e., the stride-to-stride fluctuations in walking).<sup>4</sup> This is important because individual variability in kinematic variables is considered an index of movement consistency or stability.<sup>5</sup> Gait variability further offers a complementary way of quantifying walking and its changes with the disease. Gait variability represents a way of monitoring the impact of interventions and rehabilitation and the effects on other physiological systems (i.e., cardiovascular). Of note, one study using treadmill walking suggested that increased step variability may contribute to increased  $C_w$  in young, healthy individuals without MS or other disease.<sup>6</sup> Another study compared the gait mechanics and metabolic cost in 10 healthy adults during treadmill walking with and without external stabilization.<sup>7</sup> This study demonstrated that lower foot placement variability associated with external stabilization was accompanied by modest but significant decreases in metabolic  $C_w$ . We believe that exploring gait variability and its association with  $C_w$  may identify potential intervention targets of rehabilitation programs focusing on reducing energy expenditure during walking in persons with MS. If successful, targeting gait variability may improve walking efficiency, which may have a positive impact on participation and fatigue in MS.

The present study examines the associations between variability in common spatiotemporal gait parameters (i.e., step time, step length, stride length, stride time, swing time, stance time, single- and double-support time) and  $C_w$  in persons with MS. Based on results from previous work,<sup>6</sup> we hypothesized that metrics of spatiotemporal gait variability would be associated with  $C_w$  in persons with MS such that larger amounts of variability during gait would yield higher  $C_w$ .

## METHODS

### Participants

This cross-sectional study used secondary outcomes from a baseline testing session of a behavioral intervention for increasing physical activity in persons with MS.<sup>8</sup> Participants were contacted (a) by mail through a flyer that was sent to patients in North American Research Committee on Multiple Sclerosis registry or (b) by e-mail through a flyer that was sent to participants in a database from previous studies conducted in the laboratory for the past 5 yrs. There were 511 participants who initially expressed interest and who were

contacted via phone by the project coordinator. After explaining the study protocol, the project coordinator undertook screening for inclusion with 230 individuals who remained interested. The inclusion criteria involved (a) having a definite diagnosis of MS (i.e., physician's verification of MS diagnosis), (b) being relapse free for the past 30 days, (c) being able to walk with or without an assistive device (i.e., cane, crutch, or walker) for collection of oxygen  $C_w$  and gait outcomes, (d) between 18 and 64 yrs of age, (e) willing and able to travel to the laboratory to complete the walking assessments, (f) participating in less than 3 days per week of physical activity behavior, (g) having a low risk for contraindications of physical activity based on no more than a single "yes" response on the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire; and (h) able to provide a physician's approval for participation in the study. Of the 230 persons screened, 102 did not meet inclusion criteria, with the primary reasons being too physically active (53.7%) or unwilling to travel to our laboratory (21.7%); 39 additional persons did not provide physician's approval; and 3 cancelled the testing session because of scheduling conflicts. This resulted in a final analyzed usable data of 86 persons with MS who enrolled in the study.

## Measures

### Energetic $C_w$

A commercially available portable metabolic unit, K4b2 (Cosmed, Rome, Italy), was used to measure breath-by-breath oxygen consumption ( $VO_2$ ) during a standard 6-min walk test (6MW).<sup>9</sup> The 6MW was performed overground in a well-lit and safe hallway with two 180-degree turns marked by cones separated 75 feet apart. The  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  analyzers of the portable metabolic unit were calibrated using known concentrations of gases, and the flowmeter was calibrated using a 3-l syringe (Hans Rudolph, Kansas City, MO).  $VO_2$  (milliliter per kilogram per minute) was measured as 30-sec averages for 1 min both before the 6MW (i.e., resting  $VO_2$ ) and over the entire test. The total distance traveled (meter) was also measured using a measuring wheel (Stanley MW50, New Briton, CT). Net steady-state  $VO_2$  was calculated by (a) calculating steady-state  $VO_2$  as the average  $VO_2$  value across the final 3 mins of the 6MW (i.e., mins 4-6)<sup>10</sup> and (b) subtracting resting-state  $VO_2$  values for the 1 min before the 6MW. The  $C_w$  was then computed by dividing net steady-state  $VO_2$  (milliliter per kilogram per minute) by walking speed during the 6MW (meter per minute).<sup>1</sup> This resulted in  $C_w$  expressed as milliliter per kilogram per minute.

### Gait Variability

Gait variability was determined using a 16-foot electronic walkway (GAITRite, CIR Systems, Inc, Haverton, PA). Participants completed two trials of walking on the GAITRite mat at a self-selected pace for measuring spatiotemporal parameters. Variability of step length (centimeter), step time (second), stride length (centimeter), swing time (second), stance time (second), stride velocity (centimeter per second), and single- and double-support time (second) was expressed as the coefficient of variation (CV), which is calculated as follows:  $CV = \text{standard deviation}/\text{mean}$ . Coefficient of variation is operationalized as a relative measure of variability.<sup>11</sup> The recorded variables were averaged across both trials. A detailed description of each variable can be located in the technical reference manual available at <http://www.gaitrite.com>.

## Procedure

The protocol was approved by a university institutional review board, and all participants provided written informed consent before data collection. The protocol included a single session for collecting all data in a university laboratory setting. Data were collected either during the morning or in the afternoon, which best accommodated participants' availability. Of note, previous studies conducted with persons with MS have demonstrated no or very small effect of time of the day in gait parameters/variability.<sup>12,13</sup> All participants initially completed a questionnaire to provide clinical and sociodemographic information. Participants then underwent neurological examination by a neurostatus-certified researcher for the generation of an Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) score.<sup>14</sup> Participants completed two trials on the GAITRite, followed by 10 mins of seated rest. Participants were fitted with the K4b2 portable metabolic system during the seated rest. Once wearing the system and after ensuring normal, resting metabolic functioning, participants were given standardized instructions for undertaking the 6MW and then completed the test. Participants were

compensated US \$50 for completing the session. This study conforms to all STROBE guidelines and reports the required information accordingly (see Checklist, Supplemental Digital Content 1, <http://links.lww.com/PHM/A596>).

## Data Analysis

All analyses were carried out using SPSS Version 22 (SPSS Inc; IBM Corp, Armonk, NY) and significance level was set at a *P* value of less than 0.05. Kolmogorov Smirnov revealed that the variables included in this study did not present a normal distribution. Descriptive statistics are presented as median (interquartile range), minimum and maximum. We further decided to present the data as means (SD) to better compare our results with previous work. Missing data were replaced by a sample mean using the expectation-maximization approach, after the missing completely at random test revealed that the missing data occurred at random.<sup>15</sup> Bivariate Spearman's correlation was performed between metrics of gait variability, disability level (i.e., EDSS), and  $C_w$ . Values for correlation coefficients of 0.1, 0.3, and 0.5 were interpreted as small, moderate, and large, respectively. We further used liner regression analysis with stepwise entry to identify significant predictors of  $C_w$ , by including only variables significantly associated in the bivariate correlation analysis plus age and disease duration. Age and disease duration were included because of the large variance in the sample and because of its logical relationship with oxygen consumption capacity.

## RESULTS

### Demographic Characteristics

The sample included 86 persons with MS. The majority of the sample was female ( $n = 66/76.7\%$ ), with an average age of 49.2 (SD = 8.9) yrs, and average body mass index was 28.4 (SD = 7.89) kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Nearly 78% of the participants presented with relapsing-remitting MS, approximately 12 (SD = 8.1) yrs of disease, and moderate levels of disability (EDSS<sub>median</sub> = 3.5, IQR = 3.25).

### Energetic $C_w$ and Gait Variability

The average steady-state  $VO_2$  for the sample was 13.8 (SD = 3.8) mL[middle dot]kg<sup>-1</sup>[middle dot]min<sup>-1</sup> and the average  $VO_2$  resting state was 3.4 (SD = 1.07) mL[middle dot]kg<sup>-1</sup>[middle dot]min<sup>-1</sup>. The net steady-state  $VO_2$  was 10.3 (SD = 3.7) mL[middle dot]kg<sup>-1</sup>[middle dot]min<sup>-1</sup>. During the 6MW, participants traveled an average of 418.6 (SD = 154.1) meters. This resulted in participants performing the test at an average speed of 69.7 (SD = 25.6) m/min. Using the calculations described in the methods section, the net  $C_w$  was 0.16 (SD = 0.09) mL[middle dot]kg<sup>-1</sup>[middle dot]min<sup>-1</sup>. Descriptive data of the metrics of gait and gait variability are presented in [Table 1](#). Briefly, the mean step length was 58 (SD = 12.9) cm and step time of 0.73 (SD = 0.65) secs. On average, participants presented with a swing time of 0.46 (SD = 0.30) secs and stride velocity of 99.6 (SD = 31.7) cm/sec. These values are similar to those observed in other sample of ambulatory persons with MS.<sup>16</sup>

TABLE 1. Descriptive values of spatiotemporal metrics of gait and gait variability indexed by the coefficient of variability

Parameter	Mean (SD)	Median (IQR)	Minimum	Maximum
Means				
Step length, cm	58.1 (12.9)	58.2 (17.5)	25.1	89.2
Step time, sec	0.73 (0.65)	0.58 (0.11)	0.48	5.86
Stride length, cm	116.9 (25.5)	118.1 (33.4)	50.8	178.8
Swing time, sec	0.46 (0.30)	0.40 (0.05)	0.30	2.94
Stance time, sec	0.87 (0.51)	0.75 (0.19)	0.61	4.69
Stride velocity, cm/sec	99.6 (31.7)	104.0 (43.8)	9.86	160.04
Single-support time, sec	0.46 (0.30)	0.40 (0.05)	0.30	2.94
Double-support time, sec	0.57 (0.92)	0.36 (0.15)	0.22	7.48

Coefficient of variability*				
Step length	0.09 (0.28)	0.04 (0.05)	0.01	2.66
Step time	0.10 (0.29)	0.04 (0.04)	0.01	2.05
Stride length	0.05 (0.05)	0.03 (0.03)	0.01	0.44
Swing time	0.11 (0.30)	0.04 (0.03)	0.01	2.11
Stance time	0.05 (0.06)	0.04 (0.03)	0.01	0.62
Stride velocity	0.07 (0.11)	0.05 (0.05)	0.01	0.99
Single-support time	0.11 (0.30)	0.04 (0.03)	0.01	2.11
Double-support time	0.13 (0.31)	0.08 (0.06)	0.02	2.23

\*Coefficient of variability (CV = standard deviation/mean).

Parameter	Mean (SD)	Median (IQR)	Minimum	Maximum
<b>Means</b>				
Step length, cm	58.1 (12.9)	58.2 (17.5)	25.1	89.2
Step time, sec	0.73 (0.65)	0.58 (0.11)	0.48	5.86
Stride length, cm	116.9 (25.5)	118.1 (33.4)	50.8	178.8
Swing time, sec	0.46 (0.30)	0.40 (0.05)	0.30	2.94
Stance time, sec	0.87 (0.51)	0.75 (0.19)	0.61	4.69
Stride velocity, cm/sec	99.6 (31.7)	104.0 (43.8)	9.86	160.04
Single-support time, sec	0.46 (0.30)	0.40 (0.05)	0.30	2.94
Double-support time, sec	0.57 (0.92)	0.36 (0.15)	0.22	7.48
<b>Coefficient of variability*</b>				
Step length	0.09 (0.28)	0.04 (0.05)	0.01	2.66
Step time	0.10 (0.29)	0.04 (0.04)	0.01	2.05
Stride length	0.05 (0.05)	0.03 (0.03)	0.01	0.44
Swing time	0.11 (0.30)	0.04 (0.03)	0.01	2.11
Stance time	0.05 (0.06)	0.04 (0.03)	0.01	0.62
Stride velocity	0.07 (0.11)	0.05 (0.05)	0.01	0.99
Single-support time	0.11 (0.30)	0.04 (0.03)	0.01	2.11
Double-support time	0.13 (0.31)	0.08 (0.06)	0.02	2.23

\*Coefficient of variability (CV = standard deviation/mean).

TABLE 2. Spearman rank-order correlations ( $\rho$ ) between metrics of variability (i.e., CV), disability, and energetic Cw in 86 persons with MS

	C <sub>w</sub>	
Metrics of Gait Variability	Correlation Coefficient	95% CI
Step length CV	0.25*	0.04–0.43
Step time CV	0.25*	0.04–0.43
Stride length CV	0.25*	0.04–0.43
Swing time CV	0.30*	0.09–0.48
Stance time CV	0.32*	0.11–0.49
Stride velocity CV	0.30*	0.09–0.48
Single support CV	0.30*	0.09–0.48
Double support CV	0.36*	0.16–0.53
EDSS (score)	0.29*	0.08–0.47

\*Statistical significance at P < 0.05.

**TABLE 2.** Spearman rank-order correlations ( $\rho$ ) between metrics of gait variability (i.e., CV), disability, and energetic  $C_w$  in 86 persons with MS

Metrics of Gait Variability	$C_w$	
	Correlation Coefficient	95% CI
Step length CV	0.25*	0.04–0.43
Step time CV	0.25*	0.04–0.43
Stride length CV	0.25*	0.04–0.43
Swing time CV	0.30*	0.09–0.48
Stance time CV	0.32*	0.11–0.49
Stride velocity CV	0.30*	0.09–0.48
Single support CV	0.30*	0.09–0.48
Double support CV	0.36*	0.16–0.53
EDSS (score)	0.29*	0.08–0.47

\*Statistical significance at  $P < 0.05$ .

**TABLE 3.** Significant predictors of the energetic  $C_w$  in persons with MS

Model	Variable	Unstandardized $B$	SE $B$	$\beta$
1	EDSS	0.17	0.005	0.387
2	EDSS	0.013	0.005	0.291
	Stance time CV	0.356	0.138	0.269
3	EDSS	0.008	0.004	0.186
	Stance time CV	1.911	0.349	1.446
	Step length CV	-0.380	0.080	-1.216

Regression model was adjusted by age and years of disease.

Model 1:  $R^2 = 0.15$ ;  $\Delta R^2 = 0.15$ ;  $P < 0.001$ .

Model 2:  $R^2 = 0.23$ ;  $\Delta R^2 = 0.06$ ;  $P = 0.011$ .

Model 3:  $R^2 = 0.38$ ;  $\Delta R^2 = 0.17$ ;  $P < 0.001$ .

**TABLE 3.** Significant predictors of the energetic  $C_w$  in persons with MS

Model	Variable	Unstandardized $B$	SE $B$	$\beta$
1	EDSS	0.17	0.005	0.387
2	EDSS	0.013	0.005	0.291
	Stance time CV	0.356	0.138	0.269
3	EDSS	0.008	0.004	0.186
	Stance time CV	1.911	0.349	1.446
	Step length CV	-0.380	0.080	-1.216

Regression model was adjusted by age and years of disease.

Model 1:  $R^2 = 0.15$ ;  $\Delta R^2 = 0.15$ ;  $P < 0.001$ .

Model 2:  $R^2 = 0.23$ ;  $\Delta R^2 = 0.06$ ;  $P = 0.011$ .

Model 3:  $R^2 = 0.38$ ;  $\Delta R^2 = 0.17$ ;  $P < 0.001$ .

Bivariate correlations between metrics of gait variability, disability, and  $C_w$  are given in [Table 2](#). Briefly, Spearman's rank-order  $\rho$  correlations indicated that relative variability (i.e., CV) in step length, step time, stride length, swing-time, stance time, stride time, single- and double-support, as well as EDSS scores were significantly and weakly-to-moderately associated with  $C_w$  (i.e.,  $[\rho] = 0.25-0.36$ ) ([Table 2](#)).

The correlations conducted for the  $C_w$  and spatiotemporal metrics of gait variability were followed by linear regression analysis with stepwise entry to determine whether significant gait variability metrics correlates of  $C_w$  observed in [Table 2](#) predicted  $C_w$ . Because age and disease duration had high variability in the sample, we opted to include these variables into the analysis as controlling variables. Findings from the stepwise linear regression analysis are given in [Table 3](#). Briefly, disability (i.e., EDSS), stance time variability, and step length variability entered into the equation and explained significant variance in  $C_w$ . This indicated that disability level and variability in stance time and step length emerged as independent predictors of  $C_w$  in persons with MS ([Table 3](#)).

## DISCUSSION

This study examined the associations between variability in metrics of spatiotemporal gait parameters (indexed by the coefficient of variability) and  $C_w$  in 86 persons with MS. All metrics of gait variability (i.e., step length, step time, stride length, swing time, stance time, stride velocity, and single- and double-support time) examined in the present study were positively associated with  $C_w$ , such that those presenting with higher variability had greater  $C_w$ . In addition, EDSS scores (i.e., disability level) were positively associated with  $C_w$ , such that those with greater disability severity (i.e., higher EDSS scores) presented with greater  $C_w$ . Further analysis revealed that disability severity and variability in stance time and step length emerged as significant predictors of  $C_w$ , explaining approximately 36% of the variance in  $C_w$ . This suggests that disability severity and increased variability in stance time and step length significantly contribute toward the energetic penalty of overground walking in persons with MS. Our results support previous research that has demonstrated the association between disability severity and  $C_w$  involving persons with MS.<sup>10</sup> We are unaware of previous work examining gait variability and  $C_w$  in persons with MS. However, our findings that different metrics of gait variability are associated with  $C_w$  corroborates the results of a previous study in different populations. Of note, one study conducted in ambulatory persons with stroke observed that reduction in energetic cost of overground walking with support was accompanied by changes in temporal gait parameters such as decrease in stride time variability.<sup>17</sup> Researchers have further investigated the extent to which gait characteristics explain differences in metabolic (i.e.,  $O_2$ )  $C_w$  in adults with Down syndrome. The authors observed that step length, step width, and step time variability uniquely explain about 22% of the variance in the  $C_w$  in this population, with step length variability presenting with the greatest unique contribution to the variance. According to the authors, 75% of the excess  $C_w$  in adults with Down syndrome is due to underlying factors that increase center of mass mediolateral motion and the variability in their center of mass anteroposterior velocity, step length, and step width.<sup>18</sup> Investigators have further observed that increased step variability was associated with significantly higher energy expenditure during treadmill walking in a small sample ( $n = 11$ ) of healthy young adults.<sup>6</sup> Similarly, investigators have observed that healthy adults walking with asymmetric steps time require substantial metabolic cost above those imposed by nonpreferred step times.<sup>19</sup> Taken together, the findings of the present study and previous works highlight the potential key role of gait variability in the  $C_w$  not only in persons with MS but also in different clinical populations.

There is evidence suggesting that stance phase dominates the  $C_w$ . For instance, researchers investigating the  $C_w$  using a modeling approach that allowed them to measure instantaneous energy consumption rates in individual muscles over the full gait cycle observed that the stance phase represented 71% of the total muscle energy consumption during preferred stride rate walking.<sup>20</sup> This is consistent with our findings such that stance time variability moderately correlated with  $C_w$  and was a significant independent predictor of  $C_w$  in our sample. It is possible persons with MS who have increased gait variability spend energy not only to drive the center of mass during walking but also to further on movements to correct for irregular foot placement, requiring an extra effort (i.e., energy demand) of stability control during walking.<sup>6</sup> This is supported by evidence demonstrating that persons with MS have higher energetic  $C_w$ .<sup>21</sup>

It is believed that persons with MS have increased gait variability compared with healthy controls during walking and that such increased variability occurs early on in the disease and apparently worsens with increasing disability.<sup>22</sup> Greater  $C_w$  during walking can have a significant impact on energy reserves of persons with MS, and this can have an impact on fatigue, a common symptom in persons with MS.<sup>23</sup> Gait variability has been further suggested to be a more sensitive fall predictor in persons with MS with low disability than a disability assessment (i.e., EDSS).<sup>24</sup> Collectively, this suggests gait variability as an important and critical aspect of disease to be considered in rehabilitation programs in this population. Interventions focusing on reducing gait variability may potentially benefit multiple aspects associated with the disease (e.g., fatigue) that have been associated with reduced quality of life in this population.<sup>25</sup> Research has demonstrated that exercise training is effective in improving metrics of gait (such as those investigated in the present study) in older adults of the general population. For instance, investigators conducted a 6-mo study using a music-based multitask exercise program requiring participants to walk in time with music or to walk while



simultaneously handling objects or instruments. Compared with the control group, the training program led to improvements in outcomes such as gait velocity, stride length, stance time, and mediolateral angular velocity.<sup>26</sup> Although not conducted in persons with MS, the previous provides evidence that improvements in gait variables such as those addressed in the present study (e.g., stride length, stance time) are possible. However, it is unclear whether improvements in those parameters translate to reduced variability during gait. Future studies should investigate whether an intervention focusing on improving aspects of gait translates into reduced gait variability in persons with MS and potential outcomes such as mobility.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine metrics of spatiotemporal gait variability as variables associated with  $C_w$  in persons with MS. Previous studies have reported important and significant associations between mean spatiotemporal gait parameters, fatigue, daily activity, and disability status with  $C_w$  in persons with MS in both treadmill and overground walking.<sup>4,10,27</sup> The novelty of the current study was the focus on metrics of spatiotemporal gait variability as potential correlates of  $C_w$  during overground walking in persons with MS to have a better understanding of whether individual gait variability affects  $C_w$ . This is important because there is some evidence of the clinical relevance of gait variability in persons with MS.<sup>11,24,28</sup>

This study provided preliminary evidence of the associations between metrics of gait variability and the energy cost of overground walking in persons with MS, and along with the relatively large sample size ( $n = 86$ ), these could be regarded as strengths of the study. This study has some limitations. Because of the cross-sectional nature of the study, causality cannot be established. Another limitation is the demographic and clinical composition of our sample, which was composed mostly by women with the relapsing-remitting course of the disease. Despite the sample being similar to other studies with MS,<sup>4,11,28</sup> it is important that future studies investigate similar purposes in a more diverse sample with involvement of a control group. Future studies should further examine the effects of rehabilitation interventions addressing gait variability on  $C_w$  and its potential relations with activity and perception of fatigue in persons with MS. Another point to be considered when interpreting the findings of this study lies in the fact that we did not have data on spasticity. There is evidence suggesting that spasticity is associated with walking performance and gait variability.<sup>29,30</sup> For instance, researchers examined the association between alterations of the motor system metrics and  $C_w$  in 33 persons with MS with an average age of 41 yrs. The authors observed that  $C_w$  was significantly associated to spasticity of the lower limbs, whereas in lower limb and truncal weakness, it did not contribute to higher  $C_w$ .<sup>29</sup> More recently, Phadke et al.<sup>30</sup> examined the effect of spasticity and cognitive dual task on gait variability and gait asymmetry in 43 adults with neurological disorders (7/43 with MS) with an average age of 52 (SD = 19) yrs. The authors observed that step length asymmetry was higher in those with high ankle spasticity compared with those with low ankle spasticity.<sup>30</sup>

We demonstrated that variability in step length, step time, stride time, swing time, stance time, single-support time, and double-support time positively correlated with  $C_w$  in the bivariate analysis. We further demonstrated that in the multivariate analysis, disability (i.e., EDSS scores) and variability in stance time and step length emerged as significant predictors of  $C_w$  in this sample. Such findings highlight the need for interventions targeting specific aspects of gait variability for reducing the energetic demands of walking in this population. Nonetheless, further studies addressing gait variability and  $C_w$  in persons with MS are needed to improve our understanding on this matter, which may translate to better recommendations for rehabilitation interventions in this population.

## REFERENCES

1. Waters RL, Mulroy S: The energy expenditure of normal and pathologic gait. *Gait Posture* 1999;9:207–31
2. Franceschini M, Rampello A, Agosti M, et al: Walking performance: correlation between energy cost of walking and walking participation. New statistical approach concerning outcome measurement. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e56669
3. Remelius JG, Jones SL, House JD, et al: Gait impairments in persons with multiple sclerosis across preferred and fixed walking speeds. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2012;93:1637–42

4. Motl RW, Sandroff BM, Suh Y, et al: Energy cost of walking and its association with gait parameters, daily activity, and fatigue in persons with mild multiple sclerosis. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2012;26:1015–21
5. Maruyama H, Nagasaki H: Temporal variability in the phase durations during treadmill walking. *Hum Mov Sci* 1992;11:335–48
6. O'Connor SM, Xu HZ, Kuo AD: Energetic cost of walking with increased step variability. *Gait Posture* 2012;36:102–7
7. Donelan JM, Shipman DW, Kram R, et al: Mechanical and metabolic requirements for active lateral stabilization in human walking. *J Biomech* 2004;37:827–35
8. Pilutti LA, Dlugonski D, Sandroff BM, et al: Randomized controlled trial of a behavioral intervention targeting symptoms and physical activity in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2014;20:594–601
9. Savci S, Inal-Ince D, Arikan H, et al: Six-minute walk distance as a measure of functional exercise capacity in multiple sclerosis. *Disabil Rehabil* 2005;27:1365–71
10. Sandroff BM, Klaren RE, Pilutti LA, et al: Oxygen cost of walking in persons with multiple sclerosis: disability matters, but why? *Mult Scler Int* 2014;20:162765
11. Socie MJ, Motl RW, Pula JH, et al: Gait variability and disability in multiple sclerosis. *Gait Posture* 2013;38:51–5
12. Morris ME, Cantwell C, Vowels L, et al: Changes in gait and fatigue from morning to afternoon in people with multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2002;72:361–5
13. Feys P, Bibby B, Romberg A, et al: Within-day variability on short and long walking tests in persons with multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Sci* 2014;338:183–7
14. Kurtzke JF: Rating neurologic impairment in multiple sclerosis: an expanded disability status scale (EDSS). *Neurology* 1983;33:1444–52
15. Tabachnick BG, Fidell LS: Using multivariate statistics. *Using Multivar Stat 5th ed* 2007;980:60–6
16. Feys P, Severijns D, Vantenderloo S, et al: Spatio-temporal gait parameters change differently according to speed instructions and walking history in MS patients with different ambulatory dysfunction. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2013;2:238–46. Available at: [http://www.msard-journal.com/article/S2211-0348\(13\)00007-2/pdf](http://www.msard-journal.com/article/S2211-0348(13)00007-2/pdf)
17. Ijmker T, Houdijk H, Lamoth CJ, et al: Effect of balance support on the energy cost of walking after stroke. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2013;94:2255–61
18. Agiovlasis S, McCubbin JA, Yun J, et al: Gait characteristics of adults with Down syndrome explain their greater metabolic rate during walking. *Gait Posture* 2015;41:180–4
19. Ellis RG, Howard KC, Kram R: The metabolic and mechanical costs of step time asymmetry in walking. *Proc Biol Sci* 2013;280:20122784
20. Umberger BR: Stance and swing phase costs in human walking. *J R Soc Interface* 2010;7:1329–40
21. Motl RW, Dlugonski D, Suh Y, et al: Multiple Sclerosis Walking Scale-12 and oxygen cost of walking. *Gait Posture* 2010;31:506–10
22. Sosnoff JJ, Weikert M, Dlugonski D, et al: Quantifying gait impairment in multiple sclerosis using GAITRite technology. *Gait Posture* 2011;34:145–7
23. Lerdal A, Celius EG, Krupp L, et al: A prospective study of patterns of fatigue in multiple sclerosis. *Eur J Neurol* 2007;14:1338–43
24. Allali G, Laidet M, Herrmann FR, et al: Gait variability in multiple sclerosis: a better falls predictor than EDSS in patients with low disability. *J Neural Transm (Vienna)* 2016;123:447–50
25. Göksel Karatepe A, Kaya T, Günaydn R, et al: Quality of life in patients with multiple sclerosis: the impact of depression, fatigue, and disability. *Int J Rehabil Res* 2011;34:290–8
26. Trombetti A, Hars M, Herrmann FR, et al: Effect of music-based multitask training on gait, balance, and fall risk in elderly people: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Intern Med* 2011;171:525–33

27. Motl RW, Suh Y, Dlugonski D, et al: Oxygen cost of treadmill and over-ground walking in mildly disabled persons with multiple sclerosis. *Neurol Sci* 2011;32:255–62
28. Moon Y, Wajda DA, Motl RW, et al: Stride-time variability and fall risk in persons with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler Int* 2015;2015:964790
29. Olgiati R, Burgunder JM, Mumenthaler M: Increased energy cost of walking in multiple sclerosis: effect of spasticity, ataxia, and weakness. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1988;69:846–9
30. Phadke CP, Kunju JK, Gouelle A, et al: Impact of spasticity and cognitive dual-task on gait variability and asymmetry in adults with neurological disorders. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2014;95:e86