

Marquette University

**e-Publications@Marquette**

---

Exercise Science Faculty Research and  
Publications

Exercise Science, Department of

---

10-2020

## **Exercise and Lifestyle Physical Activity Recommendations for People with Multiple Sclerosis Throughout the Disease Course**

Rosalind Kalb

Theodore R. Brown

Susan Coote

Kathleen Costello

Ulrik Dalgas

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://epublications.marquette.edu/exsci\\_fac](https://epublications.marquette.edu/exsci_fac)

---

---

**Authors**

Rosalind Kalb, Theodore R. Brown, Susan Coote, Kathleen Costello, Ulrik Dalgas, Eric Garmon, Barbara Geisser, June Halper, Herb Karpatkin, Jennifer Keller, Alexander V. Ng, Lara A. Pilutti, Amanda Rohring, Paul Van Asch, Kathleen Zachowski, and Robert W. Motl

---

# Exercise and lifestyle physical activity recommendations for people with multiple sclerosis throughout the disease course

Rosalind Kalb, Theodore R Brown, Susan Coote, Kathleen Costello, Ulrik Dalgas , Eric Garmon, Barbara Giesser, June Halper, Herb Karpatkin, Jennifer Keller, Alexander V Ng, Lara A Pilutti, Amanda Rohrig, Paul Van Asch, Kathleen Zackowski and Robert W Motl 

## Abstract

**Objectives:** To provide clinicians who treat multiple sclerosis (MS) patients with evidence-based or expert opinion-based recommendations for promoting exercise and lifestyle physical activity across disability levels.

**Methods:** The National MS Society (“Society”) convened clinical and research experts in the fields of MS, exercise, rehabilitation, and physical activity to (1) reach consensus on optimal exercise and lifestyle physical activity recommendations for individuals with MS at disability levels 0–9.0 on the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) and (2) identify and address barriers/facilitators for participation.

**Recommendations:** Based on current evidence and expert opinion, the Society makes the following recommendations, endorsed by the Consortium of Multiple Sclerosis Centers:

- Healthcare providers should endorse and promote the benefits/safety of exercise and lifestyle physical activity for every person with MS.
- Early evaluation by a physical or occupational therapist or exercise or sport scientist, experienced in MS (hereafter referred to as “specialists”), is recommended to establish an individualized exercise and/or lifestyle physical activity plan.
- Taking into account comorbidities and symptom fluctuations, healthcare providers should encourage  $\geq 150$  min/week of exercise and/or  $\geq 150$  min/week of lifestyle physical activity.
- Progress toward these targets should be gradual, based on the person’s abilities, preferences, and safety.
- If disability increases and exercise/physical activity becomes more challenging, referrals to specialists are essential to ensure safe and appropriate prescriptions.
- When physical mobility is very limited, exercise should be facilitated by a trained assistant.

**Keywords:** Multiple sclerosis, exercise, physical activity, lifestyle physical activity, recommendations, wellness, disability

Date received: 18 November 2019; revised: 29 February 2020; accepted: 4 March 2020.

## Introduction

Wellness is a priority for people with multiple sclerosis (MS)<sup>1</sup> and can be achieved through health behaviors including physical activity and exercise.<sup>2–4</sup>

*Physical activity*, including *lifestyle physical activity* and *exercise*, comprises any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscle contraction that results in a substantial increase in energy expenditure over resting levels.<sup>5</sup>

- *Lifestyle physical activity* is the daily accumulation of at least 30 minutes of activities, including all planned or unplanned leisure, occupational, or household activities that are at least moderate to vigorous in their intensity.<sup>6</sup>
- *Exercise* is a form of leisure-time physical activity that is usually performed repeatedly over an extended period of time (exercise training) with a specific external objective (e.g. improvement of fitness, physical performance, or health).<sup>5</sup>

Multiple Sclerosis Journal

2020, Vol. 26(12) 1459–1469

DOI: 10.1177/

1352458520915629

© The Author(s), 2020.



Article reuse guidelines:  
sagepub.com/journals-  
permissions

Correspondence to:

**K Zackowski**  
National Multiple Sclerosis  
Society, 733 Third Avenue,  
New York, NY 10017, USA.  
kathleen.zackowski@nmss.  
org

**Rosalind Kalb**  
**Kathleen Costello**  
**Eric Garmon**  
**Kathleen Zackowski**  
National Multiple Sclerosis  
Society, New York, NY,  
USA

**Theodore R Brown**  
EvergreenHealth, Kirkland,  
WA, USA

**Susan Coote**  
School of Allied Health and  
Health Research Institute,  
University of Limerick,  
Limerick, Ireland

**Ulrik Dalgas**  
Section of Sport Science,  
Department of Public Health,  
Aarhus University, Aarhus,  
Denmark

**Barbara Giesser**  
Pacific Neuroscience  
Institute, Santa Monica,  
CA, USA

**June Halper**  
Consortium of Multiple  
Sclerosis Centers and  
International Organization  
of MS Nurses, Hackensack,  
NJ, USA

**Herb Karpatkin**  
Program in Physical Therapy,  
Hunter College, New York,  
NY, USA

**Jennifer Keller**  
Motion Analysis Lab,  
Kennedy Krieger Institute,  
Baltimore, MD, USA

**Alexander V Ng**  
Exercise Science Program,  
Department of Physical  
Therapy, Marquette  
University, Milwaukee, WI,  
USA

**Lara A Pilutti**  
Interdisciplinary School of  
Health Sciences, University of  
Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

**Amanda Rohrig**  
Horizon Rehabilitation  
Centers, Omaha, NE, USA

**Paul Van Asch**  
Fit Up Neurological and  
Sport Physiotherapy,  
Antwerp, Belgium

**Robert W Motl**  
UAB/Lakeshore Research  
Collaborative, The University  
of Alabama at Birmingham,  
Birmingham, AL, USA

These activities are distinct from *rehabilitation*, which is defined as intermittent or ongoing use of interdisciplinary strategies to regain or maintain optimal physical function, promote functional independence, prevent complications, and improve overall quality of life.<sup>7</sup>

Meta-analyses and systematic reviews of randomized controlled trials have demonstrated that people with MS who engage in exercise and lifestyle physical activity experience benefits from immune cell through quality-of-life outcomes.<sup>8,9</sup> Furthermore, exercise and lifestyle physical activity are safe for people with MS.<sup>10</sup> While initial studies established exercise as an effective symptomatic treatment (tertiary prevention), more recent studies have evaluated the disease-modifying effects (secondary prevention) as well as the impact on the risk of developing MS (primary prevention)—explaining why exercise and physical activity have been suggested as “medicine in MS.”<sup>11</sup>

Unfortunately, MS patients are much less active than healthy controls.<sup>12,13</sup> One recent review by an international panel of experts highlighted the opportunity for neurologists, advanced practice clinicians, and primary care providers to promote exercise and physical activity in their patients,<sup>9</sup> and a recent study demonstrated that adherence to a physical activity program is higher when referral is made by a physician.<sup>14</sup> Yet, qualitative research indicates that many providers lack the expertise to do so.<sup>15</sup>

This paper offers clinicians specific exercise and lifestyle physical activity recommendations—evidence-based when possible, and expert opinion where published data are lacking—for their patients at all levels of disability. The recommendations are tailored by disability level using the Kurtzke Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS)—a method of measuring neurologic disability in MS (see Figure 1 in Supplemental Appendix 1).<sup>16</sup> Levels 0–9.0 (ranging from no disability to confined to bed) are considered in this paper.

Recommendations for the intensity of exercise/physical activity are based in part on an individual’s perceived exertion level (subjective evaluation of intensity, effort, strain, discomfort, and/or fatigue during exercise). See Table 1a in Supplemental Appendix 1 for use of Borg’s Rating of Perceived Exertion (RPE).<sup>17,18</sup>

### Methodology

The National MS Society convened international experts in the fields of MS, exercise, rehabilitation,

and physical activity (physicians, nurses, physical therapists, occupational therapists, exercise scientists, community health professionals) to (1) review the literature and reach consensus on optimal exercise and lifestyle physical activity recommendations for individuals with MS across major categories of disability on the EDSS and (2) identify and address barriers and facilitators of participation. The group used published exercise and physical activity guidelines<sup>19,20</sup> as the starting point, supplemented by additional high-quality studies and expert opinion, particularly at the higher disability levels where evidence has been lacking. Sub-teams were created for three EDSS ranges corresponding to MS with mild impairments (0–4.5), MS characterized by greater mobility impairment (5.0–6.5), and MS characterized by diminished ability to carry out activities of daily living (7.0–9.0)—which are consistent with ranges used in the literature<sup>21</sup> (see Figure 1 in Supplemental Appendix 1). Following a review of the recent literature, evidence-based and expert recommendations were created.

### Exercise and lifestyle physical activity recommendations throughout the disease course

To assist clinicians who are unfamiliar with the EDSS, Table 1 provides clinical descriptors for each disability range. Tables 2 and 3 provide exercise and lifestyle physical activity recommendations, respectively, as well as key messages for individuals in those disability ranges. The recommendations reflect the minimum exercise and lifestyle physical activity targets for people with MS; however, each individual’s starting point and rate of progress toward a target will differ. As disability increases and mobility becomes more challenging, so does the importance of personalized recommendations and guidance by a trained rehabilitation or exercise professional. For that reason, the EDSS level 7.0–9.0 has been sub-divided to allow for more specific recommendations for individuals with the highest levels of disability.

### Barriers and facilitators to exercise and lifestyle physical activity

Table 4 presents the types of barriers that may reduce a person’s ability to engage in exercise and lifestyle physical activity, as well as the facilitators that can increase a person’s ability to do so.<sup>15</sup>

### Discussion

Despite ample evidence demonstrating the benefits of exercise and lifestyle physical activity for people with

**Table 1.** Clinical descriptors for EDSS ranges 0–4.5, 5.0–6.5, and 7.0–9.0.

EDSS 0–4.5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Symptoms: Ranging from no symptoms to mild-to-moderate fatigue, unsteadiness/imbalance, sensory changes, mild walking impairment, and reduced visual acuity; bowel and/or bladder symptoms; altered mood state; and cognitive impairment</li> <li>• Neurologic impairments: Ranging from normal neurologic exam to mild-to-moderate impairments in proprioception, cerebellar function, vision, muscle strength/tone/endurance, bladder function, and cognition</li> <li>• Functional limitations: Ranging from no limitations to limited endurance, unsteadiness, and impaired information processing and memory</li> </ul>
EDSS 5.0–6.5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Symptoms: Progression of any or all symptoms mentioned above</li> <li>• Neurologic impairments: May include an increase in the impairments mentioned above, worsening gait (unilateral to bilateral spastic paresis, foot drop with compensatory hip hike, and circumduction with progression from unilateral to bilateral assistance and/or use of manual wheelchair), and upper extremity coordination</li> <li>• Functional limitations: Limited walking distance (20–200 m); falls; inability to safely complete dual motor/cognitive tasks; work/home activities require adaptations, compensatory strategies, and mobility aids (ranging from cane to wheeled walker for daily use to a manual wheelchair for distances); transfers on/off the floor and into/out of chairs increasingly challenging; and requires assistance from support partner for more complex daily activities</li> </ul>
EDSS 7.0–9.0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Symptoms: Continued worsening of all symptoms mentioned above</li> <li>• Neurologic impairments: Significant impairments in many or all systems, as mentioned above</li> <li>• Functional limitations: <i>Gait</i>—from 10 ft with a walker to restricted to bed and wheelchair; <i>Transfers</i>—from minimal assist to total assist; <i>Bed mobility</i>—from minimal assist to total assist; <i>Seated balance</i>—from independent to total assist; <i>Standing balance</i>—from independent with bilateral support to unable to stand unaided</li> </ul>
EDSS: Expanded Disability Status Scale.

MS, MS patients continue to be substantially less active than their counterparts in the general population. Many people with MS doubt their ability to be physically active. Fatigue, mobility impairment, depression, fears about safety, reluctance to engage in activities they cannot do as easily or well as they did them before, and lack of access to appropriate venues are just a few possible reasons for their inactivity. Neurologists, advance practice clinicians, and other healthcare providers can be powerful advocates for exercise and physical activity, emphasizing the benefits for disease and symptom management, overall health, and quality of life, and assuring their patients that it will not worsen their MS. Healthcare providers are encouraged to<sup>63</sup>

- Ask routinely about a patient's exercise and physical activity habits
- Offer timely information about how and why to be physically active (benefits and expected outcomes) as well as guidance about exercise equipment, accessible exercise facilities, and transportation
- Suggest strategies to increase self-efficacy, accountability, planning and goal-setting, and self-monitoring, to help the person sustain her or his exercise and lifestyle physical activities.

Experts in the field are urged to collaborate with the National MS Society and other advocacy organizations to create, evaluate, and disseminate the materials needed by healthcare professionals to fulfill this role.

Every patient can benefit from guidance that is tailored to her or his needs, abilities, and preferences. To that end, recommendations for exercise and physical activity should include a range of options that take into account individual differences at every level of disability. While individuals with mild disability may continue to be as physically active as they always have, they may benefit from training by specialists in fatigue and energy management, and in ways to adapt their favorite activities to meet their needs. As the disease progresses and engaging in exercise and physical activity becomes more challenging, referrals to specialists are essential for ensuring that patients' exercise and physical activity strategies are individualized to best meet their needs. For these professionals to offer optimal interventions, the existing gaps in our knowledge must be filled by additional research—particularly at higher levels of disability. In the meantime, the expert recommendations in this paper complement the

**Table 2.** Exercise recommendations and key messages for EDSS 0–9.0.

<p>EDSS 0–4.5 (mild impairments)</p> <p>Key messages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Exercise is beneficial even if a person must do it differently than in the past</li> <li>• Referrals to exercise specialists/programs for individuals with chronic conditions can facilitate participation</li> <li>• Exercise recommendations should be tailored to address a person's needs/capacity, as well as personal preferences</li> <li>• Supervised training generally provides better results than non-supervised training</li> <li>• Exercise may temporarily worsen symptoms in patients who are heat-sensitive</li> </ul> <p>Recommended exercise strategies (existing guidelines)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Aerobic</i>: 2–3x/week; 10–30minutes at a moderate exercise intensity (40%–60% of maximum HR<sup>2</sup> or aerobic capacity), 11–13 RPE (on a 20-point RPE),<sup>19,20,22</sup> modalities might include arm, leg, or combined cycle ergometry; treadmill or overground walking, rowing, running, or jogging;<sup>23</sup> aquatic activities or upright stepping             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Advanced aerobic strategies:                 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ 5x/week, up to 40minutes, 70% of peak aerobic capacity or 80% of maximum HR<sup>2</sup>; RPE approaching 15 out of RPE 20 (or 5 out of RPE 10);<sup>19</sup> modalities may include running, road cycling, and pole walking</li> <li>■ HIIT: 1x/week, five 30–90-second intervals at 90%–100% maximum HR, with equivalent rest, to replace a continuous bout of exercise; modalities similar to aerobic;<sup>24–26</sup></li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• <i>Resistance</i>: 2–3x/week, 1–3 sets for each exercise, 8–15 repetitions/set, 5–10 exercises;<sup>19</sup> modalities might include weight machines, free weights, resistance bands, or body weight exercises</li> <li>• <i>Flexibility</i>: daily, 2–3 sets of each stretch, hold 30–60sec/stretch; modalities might include yoga and stretching exercises<sup>27</sup></li> <li>• <i>Neuromotor</i>: 3–6x/week, 20–60minutes, interventions individualized for intensity and duration, targeting fall prevention,<sup>28</sup> postural stability, coordination, and agility at various levels of challenge (seated, standing, walking, upper limb); modalities might include Pilates,<sup>29</sup> dance<sup>30,31</sup> yoga,<sup>32</sup> Tai chi,<sup>33</sup> hippotherapy,<sup>34</sup> virtual reality,<sup>35</sup> and balance and motor control training<sup>36</sup></li> </ul>	<p>EDSS 5.0–6.5 (increasing mobility impairments)</p> <p>Key messages</p> <p>Same as above, plus</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Exercise is possible for people with increasing disability</li> <li>• When balance is affected, adaptations to the exercise or the environment can reduce the risk of falls</li> <li>• Referrals to specialists are more essential as disability increases, to assure safety, proper form, and appropriate intensity</li> </ul> <p>Expert Opinion (in the absence of published data):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adaptive exercise may be desirable for some (e.g. recumbent hand-cycle or three-wheel bike for cycling, pole-walking)</li> <li>• With the Borg 10-point scale, intensity would typically be between 2 and 6</li> <li>• <i>Aerobic</i>: heat sensitivity in some patients may require cooling interventions</li> <li>• <i>Resistance</i>: functional/multi-joint movements (sit-to-stand, stair climbing, reaching); neuromuscular electrical stimulation</li> <li>• <i>Neuromotor</i>: good clinical practice incorporates training in posture, coordination, and agility to prevent secondary impairments (i.e. rotator cuff impingement, Trendelenburg gait, low back pain, falls)</li> </ul> <p>Recommended exercise strategies (existing guidelines)</p> <p>Same as above</p>	<p>EDSS 7.0–7.5 (diminished ability to perform ADLs—non-ambulatory)</p> <p>Key messages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At this level of disability, all recommendations are expert opinion except where noted, due to lack of published evidence</li> <li>• Exercise is beneficial and achievable regardless of a person's level of disability</li> <li>• Exercise can be independent (e.g. breathing exercises, arm movements) or facilitated by trained assistants (e.g. stretching, range of motion, transfers)</li> <li>• Exercise at this level of disability needs to be guided by a specialist, but may be carried out by trained family or caregivers</li> </ul> <p>Recommended exercise strategies, EDSS 7.0–7.5</p> <p>Up to 20min/day, 3–7 days/week (with each person working to her or his own maximum in order to make gains)—can be accumulated across several shorter sessions, with rest breaks between repetitions and gradual progression in small increments toward the goal:</p> <p>Breathing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Every second day, 3 sets, 10 repetitions/set; resistive breathing apparatus (e.g. spirometer)<sup>38</sup></li> </ul> <p>Flexibility</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1x/day, ≥30–60seconds, hold/stretch all affected upper and lower extremity joints—combining stretches when possible</li> </ul> <p>Upper extremities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Six 3-minute intervals at 70% target HR, active range of motion with resistance as able (e.g. arm cycling)<sup>39</sup></li> <li>• 3x/week, 3 sets, 10 repetitions/set or 10 sets, 3 repetitions/set, as able, with rests as needed; weights or resistance bands</li> </ul>
---	--	--

(Continued)

**Table 2.** (Continued)

EDSS 7.0–7.5 (diminished ability to perform ADLs—non-ambulatory)	<p>Lower extremities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Overground walking with walker as able (approximately 10 ft)</li> <li>• 3 sets, 10 repetitions/set of sit-to-stand, reducing assistance and support when possible</li> <li>• 3–5x/week, 30 minutes, power assist cycling<sup>40–42</sup></li> <li>• 3x/week, 30 minutes, standing<sup>43</sup></li> <li>• 2–5x/week, 30–60 minutes, body weight supported treadmill training<sup>44</sup></li> </ul> <p>Core</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2x/day, 4–5 repetitions of seated isometric abdominal muscle strengthening, holding each repetition 10–15 seconds</li> <li>• 3–5 min/day of moving or stationary seated balance, unsupported or supported</li> <li>• Every 1–2 hours, posture exercises (pull shoulder blades back/head up/straighten back), hold for 10–15 seconds</li> </ul> <p>Expert Opinion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At EDSS 7.0–7.5, consider rehabilitation and exercise strategies to remediate deficits in functional mobility: gait training, transfer training, and balance</li> <li>• Caregiver training, especially at higher EDSS scores, is essential</li> <li>• Consider the impact of immobility as well as disease progression on mobility status</li> <li>• Schedule rest breaks to allow for more exercises</li> <li>• Equipment needs are a major focus</li> </ul>
EDSS 8.0–8.5 (increasing difficulty performing ADLs—confined to wheelchair)	<p>Key messages</p> <p>Same as for EDSS 7.0–7.5 plus the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At EDSS 8.0–8.5, consider strategies that promote quality of life/fitness and reduce morbidity/mortality risks: endurance activities (e.g. arm cycling, lower extremity FES cycling) therapeutic standing, respiratory muscle training</li> </ul> <p>Recommended exercise strategies, EDSS 8.0–8.5</p> <p>Up to 10–15 min/day, 3–7 days/week with rests between repetitions</p> <p>Breathing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Same as 7.0–7.5<sup>38</sup></li> </ul> <p>Flexibility</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1x/day, ≥30–60 seconds, hold/stretch all affected upper and lower extremity joints, with assistance as needed</li> </ul> <p>Upper extremities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Six 3-minute intervals at a target HR (or 70% effort), active range of motion with resistance as able (e.g. arm cycling)<sup>39</sup></li> <li>• 3x/week, 3 sets of 10 repetitions/set or 10 sets of 3 repetitions/set; weights or resistance bands appropriate to ability level</li> </ul> <p>Lower extremities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2–3x/day, 1–2 minutes of standing with assistance</li> <li>• 3x/week; 30 minutes; standing frame<sup>43</sup></li> </ul> <p>Core</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2x/day, 3–5 repetitions of seated isometric abdominal muscle strengthening, holding each repetition 5–6 seconds</li> <li>• 1–2 min/day of moving or stationary seated balance, unsupported and supported</li> <li>• Every 1–2 hours, posture exercises (pull shoulder blades back/head up/straighten back), hold for 10–15 seconds</li> </ul> <p>Expert Opinion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Same as for EDSS 7.0–7.5</li> </ul>
EDSS 9.0 (inability to perform most ADLs—confined to bed or chair)	<p>Key messages</p> <p>Same as for EDSS 7.0–7.5 and 8.0–8.5</p> <p>Recommended exercise strategies, EDSS 9.0</p> <p>Breathing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Up to 10 min/day, 3–7 days/week as tolerated with rest as needed</li> <li>• Same as 7.0–7.5<sup>38</sup></li> </ul> <p>Flexibility</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Daily passive ROM of all joints with evidence of restriction</li> <li>• Active ROM as able</li> </ul> <p>FES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For ROM to maintain muscle mass/circulation</li> </ul>
	<p>EDSS: Expanded Disability Status Scale; HR: heart rate; RPE: Rating of Perceived Exertion; HIIT: high intensity interval training; ADLs: activities of daily living; ROM: range of motion; FES: functional electrical stimulation.</p> <p><sup>a</sup>220 – age = estimated maximum HR.<sup>37</sup></p>

**Table 3.** Lifestyle physical activity recommendations and key messages for EDSS 0–9.0.

<p><b>EDSS 0–4.5 (mild impairments)</b></p>	<p><b>Key messages</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lifestyle physical activity can be accumulated as part of work, household, and leisure, activities</li> <li>• Cooling strategies may be useful for those with heat intolerance</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommended lifestyle physical activity strategies</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Options are: selected rather than prescribed, planned or unplanned/spontaneous, and accumulated in one long bout or multiple, short bouts throughout the day<sup>6,45</sup></li> <li>• Physical activity is facilitated through behavior change strategies/techniques (e.g. self-monitoring) and environmental stimuli/prompts (e.g. alarms or calendar notes)<sup>46</sup></li> <li>• Physical activity levels can be tracked through self-report (journal) or devices (accelerometry)<sup>47,48</sup></li> <li>• Options include: 150 minutes per week or 30 minutes 5 days per week;<sup>6,45,49</sup> 7,500 steps per day (0.5 standard deviation above the expected for the MS population and a clinically meaningful change);<sup>50</sup> increasing daily steps by 800 per day (smallest MCID);<sup>51</sup> or increasing daily steps by 15% per day (smallest MCID); Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire health contribution scores of either 24+ or 14–23 units based on starting point of 14–23 or &lt;14 units, respectively<sup>52</sup></li> <li>• Participation options             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ In-person behavioral education/coaching in groups or individually<sup>53,54</sup></li> <li>○ Remote physical activity behavioral education/coaching in groups or individually<sup>50,55,56</sup> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Lifestyle physical activity is sustained after support interventions are no longer present<sup>50</sup></li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Active gaming<sup>57</sup></li> <li>○ Environmental stimuli/prompts (alarms/alerts)<sup>46</sup></li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b>Expert Opinion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence that most individuals with MS and in the general population do not meet recommended levels of physical activity has prompted a shift from exercise training for fitness toward lifestyle physical activity for health and wellness<sup>6,45</sup></li> <li>• Options include walking, gardening, road cycling, hiking with poles, individual and team sports, and dancing</li> <li>• Approaches for changing lifestyle physical activity can be delivered in person or through indirect channels (Internet, phone calls, or newsletters)<sup>58</sup></li> <li>• Motion sensors can help monitor activity and serve as motivation<sup>59</sup></li> </ul>
<p><b>EDSS 5.0–6.5 (increasing mobility impairments)</b></p>	<p><b>Key messages</b></p> <p>Same as above, plus</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Using the appropriate mobility aid can promote physical activity and safety</li> <li>• Adapted leisure activities can increase physical activity levels</li> <li>• Specialists can facilitate greater physical activity levels</li> </ul> <p><b>Expert Opinion/Clinical Considerations:</b></p> <p>Same as above, plus</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inconsistencies may exist when recording step count for people using mobility aids</li> <li>• Decline in the amount of physical activity often parallels the person's reluctance to use a more progressive mobility device</li> <li>• Adherence improves with enjoyable activities and ability to demonstrate progress toward goals</li> </ul>

(Continued)

**Table 3.** (Continued)

<p><b>EDSS 7.0–7.5 (diminished ability to perform ADLs—non-ambulatory)</b></p> <p>Key messages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At this level of disability, all recommendations are expert opinion except where noted, due to lack of published evidence</li> <li>• Daily physical activity is essential</li> <li>• Functional movement of any kind, including ADLs, counts as physical activity</li> <li>• Wheelchair sports/adapted physical activity programs may be appropriate and beneficial</li> <li>• Rehabilitation professionals can help persons integrate more physical activity into the day</li> </ul>	<p>Recommended lifestyle physical activity strategies</p> <p>150 minutes weekly, as tolerated</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Walking, as able</li> <li>• Manual wheelchair propulsion<sup>60,61</sup></li> <li>• Power-assist cycling</li> <li>• Swimming</li> <li>• Water therapy with skilled provider</li> <li>• Adaptive sports of all kinds</li> <li>• Seated dancing, yoga, boxing</li> <li>• Active weight shifting<sup>62</sup></li> <li>• Pressure relief (front/lateral press-ups)</li> </ul>
<p><b>EDSS 8.0–8.5 (increasing difficulty performing ADLs—confined to wheelchair)</b></p> <p>Key messages</p> <p>Same as above</p>	<p>Recommended lifestyle physical activity strategies</p> <p>150 minutes weekly, as tolerated</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Active participation in ADLs as able, with assistance when necessary</li> <li>• Water activity with skilled provider</li> <li>• Bed mobility with assistance when necessary</li> <li>• Pressure relief (front/lateral press-ups)</li> </ul>
<p><b>EDSS 9.0 (inability to perform most ADLs—confined to bed or chair)</b></p> <p>Key messages</p> <p>Same as above</p>	<p>Recommended lifestyle physical activity strategies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As much physical activity as possible</li> <li>• Bed mobility with assistance</li> <li>• ADLs with assistance (e.g. dental hygiene)</li> <li>• Standing in a pool or in a standing frame may be possible with skilled support</li> <li>• Passive pressure relief</li> </ul>
<p>EDSS: Expanded Disability Status Scale; MS: multiple sclerosis; MCID: minimal clinically important difference; ADLs: activities of daily living.</p>	

**Table 4.** Barriers and facilitators to exercise and lifestyle physical activity.

Barriers	Facilitators
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Physical environment</i>—rural versus urban environments, home environment, community facilities, parking/access, transportation, and temperature/climate</li> <li>• <i>Social environment</i>—limited support from providers/family, exclusion, dependence, social stress, attitudes of others, cultural factors, and socioeconomic factors</li> <li>• <i>Health condition</i>—fatigue, fitness level, symptom fluctuation, co-morbid health conditions, and medications</li> <li>• <i>Cognitive/behavioral</i>—fear/apprehension, poor self-management, frustration, low confidence, depression, impaired memory, planning and prioritizing, and focus</li> <li>• <i>Cost</i>—gym membership, clinician fees, transportation costs, equipment costs, and childcare fees</li> <li>• <i>Time</i>—perceived lack of time</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Physical environment</i>—accessible, disability friendly venue, appropriate temperature, and visual instructions</li> <li>• <i>Social environment</i>—role models/peer support, coaches/leaders, healthcare input, family support, assistance from others, and affordability</li> <li>• <i>Health condition</i>—appropriate goal for disability, rest for fatigue, management of co-morbid health conditions, and fatigue management awareness/approaches</li> <li>• <i>Cognitive/behavioral</i>—accomplishment, self-management, choice, self-monitoring, coping, perceived safety, diary, and commitment</li> <li>• <i>Cost</i>—programs, grants, and equipment from MS advocacy organizations</li> <li>• <i>Time</i>—improved time management and prioritization</li> </ul>

MS: multiple sclerosis.

published guidelines to enable clinicians to promote and guide exercise and physical activity in their more disabled patients.

#### Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Dr Rosalind Kalb received a consulting fee from the National Multiple Sclerosis Society for the preparation of this manuscript. Dr Susan Coote receives funding from Novartis Pharmaceuticals to develop an exercise program for MS patients. The remaining authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: No funding, other than the consulting fee from the National Multiple Sclerosis Society to Dr Kalb for preparation of this manuscript, was received for the research and/or publication of this paper.

#### ORCID iDs

Ulrik Dalgas  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4132-2789>  
Robert W Motl  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5894-2290>

#### Supplemental Material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

#### References

1. Dunn M, Bhargava P and Kalb R. Your patients with multiple sclerosis have set wellness as a high priority—And the National MS Society is responding. *US Neurol* 2015; 11(2): 80–86.
2. Motl RW, Mowry EM, Ehde DM, et al. Wellness and multiple sclerosis: The National MS Society establishes a Wellness Research Working Group and research priorities. *Mult Scler* 2018; 24(3): 262–267.
3. Petajan JH, Gappmaier E, White AT, et al. Impact of aerobic training on fitness and quality of life in multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 1996; 39(4): 432–441.
4. Petajan JH and White AT. Recommendations for physical activity in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Sports Med* 1999; 27(3): 179–191.
5. Bouchard C and Shephard R. Physical activity, fitness, and health: The model and key concepts. In: Bouchard C, Shephard RJ and Stephens T (eds) *Physical activity, fitness, and health: International proceedings and consensus statement*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics Publishers, 1994, pp. 77–88.
6. Dunn AL, Andersen RE and Jakicic JM. Lifestyle physical activity interventions. *Am J Prev Med* 1998; 15(4): 398–412.
7. Rehabilitation, <https://www.nationalmssociety.org/For-Professionals/Clinical-Care/Managing-MS/Rehabilitation> (Accessed 19 January 2020).
8. Motl RW and Pilutti LA. The benefits of exercise training in multiple sclerosis. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2012; 8(9): 487–497.
9. Motl RW, Sandroff BM, Kwakkel G, et al. Exercise in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Lancet Neurol* 2017; 16(10): 848–856.

10. Pilutti LA, Platta ME, Motl RW, et al. The safety of exercise training in multiple sclerosis: A systematic review. *J Neurol Sci* 2014; 343(1–2): 3–7.
11. Dalgas U, Langeskov-Christensen M, Stenager E, et al. Exercise as medicine in multiple sclerosis—time for a paradigm shift: Preventive, symptomatic, and disease-modifying aspects and perspectives. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep* 2019; 19: 88.
12. Kinnett-Hopkins D, Adamson B, Rougeau K, et al. People with MS are less physically active than healthy controls but as active as those with other chronic diseases: An updated meta-analysis. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2017; 13: 38–43.
13. Klaren RE, Hubbard EA, Motl RW, et al. Objectively measured physical activity is associated with brain volumetric measurements in multiple sclerosis. *Behav Neurol* 2015; 2015: 482536.
14. Canning KL and Hicks AL. Benefits of adhering to the Canadian physical activity guidelines for adults with multiple sclerosis beyond aerobic fitness and strength. *Int J MS Care* 2020; 22(1): 15–21.
15. Learmonth YC and Motl RW. Physical activity and exercise training in multiple sclerosis: A review and content analysis of qualitative research identifying perceived determinants and consequences. *Disabil Rehabil* 2016; 38(13): 1227–1242.
16. Kurtzke JF. Rating neurologic impairment in multiple sclerosis: An Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS). *Neurology* 1983; 33(11): 1444–1452.
17. Borg G. *Borg's perceived exertion and pain scales*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics Publishers, 1998.
18. Noble BJ and Robertson RJ. *Perceived exertion*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics, 1996.
19. Kim Y, Lai B, Mehta T, et al. Exercise training guidelines for multiple sclerosis, stroke, and Parkinson disease: Rapid review and synthesis. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2019; 98(7): 613–621.
20. Latimer-Cheung AE, Martin Ginis KA, Hicks AL, et al. Development of evidence-informed physical activity guidelines for adults with multiple sclerosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2013; 94(9): 1829–1836.
21. van Munster CEP and Uitdehaag BMJ. Outcome measures in clinical trials for multiple sclerosis. *CNS Drugs* 2017; 31(3): 217–236.
22. Cleland BT, Ingraham BA, Pitluck MC, et al. Reliability and validity of ratings of perceived exertion in persons with multiple sclerosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2016; 97(6): 974–982.
23. Feys P, Moumdjian L, Van Halewyck F, et al. Effects of an individual 12-week community-located “start-to-run” program on physical capacity, walking, fatigue, cognitive function, brain volumes, and structures in persons with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2019; 25(1): 92–103.
24. Hubbard EA, Motl RW and Fernhall B. Acute high-intensity interval exercise in multiple sclerosis with mobility disability. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2019; 51(5): 858–867.
25. Keytsman C, Hansen D, Wens I, et al. Impact of high-intensity concurrent training on cardiovascular risk factors in persons with multiple sclerosis—Pilot study. *Disabil Rehabil* 2019; 41(4): 430–435.
26. Campbell E, Coulter EH and Paul L. High intensity interval training for people with multiple sclerosis: A systematic review. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2018; 24: 55–63.
27. Pau M, Corona F, Coghe G, et al. Quantitative assessment of the effects of 6 months of adapted physical activity on gait in people with multiple sclerosis: A randomized controlled trial. *Disabil Rehabil* 2018; 40(2): 144–151.
28. Gunn H, Markevics S, Haas B, et al. Systematic review: The effectiveness of interventions to reduce falls and improve balance in adults with multiple sclerosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2015; 96(10): 1898–1912.
29. Sánchez-Lastra MA, Martínez-Aldao D, Molina AJ, et al. Pilates for people with multiple sclerosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2019; 28: 199–212.
30. Scheidler AM, Kinnett-Hopkins D, Learmonth YC, et al. Targeted ballet program mitigates ataxia and improves balance in females with mild-to-moderate multiple sclerosis. *PLoS ONE* 2018; 13(10): e0205382.
31. Mandelbaum R, Triche EW, Fasoli SE, et al. A Pilot Study: Examining the effects and tolerability of structured dance intervention for individuals with multiple sclerosis. *Disabil Rehabil* 2016; 38(3): 218–222.
32. Cramer H, Lauche R, Azizi H, et al. Yoga for multiple sclerosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* 2014; 9(11): e112414.
33. Charron S, McKay KA and Tremlett H. Physical activity and disability outcomes in multiple sclerosis: A systematic review (2011–2016). *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2018; 20: 169–177.
34. Gencheva N, Ivanova I and Stefanova D. Evaluation of hippotherapy in the course of multiple sclerosis treatment. *Act Phys Educ Sport* 2015; 5(2): 183–187.
35. Peruzzi A, Zarbo IR, Cereatti A, et al. An innovative training program based on virtual reality and treadmill: Effects on gait of persons with multiple sclerosis. *Disabil Rehabil* 2017; 39(15): 1557–1563.

36. Callesen J, Cattaneo D, Brincks J, et al. How do resistance training and balance and motor control training affect gait performance and fatigue impact in people with multiple sclerosis? A randomized controlled multi-center study. *Mult Scler* 2020; 26(11): 1420–1432.
37. Fox SM, Naughton JP and Haskell WL. Physical activity and the prevention of coronary heart disease. *Ann Clin Res* 1971; 3(6): 404–432.
38. Rietberg MB, Veerbeek JM, Gosselink R, et al. Respiratory muscle training for multiple sclerosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; 12: CD009424.
39. Skjerbæk AG, Næsby M, Lützen K, et al. Endurance training is feasible in severely disabled patients with progressive multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2014; 20(5): 627–630.
40. Barclay A, Paul L, MacFarlane N, et al. The effect of cycling using active-passive trainers on spasticity, cardiovascular fitness, function and quality of life in people with moderate to severe Multiple Sclerosis (MS): A feasibility study. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2019; 34: 128–134.
41. Backus D, Burdett B, Hawkins L, et al. Outcomes after functional electrical stimulation cycle training in individuals with multiple sclerosis who are nonambulatory. *Int J MS Care* 2017; 19(3): 113–121.
42. Ratchford JN, Shore W, Hammond ER, et al. A pilot study of functional electrical stimulation cycling in progressive multiple sclerosis. *NeuroRehabilitation* 2010; 27(2): 121–128.
43. Freeman J, Hendrie W, Jarrett L, et al. Assessment of a home-based standing frame programme in people with progressive multiple sclerosis (SUMS): A pragmatic, multi-centre, randomised, controlled trial and cost-effectiveness analysis. *Lancet Neurol* 2019; 18(8): 736–747.
44. Edwards T and Pilutti LA. The effect of exercise training in adults with multiple sclerosis with severe mobility disability: A systematic review and future research directions. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2017; 16: 31–39.
45. Motl RW. Lifestyle physical activity in persons with multiple sclerosis: The new kid on the MS block. *Mult Scler* 2014; 20(8): 1025–1029.
46. Motl RW, Pekmezi D and Wingo BC. Promotion of physical activity and exercise in multiple sclerosis: Importance of behavioral science and theory. *Mult Scler J Exp Transl Clin*. Epub ahead of print 9 July 2019. DOI: 10.1177/2055217318786745.
47. Sandroff BM, Riskin BJ, Agiovlasitis S, et al. Accelerometer cut-points derived during over-ground walking in persons with mild, moderate, and severe multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Sci* 2014; 340(1–2): 50–57.
48. Motl RW. Physical activity and its measurement and determinants in multiple sclerosis. *Minerva Med* 2008; 99(2): 157–165.
49. US Department of Health and Human Services. *Physical activity guidelines for Americans*. 2nd ed. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, 2018.
50. Dlugonski D, Motl RW, Mohr DC, et al. Internet-delivered behavioral intervention to increase physical activity in persons with multiple sclerosis: Sustainability and secondary outcomes. *Psychol Health Med* 2012; 17(6): 636–651.
51. Motl RW, Pilutti LA, Learmonth YC, et al. Clinical importance of steps taken per day among persons with multiple sclerosis. *PLoS ONE* 2013; 8(9): e73247.
52. Motl RW, Bollaert RE and Sandroff BM. Validation of the Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire classification coding system using accelerometry in multiple sclerosis. *Rehabil Psychol* 2018; 63(1): 77–82.
53. Coote S, Uszynski M, Herring MP, et al. Effect of exercising at minimum recommendations of the multiple sclerosis exercise guideline combined with structured education or attention control education—Secondary results of the step it up randomised controlled trial. *BMC Neurol* 2017; 17(1): 119.
54. McAuley E, Motl RW, Morris KS, et al. Enhancing physical activity adherence and well-being in multiple sclerosis: A randomised controlled trial. *Mult Scler* 2007; 13(5): 652–659.
55. Revenäs Å, Opava CH, Ahlén H, et al. Mobile internet service for self-management of physical activity in people with rheumatoid arthritis: Evaluation of a test version. *RMD Open* 2016; 2(1): e000214.
56. Casey B, Coote S, Hayes S, et al. Changing physical activity behavior in people with multiple sclerosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2018; 99(10): 2059–2075.
57. Thomas S, Fazakarley L, Thomas PW, et al. Mii-vitaliSe: A pilot randomised controlled trial of a home gaming system (Nintendo Wii) to increase activity levels, vitality and well-being in people with multiple sclerosis. *BMJ Open* 2017; 7(9): e016966.
58. Suh Y, Motl RW, Olsen C, et al. Pilot trial of a social cognitive theory-based physical activity intervention delivered by nonsupervised technology in persons with multiple sclerosis. *J Phys Act Health* 2015; 12(7): 924–930.

59. Sasaki JE, Sandroff B, Bamman M, et al. Motion sensors in multiple sclerosis: Narrative review and update of applications. *Expert Rev Med Devices* 2017; 14(11): 891–900.
60. Rice IM, Rice LA and Motl RW. Promoting physical activity through a manual wheelchair propulsion intervention in persons with multiple sclerosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2015; 96(10): 1850–1858.
61. Conger S and Bassett D. A compendium of energy costs of physical activities for individuals who use a manual wheelchair. *Adapt Phys Act Q* 2011; 28: 310–325.
62. Mortenson WB, Thompson SC, Wright AL, et al. A survey of Canadian occupational therapy practices to prevent pressure injuries among wheelchair users via weight shifting. *J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs* 2018; 45(3): 213–220.
63. Richardson EA, Fifolt M, Barstow EA, et al. The priorities of neurologists for exercise promotion in comprehensive multiple sclerosis care. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2019; 38: 101482.

Visit SAGE journals online  
[journals.sagepub.com/  
home/msj](https://journals.sagepub.com/home/msj)

 SAGE journals