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**Abstract**

Optimizing anterior implant outcome using patient’s extracted teeth.

Successful implant treatment in the anterior maxilla is predicated on providing a functional and esthetically acceptable outcome. As well, achieving a predictable ideal emergence profile and soft tissue contour is expected. Placing immediate provisional restorations utilizing the patient’s modified extracted teeth can facilitate initiate facial soft tissue contouring while precluding undue pressure on the surgical site during the early healing phase. This allows for a one stage surgical procedure, fixed provisionalization and ideal tissue contouring.

This clinical report presents the use of a patient’s modified extracted permanent teeth as bonded fixed provisional restorations placed immediately after implant placement and bone grafting. The gingival-lingual and cingulum tooth structure was recontoured to allow no contact with the implant or bone graft material. A combination of cement and screw-retained definitive restorations were fabricated with contours reflecting the tissue contours established by the interim restoration.

**Introduction**

Restoring maxillary anterior teeth with implants is challenging due to high esthetic expectations and the fact that the maxillary anterior ridge often presents with minimal facial bone thickness requiring hard and soft tissue grafting [1–3]. Patients presenting with a high smile line, thin biotype and limited mesiodistal space, further add to the challenge [4]. Therefore, providing esthetic anterior maxillary implant restorations may be more difficult to accomplish than achieving implant osseointegration.

Traditional anterior implant placement requires extraction of non-restorable teeth and grafting with a healing period of 3–4 months [5,6]. Following healing, surgical implant placement is performed and an additional healing time allowed for osseointegration. This protocol requires multiple surgical appointments and extended treatment time [5,6]. Immediate implant placement (IIP) protocol which allows placement of implants and bone grafting simultaneously, shortens treatment time, reduces the number of surgical procedures, and increases patient compliance [7–11].

Providing a provisional restoration during the healing phase of an IIP procedure is important for anterior implants for several reasons, patient acceptance being one of them [4,12,13]. The anterior implant site can be provisionalized using either a fixed, removable, or an implant supported prostheses [1]. Provisionalization using a removable partial denture has the potential of placing undesirable pressure on the healing site [1]. A fixed tooth supported provisional restoration addresses this issue but still does not provide for emergence profile and soft tissue maturation [1].

An immediate implant provisional restoration (IIPR) has significant benefits when compared to the traditional delayed protocol. These include establishing an ideal emergence profile with maximum tissue volume, preserving mid-facial gingiva and enhancing patient comfort and acceptability [10,12,14–17]. IIPR serves as a guide for designing an esthetic definitive restoration which facilitates adequate hygiene [12,18]. Therefore, IIP together with IIPR helps develop the desired esthetics for anterior implant restorations [12].
A number of published articles have shown that survival rates with IIPR are comparable to those achieved with traditional protocols [16,18-20]. However, Atieh et al. [21] determined that IIPR with IIP increases the risk for implant failure. Lateral forces and small diameter implants further negatively affect implant survival of immediately loaded implants [22]. Khzam et al. [23] suggested the possibility of unpredictable soft and hard tissue healing with IIPR. Some authors have suggested that in order to maximize the chance of success, IIPR should be performed along with IIP only when primary stability can be achieved [7,8]. Also, IIPR should not contact the opposing dentition in centric and eccentric occlusion [1,18,24]. When bone grafting is performed concurrently with IIP, IIPR is not indicated due to the risk of implant failure [21]. Instead, a two-stage protocol is to be followed to allow for uneventful healing of the grafted site without any pressure from the overlaying provisional restoration. Patients’ extracted teeth have been used as provisional restorations after implant placement without concurrent grafting [25]. However, utilizing a patient’s extracted teeth as provisional restorations to contour soft tissue in cases where bone grafting is performed concurrently with IIP has not been documented.

The purpose of this clinical report is to describe the technique and clinical steps necessary to provide immediate implant provisionalization (IIPR) after IIP and bone grafting. This technique precludes allowing pressure on the implant and grafted bone site while safely and predictably contouring the peri-implant soft tissue necessary for fabricating an ideal definitive restoration.

**Case Presentation**

A 20-year-old woman presented to the pre-doctoral clinic with a chief complaint of two mobile front teeth. Intra-oral examination revealed malformed permanent maxillary left and right lateral incisors with Class II mobility (Figure 1). Radiographic examination revealed short clinical roots of the maxillary lateral incisors (Figure 2 and 3). The lateral incisors were diagnosed to have a poor prognosis based on the clinical and radiographic examination. Treatment options were discussed with the patient. These included: extraction of the maxillary lateral incisors and restoration with implant-supported crowns or, extraction and restoration with a conventional fixed dental prosthesis. The patient chose the treatment plan that included extraction of the maxillary lateral incisors and implant supported single crowns. A diagnostic wax-up was performed to obtain ideal contours of the maxillary lateral incisors. Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) was performed to evaluate the teeth and surrounding structures. CBCT imaging revealed inadequate alveolar ridge width at the proposed implant sites. It was decided to follow an immediate implant placement protocol with grafting to augment the alveolar ridge width. A surgical guide was fabricated based on the diagnostic wax-up to guide the implant placement in a proper 3-dimensional orientation.

On the day of the surgery, extraction of the malformed maxillary lateral incisors was performed and two endosseous implants (3.3 × 12 mm NC SL Active, Straumann USA) were placed using the surgical guide. The implants were torque to 35Ncm and healing abutments were placed (NC Healing abutment, 3.6 × 3.5 mm Straumann USA). Concurrent allogeneous bone grafting was performed to achieve adequate ridge anatomy and bone thickness. Post-surgery, the patient’s extracted teeth were used to fabricate bonded restorations...
to restore the missing lateral incisors. Modifications to the patient's teeth were made. The roots of the extracted teeth were sectioned and the palatal and cingulum surfaces of the crowns were removed. The remaining intact cervicofacial facades were shaped to mimic ovate gingival contours to aid in facial soft tissue contouring (Figure 4). The distal surfaces of the left and right central incisors were then etched with 32% phosphoric acid (Unietch, Bisco Inc.) and primer and adhesive were applied (Optibond FL, Kerr.) The contoured lateral incisors were bonded to the central incisors with flowable resin (Synergy D6 Flow, Coltene) (Figure 5 and 6). The bonded extracted lateral incisors did not apply pressure to the newly placed implants or grafted sites. The bonded restorations were taken out of occlusion and the teeth remained bonded throughout the healing period without complications. This technique allowed early facial soft tissue contouring and uneventful healing of the implants and graft sites.

Eight weeks post-surgery the bonded provisional restorations and healing abutments were removed and a fixture level impression was made with open tray impression copings (NC Impression post, Straumann) and poly vinyl siloxane impression material (Aquasil Ultra LV and monophase, Dentsply Caulk). The tray was removed after the impression was set and the healing abutments were replaced and the extracted lateral incisor interim restorations were re-bonded. The implant-level impression was poured in Type 5 dental stone (Jade Stone, Whipmix Corp) to obtain a working cast. Screw retained provisional restorations were fabricated in acrylic resin (SNAP, Parkell) using a pull down matrix of a cast of the diagnostic wax-up and temporary abutments (NC Temporary Abutment, Straumann) screwed to place in the working model. An ideal emergence profile was developed in the provisional screw-retained restorations which were placed in the patient to finalize the surrounding soft tissue healing (Figure 7). The provisional restorations were torque to 15 Ncm and the screw channels filled with cotton and Teflon tape and sealed with flowable resin. Centric and lateral occlusal contacts were eliminated to minimize non-axial loading. Oral hygiene instructions were given to the patient.

Two weeks later the screw- retained provisional restorations were removed from the right and left lateral incisors to evaluate soft tissue healing. The position of the free gingival margin was assessed in relation to the desired definitive restorations. Measurements were made from the implant to the free gingival margin to evaluate the amount of soft tissue contouring that would be possible without violating the biologic width. A soft tissue laser (AMD Picasso Lite Diode Laser, DENTSPLY) was used to contour the facial gingiva to correct the discrepancies between central and lateral incisors while maintaining 3mm of soft tissue height around the implants. The screw-retained provisional restorations were relined with flowable resin and screwed to place, torque to 15Ncm and the screw channels ressealed.

After three weeks, stability of the soft tissue was determined (Figure 8) and the fabrication of the definitive restorations was initiated. An open-tray impression was made with custom impression copings (Figure 9) to capture the created emergence profile [13]. The impression was poured in Type 5 dental stone to obtain a new
working cast. Custom titanium abutments (NC Variobase abutment, Straumann) and all-ceramic crowns (Lava, 3M ESPE) were fabricated. The crowns were luted to the custom titanium abutments extra orally (Figure 10). The screw-retained abutment-crown unit was then placed intra orally.

Discussion

IIP along with bone grafting is often performed as a 2-stage procedure [21]. The implants are uncovered in a second surgical procedure after osseointegration. Healing abutments are placed on the implants before soft tissue contouring is initiated [21]. The present technique describes a protocol for immediate provisionalization using a bonded fixed restoration fabricated from the patient’s modified extracted teeth, placed immediately after implant placement and grafting. This enables a 1-stage procedure allowing early contouring of the peri-implant soft tissues without undue stress on the grafted and implanted sites. This procedure provided the natural cervico-facial contours of the extracted teeth for development of ideal emergence profiles. Thus, the early initiation of soft tissue contouring led to a predictable and stable esthetic outcome even when implant placement and grafting were performed concurrently (Figure 13 and 14). It reduced the number of surgical procedures and the treatment time required to deliver definitive restorations.

An impression for the definitive restorations was made after finalizing the soft tissue contours. Proper design of the definitive restoration was crucial for a positive long-term prognosis. Definitive restorations were fabricated using a customized titanium one-piece abutment (NC Variobase abutment, Straumann) that captured the molded contours of the soft tissue surrounding the implant. In addition, it allowed the definitive restorations to be cleansable and retrievable.

Conclusion

This clinical report presented the use of the patient’s modified permanent extracted teeth as immediate provisional restorations during the initial healing phase immediately following the single stage procedure of implant placement and bone grafting. The
cervical contours of the extracted teeth were used to influence the initial soft tissue remodeling and healing. As well, it described the clinical procedures involved in fabricating the definitive restorations reproducing similar ideal soft tissue profiles. A combination of cement and screw-retained definitive restorations was described.
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