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This study examined the effects of risk and protective factors on resilience in 60 women family members of adults with serious mental illness. Both the risk factors constituting caregiver burden (strain, stigma, client dependence, and family disruption) and protective factors, including eight positive cognitions were found to predict two indicators of resilience: resourcefulness and sense of coherence. The effects of caregiver burden on resourcefulness and sense of coherence were mediated...
by positive cognitions, lending support to resilience theory and suggesting the need to develop interventions to encourage positive thinking among women caregivers of adults with mental illness.

IN THE UNITED States, more than one in four adults are diagnosed as having mental illness each year (Kessler, Chiu, Demler, & Walters, 2005). In the most recent U.S. Census, nearly 60 million adults were reported to have a mental disorder (U.S. Census Bureau, 2008). Six percent of these adults had a serious mental illness, one which is severe and disabling (Kessler et al., 2005). The following conditions are classified as serious: major depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, panic disorder, and other mental disorders that, if left untreated, could lead to severe functional impairment (Bye & Partridge, 2004).

Among the serious mental disorders, anxiety or mood disorders are most common. About 40 million U.S. adults are diagnosed with an anxiety disorder each year, and 6 million of them have panic disorder (Kessler et al., 2005). Mood disorders, which include major depressive disorder and bipolar disorder, affect approximately 21 million adults, or nearly 10% of the adult population (Kessler et al., 2005). Major depression affects almost 15 million of U.S. adults or nearly 7% of the adult population, and bipolar disorder affects almost 6 million U.S. adults or 3% of the adult population (Kessler et al., 2005). Fewer adults are diagnosed as having schizophrenia—approximately 2.4 million or about 1% of the adult population (Kessler et al., 2005). However, some researchers believe that this prevalence estimate may be low (Wu, Shi, Birnbaum, Hudson, & Kessler, 2006).

In the past, persons with serious mental illnesses were commonly institutionalized, but over time, with the deinstitutionalization movement and advances in the development of medications, more mentally ill adults have moved into the community. Some adults with mental illness have been successful in living independently, but a percentage of them remain in the household with family members, who need to help them to manage their daily activities. Even if the family member with mental illness is not living at home, family members are likely to be involved in their ongoing care and support (Lively, Friedrich, & Rubenstein, 2004).

Therefore, by choice or by necessity, families have taken on the responsibility of caring for their family member with mental illness (Kohn-Wood & Wilson, 2005, Wynaden et al., 2006). However, caring for individuals with severe mental illness in the community carries a heavy burden for caregivers—more than the burden of caring for other individuals with disabilities, such as mental retardation (Rudnick, 2004). This is especially true for close family caregivers, who experience a poorer sense of well-being that in turn affects the well-being of the person with mental illness (Jungbauer & Angermeyer, 2002, Rudnick, 2004), creating a vicious circle and more and more tension and strain for family caregivers.

Studies have shown that much of the burden and stress experienced by family caregivers of persons with mentally illness is associated with the stigma associated with mental illness, which leads to social isolation of families, financial difficulties, occupational restrictions, frustration, anxiety, low self-esteem, helplessness, reduction in leisure activities, negative effects on social relationships, experiences of discrimination and refusal, and worry about the future (Muhlbaier, 2002, Rose et al., 2006, Tsang et al., 2003).
In addition, families may experience disruptions in their daily routines and activities, depending on how much care or support is needed by the adult family member with mental illness. Female relatives of the persons with mental illness report greater burden than their male counterparts, and their quality of life, particularly their emotional well-being, is worse than that of the general population (Fleischmann & Klupp, 2004). Biegel, Milligan, Putnam, and Song (1994) used the term *overall caregiver burden* to reflect the stress experienced by family caregivers of persons with mental illness, including feelings of stigma, strain, family disruption, and the dependency needs of the person with mental illness.

To date, most research on family members of the mentally ill has examined the experiences of family members of persons with schizophrenia (e.g., Saunders, 2003, Saunders & Byrne, 2002, Teschinsky, 2000, Wuerker, 2000). Only a few studies have examined the experiences of family caregivers of persons with anxiety disorders (Stengler-Wenzke, Trosbach, Dietrich, & Angermeyer, 2004) or major depression (Ahlstrom, Skarsater, & Danielson, 2007) and bipolar disorder (Perlick et al., 2007). However, the health and quality of life of family members of adults with serious mental illness can be severely compromised by the psychological distress and burden they experience (Saunders, 2003, Walton-Moss et al., 2005).

Yet, some family caregivers of persons with mental illness have been found to become more resilient over time (Enns et al., 1999, Luthar & Brown, 2007, Richardson, 2002). Although there are a number of definitions for resilience in caregivers (Gillespie, Chaboyer, & Wallis, 2007), they all share the characteristic of overcoming adversity not only to survive the day-to-day burden associated with caring for a family member who is mentally ill but also to thrive, that is, to grow into a stronger, yet more flexible, and healthier person (Van Breda, 2001).

According to the resilience theory, an individual's resilience is determined by the interaction of risk and protective factors (Van Breda, 2001). The Surgeon General has noted that risk factors are characteristics or variables that make it more likely that an individual will be at-risk for experiencing a health problem (U.S Department of Health and Human Services, 1999). Risk factors involved in caring for a family member with a mental illness include caregiver strain, feelings of stigma, client dependency, and family disruption; together, these factors can seriously compromise the caregiver's resilience. The Surgeon General defines protective factors as characteristics or variables that can improve an individual's response to stress and result in a positive, adaptive outcome (U.S Department of Health and Human Services, 1999). A protective factor in family caregivers is the ability to think positively when faced with adversity. Both the risk and the protective factors can directly affect a family caregiver's resilience. However, the effects of the risk factors on the caregiver's resilience may be influenced (i.e., minimized) by strong protective factors.


Two additional indicators of resilience identified by Van Breda (2001) have been examined in studies of family caregivers of persons with mental illness: sense of coherence (Andren & Elmstahl, 2005, Andren & Elmstahl, 2008, Suresky et al., 2008) and resourcefulness (Rosswurm et al., 2002, Wang et al., 2007, Zauszniewski et al., 2008, Zauszniewski et al., 2005). Resourcefulness has been defined as a collection of cognitive–behavioral skills for managing adversity to continue to perform one's daily activities at an optimal level (Rosenbaum, 1990, Zauszniewski, 2006). Sense of coherence has been defined as a global orientation toward life that involves cognitive, behavioral, and motivational elements and is expressed in the belief that the world is comprehensible, manageable, and meaningful (Antonovsky, 1979). According to Van Breda (2001), resourcefulness and sense of coherence can be conceptualized as resilience indicators because they reflect qualities for overcoming adversity and becoming even stronger, more flexible, and healthier. Both resourcefulness and sense of coherence have been examined as indicators of resilience in recently published research (Surtees et al., 2006, Zautra et al., 2008). However, these qualities have not been examined in relation to the effects of risk and protective factors within the context of resilience theory. Therefore, in this study of women family members of adults with serious mental illness, we examined the effects of caregiver burden and positive cognitions on these two indicators of resilience.

We also examined whether the effects of caregiver burden (risk factor) on resourcefulness and sense of coherence were mediated or moderated by the effects of positive cognitions (protective factor). Both mediating and moderating variables serve as intervening variables that may alter the relationship between an independent (predictor) and dependent (outcome) variable (Bennett, 2000). Although mediating variables can explain how or why effects occur between independent and dependent variables, moderating variables can specify which effects will exert influence in the relationship between the independent and dependent variables (Kim, Kaye, & Wright, 2001). In this study, positive cognitions were examined as potential mediating or moderating variables in the relationship between caregiver burden and indicators of resilience.

The research questions were the following: (a) What are the effects of caregiver burden on resourcefulness and sense of coherence? (b) What are the effects of positive cognitions on resourcefulness and sense of coherence? (c) Are the effects of caregiver burden on resourcefulness influenced by positive cognitions? and (d) Are the effects of caregiver burden on sense of coherence influenced by positive cognitions?

Methods
Design and Sample
This secondary analysis used data from a larger study of resourcefulness and quality of life in women caregivers of adults with serious mental illness. The findings from that study have been published elsewhere (Suresky et al., 2008, Zauszniewski et al., 2008, Zauszniewski et al., in press).
The original study obtained data from 60 women caregivers recruited from northeast Ohio; there were equal numbers of Caucasians and African Americans. The study was approved by the university institutional review board. Study participants voluntarily contacted the research office in response to flyers that were posted throughout the community (see Zauszniewski et al., 2008). The women's ages ranged from 23 to 65 years ($M = 46.28; SD = 11.71$), and the ages of their family members with mental illness ranged from 18 to 65 ($M = 37.75; SD = 13.96$). The family members with mental illness were diagnosed as having schizophrenia (45%), bipolar disorder (45%), major depression (8%), and panic disorder (2%; Zauszniewski et al., 2008).

**Instruments**

Four measures from the original study were used for this secondary analysis: measures of caregiver burden, positive cognitions, sense of coherence, and resourcefulness.

**Caregiver burden** included variables that reflected the risk factors of caregiver strain, feelings of stigma, client dependence, and family disruption. It was measured by the 27-item Overall Caregiver Burden Scale (Biegel et al., 1994). Responses on this scale are given on a 5-point Likert Scale ranging from *never* (0) to *always* (4). Scores may range from 0 to 108, with higher scores indicating greater overall burden experienced by the caregiver (Biegel et al., 1994). An internal consistency estimate of 0.89 has been reported, and confirmatory factor analysis revealed four factors reflecting the four dimensions (Biegel et al., 1994).

**Positive cognitions** reflect optimistic thoughts that may function as protective factors. The 8-item Depressive Cognition Scale (DCS; Zauszniewski, 1995) was used to operationalize positive cognitions, as follows. The DCS uses a 6-point Likert scale from *strongly agree* (5) to *strongly disagree* (0) to indicate the degree to which a particular statement describes the individual's current thoughts. The items are phrased positively so that strong agreement with an item indicates the presence of a positive cognition. Scores may range from 0 to 40, and higher scores indicate more positive cognitions. The DCS has reported internal consistency estimates of 0.78 and 0.75 in elders and caregivers, respectively (Zauszniewski, 1995, Zauszniewski et al., 2002), and construct validity was established by significant correlations in the expected directions ($P < .001$) with measures of depression, resourcefulness, adaptive functioning, and life satisfaction ($r = 0.54, −0.37, −0.60, −0.57$, respectively; Zauszniewski, 1995). Confirmatory factor analysis indicated the presence of a single factor with all item loadings exceeding 0.30; 40% of the total variance was explained (Zauszniewski, 1997a).

**Sense of coherence**, an indicator of resilience, was measured by the 13-item Sense of Coherence Scale (SOC-13; Antonovskv, 1993), which uses a 7-point Likert scale from *seldom or never* (1) to *very often or always* (7) to measure the frequency of occurrence of an event or situation. Scores may range from 13 to 91, with higher scores, after reversing scores on five items phrased in the negative direction, indicating a greater sense of coherence. Alpha values in 127 studies using SOC-13 ranged from .70 to .92 (Eriksson & Lindstrom, 2005). Construct validity for this scale has been supported by significant correlations with theoretically related constructs in the expected direction: self-esteem ($r = 0.65, P < .001$), mastery ($r = 0.68, P < .001$), adequacy of attachment ($r = 0.37, P < .001$), and psychopathology ($r = −0.44, P < .001$; Bengtsson-Tops & Hansson, 2001).
Resourcefulness, a second resilience indicator, was measured by the 36-item Self-Control Schedule (SCS; Rosenbaum, 1990), a well-known measure of learned resourcefulness. Respondents use a 6-point Likert scale to indicate the degree to which they believe the item describes their behavior, ranging from very much like me (5) to not at all like me (0). Scores may range from 0 to 180; higher composite scores, after reverse scoring for 11 items negatively phrased, indicate greater resourcefulness. Internal consistency estimates ranging from 0.75 to 0.85 have been reported in studies with older adults (Zauszniewski, 1997b, Zauszniewski, 1997c). Construct validity has been demonstrated by significant correlations between the SCS and self-ratings of resourcefulness ($r = 0.35$), psychosocial attributes ($r = 0.60$), depression ($r = -0.30$), adaptive functioning ($r = 0.45$), and life satisfaction ($r = 0.38$; Zauszniewski, 1997c).

Results

The study examined the effects of overall caregiver burden, including caregiver strain, feelings of stigma, client dependency, and family disruption (risk factors), on two indicators of resilience, resourcefulness and sense of coherence, and the degree to which positive cognitions (protective factors) mediated or moderated these effects. Preliminary data analyses were run to ensure that the statistical assumptions for multiple regression were not violated. The means, standard deviations, ranges, and reliability estimates for the four major study variables are reported in Table 1; the correlation matrix for all study variables is shown in Table 2.

Table 1. Overall Caregiver Burden, Positive Cognitions, Resourcefulness, and Sense of Coherence of Women Family Caregivers of Adults With Mental Illness ($N = 60$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>$M$ (±$SD$)</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Possible Range</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver burden</td>
<td>45.4 (±19.96)</td>
<td>10–87</td>
<td>0–108</td>
<td>.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive cognitions</td>
<td>31.6 (±6.83)</td>
<td>16–40</td>
<td>0–40</td>
<td>.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resourcefulness</td>
<td>113.8 (±18.08)</td>
<td>73–157</td>
<td>0–180</td>
<td>.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sense of coherence</td>
<td>59.8 (±13.90)</td>
<td>27–86</td>
<td>13–91</td>
<td>.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Correlations Among Major Study Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−0.404 (.001)</td>
<td>−0.378 (.003)</td>
<td>−0.328 (.010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.649 (.000)</td>
<td>0.626 (.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.770 (.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Values inside the parentheses are $P$ values.

Four models were tested to determine the mediating or moderating effects of positive cognitions on the relationships between caregiver burden and resourcefulness and between caregiver burden and sense of coherence. The centering technique was used to minimize the multicollinearity between the first-order term (caregiver burden) and the interaction term (positive cognitions; Kim et al., 2001).

Effects of Risk Factors on Resilience

The effects of the risk factors constituting caregiver burden on sense of coherence and resourcefulness were examined together using simple regression. First, a simple linear regression was calculated to
predict resourcefulness based on caregiver burden. A significant relationship was found, $F(1,58) = 9.69$, $P < .01$, with an $R^2 = 0.143$ and $B = -0.378$, $P < .01$. That is, lower caregiver burden predicted greater resourcefulness in these women family members of adults with serious mental illness.

Second, simple linear regression was calculated to predict sense of coherence based on caregiver burden. This relationship was also significant, $F(1,58) = 7.01$, $P < .01$, with an $R^2 = 0.108$ and $B = -0.328$, $P < .01$. Lower caregiver burden predicted greater sense of coherence in these women family members of adults with serious mental illness. Thus, both indicators of resilience were significantly predicted by the risk factors constituting overall caregiver burden.

Effects of Protective Factors on Resilience
The effects of the eight positive cognitions on sense of coherence and resourcefulness were examined together using simple regression. A simple linear regression was calculated to predict resourcefulness based on the eight positive cognitions. A significant relationship was found, $F(1,58) = 42.13$, $P < .001$, with an $R^2 = 0.421$ and $B = 0.649$, $P < .001$. Thus, greater positive cognitions predicted greater resourcefulness in these women family members of adults with serious mental illness.

In the final regression equation, sense of coherence was regressed on the eight positive cognitions. A significant relationship was found, $F(1,58) = 84.45$, $P < .001$, with an $R^2 = 0.593$ and $B = 0.770$, $P < .001$. Again, similar to the findings for resourcefulness, greater positive cognitions predicted greater sense of coherence in these women family members. Thus, both indicators of resilience were significantly predicted by the protective factor of positive cognitions.

Mediation of Effects of Risk Factors on Resilience by Protective Factors
The first test of mediation involved examination of the effects of positive cognitions on the relationship between overall caregiver burden and resourcefulness. In the simple regression analyses, both caregiver burden and positive cognitions were significantly associated with resourcefulness. Before testing for mediation, positive cognitions were regressed on caregiver burden. A significant relationship was found, $F(1,58) = 11.31$, $P < .001$, with an $R^2 = 0.163$ and $B = -0.404$, $P < .001$. Thus, lower caregiver burden predicted greater positive cognitions in the women family members of adults with serious mental illness.

Hierarchical regression was used to examine the mediating effects of positive cognitions on the relationship between caregiver burden and resourcefulness (Figure 1). Overall caregiver burden was entered in the first step, and positive cognitions were entered in the second step. The dependent variable in this analysis was resourcefulness. Following Step 1, the model was significant, $F(1,58) = 9.69$, $P < .01$, and caregiver burden accounted for 14% of the variance in resourcefulness. When positive cognitions were added in Step 2, the model remained significant, $F(2,57) = 22.12$, $P < .001$, and the incremental $R^2$ was 0.29. However, there was a substantial drop in the beta weight of caregiver burden, from $-0.38$ to $-0.14$, when positive cognitions entered the equation and caregiver burden was no longer significant in predicting resourcefulness, demonstrating the mediating effects of the positive cognitions.
Fig 1. Mediating effects of positive cognitions on the relationship between caregiver burden and resourcefulness.

A second hierarchical regression was performed to examine the mediating effects of positive cognitions on the relationship between caregiver burden and sense of coherence (Figure 2). As in the hierarchical model described above, overall caregiver burden was entered on the first step, and positive cognitions were entered on the second step. The dependent variable was sense of coherence. Following Step 1, the model was significant, $F(1, 58) = 7.01, P < .01$, and caregiver burden accounted for 11% of the variance in sense of coherence. When positive cognitions were added in Step 2, the model remained significant, $F(2, 57) = 41.56, P < .001$, and the incremental $R^2$ was 0.48. However, there was a substantial drop in the beta weight of caregiver burden, from −.33 to −.02, when positive cognitions entered the equation and caregiver burden was no longer a significant predictor of sense of coherence, demonstrating the mediating effects of the positive cognitions.

Fig 2. Mediating effects of positive cognitions on the relationship between caregiver burden and sense of coherence.

Moderation of the Effects of Risk Factors on Resilience by Protective Factors

Parallel analyses were performed to test for the moderating effects of positive cognitions on resourcefulness and sense of coherence. The first test involved examination of the moderating effects of positive cognitions on the relationship between overall caregiver burden and resourcefulness. A three-step hierarchical regression analysis was used in which overall caregiver burden was entered in Step 1, positive cognitions were entered in Step 2, and an interaction term reflecting the centered value of the product of caregiver burden multiplied by positive cognitions was entered in Step 3. Fig 3, Fig 4 display the beta weights for caregiver burden, positive cognitions, and the interaction of these variables in predicting resourcefulness and sense of coherence, respectively.
Hierarchical regression was used to examine the moderating effects of positive cognitions on the relationship between caregiver burden and resourcefulness (Figure 3). Overall caregiver burden was entered in Step 1, positive cognitions in Step 2, and the interaction of Caregiver burden × Positive cognitions in Step 3. The dependent variable was resourcefulness. Following Step 1, the model was significant, $F(1,58) = 9.69, P < .01$, and caregiver burden accounted for 14% of the variance in resourcefulness. After Step 2, with the addition of positive cognitions, the model remained significant, $F(2,57) = 22.12, P < .001$; the incremental $R^2$ was 0.29. At Step 3, with the addition of the interaction of Caregiver burden × Positive cognitions, the model remained significant, $F(3,56) = 18.06, P < .001$; however, there was an increase of only 0.06 in the incremental $R^2$. Upon entry of the interaction term in Step 3, no effect of caregiver burden on resourcefulness was found; however, the effects of positive cognitions on resourcefulness remained significant ($B = 0.59, P < .001$), and the interaction effect was also significant ($B = -0.24, P < .02$), indicating the presence of partial moderation.

Hierarchical regression was also used to examine the moderating effects of positive cognitions on the relationship between caregiver burden and sense of coherence (Figure 4). As in the analysis reported above, overall caregiver burden was entered in Step 1, positive cognitions in Step 2, and the interaction of Caregiver burden × Positive cognitions in Step 3. The dependent variable was sense of coherence. Following Step 1, the model was significant, $F(1,58) = 7.01, P < .01$, and caregiver burden accounted for 11% of the variance in sense of coherence. After Step 2, with the addition of positive cognitions, the model remained significant, $F(2,57) = 41.56, P < .001$; the incremental $R^2$ was 0.48. At Step 3, with the addition of the interaction of Caregiver burden × Positive cognitions, the model remained significant, $F(3,56) = 27.39, P < .001$; however, there was an increase of only 0.002 in the incremental $R^2$. Upon entry of the interaction term in Step 3, no effect of caregiver burden on sense of coherence was found; however, the effects of positive cognitions on sense of coherence remained highly significant ($B = 0.76, P < .001$). An interaction effect was not found, indicating the absence of a moderating effect on sense of coherence by positive cognitions.
Discussion
This study represents a first attempt to examine the mediating and moderating effects of positive cognitions on the relationship between caregiver burden and resilience. Although the mediating effect of positive cognitions on resilience have not been previously examined in caregivers, strong associations between similar variables (i.e., positive affect, positive attitudes, and positive appraisal) and resilience have been reported in military medical personnel (Maguen et al., 2008) and college students (Steinhardt & Dolbier, 2008). In this study, two resilience indicators, resourcefulness and sense of coherence, were examined in women family caregivers of adults with serious mental illness. The effects of the risk factors constituting caregiver burden, including strain, feelings of stigma, client dependence, and family disruption, on these women's resilience were mediated by positive cognitions, which served as protective factors.

Effects on Resourcefulness
More specifically, our results indicated that positive cognitions had mediating and partially moderating effects on the relationship between caregiver burden and resourcefulness. These findings are consistent with a study of diabetic women by Zauszniewski et al. (2002), which found that positive cognitions mediated the effects of depressive symptoms on resourcefulness. In that study, diabetic women had greater resourcefulness when they used more positive cognitions, which reduced the severity of their depressive symptoms (Zauszniewski et al., 2002).

The findings from this study are also consistent with a study of retired elders conducted by Bekhet, Zauszniewski, and Wykle (2008), in which positive cognitions were found to have a direct effect on resourcefulness. That study also suggested that the effects of relocation to a retirement community on elders' adjustment might be mediated or moderated by positive cognitions (Bekhet et al., 2008).

Finally, this study's findings are consistent with those reported by Zauszniewski et al. (2005), who studied African American women caregivers of elders with dementia. In that study, positive cognitions and daily stress were significant predictors of resourcefulness, although the effects of daily stress on resourcefulness were not mediated by positive cognitions (Zauszniewski et al., 2005).

Effects on Sense of Coherence
The study also found that positive cognitions had mediating effects on the relationship between caregiver burden and sense of coherence. Although there have been no previous studies of the mediating effects of positive cognitions on sense of coherence, other researchers have reported associations between positive attitudes or positive appraisal of one's situation and sense of coherence in teenagers (Sollerhed, Ejlertsson, & Apitzsch, 2005) and brain tumor patients and their spouses (Strang & Strang, 2001). In addition, Suresky et al. (2008) examined the effects of sense of coherence on the relationship between caregiver burden and quality of life in women family members of adults with serious mental illness, but that study did not evaluate the effects of positive cognitions.

There are a number of limitations of the study related to sampling and methodological issues. First, the use of convenience sampling limits the generalizability of the findings because participants in this study may not be representative of all women family caregivers. Second, because the study was cross-sectional, it is difficult to assess changes in the study variables over time. Further, Rosenbaum (1990) postulated that learned resourcefulness is acquired throughout life, and thus, measuring
resourcefulness at a single point may not take into account previous resourcefulness (Bekhet et al., 2008). Similarly, given the cross-sectional design of the study reported here, it is difficult to conclude whether sense of coherence is open to change even after exposure to stressful experiences (Suresky et al., 2008). Future longitudinal studies would be useful in examining causal effects among the study variables in women family caregivers. Finally, given the small sample size, caution must be used in drawing conclusions about the findings.

Conclusion
The findings provide direction for the development of resilience theory that integrates sense of coherence and resourcefulness as indicators of resilience and highlights protective factors (positive cognitions) and risk factors (burden). Our findings provide direction for developing and testing community-based interventions to strengthen positive thinking and thus help family members of persons with serious mental illness to cope with the stress and burden of caregiving. The study points to a clear need to focus on the family unit when planning care for persons with severe mental illness. In addition, family members of those with mental illness should be encouraged to participate in support groups that provide opportunities to learn from the experiences of others. Enhancement of the resilience of family members of persons with serious mental illness will contribute to both their own well-being and the well-being of those for whom they provide care.
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