

6-1-2015

# Extremal $H$ -Colorings of Graphs with Fixed Minimum Degree

John Engbers

Marquette University, [john.engbers@marquette.edu](mailto:john.engbers@marquette.edu)

---

Accepted version. *Journal of Graph Theory*, Vol. 79, No. 2 (June 2015): 103-124. DOI. © 2015 Wiley. Used with permission.

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Extremal  $H$ -Colorings of Graphs with Fixed Minimum Degree. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance With Wiley Terms and Conditions for self-archiving.

# Extremal H-colorings of graphs with fixed minimum degree

John Engbers

*Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science,  
Marquette University  
Milwaukee, WI*

## Abstract:

For graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , a homomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$ , or  $H$ -coloring of  $G$ , is a map from the vertices of  $G$  to the vertices of  $H$  that preserves adjacency. When  $H$  is composed of an edge with one looped endvertex, an  $H$ -coloring of  $G$  corresponds to an independent set in  $G$ . Galvin showed that, for sufficiently large  $n$ , the complete bipartite graph  $K_{\delta, n-\delta}$  is the  $n$ -vertex graph with minimum degree  $\delta$  that has the largest number of independent sets.

In this paper, we begin the project of generalizing this result to arbitrary  $H$ . Writing  $\text{hom}(G, H)$  for the number of  $H$ -colorings of  $G$ , we show that for fixed  $H$  and  $\delta = 1$  or  $\delta = 2$ ,

$$\text{hom}(G, H) \leq \max\{\text{hom}(K_{\delta+1}, H)^{\frac{n}{\delta+1}}, \text{hom}(K_{\delta, \delta}, H)^{\frac{n}{2\delta}}, \text{hom}(K_{\delta, n-\delta}, H)\}$$

for any  $n$ -vertex  $G$  with minimum degree  $\delta$  (for sufficiently large  $n$ ). We also provide examples of  $H$  for which the maximum is achieved by  $\text{hom}(K_{\delta+1}, H)^{n/\delta+1}$  and other  $H$  for which the maximum is achieved by  $\text{hom}(K_{\delta, \delta}, H)^{n/2\delta}$ . For  $\delta \geq 3$  (and sufficiently large  $n$ ), we provide an infinite family of  $H$  for which  $\text{hom}(G, H) \leq \text{hom}(K_{\delta, n-\delta}, H)$  for any  $n$ -vertex  $G$  with minimum degree  $\delta$ . The results generalize to weighted  $H$ -colorings.

## 1 Introduction and statement of results

Let  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a finite simple graph. A *homomorphism* from  $G$  to a finite graph  $H = (V(H), E(H))$  (without multi-edges but perhaps with loops) is a map from  $V(G)$  to  $V(H)$  that preserves edge adjacency. We write

$$\text{Hom}(G, H) = \{f : V(G) \rightarrow V(H) \mid v \sim_G w \implies f(v) \sim_H f(w)\}$$

for the set of all homomorphisms from  $G$  to  $H$ , and  $\text{hom}(G, H)$  for  $|\text{Hom}(G, H)|$ . All graphs mentioned in this paper will be finite without multiple edges. Those denoted by  $G$  will always be loopless, while those denoted by  $H$  may possibly have loops. We will also assume that  $H$  has no isolated vertices.

Graph homomorphisms generalize a number of important notions in graph theory. When  $H = H_{\text{ind}}$ , the graph consisting of a single edge and a loop on one endvertex, elements of  $\text{Hom}(G, H_{\text{ind}})$  can be identified with the independent sets in  $G$ . When  $H = K_q$ , the complete graph on  $q$  vertices, elements of  $\text{Hom}(G, K_q)$  can be identified with the proper  $q$ -colorings of  $G$ . Motivated by this latter example, elements of  $\text{Hom}(G, H)$  are sometimes referred to as  $H$ -colorings of  $G$ , and the vertices of  $H$  are referred to as colors. We will utilize this terminology throughout the paper.

In statistical physics,  $H$ -colorings have a natural interpretation as configurations in *hard-constraint spin systems*. Here, the vertices of  $G$  are thought of as sites that are occupied by particles, with the edges of  $G$  representing pairs of bonded sites (for example by spatial proximity). The vertices of  $H$  represent the possible spins that a particle may have, and the occupation rule is that spins appearing on bonded sites must be adjacent in  $H$ . A valid configuration of spins on  $G$  is exactly an  $H$ -coloring of  $G$ . In the language of statistical physics, independent sets are configurations in the hard-core gas model, and proper  $q$ -colorings are configurations in the zero-temperature  $q$ -state antiferromagnetic Potts model. Another example comes from the Widom-Rowlinson graph  $H = H_{\text{WR}}$ , the fully-looped path on three vertices. If the endpoints of the path represent different particles and the middle vertex represents empty space, then the Widom-Rowlinson

graph models the occupation of space by two mutually repelling particles.

Fix a graph  $H$ . A natural extremal question to ask is the following: for a given family of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$ , which graphs  $G$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  maximize  $\text{hom}(G, H)$ ? If we assume that all graphs in  $\mathcal{G}$  have  $n$  vertices, then there are several cases where this question has a trivial answer. First, if  $H = K_q^{\text{loop}}$ , the fully looped complete graph on  $q$  vertices, then every map  $f : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$  is an  $H$ -coloring (and so  $\text{hom}(G, K_q^{\text{loop}}) = q^n$ ). Second, if the empty graph  $\bar{K}_n$  is contained in  $\mathcal{G}$ , then again every map  $f : V(\bar{K}_n) \rightarrow V(H)$  is an  $H$ -coloring (and so  $\text{hom}(\bar{K}_n, H) = |V(H)|^n$ ). Motivated by this second trivial case, it is interesting to consider families  $\mathcal{G}$  for which each  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  has many edges.

For the family of  $n$ -vertex  $m$ -edge graphs, this question was first posed for  $H = K_q$  around 1986, independently, by Linial and Wilf. Lazebnik provided an answer for  $q = 2$  [15], but for general  $q$  there is still not a complete answer. However, much progress has been made (see [16] and the references therein). Recently, Cutler and Radcliffe answered this question for  $H = H_{\text{ind}}$ ,  $H = H_{WR}$ , and some other small  $H$  [2, 3]. A feature of the family of  $n$ -vertex,  $m$ -edge graphs emerging from the partial results mentioned is that there seems to be no uniform answer to the question, "which  $G$  in the family maximizes  $\text{hom}(G; H)$ ?", with the answers depending very sensitively on the choice of  $H$ .

Another interesting family to consider is the family of  $n$ -vertex  $d$ -regular graphs. Here, Kahn [13] used entropy methods to show that every bipartite graph  $G$  in this family satisfies  $\text{hom}(G, H_{\text{ind}}) \leq \text{hom}(K_{d,d}; H_{\text{ind}})^{n/2d}$ , where  $K_{d,d}$  is the complete bipartite graph with  $d$  vertices in each partition class. Notice that when  $2d/n$  this bound is achieved by  $\frac{n}{2d}K_{d,d}$ , the disjoint union of  $n/2d$  copies of  $K_{d,d}$ . Galvin and Tetali [11] generalized this entropy argument, showing that for any  $H$  and any bipartite  $G$  in this family,

$$\text{hom}(G, H) \leq \text{hom}(K_{d,d}, H)^{n/2d}. \quad (1)$$

Kahn conjectured that (1) should hold for  $H = H_{\text{ind}}$  for all (not necessarily bipartite)  $G$ , and Zhao [19] resolved this conjecture affirmatively, deducing the general result from the bipartite case.

Interestingly, (1) does not hold for general  $H$  when biparticity is dropped, as there are examples of  $n$ ,  $d$ , and  $H$  for which  $\frac{n}{d+1}K_{d+1}$ , the disjoint union of  $n/(d + 1)$  copies of the complete graph  $K_{d+1}$ , maximizes the number of  $H$ -colorings of graphs in this family. (For example, take  $H$  to be the disjoint union of two looped vertices; here  $\log_2(\text{hom}(G,H))$  equals the number of components of  $G$ .) Galvin proposes the following conjecture in [8].

**Conjecture 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $d$ -regular graph. Then, for any  $H$ ,*

$$\text{hom}(G, H) \leq \max\{\text{hom}(K_{d+1}, H)^{\frac{n}{d+1}}, \text{hom}(K_{d,d}, H)^{\frac{n}{2d}}\}.$$

When  $2d(d + 1)|n$ , this bound is achieved by either  $\frac{n}{2d}K_{d,d}$  or  $\frac{n}{d+1}K_{d+1}$ . Evidence for this conjecture is given by Zhao [19, 20], who provided a large class of  $H$  for which  $\text{hom}(G,H) \leq \text{hom}(K_{d,d},H)^{n/2d}$ . Galvin [8, 9] provides further results for various  $H$  (including triples  $(n,d,H)$  for which  $\text{hom}(G,H) \leq \text{hom}(K_{d+1},H)^{n/d+1}$ ) and asymptotic evidence for the conjecture.

It is clear that Conjecture 1.1 is true when  $d = 1$ , since the graph consisting of  $n/2$  disjoint copies of an edge is the only 1-regular graph on  $n$  vertices. We prove the conjecture for  $d = 2$  and also characterize the cases of equality.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex 2-regular graph. Then, for any  $H$ ,*

$$\text{hom}(G, H) \leq \max\{\text{hom}(C_3, H)^{\frac{n}{3}}, \text{hom}(C_4, H)^{\frac{n}{4}}\}.$$

*If  $H \neq K_q^{\text{loop}}$ , the only graphs achieving equality are  $G = \frac{n}{3}C_3$  (when  $\text{hom}(C_3,H)^{n/3} > \text{hom}(C_4,H)^{n/4}$ ),  $G = \frac{n}{4}C_4$  ( $\text{hom}(C_3,H)^{n/3} < \text{hom}(C_4,H)^{n/4}$ ), or the disjoint union of copies of  $C_3$  and copies of  $C_4$  (when  $\text{hom}(C_3,H)^{n/3} = \text{hom}(C_4,H)^{n/4}$ ).*

It is possible for each of the equality conditions in Theorem 1.2 to occur. The first two situations arise when  $H$  is a disjoint union of two looped vertices and  $H = K_2$ , respectively. For the third situation, we utilize that if  $G$  is connected and  $H$  is the disjoint union of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , then  $\text{hom}(G,H) = \text{hom}(G,H_1) + \text{hom}(G,H_2)$ . Letting  $H$  be the disjoint

union of 8 copies of a single looped vertex and 4 copies of  $K_2$  gives  $\text{hom}(C_3, H)^{1/3} = \text{hom}(C_4, H)^{1/4} = 2$ .

Another natural and related family to study is  $\mathbf{G}(n, \delta)$ , the set of all  $n$ -vertex graphs with minimum degree  $\delta$ . Our question here becomes: for a given  $H$ , which  $G \in \mathbf{G}(n, \delta)$  maximizes  $\text{hom}(G, H)$ ? Since removing edges increases the number of  $H$ -colorings, it is tempting to believe that the answer to this question will be a graph that is  $\delta$ -regular (or close to  $\delta$ -regular). This in fact is not the case, even for  $H = H_{\text{ind}}$ . The following result appears in [7].

**Theorem 1.3.** For  $\delta \geq 1$ ,  $n \geq 8\delta^2$ , and  $G \in \mathfrak{g}(n, \delta)$ , we have

$$\text{hom}(G, H_{\text{ind}}) \leq \text{hom}(K_{\delta, n-\delta}, H_{\text{ind}}),$$

with equality only for  $G = K_{\delta, n-\delta}$ .

Recently, Cutler and Radcliffe [4] have extended Theorem 1.3 to the range  $n \geq 2\delta$ . Further results related to maximizing the number of independent sets of a fixed size for  $G \in \mathfrak{g}(n, \delta)$  can be found in e.g. [1, 6].

With Conjecture 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 in mind, the following conjecture is natural.

**Conjecture 1.4.** Fix  $\delta \geq 1$  and  $H$ . There exists a constant  $c(\delta, H)$  (depending on  $\delta$  and  $H$ ) such that for  $n \geq c(\delta, H)$  and  $G \in \mathfrak{g}(n, \delta)$ ,

$$\text{hom}(G, H) \leq \max\{\text{hom}(K_{\delta+1}, H)^{\frac{n}{\delta+1}}, \text{hom}(K_{\delta, \delta}, H)^{\frac{n}{2\delta}}, \text{hom}(K_{\delta, n-\delta}, H)\}.$$

This conjecture stands in marked contrast to the situation for the family of  $n$ -vertex  $m$ -edge graphs, where each choice of  $H$  seems to create a different set of extremal graphs. Here, we conjecture that for any  $H$ , one of exactly three situations can occur. For  $2(\delta + 1)|n$  and  $n$  large, this represents the best possible conjecture, since for  $H$  consisting of a disjoint union of two looped vertices,  $H = K_2$ , and  $H = H_{\text{ind}}$ , the number of  $H$ -colorings of a graph  $G \in \mathfrak{g}(n, \delta)$  is maximized by  $G = \frac{n}{\delta+1}K_{\delta+1}$ ,  $G = \frac{n}{2\delta}K_{\delta, \delta}$ , and  $G = K_{\delta, n-\delta}$ , respectively.

The purpose of this paper is to make progress toward Conjecture 1.4. We resolve the conjecture for  $\delta = 1$  and  $\delta = 2$ , and characterize the graphs that achieve equality. We also find an infinite

family of  $H$  for which  $\text{hom}(G, H) \leq \text{hom}(K_{\delta, n-\delta}, H)$  for all  $G \in \mathfrak{G}(n, \delta)$  (for sufficiently large  $n$ ), with equality only for  $G = K_{\delta, n-\delta}$ . Before we formally state these theorems, we highlight the degree conventions and notations that we will follow for the remainder of the paper.

**Convention.** For  $v \in V(H)$ , let  $d(v)$  denote the degree of  $v$ , where loops count *once* toward the degree. While  $\delta$  will always refer to the minimum degree of a graph  $G$ ,  $\Delta$  will always denote the maximum degree of a graph  $H$  (unless explicitly stated otherwise).

**Theorem 1.5.** ( $\delta = 1$ ). Fix  $H$ ,  $n \geq 2$  and  $G \in \mathfrak{G}(n, 1)$ .

1. Suppose that  $H \neq K_{\Delta}^{\text{loop}}$  satisfies  $\sum_{v \in V(H)} d(v) \geq \Delta^2$ . Then

$$\text{hom}(G, H) \leq \text{hom}(K_2, H)^{n/2},$$

with equality only for  $G = \frac{n}{2}K_2$ .

2. Suppose that  $H$  satisfies  $\sum_{v \in V(H)} d(v) \geq \Delta^2$ , and let  $n_0 = n_0(H)$  be the smallest integer in  $\{3, 4, \dots\}$  satisfying  $\sum_{v \in V(H)} d(v) < (\sum_{v \in V(H)} d(v)^{n_0-1})^{\frac{2}{n_0}}$

(a) If  $2 \leq n < n_0$ , then

$$\text{hom}(G, H) \leq \text{hom}(K_2, H)^{n/2},$$

with equality only for  $G = \frac{n}{2}K_2$  [unless  $n = n_0 - 1$  and  $\sum_{v \in V(H)} d(v) = (\sum_{v \in V(H)} d(v)^{n_0-1})^{\frac{2}{n_0-1}}$  in which case  $G = K_{1, n-1}$  also achieves equality].

(b) If  $n \geq n_0$ , then

$$\text{hom}(G, H) \leq \text{hom}(K_{1, n-1}, H),$$

with equality only for  $G = K_{1, n-1}$ .

*Remark.* Notice that  $\text{hom}(K_2, H) = \sum_{v \in V(H)} d(v)$ , so the conditions on  $H$  in Theorem 1.5 may also be written as  $\text{hom}(K_2, H)^{1/2} \geq \Delta$  and  $\text{hom}(K_2, H) > \Delta$ .

**Theorem 1.6.** ( $\delta = 2$ ). Fix  $H$ .

1. Suppose that  $H \neq K_{\Delta}^{\text{loop}}$  satisfies  $\max\{\text{hom}(C_3, H)^{1/3}, \text{hom}(C_4, H)^{1/4}\} \geq \Delta$ . Then for all  $n \geq 3$  and  $G \in \mathfrak{G}(n, 2)$

$$\text{hom}(G, H) = \max\{\text{hom}(C_3, H)^{1/3}, \text{hom}(C_4, H)^{1/4}\},$$

with equality only for  $G = \frac{n}{3}C_3$  (when  $\text{hom}(C_3, H)^{1/3} > \text{hom}(C_4, H)^{1/4}$ ),  $G = \frac{n}{4}C_4$  (when  $\text{hom}(C_3, H)^{1/3} < \text{hom}(C_4, H)^{1/4}$ ), or  $G = \frac{n}{4}C_4$  (when  $\text{hom}(C_3, H)^{1/3} = \text{hom}(C_4, H)^{1/4}$ ).

$n$

$$3 < \text{hom}(C_4; H)$$

$n$

4), or the disjoint union of copies of  $C_3$

and copies of  $C_4$  (when  $\text{hom}(C_3; H)$

$n$

$$3 = \text{hom}(C_4; H)$$

$n$

4).

2. Suppose that  $H$  satisfies  $\max\{\text{hom}(C_3; H)$

1

$$3; \text{hom}(C_4; H)$$

1

$4g < \dots$ . Then there

exists a constant  $c_H$  such that for  $n > c_H$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n; 2)$ ,

$$\text{hom}(G; H) \leq \text{hom}(K_2; n \square 2; H);$$

with equality only for  $G = K_2; n \square 2$ .

**NOT THE PUBLISHED VERSION; this is the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript.** The published version may be accessed by following the link in the citation at the bottom of the page.

## About the Authors

Author name: Text.