Marquette University

[e-Publications@Marquette](https://epublications.marquette.edu/)

School of Dentistry Faculty Research and
Publications

Dentistry, School of

11-25-2020

Targeting Trimeric and Tetrameric Proanthocyanidins of Cinnamomum verum Bark as Bioactives for Dental Therapies

Joo-Won Nam University of Illinois at Chicago

Rasika S. Phansalkar University of Illinois at Chicago

David C. Lankin University of Illinois at Chicago

James McAlpine University of Illinois at Chicago

Ariene A. Leme-Kraus University of Illinois at Chicago

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [https://epublications.marquette.edu/dentistry_fac](https://epublications.marquette.edu/dentistry_fac?utm_source=epublications.marquette.edu%2Fdentistry_fac%2F387&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Dentistry Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/651?utm_source=epublications.marquette.edu%2Fdentistry_fac%2F387&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Nam, Joo-Won; Phansalkar, Rasika S.; Lankin, David C.; McAlpine, James; Leme-Kraus, Ariene A.; Bedran-Russo, Ana K.; Chen, Shao-Nong; and Pauli, Guido F., "Targeting Trimeric and Tetrameric Proanthocyanidins of Cinnamomum verum Bark as Bioactives for Dental Therapies" (2020). School of Dentistry Faculty Research and Publications. 387. [https://epublications.marquette.edu/dentistry_fac/387](https://epublications.marquette.edu/dentistry_fac/387?utm_source=epublications.marquette.edu%2Fdentistry_fac%2F387&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Authors

Joo-Won Nam, Rasika S. Phansalkar, David C. Lankin, James McAlpine, Ariene A. Leme-Kraus, Ana K. Bedran-Russo, Shao-Nong Chen, and Guido F. Pauli

Marquette University

e-Publications@Marquette

Dentistry Faculty Research and Publications/College of Dentistry

*This paper is NOT THE PUBLISHED VERSION***.** Access the published version via the link in the citation below.

Journal of Natural Products, Vol. 83, No. 11 (November 5, 2020): 3287-3297. [DOI.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jnatprod.0c00570) This article is © American Chemical Society and American Society of Pharmacognosy and permission has been granted for this version to appear in [e-Publications@Marquette.](http://epublications.marquette.edu/) American Chemical Society and American Society of Pharmacognosy does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without express permission from American Chemical Society and American Society of Pharmacognosy.

Targeting Trimeric and Tetrameric Proanthocyanidins of *Cinnamomum verum* Bark as Bioactives for Dental Therapies

Joo-Won Nam Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL Rasika S. Phansalkar Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL David C. Lankin Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL James B. McAlpine Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL Ariene A. Leme-Kraus Department of Restorative Dentistry, College of Dentistry, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL Ana K. Bedran-Russo Department of Dentistry, College of Dentistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI Shao-Nong Chen Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL

Guido F. Pauli

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL

Abstract

The present study elucidated the structures of three A-type tri- and tetrameric proanthocyanidins (PACs) isolated from *Cinnamomum verum* bark to the level of absolute configuration and determined their dental bioactivity using two therapeutically relevant bioassays. After selecting a PAC oligomer fraction via a biologically diverse bioassay-guided process, in tandem with centrifugal partition chromatography, phytochemical studies led to the isolation of PAC oligomers that represent the main bioactive principles of *C. verum*: two A-type tetrameric PACs, epicatechin-(2β→*O*→7,4β→8)-epicatechin-(4β→6)-epicatechin-(2β→*O*→7,4β→8)-catechin (**1**) and parameritannin A1 (**2**), together with a trimer, cinnamtannin B1 (**3**). Structure determination of the underivatized proanthocyanidins utilized a combination of HRESIMS, ECD, 1D/2D NMR, and ¹H iterative full spin analysis data and led to NMR-based evidence for the deduction of absolute configuration in constituent catechin and epicatechin monomeric units.

Proanthocyanidins (PACs) show promising biomimetic potential for the mechanical enhancement of dentin, the bulk tissue of teeth, by cross-linking collagen intermolecularly and intermicrofibrillarly.(1−3) Type I collagen represents 90% of the extracellular matrix of dentin, which serves a critical structural and protective function for tooth function and survival. The high content of certain, dentin-bioactive PACs from cocoa seeds, grape seeds, pine bark, and cinnamon make these natural materials attractive renewable sources of collagen-cross-linkers with therapeutic potential. The bark of *Cinnamomum verum* L. (Lauraceae; true cinnamon) investigated in this study has a particularly favorable potential as dentin biomodification agent.(4,5)

Also known as condensed tannins, PACs are one major class of phenolic plant metabolites that are composed of oligomeric or polymeric flavan-3-ol moieties. PACs have been classified into several subclasses based on the hydroxylation patterns in the A- and B-rings of the flavan-3-ol moieties. Among them, procyanidins consist of catechin and epicatechin (and their enantiomers) as monomeric units and represent the most widely distributed PAC types in plants of the Northern hemisphere.(6) Oligomeric PACs (OPACs, degree of polymerization DP = 2–9) present in the bark of *C. verum* are mainly composed of procyanidins with both A-type (C→C and C→O→C double linkage) and B-type (C→C single linkage) interflavan linkages (IFLs). In previous studies, OPACs with a DP = 3–5 exhibited the most potent dentin biomodification potency.(7−9) The present study describes the isolation and structure elucidation of A-type tri- and tetrameric OPACs (Figure 1) from *C. verum* bark and the determination of their bioactivity under two relevant dental bioassays, thereby contributing toward the ultimate goal of developing effective and standardized interventional materials for

restorative dental therapies. The chemical outcomes provide new insights into IFL types that are unique to *C. verum* of PACs and are associated with a rapid enhancement of the mechanical properties and reduction in the biodegradability of the dentin matrix.

Figure 1. Structures of the proanthocyanidins (PACs), **1**–**3**, isolated from *C. verum* bark, in comparison with their core A-type dimer, proanthocyanidin A2. In addition to the classical chemical formulas, the graphical PAC block array representations (PACBAR; bottom) along with the text-based micro and macro PACBAR codes.(10)

Results and Discussion

Diverse Dental Biological Guidance

Contributing to the greater efforts of our interdisciplinary research activities aimed at the development of PAC-based biomaterials with targeted activity of dentin matrix, a diversity of (O)PAC-enriched plant materials (grape seeds, *Vitis vinifera*; the stem bark of true cinnamon, *Cinnamomum verum*; the inner barks of pine, *Pinus massoniana*; seeds of cacao, *Theobroma cacao*; tea leaves, *Camellia sinensis*) were previously prioritized for their ability to increase the stiffness of dentin.(4,5) Furthermore, single chemical entities were isolated and their activities independently tested to deduce the key structural characteristics of (O)PACs that exhibit dentin matrix biomodification potential, including increased modulus of elasticity and biostability against collagenase digestion. This has brought our work one step closer to developing (O)PAC-based dental biomaterials for future clinical applications.(7,9,11)

Structure Elucidation of PACs

Compound **1** was obtained as a brownish amorphous powder, which exhibited a reddish coloration on TLC plates following spraying with vanillin–H₂SO₄ reagent typical for PACs. The molecular formula was determined to be C₆₀H₄₆O₂₄ by HRESIMS data, suggesting 1 to be a tetrameric procyanidin with two Atype linkages. The ¹H and ¹³C DEPTQ-135 NMR data (Table 1) exhibited resonance patterns consistent with four AMX spin systems, indicating the presence of four 1,3,4-trisubstituted aromatic rings (B-, E-, H-, and K-rings). In addition, the ¹H NMR spectrum showed resonances associated with two sets of AX spin systems at δ_H 4.1028 (I-H-3, d, J = 3.26 Hz)/4.4390 (I-H-4, d, J = 3.26 Hz) and 4.1121 (III-H-3, d, J = 3.48 Hz)/4.1999 (III-H-4, d, *J* = 3.48 Hz), respectively, which were assigned to H-3 and H-4 of doubly linked (*ent*)epicatechin units (A-type PACs). This was also evident from the observation of two ketal carbon resonances at δ_c 100.31 (III-C-2) and 100.14 (I-C-2) present in the ¹³C DEPTQ-135 spectrum. The presence of a terminal (*ent*-)catechin unit in 1 was supported by resonances at δ_H 4.7358 (IV-H-2, d, J =

8.36 Hz), 4.1605 (IV-H-3, ddd, *J* = 9.01, 8.36, 5.54 Hz), 3.0201 (IV-H-4a, dd, *J* = −16.29, 5.54 Hz), and 2.5697 (IV-H-4b, dd, J = −16.29, 9.01 Hz) in the ¹H spectrum. In addition, the ¹H spectrum exhibited aromatic hydrogen resonances of two *meta*-coupled hydrogens at δ_H 6.0858 and 6.0338 (d, *J* = 2.32 Hz) and three singlets at δ_H 6.0590, 6.0361, and 6.1141 attributed to H-6/H-8 in each monomeric unit. Further analysis of the 2D NMR data (COSY, ROESY, HSQC, HMBC) allowed unambiguous assignments for all ¹H and ¹³C NMR resonances and indicated a B-type IFL connecting two A-type PAC dimers. The 2→O→7/4→8 linkages between units I/II and III/IV were supported by the following NOE cross-peaks: H-4 (unit I)/H-2 (unit II); H-2′,6′ (unit I)/H-6 (unit II) and H-4 (unit III)/H-2 (unit IV); H-2′,6′ (unit III)/H-6 (unit IV), respectively. These linkages were further confirmed by HMBC correlations between H-4 (unit I) and C-8/9 (unit II) and between H-4 (unit III) and C-7/8 (unit IV). The 3,4-*trans* configurations of the C- (unit I) and I-rings (unit III) were determined by the NOE cross-peaks of H-3 (C-ring)/H-6 (D-ring) and H-3 (I-ring)/H-6 (J-ring), respectively.(12) HMBC correlations between H-4 (unit II) and C-5/6/7 (unit III) revealed that units II and III were connected through a 4→6 rather than a 4→8 IFL. This was further supported by the high-frequency substituent chemical shift (SCS) effect on the C-6 (unit III) signal, resonating at δ_c 111.18.(7) ROESY correlations between H-8 (unit III, G ring) and H-2'/5' (unit III, H ring) provided additional evidence for the presence of a 4→6 interflavanyl linkage between units II and III. A small coupling constant $\binom{3}{2,3}$ = 1.29 Hz) and matching COSY correlation between H-2 and H-3 suggested that these two F-ring hydrogens occupied a *cis* configuration and formed an (*ent*)epicatechin moiety (unit II). Moreover, the 2,3-*cis*-3,4-*trans* configuration of the F-ring in unit II was deduced from the ³*J*2,3 and ³*J*3,4 coupling constants (1.29 and 1.91 Hz, respectively). This was further supported by an SCS effect for the ¹³C resonance appearing at δ_c 79.01 (C-2, F-ring), as a result of a y-gauche effect at C-4 (F-ring), which is consistent with a 2,4-*trans* configuration.(11,13−16)

Table 1. 1H and 13C NMR Spectroscopic Data of 1 and 2a, b

^{a1}H and ¹³C NMR data were acquired in methanol-d₄ at 900 and 225 MHz at 298 K, respectively.

^bThe δ_H and *J* values were generated via HiFSA.

^cThese chemical shifts were obtained by the indirect ¹³C dimension in the HMBC experiment due to signal disappearance caused by H/D exchange of H-6 and H-8 in the J-ring.

The absolute configuration of **1**, as well as the other OPACs, was determined by ECD spectroscopy.(17−19) High-amplitude positive and negative Cotton effects in the 220–240 nm window indicated 4β vs 4α orientation of the flavan-3-ol groups, respectively. A strong positive Cotton effect (CE) at 228 nm of **1** allowed the assignment of β-orientation at the C-4 positions in rings C, F, and I. On the other hand, flavan-3-ols with 2α and 2β configurations showed low-amplitude negative vs positive CE at 270–280 nm, respectively.

Summarizing the results thus far, **1** was determined to be composed of two β-linked A-type dimers (upper and lower half units), which in turn were $4\beta \rightarrow 6$ -linked to form a tetramer. While a lowamplitude, negative CE at 272 nm in **1** supported 2α configurations of the constituent flavan-3-ol units, such an interpretation has to be considered tentative due to the nature of the CE caused by the aromatic ${}^{1}L_{b}$ transition, which is dominated by the aryl chromophores attached to the C-4s in OPAC molecules.

For further confirmation of the stereochemical assignments, we have previously developed a differential ¹³C chemical shift (Δδ) method that builds on the comparison of chemical shifts of unknown PACs with those of unambiguously established monomeric and oligomeric PAC building blocks.(7,11) The absolute configurations at C-2/C-3 in the F- and L-rings were determined by comparison of the 13C NMR chemical shifts of C-2/3/4/5/6/7/8/9/10/1′ with those of possible dimeric PACs (Figure 2): proanthocyanidin A2 (epicatechin–epicatechin), epicatechin-(2β→*O*→7,4β→8)-*ent*epicatechin (epicatechin–*ent*-epicatechin), proanthocyanidin A1 (epicatechin–catechin), and proanthocyanidin A4 (epicatechin–*ent*-catechin); these compounds have been previously isolated in our laboratory from pine bark (*Pinus massoniana*).(7,9) Although the (2*R*,3*R*,4*S*) absolute configurations of the F-ring in the upper half unit was already confirmed by the high-amplitude CE at 228 nm, the small pyran-ring coupling constants (3*J*2,3, ²*J*3,4), and the relatively shielded resonance of C-2, the configuration of the upper dimeric unit was also confirmed by this method to verify its validity.

Next, differential ¹³C chemical shift values (Δδ [ppm]) were calculated using the values of units I and IV, as the chemical shifts in units II and III were affected by the additional β connection between them. As shown in Figure 2, the total absolute deviation (TAD) values, ∑|Δδ| [ppm], calculated for proanthocyanidin A2 (EC–EC, blue for the upper half unit: TAD = 1.11) and proanthocyanidin A1 (EC–C, green for the lower half unit: TAD = 2.29) were significantly smaller than those of their counterparts,

epicatechin-(2β→*O*→7,4β→8)-*ent*-epicatechin (EC–*ent*EC, red; TAD = 2.69) and proanthocyanidin A4 (EC–entC, yellow; TAD = 3.97). In addition, the ¹³C chemical shifts of C-2 and C-9 in unit IV (ring L) were 85.22 and 151.33 ppm, respectively, and are reliable indicators of the absolute configurations of A-type dimeric PACs possessing catechin as a terminal unit.(7) This led to the conclusion that the upper and lower half dimeric units were proanthocyanidin A2 (blue) and A1 (green), respectively. Accordingly, the structure of **1** was most compatible with that of the tetrameric PAC epicatechin-(2β→*O*→7,4β→8) epicatechin-(4β→6)-epicatechin-(2β→*O*→7,4β→8)-catechin, named peanut procyanidin E, as it was first isolated from peanut skin.(20) While the data obtained for **1** are fully consistent with the structure of a previously reported chemical entity, this represents the first complete determination of its absolute configuration and also represents the first report of its unequivocal NMR data analysis, acquired at room temperature. Moreover, complete ¹H NMR assignments of 1 were achieved by ¹H iterative full spin analysis (HiFSA) in the present study, allowing for a highly precise determination of all its ¹H NMR parameters (δ_H with 0.1 ppb and *J* values with 10 mHz precision; Table 1, Figure 3).

Figure 3. Congruence of the observed (red, methanol-d₄, 900 MHz) and calculated (blue) ¹H NMR spectra of OPACs **1** (A) and **2** (B) demonstrates the validity of the HiFSA profiles of the two isolates.

Purification also afforded PAC **2** as a brownish amorphous powder, and, analogous to **1**, its molecular formula of C60H48O²⁴ was determined by HRESIMS data ([M + H]+*m*/*z* 1153.2597), also indicating it to be a tetrameric PAC with one A- and two B-type interflavanyl linkage. In order to resolve the complexity of its $1H$ NMR spectrum, which arose from severe signal overlap and higher order spin coupling effects, HiFSA was applied and yielded detailed NMR spin parameters for **2**, as well as for **1** (Table 1, Figure 3). Two pairs of *meta*-coupled doublets for H-6 and H-8 were observed in the 1H NMR spectrum of **2**, indicating the presence of two A-rings without substitution at either C-6 or C-8. Moreover, in the ¹H NMR spectrum, only one singlet for H-6 or H-8 in the A-ring of the terminal unit was observed, indicating that **2** possesses a fully substituted A-ring in the middle of the PAC oligomer sequence.

The ¹H and ¹³C DEPTQ 135 NMR spectra showed signals for four AMX spin systems (B-, E-, H-, and Krings). Moreover, the ¹H NMR spectrum exhibited an AX spin system with resonances at δ_H 3.2929 (I-H-3, d, *J* = 3.57 Hz)/4.2420 (I-H-4, *J* = 3.57 Hz) assigned to H-3 and H-4 in the C-ring (unit I) of a doubly linked A-type PAC, which was further supported by the presence of a ketal carbon resonance at δ_c 100.15 (C-2, C-ring). The 2 \rightarrow O \rightarrow 7/4 \rightarrow 8 linkage between units I and II was supported by the ROESY

correlation between H-4 (unit I) and H-2 (unit II). The terminal unit was identified as an (*ent*)epicatechin moiety based on the ¹H resonances at δ_H 4.1153 (III-H-2, d, $J = 1.41$ Hz), 3.6285 (III-H-3, ddd, *J* = 4.51, 1.99, 1.41 Hz), 2.7742 (III-H-4a, dd, *J* = −18.48, 1.99 Hz), and 2.7895 (III-H-4b, dd, *J* = −18.48, 4.51 Hz). Additional resonances for H-2/3/4 of the flavan-3-ol pyran rings were observed at δ^H 5.6628 (II-H-2, d, *J* = 1.02 Hz), 4.0708 (II-H-3, dd, *J* = 1.83, 1.02 Hz), 4.4343 (II-H-4, d, *J* = 1.83 Hz), 4.7529 (IV-H-2, d, *J* = 0.56 Hz), 4.0915 (IV-H-3, dd, *J* = 2.06, 0.56 Hz), and 4.3848 (IV-H-4, d, *J* = 2.06 Hz). This suggested B-type linkages between units II and III as well as units IV and II, which were thereby both assigned to have 2,3-*cis*-3,4-*trans* configurations. The HMBC cross-peaks of H-4 (L-ring, unit IV)/C-5,6,7 (D-ring, unit II) and H-4 (F-ring, unit II)/C-8,9 (G-ring, unit III) confirmed the 6→4 (between units II and IV) and the 8→4 (between units II and III) interflavanyl linkages, respectively. Further detailed analysis of the 2D NMR data (COSY, ROESY, HSQC, HMBC), the ECD spectra (strong positive CE at 237 nm and weak negative CE at 273 nm), and NMR data comparison with literature data eventually identified **2** as epicatechin-(2β→*O*→7,4β→8)-[epicatechin-(4β→6)]-epicatechin-(4β→8)-epicatechin, previously named parameritannin A1.(21,22) This compound was originally isolated from the bark of *Parameria laevigata*, and its structure was confirmed by thiolytic degradation.(21) While **2** has also been isolated from other *Cinnamomum* species (*C. cassia*, *C. tamala*, and *C. osmophloeum*), this is the first report of its presence in *C. verum* (*C. zeylanicum*).(23−25)

Notably, **2** experienced H/D exchange during dissolution in the deuterated protic NMR solvent (methanol-*d*₄), as became evident when acquiring ¹H NMR spectra at different time points (Figure S25, Supporting Information). As a result of the exchange process, the signals of H-6 and H-8 of unit IV disappeared after only a few days. While this phenomenon has been observed with flavonoids, including OPACs and anthocyanidins,(26−28) as detailed in our previous report on OPACs from *Pinus massoniana* bark,(9) it poses a practical challenge for the structure elucidation of PACs, which typically requires multiple NMR measurements over a period of time.

The molecular formula ($C_{45}H_{36}O_{18}$, HRESIMS molecular ion at m/z 865.1965 [M + H]⁺, calcd for C45H37O18, 865.1980) and TLC color reactivity suggested **3** to be a trimeric PAC with one A- and one Btype IFL. The ¹H and ¹³C-DEPTQ-135 NMR spectra and the ECD spectrum of **3** were consistent with those of the reported trimeric PAC, cinnamtannin B1 [epicatechin-(2β→*O*→7,4β→8)-epicatechin- (4β→8)-epicatechin], which was previously isolated from *C. verum*.(21,24,29) HiFSA was applied to again yield definitive $1H$ NMR parameters for both the major and the minor conformers of **3** (Table 2, Figure 6). This demonstrates that HiFSA is capable of distinguishing and characterizing multiple conformers found in relatively complex mixtures.

C no.	3a (major rotamer)		3b (minor rotamer)	
unit I	δc	$\delta_H (J, Hz)$	δc	δ_H (J, Hz)
	99.93		100.12	
3	67.17	3.2818, d (3.43)	68.34	4.0953, d (3.43)
4	28.86	4.1479, d (3.43)	29.48	4.4537, d (3.43)
	156.75		157.15	
6	98.27	5.9651, d (2.34)	98.27	6.0228 , d (2.34)

Table 2. 1H and 13C NMR Spectroscopic Data of the Atropisomers of 3a, b

^{a1}H and ¹³C NMR data were acquired in methanol-d₄ at 900 and 225 MHz at 298 K, respectively. $^{\text{b}}$ The δ_H and *J* values were generated via HiFSA.

Figure 4. ROESY spectrum (900 MHz) showing exchange correlation cross-peaks between the two atropisomers of **3** giving rise to signals that exhibit the same phase as the diagonal (red; "in-phase" cross-peaks). The major and minor atropisomers are denoted as **M** and **m**, respectively.

 $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ $5s$ $5'4$ $\frac{1}{5.2}$ 50 4.8 4.6 $44 \overline{42}$ $40 \overline{38}$ 32 30 28 26 Figure 5. Stacked 1H NMR spectra of **3** acquired at various temperatures in methanol-*d*⁴ (800 MHz).

Figure 6. Quantitative and qualitative ${}^{1}H$ NMR HiFSA-based determination of the major (A) and minor rotamers (B) of **3**. The experimental (observed, red) spectrum was acquired at 900 MHz in methanol*d*4.

Atropisomerism in OPACs

The NMR spectra of OPACs frequently show peak broadening when acquired at room temperature. This is due to sterically hindered rotation along the interflavanyl bonds, which generates conformers and, at certain local energy minima, atropisomers. This dynamic phenomenon and the occurrence of atropisomerism is more frequently observed as the degree of polymerization of OPACs increases and/or the oligomers contain more B-type IFLs. Peak broadening can be overcome by low-temperature NMR experiments (high-temperature measurements are also possible, but pose stability and solvent challenges), which enable the acquisition of interpretable spectra.(9,11,30−32)

Atropisomerism also produces the occurrence of (typically) pairs of resonances from major and minor rotamers. Such signals can be misinterpreted as arising from impurities, but indeed can be distinguished via exchange correlation peaks in ROESY spectra, where such cross-peaks appear inphase with the diagonal (Figure 4). In contrast, the atropisomers interconvert slowly on the NMR time scale.(33) As shown for the 1H NMR spectra of **3** at various temperatures (Figure 5), signals from two atropisomers eventually reach coalescence as the temperature increases. This reflects the energy barrier of the rotation about the interflavanyl bond, which requires elevated temperatures to be overcome vs creates locked-in rotamers at lower temperatures. To calibrate the experimental temperature settings, neat MeOH and ethylene glycol were used as "NMR thermometers", allowing calculation of the actual temperatures based on the distances between the solvent resonances. While the NMR spectra of **1**–**3** acquired at RT could be interpreted sufficiently for structure elucidation, lowtemperature spectra were acquired to compare the chemical shift changes of the major and minor rotamer resonances. This gave conclusive evidence of coalescence and, thereby, the assignment of atropisomer signals.

HiFSA-Based qNMR (QM-qNMR) of Major and Minor Rotamers of 3

As the occurrence of rotameric species gives rise to sets of distinct resonances for the same molecule, such as shown above for **3**, the 1H NMR spectra of OPACs pose inherent challenges for quantitative

NMR (qNMR): conventional peak integration often becomes unfeasible, not only due to severe signal overlap, but also as a result of higher order effects, particularly in the relatively disperse aromatic region, which otherwise would be the most suitable spectral window for qNMR. To overcome these challenges and still quantify the rotamers of **3**, quantum mechanical (QM)-based quantitative ¹H NMR $(QM-qH NMR)$ was applied, utilizing ¹H iterative full spin analysis as a QM analytical method (Figure 6).(34,35) The HiFSA approach considered both structural parameters (δ, *J*) and signal line shape ($\omega_{1/2}$) and yielded quantum-mechanically calculated spectra of the major and minor rotamers. In a subsequent step, iteration of a composite spectrum was performed until the sum of the two rotamer spectra was in full agreement with the experimental spectrum. The area for H-6s and H-8s had to be excluded from the qNMR calculation as the peak integrations were reduced due to H–D exchange (see discussion above), which would be associated with an overall quantitation error. Collectively, the molar ratio of the rotamers was calculated to be 78% for the major species vs 22% for the minor species. This result confirms previously reported rotamer proportions that were calculated for the same compound via 1 H NMR integral comparison.(36)

Evaluation of the Dentin Biomodification of OPACs 1–3

As shown previously, the degree of polymerization and, importantly, the spatial/3D configuration of PACs are key factors for their dentin biomodification activities. Most favorable bioactivities are observed for trimeric and tetrameric PACs with a suitable size and shape that fits into the intra- and interfibrillar gaps of dentin's collagen fibrils. Compounds **1**–**3** were isolated from the dentin bioactive fractions by multiple steps of chromatography. They increased the apparent modulus of elasticity (*p* ≤ 0.001) and reduced biodegradation (*p* < 0.001) of the dentin matrix when compared to untreated dentin as a control (Figure 7). There were no statistically significant differences among the effects of the three compounds in both biomechanical and biodegradation assays ($p \ge 0.05$).

Figure 7. Biomodification potential by two relevant bioassays: (A) fold increase observed in the apparent modulus of elasticity (*E*) of the dentin matrix; (B) biodegradation rates (in %) of dentin specimens treated with **1**–**3**. The Ω symbol depicts statistically significant differences between groups treated with **1–3** and control for both $E (p \le 0.001)$ and biodegradation ($p < 0.001$).

Compounds **2** (parameritannin A1) and **3** (cinnamtannin B1) are the major tetramer and trimer of *C. verum* bark, respectively, as shown by the stacked ¹H NMR spectra in Figure 8 in comparison with the extract. Compiling the dentin biomodification activities and NMR profiles of these isolates, it became evident that increased content of these high-potency trimers and tetramers validate the overarching concept of these medium-DP PACs being responsible for favorable dentin bioactivity. This result confirmed the validity of the overarching concept of our studies, aimed at preparing a trimer- and tetramer-enriched fraction as active constituent for future clinical applications.(8)

Figure 8. ¹H NMR spectra of a 70% acetone extract, a trimer- and tetramer-enriched fraction (3 + 4 cut), and the isolates **1**–**3** from *C. verum.* The fraction 3 + 4 cut (red) was prepared in a prior study,(8) and its ¹H NMR spectrum is shown for comparison. The ¹H NMR spectra of the 70% acetone extract and the 3 + 4 cut were acquired under the same conditions (concentration, volume, NMR acquisition parameters, 600 MHz, methanol-*d*4). The 1H NMR spectra of **1**–**3** were acquired at 900 MHz in methanol-*d*4.

Conclusions Regarding the Stereochemistry and Interflavanyl Linkage Chemistry of PACs As a collective result of published and present studies, the 3D conformational differences of PAC molecules, which reflect both their planar and their specific 3D structure, especially for higher-DP OPACs, guide their dentin biomodification potential as examined in two mechanistically distinct bioassays.(1,7−9,11) Thus, determination of both the relative and absolute configurations of PACs is critically important when seeking to derive structure–activity relationships. Notably, this task needs to be performed with underivatized PACs and without the application of degradation chemistry for structure elucidation, to allow their subsequent biological evaluation. The abundance of stereocenters and resulting structural permutations, paired with the complexity of their (NMR) spectra, make the unambiguous structural analysis of higher-DP OPACs a demanding task. In order to achieve this, the following list of recommended critical check points for the unambiguous stereochemical elucidation of (O)PACs has emerged from the present study and in conjunction with our prior (O)PAC studies.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures

The ECD spectra were measured on a Jasco J-710 circular dichroism spectrometer. Mass spectrometry was carried out with an IT-TOF hybrid mass spectrometer (IT-TOF LC-MS, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The first preparative fractionation was performed on a centrifugal partition chromatography (CPC) extractor, SCPC-250-B (Armen Instrument Gilson Inc. SAS, France), which was equipped with a 250 mL rotor and a 50 mL sample loop. Sephadex LH-20 (Sigma) was used for column chromatography. Preparative HPLC was carried out using a Waters 600 HPLC system equipped with a Waters 2996 photodiode array detector, using a YMC-Pack ODS-AQ (250 × 10 mm, S-5, 12 nm) column. The normalphase HPLC profiles of CPC fractions were obtained using a Phenomenex Develosil Diol 100A (250 × 4.6 mm) column. 1D and 2D NMR experiments were performed on a Bruker 900 MHz AVANCE II spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm TCI cryoprobe with the sample temperature regulated at 25 °C (298 K). Low-temperature NMR spectra of **1** and **3** were acquired on a Bruker 800 MHz AVANCE II spectrometer equipped with a TXI RT probe at different temperatures (setting temperatures: 255, 268, 278, 288, 298, 310, 320, and 330 K). To calibrate the temperature, 100% ethylene glycol and 100% MeOH were used for high and low temperatures, respectively. The ¹H NMR spectra of the 70% acetone extract and the trimeric- and tetrameric-enriched fraction were acquired on a Bruker 600 MHz AVANCE spectrometer equipped with a TXI cryoprobe in methanol-*d*4. The chemical shifts of the residual solvent signals at δ_H 3.3100 and δ_C 49.00 were used as the references. The ¹H NMR data were processed with MestReNova (ver. 9.0.0-12821, Mestrelab Research, Santiago de Compostela, Spain) using a Lorentzian–Gaussian window function (line broadening = −0.3, Gaussian factor = 0.05) and double zero-filling prior to Fourier transformation.

Plant Material

The dried powder (484 g) of the stem bark of *Cinnamomum verum* was purchased from Oregon's Wild Harvest (Sandy, OR, USA) in 2012 (No. CIN-07011p-OMH01).

Extraction and Isolation

The dried powder of *C. verum* (484 g) was extracted with 70% acetone in water (3 × 1 L) overnight at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated *in vacuo* to afford a concentrated extract, which was freeze-dried to produce dried powder. The extract (5 g) was subjected to separation on a CPC extractor using the methods as described in a recent report.(8) Briefly, the fractionation was performed in the ascending mode (tail to head) using a solvent system consisting of EtOAc/EtOH/water = 6:1:5 v/v. The rotor speed was set at 500 rpm for filling the coil with the stationary phase and changed to 2500 rpm for equilibration with the mobile phase. The stationary retention volume ratio (*S*f) was 0.6, which was calculated by (*V*column – *V*mobile phase)/*V*column. The powdered extract (5 g) was dissolved in 50 mL of a stationary/mobile phase (50/50) mixture and injected after equilibrium. The fractionation was run in an elution–extrusion CPC method.(38) The elution was performed (0.4 min/tube, 30 mL/min) with 500 mL of mobile phase, followed by the extrusion with 500 mL of stationary phase, affording 80 fractions, which were pooled into 8 fractions on the basis of their TLC profiles. The mixture of CPC fraction 4 (CPC4, 150 mg) was chromatographed on Sephadex LH-20 (2 × 45 cm) with 1:4 MeOH/water followed with 1:1 acetone/water and finally with 7:3 acetone/water to afford four subfractions (CPC4S1– CPC4S4). Fraction CPC4S1 was purified by C_{18} reversed-phase HPLC using an isocratic mixture of MeCN/0.1% formic acid in water (15:85, 2 mL/min) as a solvent system to afford compound **1** (5 mg). Compound **2** (8.5 mg) was purified from the CPC fraction 5 by diol normal-phase HPLC using a gradient solvent system, which was used for the fraction monitoring [A] MeCN/HOAc = 98:2, [B] MeOH/water/HOAc = 95:3:2]. CPC fraction 2 (CPC2, 120 mg) was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 column chromatography (2×45 cm) using the same solvent system that was used for CPC5, to provide three subfractions (CPC2S1–CPC2S3). Fraction CPC2S2 was further separated by C_{18} reversed-phase HPLC, eluted with an isocratic mixture of MeCN/0.1% formic acid in water (15:85, 2 mL/min), to yield compound **3** (10 mg). The purities (%, w/w) of **1**–**3** were calculated as 94.4%, 88.6%, and 90.3%, respectively, using the 100% qHNMR method (Figures S27–S29, Supporting Information).(39)

Epicatechin-(2β→*O*→7,4β→8)-epicatechin-(4β→6)-epicatechin-(2β→*O*→7,4β→8) catechin (1):

brown amorphous powder; ECD (MeOH) λ_{max} (Δε) = 228 (+20.84), 272 (-2.53) nm; ¹H and ¹³C NMR, see Table 1; HRESIMS [M – H][−]m/z 1149.2256 (calcd for C₆₀H₄₅O₂₄, 1149.2301).

Epicatechin-(2β→*O*→7,4β→8)-[epicatechin-(4β→6)]-epicatechin-(4β→8)-epicatechin (parameritannin A1, 2):

brown amorphous powder; ECD (MeOH) λ_{max} (Δε) = 237 (+17.20), 273 (-1.13) nm; ¹H and ¹³C NMR, see Table 1; HRESIMS [M + H]⁺*m*/*z* 1153.2597 (calcd for C₆₀H₄₉O₂₄, 1153.2613).

Epicatechin-(2β→*O*→7,4β→8)-epicatechin-(4β→8)-epicatechin (cinnamtannin B1, 3): brown amorphous powder; ECD (MeOH) λ_{max} (Δε) = 231 (+54.74), 275 (-5.24) nm; ¹H and ¹³C NMR, see Table 2; HRESIMS [M + H]⁺m/z 865.1965 (calcd for C₄₅H₃₇O₁₈, 865.1980).

Computer-Aided NMR Spectral Analysis

The PERCH NMR software package (ver. 2013.1, PERCH Solutions Ltd., Kuopio, Finland) was used for the HiFSA as described in the previous study.(40,41) The resulting HiFSA NMR parameters for **1**–**3** are documented as text files (.pms). For the quantitative analysis of the rotameric population of **3**, the HiFSA parameters of the major and minor rotamers were combined into a single .pms file and imported to the PMS module. Subsequent optimization of the calculated NMR spectra against the

experimental spectrum of **3** used QMTLS iteration. The relative population of each rotamer was obtained.

Dentin Activity Bioassay for Compounds 1–3

Two dentin bioassays were conducted after approval by the Institutional Review Board Committee of the University of Illinois at Chicago (protocol no. 2011-0312). A biomechanical assay utilized demineralized dentin matrices (0.5 mm thickness \times 1.7 mm width \times 6.0 mm length). The apparent modulus of elasticity was assessed under the three-point bending method(7,42) before and after 1 h treatment with compounds **1**–**3** prepared at 0.65% w/v in HEPES buffer at pH 7.2 (*n* = 5).(5,42) Control groups were kept immersed in buffer only. Data were expressed as fold variation of the apparent modulus of elasticity of the dentin matrix before (baseline) and after treatment. The dentin matrix biodegradation bioassay was carried out with the same dentin specimens (*n* = 5). Dentin specimens were dry weighed before (M1) and after (M2) exposure to collagenase digestion media, to calculate the percentage weight of biodegradation (%).(1,5) Digestion protocol used 2 mL/specimen of bacterial collagenase (100 μg/mL *Clostridium histolyticum*; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) in 0.2 M NH₄HCO₃ buffer (pH 7.9) for 24 h at 37 °C. Data were statistically analyzed by one-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test (α = 0.05).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by grants R01DE021040, R56DE021040, and R01DE028194 from NIDCR/NIH. The authors would like to acknowledge that the purchase of the 800 MHz NMR spectrometer used in these studies was funded by grant P41 GM068944 from NIGMS/NIH awarded to Dr. P. Gettins.

References

- Vidal, C. M. P.; Leme, A. A.; Aguiar, T. R.; Phansalkar, R. S.; Nam, J.-W.; Bisson, J.; McAlpine, J. B.; Chen, S.-N.; Pauli, G. F.; Bedran-Russo, A. K. *Langmuir* **2014**, *30*, 14887– 14893, DOI: 10.1021/la5034383
- He, L.; Mu, C.; Shi, J.; Zhang, Q.; Shi, B.; Lin, W. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2011**, *48*, 354– 359, DOI: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2010.12.012
- Bedran-Russo, A. K.; Pashley, D. H.; Agee, K.; Drummond, J. L.; Miescke, K. J. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part B* **2008**, *86*, 330– 334, DOI: 10.1002/jbm.b.31022
- Aydin, B.; Leme-Kraus, A. A.; Vidal, C. M. P.; Aguiar, T. R.; Phansalkar, R. S.; Nam, J.-W.; McAlpine, J. B.; Chen, S.-N.; Pauli, G. F.; Bedran-Russo, A. K. *Dent. Mater.* **2019**, *35*, 328– 334, DOI: 10.1016/j.dental.2018.11.029
- Aguiar, T. R.; Vidal, C. M. P.; Phansalkar, R. S.; Todorova, I.; Napolitano, J. G.; McAlpine, J. B.; Chen, S. N.; Pauli, G. F.; Bedran-Russo, A. K. *J. Dent. Res.* **2014**, *93*, 417– 422, DOI: 10.1177/0022034514523783

Ferreira, D.; Slade, D. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2002**, *19*, 517– 541, DOI: 10.1039/b008741f

Nam, J.-W.; Phansalkar, R. S.; Lankin, D. C.; McAlpine, J. B.; Leme-Kraus, A. A.; Vidal, C. M. P.; Gan, L.- S.; Bedran-Russo, A. K.; Chen, S.-N.; Pauli, G. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, *82*, 1316– 1329, DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b02161

Phansalkar, R. S.; Nam, J.-W.; Chen, S.-N.; McAlpine, J. B.; Leme, A. A.; Aydin, B.; Bedran-Russo, A. K.; Pauli, G. F. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2018**, *1535*, 55– 62, DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2017.12.050

- Nam, J.-W.; Phansalkar, R. S.; Lankin, D. C.; Bisson, J.; McAlpine, J. B.; Leme, A. A.; Vidal, C. M. P.; Ramirez, B.; Niemitz, M.; Bedran-Russo, A. K.; Chen, S.-N.; Pauli, G. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *80*, 7495– 7507, DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01082
- Jing, S.; Zeller, W. E.; Ferreira, D.; Zhou, B.; Nam, J.-W.; Bedran-Russo, A. K.; Chen, S.-N.; Pauli, G. F. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2020**, in press.
- Phansalkar, R. S.; Nam, J.-W.; Leme-Kraus, A. A.; Gan, L.-S.; Zhou, B.; McAlpine, J. B.; Chen, S.- N.; Bedran-Russo, A. K.; Pauli, G. F. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2019**, *82*, 2387– 2399, DOI: 10.1021/acs.jnatprod.8b00953
- Cronje, A.; Burger, J. F. W.; Brandt, E. V.; Kolodziej, H.; Ferreira, D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 3789– 3792, DOI: 10.1016/S0040-4039(00)97472-0
- Khan, M. L.; Haslam, E.; Williamson, M. P. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **1997**, *35*, 854– 858, DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-458X(199712)35:12<854::AID-OMR184>3.0.CO;2-N
- Kozikowski, A. P.; Tueckmantel, W.; Hu, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 1287– 1296, DOI: 10.1021/jo001462y
- Van der Westhuizen, J. H.; Ferreira, D.; Roux, D. G. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1981**, 1220– 1226, DOI: 10.1039/p19810001220
- Cai, Y.; Evans, F. J.; Roberts, M. F.; Phillipson, J. D.; Zenk, M. H.; Gleba, Y. Y. *Phytochemistry* **1991**, *30*, 2033– 2040, DOI: 10.1016/0031-9422(91)85063-6
- Botha, J. J.; Young, D. A.; Ferreira, D.; Roux, D. G. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1981**, 1213– 1219, DOI: 10.1039/p19810001213
- Ding, Y.; Li, X.; Ferreira, D. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2010**, *73*, 435– 440, DOI: 10.1021/np900645c
- Slade, D.; Ferreira, D.; Marais, J. P. J. *Phytochemistry* **2005**, *66*, 2177– 2215, DOI: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2005.02.002
- Dudek, M. K.; Glinski, V. B.; Davy, M. H.; Sliva, D.; Kazmierski, S.; Glinski, J. A. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2017**, *80*, 415– 426, DOI: 10.1021/acs.jnatprod.6b00946
- Kamiya, K.; Watanabe, C.; Endang, H.; Umar, M.; Satake, T. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **2001**, *49*, 551– 557, DOI: 10.1248/cpb.49.551
- Lin, H.-C.; Lee, S.-S. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2010**, *73*, 1375– 1380, DOI: 10.1021/np1002274
- Chen, L.; Sun, P.; Wang, T.; Chen, K.; Jia, Q.; Wang, H.; Li, Y. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2012**, *60*, 9144– 9150, DOI: 10.1021/jf3024535
- Killday, K. B.; Davey, M. H.; Glinski, J. A.; Duan, P.; Veluri, R.; Proni, G.; Daugherty, F. J.; Tempesta, M. S. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2011**, *74*, 1833– 1841, DOI: 10.1021/np1007944
- Lin, G.-M.; Lin, H.-Y.; Hsu, C.-Y.; Chang, S.-T. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2016**, *96*, 4749– 4759, DOI: 10.1002/jsfa.7802
- Torres, J. L.; Lozano, C.; Julià, L.; Sánchez-Baeza, F. J.; Anglada, J. M.; Centelles, J. J.; Cascante, M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2002**, *10*, 2497– 2509, DOI: 10.1016/S0968-0896(02)00127-X
- Jordheim, M.; Fossen, T.; Songstad, J.; Andersen, Ø. M. *J. Agric. Food*
	- *Chem.* **2007**, *55*, 8261– 8268, DOI: 10.1021/jf071132f
- Pedersen, A. T.; Andersen, M.; Aksnes, D. W.; Nerdal, W. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **1993**, *31*, 972– 976, DOI: 10.1002/mrc.1260311104
- Nonaka, G.; Morimoto, S.; Nishioka, I. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1983**, 2139– 2145, DOI: 10.1039/p19830002139
- Shoji, T.; Mutsuga, M.; Nakamura, T.; Kanda, T.; Akiyama, H.; Goda, Y. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2003**, *51*, 3806– 3813, DOI: 10.1021/jf0300184

Abe, Y.; Shoji, T.; Kawahara, N.; Kamakura, H.; Kanda, T.; Goda, Y.; Ozeki, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49*, 6413– 6418, DOI: 10.1016/j.tetlet.2008.08.087

- Esatbeyoglu, T.; Jaschok-Kentner, B.; Wray, V.; Winterhalter, P. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2011**, *59*, 62– 69, DOI: 10.1021/jf1032334
- Tarascou, I.; Barathieu, K.; Simon, C.; Ducasse, M.; André, Y.; Fouquet, E.; Dufourc, E.; de Freitas, V.; Laguerre, M.; Pianet, I. A 3D structural and conformational study of procyanidin dimers in water and hydro-alcoholic media as viewed by NMR and molecular modeling. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **2006**, *44*, 868– 880, DOI: 10.1002/mrc.1867
- Phansalkar, R. S.; Simmler, C.; Bisson, J.; Chen, S.-N.; Lankin, D. C.; McAlpine, J. B.; Pauli, G. F.; Niemitz, M. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2017**, *80*, 634– 647, DOI: 10.1021/acs.jnatprod.6b00923
- Napolitano, J. G.; Lankin, D. C.; Graf, T. N.; Friesen, J. B.; Chen, S.-N.; McAlpine, J. B.; Oberlies, N. H.; Pauli, G. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 2827– 2839, DOI: 10.1021/jo302720h
- Dudek, M. K.; Kazmierski, S.; Stefaniak, K.; Glinski, V. B.; Glinski, J. A. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2014**, *12*, 9837– 9844, DOI: 10.1039/C4OB02086C
- Lou, H.; Yuan, H.; Ma, B.; Ren, D.; Ji, M.; Oka, S. *Phytochemistry* **2004**, *65*, 2391– 2399, DOI: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2004.06.026
- Berthod, A.; Friesen, J. B.; Inui, T.; Pauli, G. F. *Anal. Chem.* **2007**, *79*, 3371– 3382, DOI: 10.1021/ac062397g
- Pauli, G. F.; Chen, S.-N.; Simmler, C.; Lankin, D. C.; Gödecke, T.; Jaki, B. U.; Friesen, J. B.; McAlpine, J. B.; Napolitano, J. G. *J. Med. Chem.* **2014**, *57*, 9220– 9231, DOI: 10.1021/jm500734a
- Napolitano, J. G.; Lankin, D. C.; Chen, S.-N.; Pauli, G. F. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **2012**, *50*, 569– 575, DOI: 10.1002/mrc.3829
- Napolitano, J. G.; Lankin, D. C.; McAlpine, J. B.; Niemitz, M.; Korhonen, S.-P.; Chen, S.-N.; Pauli, G. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 9963– 9968, DOI: 10.1021/jo4011624
- Vidal, C. M. P.; Aguiar, T. R.; Phansalkar, R. S.; McAlpine, J. B.; Napolitano, J. G.; Chen, S.-N.; Araujo, L. S. N.; Pauli, G. F.; Bedran-Russo, A. K. *Acta Biomater.* **2014**, *10*, 3288– 3294, DOI: 10.1016/j.actbio.2014.03.036