Marquette University

e-Publications@Marquette

School of Dentistry Faculty Research and
Publications

Dentistry, School of

10-2020

Bonding Crowns and Bridges with Resin Cement

Nathaniel C. Lawson

Council on Scientific Affairs

Sharukh Khajotia

Council on Scientific Affairs

Ana K. Bedran-Russo

Marquette University, ana.bedran-russo@marquette.edu

Kevin Frazier

Council on Scientific Affairs

Jacob Park

Council on Scientific Affairs

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://epublications.marquette.edu/dentistry_fac

Part of the [Dentistry Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Lawson, Nathaniel C.; Khajotia, Sharukh; Bedran-Russo, Ana K.; Frazier, Kevin; Park, Jacob; Leme-Kraus, Ariene A.; and Urquhart, Olivia, "Bonding Crowns and Bridges with Resin Cement" (2020). *School of Dentistry Faculty Research and Publications*. 386.

https://epublications.marquette.edu/dentistry_fac/386

Authors

Nathaniel C. Lawson, Sharukh Khajotia, Ana K. Bedran-Russo, Kevin Frazier, Jacob Park, Ariene A. Leme-Kraus, and Olivia Urquhart

Marquette University

e-Publications@Marquette

Dentistry Faculty Research and Publications/College of Dentistry

This paper is NOT THE PUBLISHED VERSION.

Access the published version via the link in the citation below.

Journal of the American Dental Association, Vol. 151, No. 10 (October 2020): 796-797, e2. [DOI](#). This article is © Elsevier and permission has been granted for this version to appear in [e-Publications@Marquette](#). Elsevier does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without express permission from Elsevier.

Bonding Crowns and Bridges with Resin Cement: An American Dental Association Clinical Evaluators Panel survey

Nathaniel C. Lawson
Sharukh Khajotia
Ana K. Bedran-Russo
Kevin Frazier
Jacob Park
Ariene Leme-Kraus
Olivia Urquhart

Abstract

Background

Bonding crowns and bridges with resin cement can improve retention and reinforcement of the restoration. However, there is variation in the steps taken by different practitioners to achieve this goal.

Methods

The authors developed a survey on bonding dental crowns and bridges with resin cement and distributed it electronically to the American Dental Association Clinical Evaluators (ACE) Panel on May 22, 2020. The survey remained open for 2 weeks. Descriptive data analysis was conducted using SAS Version 9.4.

Results

A total of 326 panelists responded to the survey, and 86% of respondents who place crowns or bridges use resin cements for bonding. When placing a lithium disilicate restoration, an almost equal proportion of respondents etch it with hydrofluoric acid in their office or asked the laboratory to do it for them, and more than two-thirds use a silane primer before bonding. For zirconia restorations, 70% reported their restorations are sandblasted in the laboratory, and 39% use a primer containing 10-methacryloyloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate. One-half of respondents clean their lithium disilicate or zirconia restorations with a cleaning solution. Resin cements used with a primer in the etch-and-rinse mode are the most widely used. The technique used to cure and clean excess resin cement varies among respondents.

Conclusions

The types of resin cements used, tooth preparation, crown or bridge preparation, and bonding technique vary among this sample.

Practical Implications

Although many dentists bond crowns and bridges on the basis of best practices, improvement in the process may be achieved by dentists communicating with their laboratory to confirm the steps performed there, ensuring an effective cleaning technique is used after try-in and verifying that the correct primer is used with their chosen restorative material.

Key Words

Survey, dental materials, resin cements, crowns

Survey Results

Data reflect the responses of 326 American Dental Association Clinical Evaluators (ACE) Panel member dentists in the United States.

Do you use resin cements?

86%

of respondents use
resin cements for dental
crowns or bridges*

1. Prepare surface of crown or bridge

Etching **lithium disilicate** with hydrofluoric acid[†]

2. Clean surface of crown or bridge

50% of respondents clean their **lithium disilicate** or **zirconia** with a cleaning solution[†]

3. Prime surface of crown or bridge before bonding with resin cement

Lithium disilicate[†]

Zirconia[†]

4. Resin cement choice

5. Resin cement curing

46% Light cure

31% Depends on material and restoration thickness

15% Chemical cure

9% Chemical and light cure

6. Clean excess resin cement (postcementation)[§]

21% Clean unset cement immediately after seating

85% Clean partially cured cement after tack curing

28% Clean fully cured cement after final cure

Demographics (n = 326)

Age, y, Mean (Standard Deviation)	56 (13)
Female/Male, %*	22.1/77.6
Region, % [†]	
Northeast	21.4
Midwest	25.5

West	25.2
South	28.0
Race, %[†]	
White	76.6
Asian	10.2
Other	12.5
Practice Type, %	
General practice	90.1
Specialties	9.9
Occupation, %[†]	
Full-time practice (≥ 30 h/wk)	75.0
Part-time practice (< 30 h/wk)	6.1
Dental school faculty	8.6
Part-time faculty and practice	3.1
Other	7.1

* 1 respondent chose not to respond to this question.

† Percentages may not add up to 100% owing to rounding.

Clinical Insights

Resin cements may be used with an adhesive system (self etch, etch and rinse, universal) or without (self adhesive). Although self-adhesive resin cements are commonly perceived to be less retentive than those used with an adhesive system, evidence suggests there may not be a significant difference for crown cementation.^{1,2} Several factors may contribute to postoperative sensitivity, such as thin dentin thickness; however, the use of either self-etch or etch-and-rinse adhesive strategies is likely not the reason for postoperative sensitivity.³

Cements may also be classified according to the polymerization method (light cured, chemical cured, dual cured [light and chemical]). Light-cured cements are often used to bond veneers owing to their increased working time and color stability. Although dual-cure cements polymerize with light, some dark-shade and opaque restorations may block light and require the clinician to wait for the chemical cure.⁴

Lithium disilicate is usually etched by the dental laboratory with hydrofluoric acid-etching (20-30 seconds); however, additional in-office etching can be performed after try-in without negatively affecting bond strength.⁵ Zirconia is roughened by sandblasting with alumina (5-10 seconds).^{6,7}

After try-in, lithium disilicate restorations can be cleaned with phosphoric acid or a cleaning solution containing either potassium or sodium hydroxide to remove saliva remnants. Alcohol and water rinsing are not sufficient for decontamination,^{8,9} and sandblasting is not recommended because it will obliterate the etched surface and weaken the material.¹⁰ Zirconia restorations can be cleaned with a cleaning solution containing either potassium or sodium hydroxide because phosphoric acid, alcohol, and water rinsing are ineffective.^{11,12}

Silane primers provide a better bond to lithium disilicate than universal adhesives containing silane.¹³ Primers, universal adhesives, and cements incorporating 10-methacryloyloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate (10-MDP) are effective in bonding to zirconia.^{6,7,13}

American Dental Association Clinical Evaluators Panel Methodology

History of the American Dental Association Clinical Evaluators Panel

The American Dental Association Clinical Evaluators (ACE) Panel¹⁴ was first convened in 2006 as a volunteer group of American Dental Association (ADA) members who provided clinical feedback on professional product evaluations for a professional product evaluation newsletter known as the *ADA Professional Product Review*.

In 2013, the ADA Division of Science received software to conduct its own surveys, and the first professional product review survey was deployed in September 2013 to the ACE Panel and a separate random sample of 3,000 dentists. Since then, ADA Science Institute and ADA Science and Research Institute (SRI) staff members have worked with the ACE Panel Oversight Subcommittee of the Council on Scientific Affairs to generate ACE Panel survey results reports.

As of January 2020, the ACE Panel is used to take the pulse of ADA member perceptions and feedback regarding professional products, materials, and clinical technique. The ACE Panel comprises 900 ADA members who have the opportunity to participate in quarterly surveys.

Purpose of the American Dental Association Clinical Evaluators Panel

The ACE Panel is a network of practicing ADA members who want to learn from one another by sharing clinical insights and experiences that can help build science content focused on dental materials and clinical-based research. The ACE Panel is a valuable resource in that it enables ADA members to expand their clinical knowledge about dental products, materials, devices, and drugs. In addition, the ACE Panel provides a platform for dentists to expand their professional network of dental experts and clinical scientists. ACE Panel members also have the opportunity to identify knowledge gaps and areas of future research for the ADA SRI.

Panel Recruitment and Composition

The ADA SRI actively recruits new ACE Panel members through the ADA Meeting, targeted e-mail campaigns, ADA News stories, the ADA Morning Huddle, and science-related ADA continuing education courses for clinicians. Any ADA member can join the ACE Panel by visiting the ACE Panel home page.

Survey Development

A subcommittee of the ADA Council on Scientific Affairs selects topics for each survey on the basis of suggestions from the ACE Panel and ADA SRI priorities. After topic selection, the subcommittee and the ADA staff methodologist (O.U.) develop the survey content in the Qualtrics Research Core platform.¹⁵ When a topic is outside the expertise of the subcommittee, ADA SRI staff members and subcommittee members consult subject matter experts. Before deployment to the ACE Panel, ADA SRI staff members and the subcommittee conduct an iterative process of pretesting the questions with another group of ADA SRI staff members and the subcommittee members to help ensure the comprehensiveness of answer choices, brevity (that is, surveys should take approximately 5 minutes to complete), clarity in

question wording, logic, and response options and response scales (for example, Likert scales and numerical rating scales), among other survey methodology best practices. ADA SRI staff members and the subcommittee deploy the surveys to the ACE Panel electronically via e-mail, including a link to access the questionnaire. All links are set to expire 2 weeks after deployment. One week after deployment, ADA SRI staff members and the subcommittee send e-mail reminders to nonrespondents.

Data Analysis and Reporting

After respondents take the survey, they immediately have access to an interim report containing aggregate data from all respondents to that particular point in time. Two weeks after deployment, ADA SRI staff members export the final data set from Qualtrics Research Core platform to a .csv file and import the file into SAS Version 9.4 for data cleaning, relabeling of variables, and conducting exploratory and descriptive analysis (for example, participant demographics [including sex, age, region, race, practice type, and occupation] and means for continuous variables and proportions for discrete variables). These analyses provide insights as to which data will be prioritized for reporting and in which format. Next, in consultation with a graphic designer, ADA SRI staff members develop infographics to illustrate the most relevant results and elaborate clinical insights to facilitate the use and contextualization of the information from the survey. The collection of final reports for ACE Panel surveys are published in *The Journal of the American Dental Association* and are available electronically in the ACE Panel report library.¹⁶

Footnotes

* Among those who use resin cement for bonding crowns or bridges, 97% bond lithium disilicate or zirconia.

† The remaining respondents selected other.

‡ Examples include Ivoclean, Zir-Clean, and Katana Cleaner.

§ This question allowed for multiple answers.

References

- 1 R.P. Palacios, G.H. Johnson, K.M. Phillips, A.J. Raigrodski. **Retention of zirconium oxide ceramic crowns with three types of cement.** *J Prosthet Dent*, 96 (2) (2006), pp. 104-114
- 2 C.P. Ernst, E. Aksoy, E. Stender, B. Willershausen. **Influence of different luting concepts on long term retentive strength of zirconia crowns.** *Am J Dent*, 22 (2) (2009), pp. 122-128
- 3 A. Reis, A. Dourado Loguercio, M. Schroeder, I. Luque-Martinez, D. Masterson, L. Cople Maia. **Does the adhesive strategy influence the post-operative sensitivity in adult patients with posterior resin composite restorations? A systematic review and meta-analysis.** *Dent Mater*, 31 (9) (2015), pp. 1052-1067
- 4 N. Ilie, B. Stawarczyk. **Quantification of the amount of light passing through zirconia: the effect of material shade, thickness, and curing conditions.** *J Dent*, 42 (6) (2014), pp. 684-690
- 5 R. Fabian Fonzar, C. Goracci, M. Carrabba, C. Louca, M. Ferrari, A. Vichi. **Influence of acid concentration and etching time on composite cement adhesion to lithium-silicate glass ceramics.** *J Adhes Dent*, 22 (2) (2020), pp. 175-182
- 6 M. Inokoshi, J. De Munck, S. Minakuchi, B. Van Meerbeek. **Meta-analysis of bonding effectiveness to zirconia ceramics.** *J Dent Res*, 93 (4) (2014), pp. 329-334

- 7 M. Özcan, M. Bernasconi. **Adhesion to zirconia used for dental restorations: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** *J Adhes Dent*, 17 (1) (2015), pp. 7-26
- 8 K. Klosa, S. Wolfart, F. Lehmann, H.J. Wenz, M. Kern. **The effect of storage conditions, contamination modes and cleaning procedures on the resin bond strength to lithium disilicate ceramic.** *J Adhes Dent*, 11 (2) (2009), pp. 127-135
- 9 S. Marfenko, M. Özcan, T. Attin, T.T. Tauböck. **Treatment of surface contamination of lithium disilicate ceramic before adhesive luting.** *Am J Dent*, 33 (1) (2020), pp. 33-38
- 10 T.S. Menees, N.C. Lawson, P.R. Beck, J.O. Burgess. **Influence of particle abrasion or hydrofluoric acid etching on lithium disilicate flexural strength.** *J Prosthet Dent*, 112 (5) (2014), pp. 1164-1170
- 11 A. Samran, A. Al-Ammari, S. El Bahra, E. Halboub, S. Wille, M. Kern. **Bond strength durability of self-adhesive resin cements to zirconia ceramic: an in vitro study.** *J Prosthet Dent*, 121 (3) (2019), pp. 477-484
- 12 P. Angkasith, J.O. Burgess, M.C. Bottino, N.C. Lawson. **Cleaning methods for zirconia following salivary contamination.** *J Prosthodont*, 25 (5) (2016), pp. 375-379
- 13 A. Elsayed, F. Younes, F. Lehmann, M. Kern. **Tensile bond strength of so-called universal primers and universal multimode adhesives to zirconia and lithium disilicate ceramics.** *J Adhes Dent*, 19 (3) (2017), pp. 221-228
- 14 American Dental Association. **ADA Clinical Evaluators (ACE) Panel.** Available at: <https://www.ada.org/en/science-research/ada-clinical-evaluations-panel>, Accessed 7th Jul 2020
- 15 **Online Survey Platform | Qualtrics.** Available at: <https://www.qualtrics.com/>, Accessed 7th Jul 2020
- 16 American Dental Association. **ACE Panel Report Library.** Available at: <https://www.ada.org/en/science-research/ada-clinical-evaluations-panel/ace-panel-report-library>, Accessed 7th Jul 2020