Marquette University

e-Publications@Marquette

College of Nursing Faculty Research and Publications

Nursing, College of

9-1-2017

A Minimal Contact Diet and Physical Activity Intervention for White-Collar Workers

Kimberlee A. Gretebeck *Marquette University*, kimberlee.gretebeck@marquette.edu

Tatiana Bailey University of Colorado - Colorado Springs

Randall J. Gretebeck University of Wisconsin–Madison

Follow this and additional works at: https://epublications.marquette.edu/nursing_fac

Part of the Nursing Commons

Recommended Citation

Gretebeck, Kimberlee A.; Bailey, Tatiana; and Gretebeck, Randall J., "A Minimal Contact Diet and Physical Activity Intervention for White-Collar Workers" (2017). *College of Nursing Faculty Research and Publications*. 578.

https://epublications.marquette.edu/nursing_fac/578

Marquette University

e-Publications@Marquette

Nursing Faculty Research and Publications/College of Nursing

This paper is NOT THE PUBLISHED VERSION; but the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript. The published version may be accessed by following the link in the citation below.

Workplace Health & Safety, Vol. 65, No. 9 (September, 2017): 417-423. <u>DOI</u>. This article is © SAGE Publications and permission has been granted for this version to appear in <u>e-</u> <u>Publications@Marquette</u>. SAGE Publications does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from SAGE Publications.

Contents

bstract	2
eywords	2
1ethod	4
Intervention	4
1easures	5
esults	6
iscussion	8
Applying Research to Practice	.10
onflict of Interest	.10
unding	.10
eferences	.10

A Minimal Contact Diet and Physical Activity Intervention for White-Collar Workers

Kimberlee A. Gretebeck

University of Wisconsin Madison, Madison, WI **Tatiana Bailey** College of Business, University of Colorado-Colorado Springs, CO **Randall J. Gretebeck** University of Wisconsin Madison, Madison, WI

Abstract

Minimal contact lifestyle interventions with multiple components coupled with health screening have the potential to improve worker health. The purpose of this study was to test a minimal contact multiple component lifestyle diet and exercise intervention. The multiple components that were included in this project included a worksite health screening, brief counseling session, emailed newsletter, and a pedometer. In response to the intervention, participants reported an increase in green salad, fruit, and vegetable consumption as well as an increase in self-efficacy for consuming three servings of fruits and vegetables a day. Study participants also demonstrated a significant increase in physical activity as shown by their responses to the question, "During leisure time I walk." A minimal contact multiple component worksite health promotion program with relatively high feasibility and low cost can improve workers' health-related behaviors, and participants can become more aware of their health.

Keywords diet, email, health promotion, physical activity

Diets high in fat and low in fruits and vegetables have been associated with chronic diseases and risk factors including cardiovascular disease, stroke, cancer, diabetes, and obesity (<u>de Souza, Swain, Appel, & Sacks, 2008</u>; <u>Moore & Thompson, 2015</u>). Unfortunately, despite nutrition education and health campaigns, more than 80% of Americans do not meet dietary recommendations (<u>DeSalvo, Olson, & Casavale, 2016</u>). Similarly, the disease outcomes mentioned above are also related to low levels of physical activity (<u>Booth, Roberts, & Laye, 2012</u>). More than 23% of adults report no leisure-time activity and less than half of American adults meet the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)/American College of Sports Medicine physical activity recommendations (<u>Arias-Palencia et al., 2015</u>).

Large-scale programs such as CDC's 5-A-Day Program and National Physical Activity Initiative have increased awareness for many Americans, but changes in actual behavior are small (<u>C. Rooney et al.,</u> 2016). In part, this lack of behavior change may be due to campaigns that have not incorporated behavior-change principles (i.e., personally relevant motivators, goal setting, and targeted change for specific groups of individuals). In-person counseling can and does use those principles and it is possible to improve health behaviors through intensive counseling and intervention (<u>Stonerock & Blumenthal</u>, 2016). However, intensive interventions may not be feasible for public health settings, and program cost may limit their usefulness in worksite settings (<u>Hannon et al., 2012</u>). Effective and efficient program designs and delivery methods are needed.

Multi-component interventions are more desirable than one-dimensional strategies. The literature has demonstrated that one-dimensional approaches (e.g., mailing a letter) do not effectively impact behavior change (<u>Schroer, Haupt, & Pieper, 2014</u>). Projects that include two or more intervention strategies tend to demonstrate significant, positive changes in behavior and outcomes (<u>Schroer et al., 2014</u>).

Because approximately 142 million Americans are active in the U.S. labor force and employers provide health care coverage to approximately 70% of these employees, offering worksite health screenings is both logistically and economically advantageous (Hewitt, 2015). If worksite health screenings are offered broadly, risk factors for chronic disease can be identified and treated at an early stage prolonging years of life and improving quality of life. Worksite health screenings may better accomplish cost and health objectives than individual clinical interventions because transportation, appointment time, and other access barriers are eliminated at the worksite and the legitimacy of preventive health services at the worksite is promoted. Standard office-based approaches have had limited success; nurse-based care with health education has demonstrated greater efficacy (Berra, Miller, & Jennings, 2011). Worksite interventions are often nurse-based and stress health promotion, disease and injury prevention, health education and health coaching. Thus, the worksite is an ideal setting for the delivery of health promotion and health maintenance services.

Minimal contact lifestyle physical activity interventions have been moderately successful at increasing physical activity (Croteau, 2004b; DuVall, Dinger, Taylor, & Bemben, 2004; B. Rooney, Smalley, Larson, & Havens, 2003). These interventions are relatively low cost, require little face-to-face interaction between participants and those delivering the interventions, and encourage worker involvement in self-selected physical activity throughout the day. For some of these interventions, participants received pedometers to monitor their ambulatory physical activity. In addition, some studies have used interventions specifically based on pedometer measurements with participants recording steps per day thus increasing participant self-efficacy and motivation to be physically active (Croteau, 2004b; Dinger, Heesch, Cipriani, & Qualls, 2007; Pinto et al., 2002). The purpose of this study was to test a minimal contact multiple component lifestyle diet and physical activity intervention. The multiple components that were included in this project were a worksite health screening, brief counseling session, a monthly emailed newsletter, and a pedometer that was used to encourage workers to participate in the program.

Method

This study used a pre-experimental one-group, pretest–posttest design with outcome variables collected at baseline and immediately following the 8-month intervention. All data collection took place at the worksite from 2007 through 2008. Participants were recruited from an automotive company located in southeastern Michigan. Inclusion criteria included white-collar workers who mainly worked in offices and performed tasks requiring mental effort, were age 18 years and older, and had no physical limitations. A total of 347 workers volunteered to participate in this study and were asked to complete a self-administered questionnaire, along with height, weight and blood pressure measurements. Twenty-seven respondents were excluded from the study due to omission of questionnaire responses (i.e., at least half of each survey scale was omitted), leaving 320 workers who began the study. After 1 year, 189 participants were no longer employed at the worksite due to layoffs and transfers and thus could not complete the second questionnaire. A final sample of 131 (41%) participants completed the intervention and the postintervention data collection.

Intervention

Participant screening included height, weight, blood pressure, dietary habits, and physical activity habits. Participants then received a short 15-minute counseling session from a nurse tailored to the information from the screening. A monthly newsletter was emailed to all the employees at the worksite (Table 1). The primary focus of the newsletter was to increase self-efficacy for improving dietary habits and increasing physical activity. Self-efficacy plays an important role in both the adoption and maintenance of lifestyle behaviors in adult populations (Stoutenberg et al., 2016). Self-efficacy is the confidence individuals have in their ability to perform a specific behavior such as physical activity and diet. In both descriptive and experimental studies, self-efficacy has been shown to be a consistent predictor of short- and long-term success in changing health-related behaviors, including physical activity and healthy eating (Hamilton, Warner, & Schwarzer, 2016; Stoutenberg et al., 2016).

Table 1. Email Newslette	er Outline	
Email newsletter	Торіс	Methods and strategies
Month 1—July	Starting a Health Improvement Program	Planning for physical activity including setting manageable goals; joining with others; including variety in physical activity with many examples of activities; including self-rewards for achieving goals; using the pedometer to set a goal of 6,000 to 10,000 steps weekly.
Month 2—August	Overcoming Barriers to Physical Activity	Monitor daily activities to identify where physical activity can be scheduled; plan to be active when energy levels are higher; work out with a friend; warm up and cool down to avoid injury; start with activities that require little skill; plan to include physical activity when traveling; examples of activities and how long it takes to burn 150 calories.
Month 3—September	The Dash Eating Plan	Reading food labels and reducing saturated and trans fats; increase fiber and vitamins; how to use the % daily value column on food labels as well as calories; keeping fat intake between 20% and 35% of total calories; an example of a food label with explanations.
Month 4—October	Choosing Fats Wisely	Use sharper cheese for flavor with a smaller quantity; experiment to find low-fat, light, and fat-free products that taste good to you; try substituting low or no sugar preserves for butter on toast, or yogurt for sour cream; use vinegar for salads, and lemon or lime on vegetables and fish instead of sauces; examples of saturated and trans fats to avoid.
Month 5—November	Stress	Examples were provided on how stress manifests itself. Strategies for dealing with stress included regular physical activity; take time for hobbies and enjoyable activities; taking time daily to sit quietly and think of pleasant things; limit alcohol, smoking, and overeating; strategies include the family in group activities, social networking and esteem building.
Month 6—December	Holiday Season Diet and Exercise	During holidays mark your physical activity program on the calendar and consider it as important as any other appointment; cut back on family television viewing and use the time off for physically active family outings; watch portion sizes and select one or two favorites from the host of tempting foods; substitute bowls of fresh fruit for candy or chocolates; use leftover turkey for salads. Examples were provided on the benefits of walking.
Month 7—January	Maintaining a Healthy Lifestyle	Interruptions in physical activity, diet or any lifestyle change are inevitable, but it is important that a lapse not become a relapse or collapse into the old unhealthy lifestyle. Enlist social support to get back on track; avoid negative self-talk and "all or none" thinking; use self-monitoring; many high risk situations are predictable so anticipate, prepare, and rehearse in your mind how to deal with situations.
Month 8—February	Stages of Readiness for Behavior Change	Most people move through a series of five stage of readiness as they change behaviors (Transtheoretical Model) and what helps someone in one stage may not work for someone in another stage; effort and commitment are needed at each stage; lapses are an expected part of the process; success rates increase when self-confidence is high.

Measures

Questionnaires included questions about individual characteristics (e.g., age, sex), physical activity, dietary habits, self-efficacy for exercise, self-efficacy for a healthier diet, self-efficacy for a healthier lifestyle, and stress. One nurse measured height and weight using a clinic-type scale and stadiometer; participants wore light clothing and no shoes. Systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were measured twice by trained nursing staff using a mercury sphygmomanometer with an appropriately sized cuff on the right arm while sitting and after a 5-minute rest.

Physical activity, an outcome variable, was assessed with the Baecke Physical Activity Questionnaire, a reliable and valid self-reported questionnaire developed for the assessment of habitual physical activity in epidemiologic studies (Baecke, Burema, & Frijters, 1982; Philippaerts, Westerterp, & Lefevre, 1999). The questionnaire was composed of three indices: work (Items 1-8), sport (Items 9-12), and nonsport leisure-time activity (Items 13-16). Items in each index were scored on a 5-point Likert-type scale, with descriptors ranging from *never* to *always*. Three additional items provided data to calculate the simple sport score, including the type of activity and the number of hours weekly and number of months yearly that the individuals participated in the activity. The researchers applied specific scoring criteria for each index; the unitless score ranged from 1 to 5 (Baecke et al., 1982). The three indices were summed to yield a continuous total physical activity score ranging from 3 to 15.

Dietary habits were assessed with the 5-A-Day Food Frequency Questionnaire to estimate fruit-andvegetable intake in the past month (<u>Di Noia & Contento, 2009</u>). For each of the questionnaire items, participants were asked how often they "ate or drank each of the items of food in the past month." Answer choices were coded as follows: Never (1); 1 to 3 times per month (2); 1 to 2 times per week (3); 3 to 4 times per week (4); 5 to 6 times per week (5); 1 time per day (6); 2 times per day (7); 3 times per day (8); 4 times per day (9); 5 or more times per day (10).

Intake of French fries or fried potatoes was excluded from the computation of fruit and vegetable servings. Reliability and validity of the questionnaire has been established (<u>Warneke, Davis, De Moor, &</u> <u>Baranowski, 2001</u>).

The self-efficacy measures did not reflect program duration (8 months) because participants were not asked to change their behavior over the duration of the study. Self-efficacy for exercise was assessed by asking, "How sure are you that you can exercise for 30 minutes at least 3 days per week over the next 12 months?" Likewise, self-efficacy for a healthier lifestyle was assessed by asking, "How sure are you that you can live a healthier lifestyle over the next 12 months?" Both items were scaled from 0 (*completely unsure*) to 10 (*completely sure*). Self-efficacy for a healthier diet was assessed with two items, "How sure are you that you can eat at least 3 servings of vegetables and fruit each day?" and "How sure are you that you can eat at least 5 servings of vegetables and fruit each day?" Items were scaled from 0 (*very unsure*) to 4 (*very sure*); an average score was calculated. Stress was assessed with two items, "How would you rate your overall stress level?" scaled 0 (*no stress*) to 4 (*very high*) and "During the past 30 days, how often did you have a lot of psychological distress?" scaled 0 (*never*) to 4 (*often*). In addition to descriptive statistics, paired t tests were computed to determine differences from baseline to post intervention for physical measures as well as questionnaire items. The α level was set at .05 for all comparisons.

Results

Of the 131 participants completing the 8-month program, ages ranged from 26 to 67 years. Average height and weight for the female participants was $164.8 \pm 6.1 \text{ cm} (64.6 \pm 2.4 \text{ inches})$ and $82.3 \pm 20.4 \text{ kg} (181.1 \pm 44.9 \text{ pounds})$, respectively; for the male participants $177.3 \pm 8.9 \text{ cm} (69.9 \pm 3.5 \text{ inches})$ and $87.1 \pm 14.8 \text{ kg} (191.6 \pm 32.5 \text{ pounds})$, respectively. Blood pressure and body mass index (BMI) did not significantly change between baseline and postintervention measures.

The dietary questions showed that most participants increased servings of green salad, fruits, and vegetables (<u>Table 2</u>). Interestingly, self-efficacy for consuming three servings of fruits and vegetables a day increased significantly but not for consuming five servings. For the physical activity questions, no change in total physical activity or in the work, sport, or leisure-time indexes was found. However, a significant increase in participants' response to the question "During leisure time I walk" (<u>Table 2</u>) was documented. Self-efficacy did not improve for living a healthier lifestyle or participating in physical activity three times a week.

	Baseline		Post-intervention		
Variable	М	SD	М	SD	p value
Physical characteristics					
Weight (kg)	85.4	17.4	84.2	18.8	.08
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	28.8	5.6	28.4	5.9	.06
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	121.6	13.1	123.9	12.3	.15
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	79.3	8.4	79.1	8.5	.77
Physical activity					
Total physical activity	7.9	1.1	8.0	1.0	.14
Work index	2.5	0.29	2.5	0.33	.31
Sport index	2.5	0.68	2.6	0.71	.27
Leisure-time index	2.9	0.58	2.9	0.63	.46
During leisure time I walk	2.2	0.83	2.4	0.83	.005*
Diet					
Servings of potatoes	1.37	0.82	1.33	0.87	.546
Servings of vegetables (nonstarch)	3.9	1.8	4.0	1.8	.005*
Servings of fruits	4.1	1.9	4.4	1.8	.037*
Servings of green salad	2.8	1.4	3.1	1.5	.024*
Self-efficacy					
Self-efficacy three servings of fruits and vegetables daily	1.21	1.0	1.42	1.1	.036*
Self-efficacy five servings of fruits and vegetables daily	2.02	1.2	2.13	1.2	.247
Self-efficacy for exercise	8.14	2.2	8.14	2.0	.965
Self-efficacy for a healthier lifestyle	7.93	1.8	7.98	1.8	.779

A key goal of the pilot project was to determine the feasibility of developing and delivering a minimal contact intervention which was clearly established. <u>Table 3</u> shows that 67% of the participants thought the program was helpful or very helpful in making them more aware of their health, 55.3 % indicated that the program was helpful or very helpful in helping them become healthier or maintain health, and 64% responded that this study helped them take control of their health. For the diet and physical activity questions, 46.1% indicated that the program was helpful or very helpful or very helpful in program was helpful or very helpful in helping them eat healthier and 52.7% responded that the program was helpful or very helpful in participants becoming more active. A high percentage of participants indicated that the email newsletters were informative (62.3%).

	Likert-type scale responses: 1 = not at all help to 5 = very helpful				
Item	1	2	3	4	5
This study helped me be more aware about my health	0	6.8	26.3	44.4	22.6
This study helped me become healthier or maintain health	0	9.1	35.6	39.4	15.9
This study helped me eat healthier	0	12.5	41.4	33.6	12.5
This study helped me be more active	0.8	11.5	35.1	35.1	17.6
The study helped me take more control of my health	0	7.6	28.0	48.5	15.9
The newsletters were informative	0.8	6.9	26.2	40.0	22.3

Discussion

This study demonstrated that it is feasible to deliver a minimal contact nutrition and physical activity intervention program for white-collar workers at the worksite and can achieve significant improvements in physical activity and dietary behavior. Significant improvements in both fruit-and-vegetable intake and leisure-time walking were documented, although no changes in blood pressure or BMI were recorded. However, because the study did not incorporate a randomized design and only 41% of initial participants completed the study (primarily due to company layoffs), more definite conclusions must await further research.

<u>Block, Block, Wakimoto, and Block (2004)</u> evaluated an email intervention in a corporate setting to improve dietary habits. Their 12-week intervention used a tailored approach; using baseline data, individuals were placed into one of seven "lifestyle paths" depending on how much the participant cooked or ate in restaurants. Separate weekly email messages were then sent to each category of individuals. Their findings were similar to the present study with increased consumption of fruits and vegetables. The advantages of tailored interventions have been clearly demonstrated when promoting behavior change (Pignone et al., 2003; Rosal et al., 2001). However, tailoring adds expense and complexity, and if cost and simplicity are essential to providing a worksite health promotion program, tailoring may reduce feasibility. Each newsletter developed for the study intervention had its own theme and was based on self-efficacy, but provided many different strategies for improving particular health behaviors. Because the study population was white-collar workers, each with their own computer at work (and presumably at home), it was assumed that these individuals were experienced in "surfing the net" and browsing through large databases, pausing to focus on topics or ideas the individual found useful. This approach is in effect a form of "self-tailoring" because individuals are free to causally peruse the newsletter looking for information they find helpful.

Although tailoring was not used in the development of the newsletters for this project, tailoring did play a role in health screening which was part of the intervention. The screening included height, weight, blood pressure, dietary habits and physical activity. Immediately following the health screening, participants received a short 15-minute, nurse-led counseling session tailored to screening outcomes. A survey by Hewitt Associates in 2015 showed 72% of 1,000 employer survey respondents reported having some form of health initiative (<u>Hewitt, 2015</u>). Increasingly, evidence supporting the cost-effectiveness of such programs is compelling. Because screening is already common in the workplace, screenings can provide a baseline and a context to support individual behavior change, especially since this brief intervention can be delivered quickly and easily through email. Because the project began with personal contact and tailored behavior change counseling, the monthly newsletters associated with the project did not appear as random health education messages appearing in their mail boxes and were therefore more relevant to participants.

A number of studies have used pedometers to increase physical activity, but not through a worksite intervention (Croteau, 2004a, 2004b; Dinger et al., 2007). Dinger, Heesch, and McClary (2005) recruited community participants for a minimal contact study; participants were given a pedometer, 6 weeks' worth of step log sheets, self-addressed envelopes, and some brochures describing strategies for increasing physical activity as well as risks and benefits of physical activity. Each week the participants received email messages targeted toward increased activity based on the Transtheoretical Model. After the 6-week intervention, participants showed a significant increase in minutes spent walking and improvement in many of the Transtheoretical Model constructs. Although this study was minimal contact, it did impose a greater burden on participants as well as on those conducting the study who had to analyze the log sheets and deliver the email messages. The present study used several low cost strategies (i.e., health screening followed by a tailored health counseling session by a nurse, pedometers, and monthly newsletters) and found a significant increase in leisure-time walking, significant changes in dietary and physical activity behaviors, and self-efficacy for changing dietary and physical activity behavior. This approach may be promising in other worksite settings, especially those in which resources are limited.

Although the worksite offers unique opportunities to develop health promotion interventions, these efforts are not without challenges related to advancing the health of the population while protecting individual workers' rights to privacy and freedom of choice. Health promotion is focused on preventing disease, increasing longevity, and improving quality of life, with additional benefits from the employers' perspective of improved productivity and fewer health care costs. However, differences in individuals' beliefs and values about health and the role of government and employers do exist (Buchanan, 2006). Because of these conflicts, occupational health promotion efforts may be viewed by employees as paternalistic or intrusive. When company resources are limited, as they clearly were during the course of this investigation as evidenced by the large number of layoffs, employees may harbor negative feelings about the use of these resources for health promotion at the workplace. Workers may believe that capital would be better spent on employee pay and benefits or workplace improvements for enhanced safety and comfort (<u>Strasser, Gates, & Brehm, 2010</u>).

Given the wide diversity of workplace settings, it is beneficial to also have a wide variety of options when designing worksite health promotion programs. The minimal contact program presented is low in cost, but still showed improvements in worker health-related behaviors, and the participants found the information helpful.

This study was not a randomized controlled trial and therefore the results must be viewed with caution. The purpose of the study was to test, in a real-world situation, the feasibility of the delivery method and the participation of and acceptability to a company and its employees. Application of a randomized design would not have served this purpose, nor did the available funding and time frame permit it. However, the dose-response relationship suggests a real effect. The 41% response rate for the final evaluation is also a limitation, due to the "real-life setting" and rapid changes in the workplace, especially in the auto industry.

Applying Research to Practice

This study demonstrated the feasibility of delivering a minimal contact nutrition and physical activity intervention program in a white-collar worksite and achieving significant improvements in physical activity and dietary behavior. Significant improvements in both fruit-and-vegetable intake as well as leisure-time walking were documented. Because screening is common in the workplace, it can be used to provide baseline data and a context for individual behavior change, especially since this brief intervention can be delivered quickly and easily through email, and provide information for improving health including technological and electronic advancements in the health promotion arena.

Conflict of Interest

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This research was funded by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan Foundation.

References

- Arias-Palencia, N. M., Solera-Martinez, M., Gracia-Marco, L., Silva, P., Martinez-Vizcaino, V., Canete-Garcia-Prieto, J., Sanchez-Lopez, M. (2015). Levels and patterns of objectively assessed physical activity and compliance with different public health guidelines in university students. PLoS ONE, 10(11), e0141977. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141977
- Baecke, J. A., Burema, J., & Frijters, J. E. (1982). A short questionnaire for the measurement of habitual physical activity in epidemiological studies. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 36, 936-942.
- Berra, K., Miller, N. H., & Jennings, C. (2011). Nurse-based models for cardiovascular disease prevention: From research to clinical practice. *Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*, 26 (4 Suppl.), S46-S55. doi:10.1097/JCN.0b013e318213ef5c.
- Block, G., Block, T., Wakimoto, P., & Block, C. H. (2004). Demonstration of an E-mailed worksite nutrition intervention program. *Preventing Chronic Disease*, 1(4), A06.
- Booth, F. W., Roberts, C. K., & Laye, M. J. (2012). Lack of exercise is a major cause of chronic diseases. *Comprehensive Physiology*, 2, 1143-1211. doi:10.1002/cphy.c110025
- Buchanan, D. (2006). Moral reasoning as a model for health promotion. *Social Science & Medicine*, 63, 2715-2726. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2006.07.002
- Croteau, K. A. (2004a). A preliminary study on the impact of a pedometer-based intervention on daily steps. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 18, 217-220.
- Croteau, K. A. (2004b). Strategies used to increase lifestyle physical activity in a pedometer-based intervention. *Journal of Allied Health*, 33, 278-281.
- DeSalvo, K. B., Olson, R., & Casavale, K. O. (2016). Dietary guidelines for Americans. Journal of the *American Medical Association*, 315, 457-458. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.18396
- de Souza, R. J., Swain, J. F., Appel, L. J., & Sacks, F. M. (2008). Alternatives for macronutrient intake and chronic disease: A comparison of the OmniHeart diets with popular diets and with dietary recommendations. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 88, 1-11.

- Dinger, M. K., Heesch, K. C., Cipriani, G., & Qualls, M. (2007). Comparison of two email-delivered, pedometer-based interventions to promote walking among insufficiently active women. *Journal* of Science and Medicine in Sport, 10, 297-302. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2006.07.011
- Dinger, M. K., Heesch, K. C., & McClary, K. R. (2005). Feasibility of a minimal contact intervention to promote walking among insufficiently active women. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 20, 2-6.
- Di Noia, J., & Contento, I. R. (2009). Use of a brief food frequency questionnaire for estimating daily number of servings of fruits and vegetables in a minority adolescent population. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 109, 1785-1789. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2009.07.002
- DuVall, C., Dinger, M. K., Taylor, E. L., & Bemben, D. (2004). Minimal-contact physical activity interventions in women: A pilot study. *American Journal of Health Behavior*, 28, 280-286.
- Hamilton, K., Warner, L. M., & Schwarzer, R. (2016). The role of self-efficacy and friend support on adolescent vigorous physical activity. *Health Education & Behavior*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1177/1090198116648266
- Hannon, P. A., Garson, G., Harris, J. R., Hammerback, K., Sopher, C. J., & Clegg-Thorp, C. (2012).
 Workplace health promotion implementation, readiness, and capacity among midsize employers in low-wage industries: A national survey. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 54, 1337-1343. doi:10.1097/JOM.0b013e3182717cf2
- Hewitt, A. (2015). 2015 Aon Hewitt Health Care s\Survey. Retrieved from http://www.aon.com/human-capital-consulting/thought-leadership/health/2015-health-care-survey.jsp
- Moore, L. V., & Thompson, F. E. (2015). Adults meeting fruit and vegetable intake recommendations— United States, 2013. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 64(26), 709-713.
- Philippaerts, R. M., Westerterp, K. R., & Lefevre, J. (1999). Doubly labelled water validation of three physical activity questionnaires. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 20, 284-289. doi:10.1055/s-2007-971132
- Pignone, M. P., Ammerman, A., Fernandez, L., Orleans, C. T., Pender, N., Woolf, S., . . . Sutton, S. (2003).
 Counseling to promote a healthy diet in Adults: A summary of the evidence for the U.S.
 Preventive Services Task Force. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 24, 75-92.
- Pinto, B. M., Friedman, R., Marcus, B. H., Kelley, H., Tennstedt, S., & Gillman, M. W. (2002). Effects of a computer-based, telephone-counseling system on physical activity. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 23, 113-120.
- Rooney, B., Smalley, K., Larson, J., & Havens, S. (2003). Is knowing enough? Increasing physical activity by wearing a pedometer. *Wisconsin Medical Society*, 102(4), 31-36
- Rooney, C., McKinley, M. C., Appleton, K. M., Young, I. S., McGrath, A. J., Draffin, C. R., . . . Woodside, J. V. (2016). How much is "5-a-day"? A qualitative investigation into consumer understanding of fruit and vegetable intake guidelines. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1111/jhn.12393
- Rosal, M. C., Ebbeling, C. B., Lofgren, I., Ockene, J. K., Ockene, I. S., & Hebert, J. R. (2001). Facilitating dietary change: The patient-centered counseling model. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 101, 332-341. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(01)00086-4
- Schroer, S., Haupt, J., & Pieper, C. (2014). Evidence-based lifestyle interventions in the workplace—An overview. *Occupational Medicine (London)*, 64, 8-12. doi:10.1093/occmed/kqt136

- Stonerock, G. L., & Blumenthal, J. A. (2016). Role of counseling to promote adherence in healthy lifestyle medicine: Strategies to improve exercise adherence and enhance physical activity. *Progress in Cardiovascular Disease*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1016/j.pcad.2016.09.003
- Stoutenberg, M., Falcon, A., Arheart, K., Stasi, S., Portacio, F., Stepanenko, B., . . . Nackenson, J. (2016).
 Implementation of lifestyle modification program focusing on physical activity and dietary habits in a large group, community-based setting. *Health Education & Behavior*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1177/1090198116668827
- Strasser, P. B., Gates, D. M., & Brehm, B. J. (2010). Challenges of a worksite health promotion project. *Official Journal of the American Association of Occupational Health Nurses*, 58, 117-122. doi:10.3928/08910162-20100216-02
- Warneke, C. L., Davis, M., De Moor, C., & Baranowski, T. (2001). A 7-item versus 31-item food frequency questionnaire for measuring fruit, juice, and vegetable intake among a predominantly African-American population. *Journal of American Dietetic Association*, 101, 774-779. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(01)00193-6