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As the Latinx community continues to grow in the United States, mental health disparities persist or have worsened in recent years (Webb Hooper et al., 2020). Recent negative sociopolitical rhetoric on immigration policies have centered Latinx communities and highlighted the daily experiences of ethnic discrimination that individuals and families continue to endure. Ethnic discrimination has been consistently linked to poor mental health, particularly depression (Andrade et al., 2021; Lee & Ahn, 2012; Williams et al., 2019). Theoretical frameworks have posited that an underlying pathway linking ethnic discrimination and depression includes engaging in unhealthy behaviors, such as alcohol use (Pascoe & Smart Richman, 2009; Richman et al., 2018). Furthermore, these models suggest that
protection and risk factors can influence the nature of these relationships. To date, most research has focused on internal factors, yet there is an increased need to also understand these relationships within a community context. For example, *social cohesion*, or the presence of trust and reciprocity among neighbors, has been identified as a community-level variable associated with positive outcomes (Hong et al., 2014; Moore & Kawachi, 2017; Mulvaney-Day et al., 2007) that may play a role in mitigating negative psychological outcomes. However, minimal empirical research exists documenting the role of social cohesion in relation to ethnic discrimination, alcohol use, and depression symptoms among Latinx adults. The present study, therefore, examines a moderated mediational model to evaluate the role of alcohol use as a mediator between ethnic discrimination and depression symptoms, which is conditional on the level of social cohesion.

**Ethnic Discrimination and Mental Health**

*Ethnic discrimination* refers to the negative judgment and differential treatment of individuals because of their membership in a racial or ethnic group (Williams et al., 1999). Although a bulk of empirical research has focused on the experiences of Black Americans, approximately 20%–79% of Latinx individuals report instances of ethnic discrimination (Almeida et al., 2016; Arellano-Morales et al., 2015; Boutwell et al., 2017). Recent years have seen an increase in anti-immigrant attitudes, specifically directed at Latinx groups (Newman et al., 2018), resulting in a need to better understand the negative psychological consequences associated with ethnic discrimination among Latinxs. Consistent evidence has shown a robust relationship between ethnic discrimination and depression with Latinx samples (Andrade et al., 2021). For example, a meta-analysis reported a statistically significant effect size in Latinx studies linking ethnic discrimination with increased depression symptoms (Lee & Ahn, 2012).

A potential mediational pathway underlying the relationship between ethnic discrimination and depression symptoms could be engaging in unhealthy behaviors, namely, increased alcohol use (Pascoe & Smart Richman, 2009; Richman et al., 2018). Generally speaking, ethnic discrimination has been associated with an increase in alcohol consumption and drinking-related problems, although some inconsistencies have been noted (Gilbert & Zemore, 2016). Recently, findings from a large nationally representative study indicated that experiences of ethnic discrimination were associated with a 1.5 greater risk for mild alcohol use disorder (AUD), 1.6 greater risk for moderate AUD, and a 2.3 greater risk for severe AUD (Glass et al., 2020). No significant differences were found across racial/ethnic groups, although the authors concluded that experiencing more discriminatory events and poverty may contribute to the severity of AUD. Other recent reports have concluded that there is a stronger correlation between ethnic discrimination and substance use, including alcohol, among Latinx groups than other racial/ethnic groups (Carter et al., 2019; Reyes et al., 2021). A systematic review of research with Latinx samples noted a significant relationship between ethnic discrimination and lifetime AUD (Andrade et al., 2021).

Alcohol use and depression have been highly correlated in the empirical research with both conditions commonly co-occurring. For example, a meta-analysis revealed that the presence of either Major Depression or AUD doubled the risk for the second disorder (Boden & Fergusson, 2011). Furthermore, the authors concluded that the most plausible causal association is one in which AUD increases the risk for depression, not vice versa. In fact, some reports have suggested causal links in which alcohol use
leads to depression (Fergusson et al., 2009). This evidence highlights the detrimental down-stream psychological effects of alcohol use (Johnson et al., 2013). Research has also noted that mental health problems or distress can result in alcohol use as a way of coping with negative internal experiences, commonly referred to as the self-medication hypothesis (Hawn et al., 2020). For example, among a multiethnic sample, depression mediated the relationship between social adversity and heavy alcohol drinking (Milia & Zemore, 2012), indicating that the directionality of these relationships is still unclear. Although inconsistencies exist, theoretical and practical accounts suggest that alcohol use may underlie the relationship between ethnic discrimination and depression symptoms for Latinx individuals, yet more research is needed in this area.

Social Cohesion
Beyond individual variables, community-level factors and characteristics are thought to influence individual health, making it important to take into account the way that community members relate to one another (Sampson et al., 1997). Social cohesion has been defined as “a state of affairs reflecting to what extent individuals in a society can trust, help, and cooperate with one another, share a common identity or sense of belonging, and manifest these feelings in their behavior” (p. 289, Chan et al., 2006). In essence, social cohesion represents a neighborhood-level of trust, reciprocity, mutual aid, and collective support among residents (Hong et al., 2014). The benefits of social cohesion have been thought to include the ability to engage in collective action and support, enforce social norms and health behaviors, communicate solidarity, and facilitate access to key resources (Moore & Kawachi, 2017).

In a seminal article about social cohesion, Kawachi et al. (1997) reported that, among a nationally representative sample, a lack of social trust was related to increased total mortality. More recent work has suggested that social cohesion is associated with positive mental health outcomes (Fone et al., 2007). For example, social cohesion was negatively correlated with psychological distress among a large sample of ethnic-diverse participants, including Latinxs (Rios et al., 2012). Another report indicated that low levels of social cohesion and high levels of neighborhood violence were associated with increased depression scores among healthy adults (Mair et al., 2009). Among a sample of 6,814 multiethnic participants ages 45–84, low social cohesion was associated with higher depression symptoms, increased likelihood to smoke, and decreased tendency to walk for exercise when compared to high social cohesion (Echeverría et al., 2008).

Specific to Latinx groups, social cohesion has been associated with reduced depression among immigrants and Latinx adults (Perez et al., 2015; Vega et al., 2011) and has been shown to moderate the relationship between cultural stressors and externalizing symptoms in Mexican American adolescents (Nair et al., 2013). Studies have also found that low social cohesion was associated with alcohol use (greater binge drinking), among large samples of Mexican American (Vaeth et al., 2015) and Puerto Rican (Vaeth et al., 2019) participants.

Still, some research has noted nonsignificant findings when examining social cohesion with diverse samples (Echeverría et al., 2008). As an example, one empirical study with Latinxs found that social cohesion was correlated with self-reported mental and physical health (Mulvaney-Day et al., 2007). However, these initial findings became nonsignificant when demographic variables, such as family support, education, and income, were included in the model. Although some reports may differ, a bulk
of work reviewed suggests that social cohesion may serve a protective function. It has been postulated that social cohesion can foster a buffering effect by promoting and encouraging desirable health behaviors across the neighborhood (Kim et al., 2020; Murillo et al., 2016). Social cohesion can also provide emotional or social support that translates into adaptive functioning (Hong et al., 2014). As such, it is important to examine the role of social cohesion in the context of ethnic discrimination and mental health.

The purpose of the present study was to examine a moderated mediational model that helps to further elucidate the psychological consequences of ethnic discrimination among Latinx adults. As such, to what extent does social cohesion moderate the ability of alcohol use to mediate the relationship between ethnic discrimination and depression symptoms? It was expected that ethnic discrimination would be indirectly associated with depression symptoms through alcohol use, which would be conditional on the level of social cohesion. It was hypothesized that the conditional indirect effect would show that social cohesion would reduce the ability of alcohol use to function as a mediator while accounting for key covariates.

Method
Participants included 304 Latinx adults who averaged 43.57 years of age ($SD = 15.08$, range = 18–87) the majority of which were women (65%, $n = 198$) and born outside of the United States (86%, $n = 262$). On average, foreign-born individuals reported having immigrated to the United States around the age of 25 ($SD = 12.54$, range = 1–69) and approximately 71% ($n = 212$) indicated being a U.S. citizen. Regarding cultural background, the majority of this sample stated being of Mexican descent (i.e., Mexican, Mexican-American, Chicana/o; 87.3%, $n = 262$). Individuals identifying as Puerto Rican (7.6%, $n = 23$), Central and South American (3%, $n = 9$), or Other group (1.9%, $n = 6$) were also included in the sample. Regarding socioeconomic status, participants predominately reported making an annual household income of $35,000 or less (74.3%, $n = 226$), with about 15% ($n = 46$) reporting between $35,000 and $65,000, and approximately 5% ($n = 15$) stating earning $65,000 or more. As an additional indicator of socioeconomic standing, around 40% ($n = 121$) of the sample indicated having health insurance. Educational attainment reported by participants included less than high school (21.7%, $n = 66$), some high school but no degree (11.2%, $n = 34$), high school degree or equivalency (27.6%, $n = 84$), some college (19.1%, $n = 58$), having a bachelor’s degree (10.5%, $n = 32$), and having a graduate degree (8.2%, $n = 25$).

Procedures
Individuals who self-identified as Latinx/o/a or Hispanic were recruited from local community centers and health clinics which serve the Latinx community. Upon a brief explanation of the study and informed consent, including confidentiality, voluntary nature of the study and compensation, participants were asked to complete a packet of paper and pencil surveys which took approximately 30–45 min to complete. The majority of participants chose to complete the questionnaire packet in Spanish (88%; 12% in English). Bilingual research assistants remained available during data collection to answer any participant questions or needed clarifications. Upon completion of surveys, participants were provided a list of mental health resources within the community offering both Spanish and English services. In addition, each participant was compensated with $20 cash for completion of the
study. All study activities were reviewed and approved by Marquette University’s Institutional Review Board.

Measures

Ethnic Discrimination
The Brief-Perceived Ethnic Discrimination Questionnaire (B-PEDQ; Brondolo et al., 2005) is a 17-item self-report questionnaire that assesses exposure to experiences of racial/ethnic discrimination over an individual’s lifetime. Items from this measure apply to multiple racial and ethnic groups. The questionnaire asks participants to rate how frequently they experienced a series of events because of their race/ethnicity. A sample item is “how often have you been treated unfairly by co-workers or classmates?” Participants rate the frequency of the item using a Likert scale from 1 (never) to 5 (very often). Overall scores were calculated by taking the mean of all the items. Scores ranged from 1 to 5 with higher scores indicating more reports of racial/ethnic discrimination. Brondolo et al. (2005) reported a Cronbach’s α of .87 for the measure. In the present study, the B-PEDQ showed excellent reliability with a α = .92.

Social Cohesion
The Neighborhood Social Cohesion Scale (NSCS; Sampson et al., 1997) is a 10-item self-report measure that asks participants about social connections within their neighborhood. Sample items ask participants to rate the extent to which they agree with statements, such as, “people in the neighborhood can be trusted” and “my neighbors look out for each other” on a 5-point Likert scale from 1 (not at all) to 5 (completely). Total sum scores were calculated with higher scores indicating greater cohesion. The NSCS displayed excellent reliability for the present study with a α = .92.

Family Support
The Mexican American Cultural Values Scale (MACVS; Knight et al., 2010) is a 50-item self-report measure that assesses a person’s traditional Latinx and mainstream U.S. values. For the purpose of the present study, only the family support subscale was used. Participants rated how much they agree with statements, such as, “parents should teach their children that the family always comes first” using a 5-point Likert scale from 1 (not at all) to 5 (completely). Higher scores indicate a higher presence of the cultural value. The family support subscale showed good reliability coefficient with α = .80.

Alcohol Use
The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT; Saunders et al., 1987) is a 10-item self-report measure that assesses a person’s relationship with alcohol including: alcohol intake, potential dependence on alcohol, and experience of alcohol-related harm. Participants respond to items, such as, “how often do you have a drink containing alcohol?” using a Likert scale weighted from 0 to 4, generally focusing on frequency of occurrence. Total scores are summed and can range from 0 to 40. Higher scores indicate higher consumption with scores of 15 or more indicating probable alcohol dependence. The present study showed good reliability coefficient with α = .83.

Depression
A brief version of the Center for Epidemiological Studies—Depression scale (BCES-D; Radloff, 1977) was used to measure depression symptoms. This 10-item version has been shown to display good convergent and divergent validity (Miller et al., 2008). Participants are asked to assess the frequency
with which they have experienced each item within the past week on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (*rarely or none of the time*) to 3 (*most or all of the time*). Scores are summed and range from 0 to 30, with a score of 10 or greater suggesting clinical levels of depression (Andresen et al., 1994). This measure has been used among various Latinx samples previously and displayed good internal consistency (Grzywacz et al., 2010). Reliability coefficients for the present study revealed $\alpha = .79$.

**Data Analysis**

Tests of moderated mediation were conducted using the SPSS macro PROCESS as established by Hayes (2017). Moderated mediation analyses assess the presence of a *conditional indirect effect* of a predictor on an outcome. Moderated mediation evaluates the strength of an indirect effect based on the level or condition of the moderator variable (Preacher et al., 2007). PROCESS uses a bootstrapping methodology, a nonparametric resampling technique, allowing for more robust tests of significance and decreasing likelihood of Type 1 error (Hayes, 2017; Preacher & Hayes, 2008). Bootstrapping calculates conditional indirect effects through thousands of samples drawn from the original study sample, 10,000 in this case, producing percentile confidence intervals. Confidence intervals which do not include the value of zero are indicative of a statistically significant difference from zero at $p < .05$ denoting statistical significance. Furthermore, an Index of Moderated Mediation is calculated testing the statistical significance of the conditional indirect effect.

**Results**

**Descriptive Analyses**

Table 1 provides means, standard deviations, and correlations for main study variables. Using a previously established clinical cutoff score, 44.9% ($n = 135$) of the sample reported experiencing elevated levels of depression symptoms. Alcohol use scores were, on average, well below generally used cutoff guidelines. Social cohesion mean scores suggested that participants generally reported minimal social cohesion or closeness with their neighbors. As expected, depression was correlated with ethnic discrimination and alcohol use.

**Table 1 Means, Standard Deviations, and Correlations of Study Variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study variables</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Discrimination</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>12.21</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>8.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Neighborhood social cohesion</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>5.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Family support</td>
<td>1–5</td>
<td>4–25</td>
<td>1–5</td>
<td>0–25</td>
<td>0–27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Alcohol use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Depression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$p < .10$. * $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Independent samples $t$ tests were conducted to examine potential mean differences of study variables by gender. The only statistically significant gender difference was observed for alcohol use, $t(298) = 32.83$, $p < .001$, with men reporting higher use ($M = 3.80$, $SD = 5.17$) than women ($M = 1.85$, $SD = 2.83$).
In terms of annual household income, a one-way analysis of variance revealed significant differences for social cohesion, $F(2, 281) = 3.26, p = .04$. Post-hoc analyses suggested that individuals who reported an annual income greater than $65,000 (M = 15.60, SD = 7.09) reported higher social cohesion than those earning less ($20,000–$35,000: $M = 12.04, SD = 5.26; $35,000–$65,000: $M = 11.85, SD = 5.00)$.

Moderated-Mediational Analyses

The current analyses assessed conditional indirect effects by testing alcohol use as a mediator between ethnic discrimination and depression symptoms while considering social cohesion as a moderator in the ethnic discrimination-alcohol use link. Gender and annual income were included as covariates given preliminary analyses showing significant differences. Family support was also included as a covariate because of past research suggesting its relationship with main study variables (Mulvaney-Day et al., 2007). As shown in Table 2, the moderated mediational analyses revealed a significant conditional indirect effect, index = −.05, SE = .02, 95% CI [−.11, −.01], such that the mediational effect of alcohol use was influenced by the level of social cohesion. When evaluated at three levels (+1SD, M, −1SD), the conditional indirect effect was statistically significant at low and moderate levels, but not high social cohesion (see Table 2). In other words, the ability of alcohol use to mediate the relationship between ethnic discrimination and depression symptoms was influenced by social cohesion with low and moderate levels showing a stronger indirect effect.

### Table 2 Moderated Mediation Analysis for Ethnic Discrimination, Alcohol Use, Social Cohesion, and Depression Symptoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study variables</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE B</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Boot ind. effect</th>
<th>Boot SE</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mediator—Alcohol use</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predictor: Ethnic discrimination</td>
<td>3.23***</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderator: Social cohesion</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction: Ethnic discrimination × Social cohesion</td>
<td>−.18**</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>−2.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcome—Depressive symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediator: Alcohol use</td>
<td>.26***</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predictor: Ethnic discrimination</td>
<td>3.95***</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Boot ind. effect Boot SE 95% CI

|        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|−1SD    | .53    | .25    | [.15–1.18] |          |         |        |
|M       | .28    | .14    | [.07–.66] |          |         |        |
|+1SD    | .03    | .11    | [−.20–.24] |          |         |        |

Note. Gender, annual income, and family support were covaried in all analyses. CI = confidence interval. ** p < .01. *** p < .001.

In an attempt to further tease apart these relationships, a separate moderated mediational analysis was conducted examining depression symptoms as the mediator between ethnic discrimination and alcohol use. This alternative model was nonsignificant, index = .004, SE = .02, 95% CI [−.04, .03], thus providing further support for the previous results.

Discussion

A growing body of evidence suggests that ethnic discrimination negatively impacts mental health for Latinx individuals. The present study sought to further explore the relationship between discrimination
and depression symptoms by examining a moderated mediation model including alcohol use and social cohesion. In general, the study hypotheses were supported and showed that ethnic discrimination was associated with alcohol use, which, in turn, predicted depression symptoms among Latinx adults. The conditional effect suggested that this pathway was influenced by social cohesion, such that low levels showed a stronger indirect effect. In essence, developing neighborhood trust, reciprocity, and collective support formed a buffer against the negative consequences associated with ethnic discrimination.

The major findings support the notion that unhealthy behaviors may strengthen the association between ethnic discrimination and mental health problems (Richman et al., 2018). Specifically, the present study implicates the role of alcohol use as an underlying mechanism that worsens the impact of ethnic-related stress for Latinx adults. Ethnic discrimination has been thought to undermine the ability to self-regulate one’s responses and potentially lead to more impulsive behaviors (Richman et al., 2018), thus, potentially contributing to the inability to engage in healthy coping strategies, that may require more cognitive effort. The nonsignificant findings with depression symptoms as the mediator provides further support for this notion. That is, alcohol use in the context of ethnic discrimination may be used to temporarily reduce negative internal experiences (Hawn et al., 2020), but may interfere with emotion regulation, thus contributing to increased depression. Alcohol use and depression likely influence one another in a bidirectional and transactional manner (Johnson et al., 2013), further complicating the inimical effects of ethnic discrimination for Latinx adults.

The current findings also suggest that Latinx individuals with a high sense of social cohesion may be less likely to engage in these unhealthy behaviors (i.e., alcohol use) in relation to ethnic discrimination. Considering the complex effects of ethnic discrimination may help to explain this finding. As a form of social exclusion, ethnic discrimination can impact mental health by interfering with one’s ability to become integrated into the environment, access resources, and develop a sense of self within the broader context (Alegría et al., 2017). Scholars have suggested that this sense of confusion or feeling lost may contribute to risky behaviors and attitudes (Schwartz et al., 2006). In fact, a longitudinal study with recently immigrated Latinx adolescents found that being poorly received by the host community can increase positive attitudes toward alcohol use (Grigsby et al., 2018). As such, the current findings supported the notion that social cohesion could serve a protective function even after controlling for family support, a commonly identified resource in Latinx research (Corona et al., 2017; Valdivieso-Mora et al., 2016). That is, participants with high social cohesion showed low levels of alcohol use despite varying levels of ethnic discrimination, while those with low social cohesion reported the most alcohol use in the context of high ethnic discrimination. Social cohesion may give Latinx individuals a greater sense of integration in the community and/or belonging to the broader neighborhood, thus allowing access to alternative resources or forms of support in the face of ethnic-related stressors.

Several limitations are worth noting for the present study. First and foremost, given the correlational and cross-sectional methodology, causal conclusions cannot be made regarding the impact of ethnic discrimination on alcohol use and depression symptoms. Longitudinal studies are warranted to tease apart the temporal relationships between these constructs. Second, the use of surveys is vulnerable to recall bias and social desirability. The present study also did not differentiate different types of ethnic discrimination, such as racial/ethnic microaggressions, which could have varying mental health
consequences. In addition, the measure of social cohesion, although conceptualized as a community-level variable, asks for individual’s perceptions of their community. Ideally, community-level data that include publicly available neighborhood characteristics, such as crime statistics, poverty rates, or availability of health service providers, can provide assessments of broader indicators likely to influence mental health. As an example, the Area Deprivation Index provides a relative ranking of neighborhood disadvantage based on numerous socioenvironmental variables available by the American Community Survey (Kind & Buckingham, 2018). In this way, empirical research can answer complex questions that occur across multiple systems of functioning. Finally, the majority of the sample identified as being of Mexican descent which, given the heterogeneity of Latinx subgroups, can limit the generalizability of the findings to the broader community.

Still, several implications can be derived from the major findings. Theoretically, the present study provides further support for frameworks, suggesting that health behaviors underlie the link between ethnic discrimination and mental health, which are conditional on broader community factors (e.g., Pascoe & Smart Richman, 2009; Richman et al., 2018). The integration of individual- and community-level factors provides a more comprehensive perspective of the real-life stressors and resources associated with Latinx mental health. From a practical standpoint, clinicians would be wise to understand alcohol use not only as an outcome, but also a potential driver of further psychological problems, particularly in the context of ethnic discrimination. In addition, clinicians might also consider using informal or formal assessments of social cohesion to better understand how clients/patients perceive their neighborhood connections. Gaining such information would help clinicians develop more balanced conceptualizations of clients’ functioning and mental health. On a much larger scale, integrating neighborhood characteristics within intervention and preventions efforts would also be warranted, particularly if they can offset the negative effects of alcohol use.

Future research should continue to explore the influence and interaction of community and individual-level variables among Latinx adults. That is, within traditionally disadvantaged neighborhoods, there may be blocks that contain a strong sense of cohesion and trust which may be associated with health outcomes. Still, individual perceptions are embedded within the neighborhood context and availability of resources which suggest that future research should account for interlocking systems of functioning. Additional neighborhood-level variables to consider might be access to health services or exposure to community violence, both of which would help to better elucidate the context of interpersonal discrimination and systemic inequities. Future research should also continue to explore how perceptions of social cohesion influence mental health, including which aspects of social cohesion are more or less predictive of well-being.
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