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Abstract

An efficient and practical synthesis of bridged diarylacetylenes in multigram quantities has been successfully carried out using high-yielding (classical) synthetic methods and readily available starting materials. The structural analysis of the representative bridged diarylacetylenes by X-ray crystallography strongly suggests that conformations, bending of the linear triple bond, and the angle between the mean planes of aromatic rings in various bridged diarylacetylenes are governed by the p–π conjugation among the aromatic rings and the ethereal groups. Furthermore, the synthetic scheme also allows the preparation of (appropriately) bromo-substituted bridged diarylacetylenes which hold potential for their future usage for the preparation of polymeric analogues as well as the hexaarylbenezene derivatives for potential applications in the emerging area of molecular electronics and nanotechnology.

Introduction

The diarylacetylenes are extensively utilized for the preparation of conjugated poly(arylacetylenes) for potential applications in the emerging area of molecular electronics and nanotechnology as well as for the preparation of nonlinear optical devices and linear chemical probes. Recently, Bunz et al. have questioned the role of acetylenic C–arene bond rotation on the optoelectronic properties of the diarylacetylenes. The limited co-planarity of the two aryl groups in various diarylacetylenes can be achieved by tying the aryl groups with the polymethylene bridges of varying lengths (i.e., structure A).
Diarylacetylenes are also important building blocks for the production of hexaarylbenzenes (HAB). It is noteworthy that HAB derivatives obtained by trimerization of ortho-substituted diarylacetylenes lead to formation of multiple rotamers due to the restricted rotation around the C–C bonds between the six peripheral aryl rings and central benzene ring. Our interest in bridged diarylacetylenes (such as structure A) stems from the fact that their trimerization produces only two rotamers which are easily separated by column chromatography. Thus, a ready access to a variety of bridged diarylacetylenes with different substituents will allow the preparation of substituted HAB derivatives in which the ortho substituents (such as ethereal oxygens; see structure A) on the peripheral aryl groups can be directed to one face of the central benzene ring. For example, we have recently demonstrated the potential of one such HAB derivative, containing six ethereal oxygens on one face of the central benzene ring, which allows an effective binding of a single potassium cation via synergistic interactions with the hydrophilic ethereal oxygens and the hydrophobic π-cloud of the central benzene ring via cation–π interaction. Unfortunately, the synthesis of such bridged diarylacetylenes (see structure A) has been accomplished only in poor yields either via alkyne metathesis or via a seven-step synthetic route that also required high-dilution conditions and expensive starting materials and catalysts.

Accordingly, herein, we will report a convenient and efficient route for the preparation of multigram quantities of bridged diarylacetylenes from the readily available salicylaldehydes using high-yielding (classical) synthetic methods. For example, two salicylaldehyde moieties are readily connected to a desired bridge by nucleophilic substitution reaction followed by intramolecular McMurry coupling to form bridged stilbenes which, in turn, are easily transformed to the corresponding bridged diarylacetylenes via a bromination/dehydrobromination sequence. The generality of the synthetic scheme for the preparation of various bridged diarylacetylenes and the X-ray structures/conformations of representative diarylacetylenes will be discussed.

Results and Discussion

Thus, salicylaldehyde (0.2 mol) was reacted with ethanolic potassium hydroxide (0.2 M, 200 mL) at reflux to form a bright yellow solution of potassium salt of salicylaldehyde, which was then reacted with 1,4-dibromobutane (0.095 mol) to afford bridged dialdehyde 1a as crystalline solid in >95% yield (eq 1).
An intramolecular McMurry coupling of the above dialdehyde 1a, under mild dilution, produced a mixture of cis/trans stilbenes 2a in >90% yield. For example, a solution of 1a (23.9 g, 0.08 mol) in tetrahydrofuran (500 mL) was added slowly during the course of 48 h to a refluxing slurry of TiCl₄–Zn in tetrahydrofuran (1 L) containing a catalytic amount of dry pyridine, and the resulting slurry was refluxed for an additional 12 h. A standard aqueous workup followed by column chromatography afforded the bridged stilbene 2a as a viscous oil in >90% yield. Note that ~5% of stilbenophane⁷ (see structure B) was also produced via a McMurry coupling of the two molecules of 1a (eq 2).

The ¹H/¹³C NMR spectroscopic data coupled with mass spectroscopic analysis clearly indicated that the stilbenophane B consisted of a mixture of all three isomers, that is, an isomer containing cis-cis stilbene moieties (cis-cis B), an isomer containing trans-trans stilbene moieties (trans-trans B), and a minor isomer containing cis-trans stilbene moieties (cis-trans B), which could not be separated by column chromatography.⁷ However, repeated attempts of crystallizations from dichloromethane/hexane mixtures yielded a small amount of needle-shaped crystals that were subjected to crystallographic analyses. The X-ray structure analysis confirmed the structure of the preferentially crystallized stilbenophane isomer to be trans-trans B, as shown in the Figure S1. Moreover, hitherto unknown stilbenophane B possesses an “L-shaped” conformation due to a strong preference for the ethereal groups to lie in the aromatic planes (see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). It is conceivable that the unique shape of the electron-rich stilbenophane B and its derivatives may lead to the design and syntheses of a variety of supramolecular architectures for potential applications in the emerging area of molecular electronics and nanotechnology.
A reaction of the mixture of cis/trans stilbenes 2a, obtained above, with 1 equiv of bromine in acetic acid or dichloromethane at 0 °C produced a complex mixture of products, which upon NMR spectroscopic analysis indicated that 2a was (partially) brominated both at the double bond as well as at the aromatic rings due to the presence of free (activated) positions para to the alkoxy groups. Indeed, in another reaction, treatment of 2a (13.3 g, 50 mmol) with 3.2 equiv of bromine in acetic acid produced quantitatively a stereoisomeric mixture of tetrabromo derivative 3a (eq 3).

\[
\begin{align*}
2a & \xrightarrow{\text{CH}_3\text{COOH or CH}_2\text{Cl}_2} 3a \\
\end{align*}
\]

The tetrabromo derivative 3a was subjected, without any purification, to a dehydrobromination reaction in ethylene glycol containing KOH at ~190 °C for 5 min to afford the bridged diarylacetylene 4a in >90% yield after chromatographic purification (eq 4).

\[
\begin{align*}
3a & \xrightarrow{\text{KOH, ethylene glycol, reflux/5 min.}} 4a \\
\end{align*}
\]

It is important to note that the bromination/dehydrobromination sequence described in eqs 3 and 4 was also carried out with the crude stilbene 2a (from eq 2) without any substantial loss in the yield of the desired acetylene 4a. Thus, the reaction sequence described in eqs 1–4 can be utilized for the preparation of a 10–20 g scale of the bridged diarylacetylene 4a (in ~70% over all yield) without the need for column chromatographic purification of any of the intermediates in eqs 1–4. Structure of the bridged diarylacetylene 4a was readily established by \(^1\text{H}/^{13}\text{C}\) NMR spectroscopy, GC-MS, correct elemental analysis, and by X-ray crystallography (vide infra).

Encouraged by the success of the reaction sequence in eqs 1–4 for the preparation of 4a, we applied the same synthetic strategy to a variety of substituted salicylaldehydes, as summarized in Scheme 1. Indeed, the generality of the strategy in Scheme 1 was established by a successful completion of the synthesis of various bridged diarylacetylenes 4b–f in excellent yields, as summarized in Table 1. The structures of bridged diarylacetylenes 4b–f were established by \(^1\text{H}/^{13}\text{C}\) NMR spectroscopy, GC-MS, correct elemental analysis, and by X-ray crystallography of the representative examples (vide infra).
Scheme 1. A Generalized Scheme for the Bridged Diarylacetylene Synthesis

Table 1. Yields of Various Intermediates and Bridged Diarylacetylenes
The important features of the reaction sequence in Scheme 1 are summarized below.

(i) The successful (high-yielding) dehydrobromination reaction in eq 4, using ethylene glycol/KOH for the preparation of 4a, unfortunately afforded a mixture of products when applied to the dibromo derivative 3b. A careful chromatographic separation afforded only a
trace amount (<5%) of the desired acetylene 4b together with an unexpected (major) product C in >30% (unoptimized) yield (eq 5).

\[ \text{trace amount (<5%) of desired } 4b \text{ together with unexpected (major) product C in >30% yield (eq 5)} \]

The structure of major product C was established by \(^{1}H/^{13}C\) NMR spectroscopy, GC-MS, and was further confirmed by X-ray crystallography (see Figure S2 in the Supporting Information). The formation of rearranged acetal C during the dehydrobromination of 4b in eq 5 is unprecedented, and the mechanism of its formation will require additional investigations.

(ii) It is conceivable that the rearrangement problem encountered in eq 5 may be responsible for the sparse usage of the bromination/dehydrobromination sequence for the preparation of diarylacetylenes from the readily available stilbenes! The rearrangement problem, however, was completely avoided if the dehydrobromination reaction was carried out using potassium tert-butoxide in tetrahydrofuran at 22 °C. Indeed, all of brominated stilbenes in Table 1 afforded the corresponding bridged diarylacetylenes 4a–f in excellent yields using K\textsuperscript{t}OBu/THF at 22 °C.

(iii) It is important to emphasize that the reactions of stilbenes 2b–e with bromine in dichloromethane (or acetic acid) did not show any sign of bromination of the aromatic rings because of the fact that (activated) positions para to alkoxy groups were blocked by the alkyl substituents. However, the last entry in the Table 1, where 5-methoxysalicylaldehyde was utilized as the starting material, expectedly produced the ring-brominated bridged diarylacetylene 4f in which the ring bromines were introduced exclusively para to the stilbenoid carbons (eq 6).

\[ \text{2f with } 3 \text{ Br}_2/\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \rightarrow 3f \]

Note that the positions of ring bromination in 3f in eq 6 are analogous to that observed for the bromination of 2,5-dialkoxytoluene in which the electrophilic substitutions occur para to the alkyl substituents.

(iv) Importantly, the ring-brominated diarylacetylenes 4a and 4f can be easily debrominated by reaction with magnesium in tetrahydrofuran followed by treatment with water to
produce 4g and 4h in quantitative yields (eqs 7 and 8, and see the Supporting Information for the experimental details). Moreover, the readily available diarylacetylenes 4a and 4f form the synthetic strategy in Scheme 1 and hold potential to be utilized for the preparation of a variety of new substituted bridged diarylacetylene as well as electro-active materials by usage of versatile palladium-and nickel-catalyzed C–C bond-forming reactions.\textsuperscript{10}

\[ 4a \xrightarrow{\text{1. Mg/THF}} 4g \]

\[ 4f \xrightarrow{\text{1. Mg/THF}} 4h \]

X-ray Crystallography. The molecular structures of the representative bridged diarylacetylenes (i.e., 4a, 4d, and 4f) were determined by X-ray crystallography to probe the conformational characteristics of bridged diarylacetylenes in Table 1. Two conformational views of the molecular structures of 4a, 4d, and 4f are displayed in Figure 1, and the critical structural features that control the conformations of the central 11-membered ring in 4d and 12-membered rings in 4a and 4f are briefly discussed below.
Figure 1 Molecular structures of 4a, 4d, and 4f represented as ball-and-stick and line diagrams showing different views.

We believe that the most important factor that controls the conformations of 4a, 4d, and 4f is the p−π conjugation between the aromatic rings and the ethereal groups. It is well-recognized that in the absence of the steric encumbrances the ethereal groups in aryl alkyl ethers lie in the aromatic planes (i.e., dihedral angle ∼ 0°). However, the size of the trimethylene bridge in 4d between the ethereal oxygens is too large to allow a cis-periplanar conformation and too short to allow a trans-periplanar arrangement. As a result, the diarylacetylene moiety adopts a twisted conformation with an angle of ∼30° between the mean planes of the aromatic ring (around a crystallographic 2-fold axis), where −O−CH₂− groups are turned in opposite directions and lie almost perpendicular to the aromatic planes (dihedral angle ∼ 81.6°). The apparent loss of p−π conjugation results in elongated C(ar)−O bonds (1.375 Å) and more “sp3-like” values of the C−O−C bond angles (113.8°).

A longer tetramethylene bridge in molecule 4a accommodates the trans-periplanar arrangement of its ethereal linkages which allows −O−CH₂− groups to lie in the aromatic planes (dihedral angles < 4°). The trans-periplanar conformation in 4a leads to an effective p−π conjugation that results in shortened C(ar)−O bonds (1.351 Å) and more sp²-like C−O−C bond angles (119.1°). Moreover, the diarylacetylene moiety in 4a adopts a conformation in which the angle between the mean planes of benzene rings is only ∼9°. However, such a trans-periplanar conformation and a co-planar arrangement of the aryl groups in 4a comes at a price; that is, the tetramethylene bridge is forced into an unfavorable (eclipsed) conformation with the central C−C−C−C dihedral angle of 113°, and it also causes a bend in the acetylenic triple bond by ∼19° compared to 12° in 4d.

Interestingly, however, the X-ray structure of 4f, with a tetramethylene bridge akin to that in 4a, showed that it exists in an asymmetric conformation in which one of the ethereal groups lies perpendicular to the aromatic plane (i.e., dihedral angle ∼ 76.7°, an elongated C(ar)−O bond, 1.382 Å, and a contracted C−O−C angle of 114.5°), whereas the other ethereal group lies in a quasi-trans-periplanar conformation (i.e., dihedral angle of 34.4°, a shortened C(ar)−O distance, 1.364 Å, and a more opened C−O−C angle of 118.2°). Importantly, the asymmetric conformational arrangement in 4f leads to a more linear central −C:C− triple bond; that is, it is bent by just ∼10° in comparison to that in 4a, where it is bent by ∼19° (see Figure 1).

In conclusion, the bending of the linear central −C:C− triple bond as well as the twist angle between the mean planes of aromatic rings in 4d, 4a, and 4f is controlled by the conformations
of 11- and 12-membered rings, which in turn are largely governed by the $p-\pi$ conjugation among the aromatic rings and the ethereal groups.\textsuperscript{12}

**Summary**

In summary, a successful synthesis of various bridged diarylacetylenes in multigram quantities has been accomplished using high-yielding (classical) synthetic methods and readily available starting materials. The structures of representative bridged diarylacetylenes have been established by X-ray crystallography. The structural analysis strongly indicates that the conformations of 11- and 12-membered rings in various bridged diarylacetylenes are largely controlled by the $p-\pi$ conjugation among the aromatic rings and the ethereal groups and that, in turn, controls the bending of the linear triple bond as well as the twist angle between the mean planes of aromatic rings.

The ready availability of bromo-substituted bridged diarylacetylenes 4a and 4f holds potential to be utilized for the preparation of a variety of electro-active materials by modern palladium- and nickel-catalyzed C–C bond-forming reactions. We are presently exploring the preparation of polymeric analogues of 4a and 4f and the hexaarylbenzene derivatives via trimerization of various bridged diarylacetylenes for potential applications in the emerging area of molecular electronics and nanotechnology.

**Experimental Section**

**Preparation of Bridged Dialdehydes 1a–f.** Thus, following closely a literature procedure,\textsuperscript{14} an addition of salicylaldehyde (24.4 g, 0.2 M) to an ethanolic solution (200 mL) of potassium hydroxide (11.2 g, 0.2 mol) immediately resulted in a yellow precipitate of the potassium salt of salicylaldehyde which dissolved upon further refluxing. 1,4-Dibromobutane (20.5 g, 0.095 mol) was added dropwise to the above reaction mixture, and the resulting mixture was refluxed for additional 8–12 h. Upon cooling, the resulting mixture just below the boiling point of ethanol and a rapid filtration produced a clear solution which upon standing at room temperature produced a mass of pale yellow crystals. The crystalline mass was filtered and washed with a mixture of cold ethanol and water (1:1, 50 mL). Another recrystallization of the resulting solid from ethanol afforded 1a as a colorless crystalline solid. Yield: 28.7 g, 96%; mp 106–108 °C (lit.\textsuperscript{14} mp 84 °C); $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 2.06 (m, 4H), 4.16 (m, 4H), 6.97 (m, 4H), 7.51 (m, 2H), 7.79 (dd, $J$ = 7.8, 1.9 Hz, 2H), 10.46 (s, 2H); $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 26.0, 68.0, 112.5, 120.8, 124.9, 128.5, 128.6, 136.2, 161.3, 189.7. GC-MS: $m/z$ 298 (M$^+$), 298 calcd for
Preparation of Bridged cis/trans Stilbene 2a–h. General Procedure. To chilled (~0 °C) anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (1 L) was added TiCl₄ (30 mL, 270 mmol) dropwise with the aid of a dropping funnel under an argon atmosphere. To the resulting mixture were added Zn dust (22 g, 340 mmol) and dry pyridine (1 g, 13 mmol), and the resulting black suspension thus obtained was warmed to room temperature and then refluxed for 2 h. A solution of dialdehyde 1a (23.87 g, 80 mmol) in THF (500 mL) was added dropwise to the above black reaction mixture during a course of 48 h while refluxing, and the resulting mixture was refluxed for an additional 12 h. The resultant mixture was cooled to room temperature and quenched with 10% aqueous K₂CO₃ (300 mL). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous suspension was extracted with dichloromethane (5 × 150 mL) followed by diethyl ether (3 × 100 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated to afford a syrupy liquid which was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using a 1:9 mixture of ethyl acetate and hexanes to afford a mixture of cis/trans stilbene 2a as a viscous oil.

Note that attempts to separate cis/trans isomers of various stilbenes, in most cases, were not successful. However, in most cases, the integration of the peaks in the aliphatic region allowed the ratios of the two isomers to be determined; however, the identity of the isomers (i.e., whether it is cis or trans) could not be established due to the extensive overlap of the signals in the aromatic/olefinic region. Accordingly, the spectral data for various bridged stilbenes in the aliphatic region are readily deconvoluted, whereas in the aromatic/olefinic region, they are presented as ranges. The number of signals in the ¹³C NMR spectra are in complete agreement with the assignment that the bridged stilbenes exist as isomeric (cis/trans) mixtures. 2a: Yield 20.2 g, 95% (ratio of isomers I and II = 2:1). Isomer I: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.76 (sym m, 4H), 4.04 (sym m, 4H), 6.65–7.42 (aromatic/olefinic, 10H). Isomer II: 2.01 (sym m, 4H), 4.11 (sym m, 4H), 6.65–7.42 (aromatic/olefinic, 10H); ¹³C NMR(CDCl₃) δ 26.1, 26.2, 69.4, 71.9, 114.6, 118.3, 120.6, 122.9, 127.8, 128.2, 128.3, 129.0, 129.4, 130.0, 130.5, 155.5, 157.8. GC-MS: m/z 266 (M⁺), 266 calcd for C₁₈H₁₈O₂. The spectral data of 2b–f are summarized in the Supporting Information. [Also, note that various stilbenes obtained above were used in the next step without any further purification.]

Preparation of Bridged Diarylacetylenes. To a solution of a cis/trans mixture of stilbene 2a (13.3 g, 50 mmol) in acetic acid (50 mL) was added dropwise a solution of bromine (26.4 g, 165 mmol) in acetic acid (50 mL) at 22 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min
and was poured into water (250 mL). The resulting mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 100 mL), washed with 10% aqueous sodium bisulfite (2 × 50 mL), and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. Evaporation of the solvent afforded a quantitative yield of the corresponding tetrabromostilbene 3a, which was used in the next step without further purification as follows. [Note that bromination of stilbenes 2b–e required only 1 equiv of bromine, while the bromination of 2f required 3 equiv of bromine, and the reactions can be carried out in either acetic acid or dichloromethane as solvent; see text.]

**Dehydrobromination using Ethylene glycol/KOH.** Tetrabromostilbene derivative 3a (9 g, 0.015 mmol), ethylene glycol (30 mL), and KOH (5 g) were placed in a round-bottom flask fitted with a condenser. The resulting mixture was heated to reflux for 5 min then cooled to room temperature and was diluted with water (200 mL). A standard aqueous workup and purification by flash chromatography (silica gel, 10:90 mixture of ethyl acetate:hexanes as eluent) afforded pure diarylacetylene 4a as crystalline solid.

**Dehydrobromination Using KOt-Bu/THF.** To a solution of dibromostilbene 3b (25.5 g, 50 mmol) in dry tetrahydrofuran (200 mL) was added, in portions, potassium t-butoxide (12.4 g, 110 mmol), and the resulting mixture was stirred at 22 °C for 2 h. A standard aqueous workup and purification by flash chromatography (silica gel, 10:90 mixture of ethyl acetate:hexanes as eluent) afforded pure diarylacetylene 4b as a viscous oil. 4b: Yield 14.8 g, 85% (viscous oil); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.23 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 12H), 2.05 (sym m, 4H), 2.85 (septet, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 4.24 (sym m, 4H), 6.85 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.1 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.3 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 24.3, 26.7, 33.5, 71.4, 91.8, 115.1, 116.3, 127.8, 129.4, 142.4, 159.4. GC-MS: m/z 348 (M⁺), 348 calcd for C₂₄H₂₈O₂. Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₂₈O₂: C, 82.72; H, 8.10. Found: C, 82.74; H, 8.13.

The spectral data for various bridged diarylacetylenes, obtained as above or via debromination, are summarized in the Supporting Information.
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7Spectral data for the isomeric mixture of stilbenophanes B: mp 148−152 °C; $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ 1.56, 1.88, 1.94 (sym m’s, 8H), 3.68, 3.85, 3.90 (br t’s, 8H), 6.49−7.51 (aromatic/olefinic protons, 20 H); $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ 26.0, 26.1, 67.2, 68.1, 111.4, 111.8, 120.1, 120.6, 120.8, 126.0, 126.6, 127.1, 127.3, 127.8, 128.16, 128.18, 128.6, 129.6, 155.8, 156.9.

8(a) Spectral data for the rearranged acetal C: mp 157−159 °C; $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ 1.23 (dd, $J = 7.0$, 1.3 Hz, 12H), 2.07 (sym m, 4H), 2.84 (septet, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.90 (d, $J = 1.3$ Hz, 4H), 3.97 (sym m, 2H), 4.17 (sym m, 2H), 5.38 (d, $J = 5.8$ Hz, 1H), 5.68 (d, $J = 5.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.75 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 6.96 (dd, $J = 8.5$, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (d, $J = 2.5$ Hz, 2H); $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ 24.3, 24.5, 27.8, 33.6, 65.4, 70.2, 106.3, 113.4, 124.5, 127.0, 131.4, 141.1, 154.5. GC-MS: m/z 410 (M$^+$), 410 calcd for C$_{26}$H$_{34}$O$_4$. (b) Formation of C in eq 5, at first glance, seems to arise from a pinacol−pinacolone-type rearrangement, which, however, requires an acid catalyst! In another experiment, a NMR spectroscopic analysis of the crude reaction mixture, without using any acid during workup or silica gel chromatography, showed the presence of a similar amount of C, suggesting that C arises via the rearrangement reaction under basic conditions.


12Compare Brizius et al. in ref 3.

