Marquette University

e-Publications@Marquette

Theology Faculty Research and Publications

Theology, Department of

12-21-2012

Mobilizing Religion and Conservation in Asia

Stephen M. Amoyemi

Advanced Breed Comprehensive College

Andrew G. Gosler

Oxford University

Iris Ho

Humane Society International

Jame Schaefer

Marquette University, schaeferj@marquette.edu

Kwek Yan Chong

National University of Singapore

Follow this and additional works at: https://epublications.marquette.edu/theo_fac

Part of the [Religious Thought, Theology and Philosophy of Religion Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Amoyemi, Stephen M.; Gosler, Andrew G.; Ho, Iris; Schaefer, Jame; and Chong, Kwek Yan, "Mobilizing Religion and Conservation in Asia" (2012). *Theology Faculty Research and Publications*. 647.

https://epublications.marquette.edu/theo_fac/647

Marquette University

e-Publications@Marquette

Theology Faculty Research and Publications/Department of Theology

This paper is NOT THE PUBLISHED VERSION; but the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript.

The published version may be accessed by following the link in the citation below.

Science, Vol. 338, No. 6114 (December 21, 2012) : 1537-1538. [DOI](#). This article is © American Association for the Advancement of Science and permission has been granted for this version to appear in [e-Publications@Marquette](#). American Association for the Advancement of Science does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Mobilizing Religion and Conservation in Asia

Stephen M. Awoyemi

Department of Science, Advanced Breed Comprehensive College, Sagamu, Ogun, Nigeria

Andrew G. Gosler

Department of Zoology, Oxford University, Oxford, UK.

Iris Ho

Wildlife Department, Humane Society International, Washington DC

Jame Schaefer

Department of Theology, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI

Kwek Yan Chong

Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore, Singapore

In their Letter “Limits to religious conservation efforts” (9 November, p. [740](#)), B. Gong *et al.* caution conservation practitioners that there are limits to the effect that Buddhist influence alone can have in reducing environmental degradation caused by economic development. They cite prayer animal release—a practice in which animals are trapped, sold, and then released into the wild as a form of prayer—as evidence of Buddhists' lack of understanding of ecology.

Religious leaders, government, and the local and international conservation community are currently addressing the unsustainable Buddhist practice of releasing animals. A recent policy paper by the Religion and Conservation Research Collaborative (RCRC), a committee of the Religion and Conservation Biology Working Group of the Society for Conservation Biology, in July 2012, stated that Buddhists are acting in good faith and should be provided with alternatives that could achieve the compassionate spirit of prayer animal release in an ecologically responsible way.¹

As an offshoot of RCRC policy, an e-mail forum involving more than 40 scientists and scholars from around the world (the Mercy Release Discourse, 13 to 17th August 2012) identified a few ideas: (i) Encourage Buddhist practitioners to adopt a domestic animal (e.g., a cow) destined for the slaughter and care for it until it dies naturally or to sponsor accredited farm animal sanctuaries. (ii) Encourage Buddhist practitioners to support conservation programs of endangered species. (iii) Facilitate support for Buddhist practitioners who want to rehabilitate animals that are sick or injured, reintroduce wildlife into the wild, or send wildlife to rescue centers.

Some Buddhist groups in China and elsewhere in the region have already put these alternatives into action.² In Singapore, religious adherents have been attempting to address the concerns as well.³ Although imperfect, these developments suggest the potential for conservation inspired by religion and for science to involve religion in collaboration and dialogue, rather than simply criticism.

References

- ¹S. M. Awoyemi *et al.*, *Society for Conservation Biology*, “Religion and Conservation Research Collaborative (RCRC) of the Religion and Conservation Biology Working Group (RCBWG) Society for Conservation Biology (SCB) Position on the Religious Practice of Releasing Captive Wildlife for Merit”(2012); www.conbio.org/policy/religion-and-conservation-biology-working-group-policy-position-on-the-rele.
- ²Environment and Animal Society of Taiwan, Humane Society International, American Buddhist Confederation, Taiwan's Department of Agriculture, “*International Symposium on Buddhist Animal Release and Protecting Life, September 25–26, Taipei, Taiwan*” (2012) [in Chinese];www.forest.gov.tw/public/Attachment/29281045671.pdf.
- ³K. Y. Chong, “Animal Release: Science, Compassion and Religion,” *Wild Singapore* (2011);<http://wildsingaporenews.blogspot.com/2011/05/animal-release-science-compassion-and.html>.