Marquette University

e-Publications@Marquette

Biological Sciences Faculty Research and
Publications

Biological Sciences, Department of

4-1-2019

Population Genetic Structure and Species Delimitation of a Widespread, Neotropical Dwarf Gecko

Brendan J. Pinto
Marquette University

Guarino R. Colli
Universidade de Brasília

Timothy E. Higham
University of California - Riverside

Anthony P. Russell
University of Calgary

Daniel P. Scantlebury
Resonate, Reston, VA

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://epublications.marquette.edu/bio_fac

Part of the [Biology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Pinto, Brendan J.; Colli, Guarino R.; Higham, Timothy E.; Russell, Anthony P.; Scantlebury, Daniel P.; Vitt, Laurie J.; and Gamble, Tony, "Population Genetic Structure and Species Delimitation of a Widespread, Neotropical Dwarf Gecko" (2019). *Biological Sciences Faculty Research and Publications*. 675.
https://epublications.marquette.edu/bio_fac/675

Authors

Brendan J. Pinto, Guarino R. Colli, Timothy E. Higham, Anthony P. Russell, Daniel P. Scantlebury, Laurie J. Vitt, and Tony Gamble

Marquette University

e-Publications@Marquette

Biology Faculty Research and Publications/College of Arts and Sciences

This paper is NOT THE PUBLISHED VERSION; but the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript. The published version may be accessed by following the link in the citation below.

Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, Vol. 133 (April 2019): 54-66. [DOI](#). This article is © Elsevier and permission has been granted for this version to appear in [e-Publications@Marquette](#). Elsevier does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from Elsevier.

Population genetic structure and species delimitation of a widespread, Neotropical dwarf gecko

Brendan J. Pinto

Department of Biological Sciences, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI, USA

Guarino R. Colli

Departamento de Zoologia, Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, DF, Brazil

Timothy E. Higham

Department of Evolution, Ecology, and Organismal Biology, University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA, USA

Anthony P. Russell

Department of Biological Sciences, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

Daniel P. Scantlebury

Resonate, Reston, VA, USA

Laurie J. Vitt

Sam Noble Museum and Biology Department, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, USA

Tony Gamble

Department of Biological Sciences, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI, USA

Bell Museum of Natural History, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN, USA

Milwaukee Public Museum, Milwaukee, WI, USA

Abstract

Amazonia harbors the greatest biological diversity on Earth. One trend that spans Amazonian taxa is that most taxonomic groups either exhibit broad geographic ranges or small restricted ranges. This is likely because many traits that determine a species range size, such as dispersal ability or body size, are autocorrelated. As such, it is rare to find groups that exhibit both large and small ranges. Once identified, however, these groups provide a powerful system for isolating specific traits that influence species distributions. One group of terrestrial vertebrates, gecko lizards, tends to exhibit small geographic ranges. Despite one exception, this applies to the Neotropical dwarf geckos of the genus *Gonatodes*. This exception, *Gonatodes humeralis*, has a geographic distribution almost 1,000,000 km² larger than the combined ranges of its 30 congeners. As the smallest member of its genus and a gecko lizard more generally, *G. humeralis* is an unlikely candidate to be a wide-ranged Amazonian taxon. To test whether or not *G. humeralis* is one or more species, we generated molecular genetic data using restriction-site associated sequencing (RADseq) and traditional Sanger methods for samples from across its range and conducted a phylogeographic study. We conclude that *G. humeralis* is, in fact, a single species across its contiguous range in South America. Thus, *Gonatodes* is a unique clade among Neotropical taxa, containing both wide-ranged and range-restricted taxa, which provides empiricists with a powerful model system to correlate complex species traits and distributions. Additionally, we provide evidence to support species-level divergence of the allopatric population from Trinidad and we resurrect the name *Gonatodes ferrugineus* from synonymy for this population.

Graphical abstract

Keywords

Amazonia, RADseq, Species delimitation, Population genetics, Neotropical phylogeography

1. Introduction

The use of genetic data to study variation among populations and delimit species has provided unprecedented insight into the patterns and processes of speciation ([Casillas and Barbadilla, 2017](#), [Domingos et al., 2017](#), [Gratton et al., 2015](#), [Harvey et al., 2017](#), [Lemmon et al., 2012](#), [McKay et al., 2013](#), [Nazareno et al., 2017a](#), [Nazareno et al., 2017b](#), [Weir et al., 2015](#)). Genetic data have been particularly useful in the investigation of poorly-studied [taxa](#) from Neotropical regions, such as Amazonia ([Angulo and Icochea, 2010](#), [Antonelli et al.,](#)

[2011](#)). Employing large genetic datasets to Neotropical biogeographic studies can vastly increase their accuracy and resolution relative to previous analyses. Most Neotropical work to date, however, has been conducted using a single type of data (largely mitochondrial data), and has likely led to the oversimplification in our understanding of this biogeographic system ([Beheregaray, 2008](#); [Turchetto-Zolet et al., 2013](#)). Thus, in order to elucidate the complex historical scenarios across the Neotropics that have resulted in the immense biodiversity harbored there, studies utilizing larger datasets are needed for a diversity of animal groups.

Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain the historical and spatial patterns of range-limited Amazonian species (see [Antonelli et al., 2011](#), [Turchetto-Zolet et al., 2013](#) for thorough review), nearly all of which depend on the emergence of physical barriers to [gene flow](#) that result in [allopatric speciation](#) ([Haffer, 1969](#), [Haffer, 1997](#), [Endler, 1977](#), [Vanzolini and Williams, 1981](#), [Wallace, 1852](#)). Although there has been considerable debate as to the timing of Amazonian speciation, it now seems clear that cladogenesis has been happening, continually, for tens of millions of years. For instance, many [invertebrate](#), mammal, and bird groups display interspecific divergence between sister species during the Quaternary (<2.6 million years ago [mya]), whereas many [amphibians](#) and [reptiles](#) exhibit earlier divergence times during the Neogene (>2.6 mya) ([Gamble et al., 2008](#), [Antonelli et al., 2011](#), [Fouquet et al., 2015](#), [Turchetto-Zolet et al., 2013](#)). Thus, determining the complex patterns that have generated Amazonian biodiversity may require testing several competing hypotheses and searching for patterns between large- and small-scale studies, across a variety of taxonomic groups. Indeed, and as datasets for Neotropical taxa increase in size, complex historical scenarios have been uncovered that were previously unidentifiable and/or untestable ([Alexander et al., 2017](#), [Avila-Pires et al., 2012](#), [Fouquet et al., 2015](#), [Lessa et al., 2003](#), [Nazareno et al., 2017a](#), [Nazareno et al., 2017b](#), [Prates et al., 2016](#), [Werneck et al., 2012](#)).

One trend that [molecular genetic](#) data have revealed is that many widely distributed tropical taxa are composed of multiple, often cryptic, species ([Funk et al., 2012](#)). These species are usually of smaller body size, with low vagility, and/or those that occupy narrow ecological niches ([Camargo et al., 2006](#), [Fouquet et al., 2007b](#), [Wynn and Heyer, 2001](#)). Indeed, even prior to the advent of molecular genetic data, it was predicted that very few widespread nominal taxa in the Neotropics would remain intact upon closer investigation ([Lynch, 1979](#)). Subsequently, phylogeographic studies of multiple populations have found that most widespread, non-volant, [vertebrate](#) taxa are in fact 'species-complexes' (i.e. composed of multiple undescribed and/or cryptic species). This pattern extends across many terrestrial vertebrate groups including, but not limited to: anole [lizards](#) ([D'angiolella et al., 2011](#), [Glor et al., 2001](#)), [frogs](#) ([Camargo et al., 2006](#), [Caminer et al., 2017](#), [Chek et al., 2001](#), [Fouquet et al., 2007a](#), [Fouquet et al., 2014](#), [Funk et al., 2012](#), [Gehara et al., 2014](#), [Guayasamin et al., 2017](#), [Wynn and Heyer, 2001](#)), gecko lizards ([Bergmann and Russell, 2007](#), [Gamble et al., 2011a](#), [Geurgas and Rodrigues, 2010](#), [Kronauer et al., 2005](#)), [salamanders](#) ([Hervas et al., 2016](#)), toads ([Fouquet et al., 2007a](#), [Funk et al., 2012](#), [Murphy et al., 2017b](#)), and other [herpetofauna](#) ([Nunes et al., 2012](#), [De Oliveira et al., 2016](#)). Furthermore, identifying concordant patterns in species' ranges is an important step in the testing of complex biogeographical scenarios that underpin the origins of biodiversity ([Clarke et al., 2017a](#), [Clarke et al., 2017b](#), [Costello et al., 2013](#), [Da Silva and Patton, 1993](#), [Díaz-Nieto et al., 2016](#), [Ditchfield, 2000](#), [Gazoni et al., 2018](#), [Gehara et al., 2014](#), [Miralles and Carranza, 2010](#), [Stroud et al., 2017](#), [Turchetto-Zolet et al., 2013](#)).

Whereas many widespread Neotropical taxa appear to be composed of multiple, undescribed species, there are exceptions to this pattern and widely distributed Neotropical taxa do exist. However, these widespread taxa are less frequent than once thought and are typically species that exhibit traits that facilitate high vagility (e.g. being volant, having a large body size, and/or occupying broad ecological niches). Some notable examples of these widespread taxa include: the Amazon Tree Boa (*Corallus hortulanus*), Andersen's Fruit-eating Bat (*Artibeus anderseni*), the Bushmaster (*Lachesis muta*), capybaras (*Hydrochoerus hydrochaeris*), jaguars (*Panthera onca*), the Green Anaconda (*Eunectes murinus*), the Green [Iguana](#) (*Iguana iguana*), and the Lesser [Treefrog](#) (*Dendropsophus minutus*) ([Colston et al., 2013](#), [Ditchfield, 2000](#), [Eizirik et al., 2001](#), [Gehara et al., 2014](#), [Zamudio and Greene, 1997](#)). These examples suggest that range size and abundance of Neotropical

species are likely attributable to [intrinsic factors](#) such as body size, dispersal ability, and niche breadth, among other traits that have a strong [phylogenetic](#) component ([Dexter and Chave, 2016](#), [Meiri et al., 2017](#), [Wynn and Heyer, 2001](#)). Thus, some [clades](#) are composed mostly of wide-ranging species (large and volant animals), while others are composed mainly of range-limited species (small and dispersal-limited animals). Studying differences in ecological traits and range distribution among these taxa can provide important insights into the patterns and processes responsible for Neotropical biodiversity. However, it is difficult to deduce the relative contribution of individual traits to range size disparities between species, because many traits are autocorrelated at the macroevolutionary scale ([Beck and Kitching, 2007](#), [Dexter and Chave, 2016](#), [Hurlbert and White, 2007](#)). Investigating clades that include both geographically widespread and restricted species may provide important insights into how phenotypic differences can influence [species distributions](#) ([Gehara et al., 2014](#)).

In line with these observations, most Neotropical lizard species have small distributions. However, there are a few notable exceptions, such as the dwarf gecko, *Gonatodes humeralis*, the [geographic distribution](#) of which (~7,600,000 km²) is larger than that of all its congeners combined, by nearly 1,000,000 km² (~6,700,000 km²) ([Roll et al., 2017](#)). *Gonatodes humeralis* occurs across Amazonia and the Guiana Shield, as well as in forested enclaves and gallery forests in the adjacent [Cerrado](#) and Caatinga biomes, and on the island of Trinidad ([Avila-Pires, 1995](#), [Murphy, 1997](#), [Ribeiro-Júnior, 2015](#), [Roberto et al., 2014](#), [Vanzolini, 1955](#)). Overall, its current distribution occupies a geographic area marginally smaller than that of the continental United States and overlaps with 13 currently described congeneric species ([Supplemental Fig. 1](#)). *Gonatodes humeralis* also exhibits a broad niche breadth, occurring in a variety of habitat types including: [primary and secondary forest](#), riparian forest, gallery forest, forest edges, [bamboo](#) forest, and human dwellings ([Carvalho et al., 2008](#), [Dixon and Soini, 1986](#), [Higham et al., 2017](#), [Hoogmoed, 1973](#), [Vanzolini and Williams, 1981](#), [Vitt and Zani, 1996](#), [Vitt et al., 1997](#), [Vitt et al., 2000](#)). Its massive distribution and extensive niche breadth contrast with those of its congeners, most of which occupy specialized niches with small, distributions in Central and South America and several islands of the Lesser Antilles ([Supplemental Fig. 1](#)). In the context of recent discoveries suggesting that widespread Neotropical taxa are uncommon, the diminutive *G. humeralis* (maximum 41.5 mm snout-vent length; [Avila-Pires, 1995](#)) is an unlikely candidate for being a single species. However, if *G. humeralis* is, in fact, one widespread species, then *Gonatodes* harbors both widespread and geographically restricted taxa, providing a powerful model system for identifying traits that may influence species distributions.

Previous investigations on *G. humeralis* have revealed evidence for [genetic, ecological](#), and morphological variation between populations across its range ([Avila-Pires, 1995](#), [Avila-Pires et al., 2012](#), [Rivero-Blanco, 1979](#), [Vitt et al., 1997](#)), and early hypotheses suggested that populations should exhibit relatively shallow divergence times, within the Pleistocene ([Vanzolini and Williams, 1981](#), [Vitt et al., 1997](#)). Supporting this, the first multi-locus phylogenetic analysis of *Gonatodes* revealed that *G. humeralis* samples from eastern and western Amazonia likely shared a common ancestor in the late Pliocene or early/mid Pleistocene, approximately 1.9 (1.1–2.7) [mya](#) ([Gamble et al., 2008](#)). Later, the most comprehensive phylogeographic analysis to date investigated the history of *G. humeralis* populations in eastern Amazonia using two mitochondrial markers (Cytb & 16S) from 56 individuals ([Avila-Pires et al., 2012](#)). The authors found little phylogenetic resolution among sampled populations and no evidence that Amazonian rivers (namely, the Amazon and Tocantins) have acted as isolating mechanisms between sampled populations in eastern Amazonia. The authors concluded that range-wide sampling and the addition of nuclear markers would be necessary to obtain sufficient resolution of any phylogeographic hypothesis relating to this species.

We herein investigate the geographically widespread gecko, *G. humeralis*, across its range in northern South America and Trinidad. Specifically, we test two alternative hypotheses: (i) if *G. humeralis* is typical of most small, non-volant Neotropical vertebrates, we expect to uncover a species-complex composed of multiple cryptic, or morphologically similar, species; (ii) conversely, if *G. humeralis* is an atypical taxon, then we expect it to be a single, widespread species across its contiguous Amazonian range, and potentially also on the island of Trinidad. To test this, we generated [restriction-site](#) associated [DNA sequencing](#) (RADseq) data, and a multi-locus Sanger-

sequenced dataset using traditionally informative nuclear and mitochondrial markers. We began by investigating the [population genetic](#) structure of *G. humeralis* across its range, then reconstructed the relationships of those alleles between populations, and used these relationships to generate specific species delimitation hypotheses for further testing. Indeed, we predicted that *G. humeralis* would consist of multiple, cryptic species with distributions comparable in size to those of other species of *Gonatodes*. However, we found that *G. humeralis* is a single, widespread species across Amazonia, whereas the population on the island of Trinidad appears to be highly divergent and independently-evolving. We discuss these results in a comparative context with other Neotropical species and posit that this genus of geckos (*Gonatodes*) may yield unprecedented insights into the origins and maintenance of Neotropical biodiversity.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sampling

We sampled 31 individuals of *G. humeralis* from 13 localities across its range ([Fig. 1](#)). Three individuals of *G. antillensis* were included as an outgroup ([Russell et al., 2015](#)). We extracted [genomic DNA](#) for downstream genetic sequencing from tail clips or liver, using the Qiagen® DNeasy Blood and Tissue extraction kit.

Fig. 1. (A) Maximum-likelihood tree computed using 22,486 unlinked SNPs executed in RAxML, bootstrap values ≥ 70 reported (bootstrap values of 100 = black circles, bootstrap values from 70 to 99 = gray circles). Bolded numbers correlate individual or [clade](#) with sampling locality depicted on map. (B) DISTRUCT plot depicting proportions of shared alleles present in the *G. humeralis* lineage determined by STRUCTURE analysis, $K = 3$ ([Supplemental Fig. 2](#)). (C) Map indicating sampling localities, within the geographic range of *G. humeralis*, in relation to cluster assignments (Trinidad = circle, west Amazonia = solid square, east Amazonia = patterned square) in relation to their geographic locality ([Supplemental Table 1](#)). Further, locality 8 (represented by CHUNB47049) is absent from the RADseq tree in panel A (see Methods).

2.2. RADseq data

We generated a reduced-representation genomic dataset for all *G. humeralis* individuals using [restriction-site](#) associated [DNA sequencing](#) (RADseq). RADseq [libraries](#) were constructed following a protocol modified from [Etter et al. \(2011\)](#), as described by [Gamble et al. \(2015\)](#). Briefly, genomic DNA was digested using high-fidelity *SbfI* [restriction enzyme](#) (New England Biolabs). We ligated individually barcoded P1 adapters onto the *SbfI* cut site for each sample. Samples were pooled into multiple libraries, sonicated, and size selected into 200- to 500-basepair (bp) fragments using [magnetic](#) beads in a PEG/NaCl buffer ([Rohland and Reich, 2012](#)). Libraries were blunt-end-repaired and dA tailed. To each of the pooled libraries, we ligated a P2 adapter containing unique Illumina barcodes. Libraries were amplified using 16 PCR cycles with Phusion high-fidelity DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs), and were size-selected a second time into 250- to 600 bp fragments using magnetic beads in PEG/NaCl buffer. Libraries were sequenced using paired-end 125 bp reads on the Illumina®

HiSeq2500 at the Institute for Integrative Genome Biology, University of California, Riverside. RADseq data for the 10 individuals from Trinidad were previously published ([Gamble et al., 2018](#)).

We trimmed and demultiplexed raw single-end [Illumina sequencing](#) reads by their individual-specific barcodes using the `process_radtags` command in STACKS [v1.23]; ([Catchen et al., 2011](#)). After the removal of low-quality reads, restriction site overhangs, and barcodes, the 3' ends of the 125 bp reads were trimmed to 100 bp. Cleaned reads were imported into the PyRAD [pipeline](#) [v3.0.63] for *de novo* assembly [steps 2–7] ([Eaton, 2014](#)). One individual, CHUNB47049, was removed from the RADseq dataset prior to filtering, due to low-quality reads (adjusting our RADseq dataset, N = 30). This removed locality #8 ([Fig. 1c](#)) from all RADseq data analyses. We assayed various filtering criteria configurations, including varying the minimum read depth per locus from 4 to 12; maximum number of “N”s per locus from 4 to 6; within- and across-sample [clustering](#) threshold from 80 to 98%; and the minimum number of individuals with sequence data for a locus needed from 10 to 28. To obtain a dataset with >10,000 and <50,000-unlinked markers incorporating ≤10% missing data, we set the final filtering criteria for exclusion of any locus with a read depth of less than 8 reads, and missing data (“N” characters) to ≥5. We set the within- and across-sample clustering threshold to 95% sequence identity, and the minimum number of individuals required for data to be included in a final locus was set to 25 of the 30 individuals. All other PyRAD parameters used default settings. The final dataset consisted of 35,260 informative loci with 67,173 total [single-nucleotide polymorphisms](#) (SNPs), 26,486 of which were unlinked (sampling only one SNP per RAD locus). We subsampled and reformatted this final dataset for all downstream RADseq data analyses; further data specifics for each analysis are provided below.

2.3. Sanger sequence data

We also produced sequence data from fragments of six molecular markers using [Sanger sequencing](#) of PCR [amplicons](#). This consisted of four [nuclear genes](#): [microtubule-associated protein 1b – exon 5](#) (MAP1b), [recombination-activating gene 1](#) (RAG1), [oocyte-maturation factor MOS](#) (CMOS), and [protein tyrosine phosphatase nonreceptor type 12](#) (PTPN12); and two [mitochondrial genes](#): [NADH dehydrogenase subunit 2](#) (ND2) and 16S ribosomal subunit (16S). PCR conditions and primer sequences are described elsewhere: MAP1b ([Werneck et al., 2012](#)), [RAG1](#), CMOS, PTPN12 ([Gamble et al., 2011b](#)), ND2 ([Jackman et al., 2008](#)), and 16S ([Gamble et al., 2008](#)). We Sanger-sequenced PCR amplicons using GeneWiz® single-pass sequencing, then assembled and quality-trimmed raw sequences using Geneious® [v9.1.5] ([Kearse et al., 2012](#)). [GenBank](#) accession numbers for all sequences are listed in [Supplemental Table 1](#). Sequences were aligned using MUSCLE [v3.8.425] ([Edgar, 2004](#)) and alignments refined by eye, if necessary. Models of [molecular evolution](#) were chosen based on AICc and BIC criteria, computed using MEGA7 ([Kumar et al., 2016](#)).

2.4. Population genetic analyses

We visualized the population-level [genetic diversity](#) within *G. humeralis sensu lato* and estimated the number of [genetic populations](#) in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium present in our RADseq data using STRUCTURE [v2.3.4] ([Pritchard et al., 2007](#)). We investigated possible values of K (where K is equal to the number of populations of alleles) between 1 and 6 with a subset of the unlinked SNP data, using only the first 16,382 SNPs, for computational efficiency, with the admixture model (starting alpha = 1.0), with correlated [allele frequencies](#) (fixed lambda = 1.0), and all other priors set to default. We tested K values by repeating five independent MCMC chains of 150,000 replicates, each with a 10% burnin. STRUCTURE output was parsed and visualized using the Evanno method in Structure [Harvester](#) ([Earl and vonHoldt, 2011](#), [Evanno et al., 2005](#)) and the CLUMPAK server ([Kopelman et al., 2015](#)).

To further characterize the population genetic structure of mainland *G. humeralis* and how this structure might confound our species delimitation methodologies (see *Species Delimitation* below), we tested for (i) [isolation-by-distance](#) (IBD), (ii) deviations from neutral expectations, and (iii) calculated metrics of genetic diversity. (i) We tested for isolation-by-distance (IBD) using Mantel’s test ([Diniz-Filho et al., 2013](#), [Mantel, 1967](#)). We generated a

geographic distance matrix from locality information using the Geographic Distance Matrix Generator software ([Ersts, 2006](#)) and a pairwise F_{st} distance matrix for our unlinked SNP (26,486) dataset using Arlequin [v3.5.2.2] ([Excoffier and Lischer, 2010](#)). We converted the geographic distance into a Euclidean distance matrix with the *quasieulid* function in the *ade4* package [v1.7.4] ([Dray and Dufour, 2007](#)) in R ([R Core Team, 2016](#)). We conducted Mantel's test, also using *ade4*, with the *mantel.randtest* function, creating 999 randomized permutations to calculate p-values. (ii) We tested whether sampled populations deviated from expectations under a neutral model by calculating Tajima's D ([Tajima, 1989](#)) and Fu's F_s ([Fu, 1997](#)) statistics for two datasets, our concatenated mitochondrial loci (mtDNA) and RADseq SNPs. Neutrality test statistics for the mitochondrial data were estimated using DNAsp [v5.0]; ([Librado and Rozas, 2009](#)) and for genotypic SNP data we used PopGenome [v2.1.6] package ([Pfeifer et al., 2014](#)) in R ([R Core Team, 2016](#)). (iii) We calculated [nucleotide](#) diversity (π) and within- and between-group [genetic distances](#) for ND2 for all three populations and their sister group, *G. antillensis*, in DNAsp [v5.0] ([Librado and Rozas, 2009](#)) and MEGA7 ([Kumar et al., 2016](#)), respectively. In addition, we calculated net between-group distances ([Nei and Li, 1979](#)) between *G. humeralis* clusters, as identified by STRUCTURE, using MEGA7, for 16S and ND2 separately, using uncorrected p-distances ([Edwards and Beerli, 2000](#)). Standard error estimates were calculated using 500 bootstrap replicates.

2.5. Phylogenetic inference

We estimated the [phylogenetic relationships](#) among sampled *G. humeralis* using maximum-likelihood (ML) and Bayesian methods. To analyze our data in an ML framework, we formatted the 26,486 unlinked RADseq SNPs using the shinyPhyngomics package [v1.3] ([Leaché et al., 2015](#)) based in R ([R Core Team, 2016](#)). We generated a ML tree using RAxML [HPC-v8.2.9] under a GTR + Γ model with 1000 rapid bootstrap replicates, using the automatic bootstopping function ([Stamatakis, 2014](#)), implemented on the CIPRES cluster ([Miller et al., 2010](#)). We corrected for SNP-only data biases by estimating ML branch lengths from SNP-only data using the Stamatakis correction, which focuses on minimizing branch length overestimation due to acquisition bias, as described for use with SNP data by [Leaché et al. \(2015\)](#).

We also produced a rooted mitochondrial gene tree in a Bayesian framework, to compare with the nuclear SNP data tree, using BEAST2 [v2.5.1] under a strict clock ([Bouckaert et al., 2014](#)) on the CIPRES cluster ([Miller et al., 2010](#)). The concatenated mitochondrial (mtDNA) data (ND2 and 16S) consisted of 34 samples, including three *G. antillensis*, for a total of 1484 bp. We used the GTR + Γ model and a Yule tree prior with 5×10^8 MCMC iterations with a 10% burnin. Bayesian analyses were replicated three times and examined by eye using Tracer [v1.6.1] to ensure [convergence](#). Post-burnin trees from all three runs were combined to estimate final tree parameters using Log Combiner and Tree Annotator, respectively.

Next, we estimated divergence time among *G. humeralis* populations using the StarBEAST2 [v0.15.1] ([Ogilvie et al., 2017](#)) module of BEAST2 ([Bouckaert et al., 2014](#)). We used the multi-locus Sanger sequence data, sampling 15 *Gonatodes* species using a secondary calibration at the root following [Higham et al. \(2017\)](#) and individuals of three *G. humeralis* phylogeographic clusters identified by STRUCTURE: Trinidad, eastern, and western Amazonia, based on the (see *Population Genetic Analyses*). The final dataset used in this analysis included seven loci: ACM4, CMOS, mtDNA (ND2 + 16S), PDC (phosducin), PTPN12, RAG1, and [RAG2](#); nuclear loci were phased using DNAsp [v5.0] ([Librado and Rozas, 2009](#), [Stephens et al., 2001](#)). Loci used in this analysis were chosen specifically to minimize the amount of missing data per [taxon](#) while combining newly generated and previously published sequence data ([Supplemental Table 2](#)). Indeed, each locus was provided its own best-fit as calculated in MEGA7 (and has an available model in StarBEAST2), this was HKY + Γ for all nuclear loci and GTR + Γ for our concatenated mtDNA genes. We used an uncorrelated lognormal clock model, with secondary calibration from a previously published fossil-calibrated phylogenetic reconstruction, to provide a prior on the root age between *Gonatodes* and its sister [clade](#) *Lepidoblepharis* at approximately 72.5 (± 7.5) [mya](#), with a uniform distribution to reflect confidence intervals ([Gamble et al., 2015](#)).

To corroborate these findings, we utilized the published rate of molecular evolution for the mitochondrial ND2 gene in geckos. We estimated the divergence time between the mainland and Trinidad using p-distances assuming a strict [molecular clock](#). We calculated p-distances in MEGA7 ([Kumar et al., 2016](#)) and calculated the divergence time according to the previously published rate of molecular evolution for the ND2 locus in geckos, at 0.57% (per lineage rate) per million years ([Macey et al., 1999](#)), i.e. $(p\text{-distance}/2 * 100) * 0.57 = \text{lineage-divergence in millions of years}$.

2.6. Species delimitation

We assessed whether *G. humeralis* consists of one, two, or three putative species using our phylogenetic and STRUCTURE results to guide assignment of individuals into putative species-level lineages using three species delimitation methods: Poisson Tree Processes (PTP), STACEY, and Bayes Factor Delimitation (BFD).

First, we analyzed species boundaries using our Bayesian mitochondrial gene tree with the single-rate PTP test, using the PTP web service (<http://mptp.h-its.org/#/tree>), with the p-value set at 0.001 ([Kapli et al., 2017](#)).

Second, we used STACEY [v1.2.4] ([Jones, 2017](#)) with our Sanger sequenced dataset (CMOS, MAP1b, PTPN12, RAG1, and mtDNA), including *G. antillensis* and *G. concinnatus* as outgroups. In accordance with program documentation and additional specifications outlined by [Barley et al. \(2018\)](#), we provided an exponential distribution with a mean of 0.1 for the “popPriorScale” parameter, a [lognormal distribution](#) with a mean of 5 and a standard deviation of 2 to the “bdcGrowthRate” prior, and the “collapseWeight” was provided a uniform distribution with the lower and upper bounds set at 0 and 1, respectively ([Barley et al., 2018](#)). In addition, each gene partition was provided the best-fit model of molecular evolution used by the STACEY package (CMOS and PTPN12 – JC; MAP1b and RAG1 – HKY; mtDNA – TN93), an independent strict molecular clock, with rate priors calculated from a log-normal distribution that were given a mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1 ([Barley et al., 2018](#)). We ran three independent chains of 5.0×10^7 MCMC repetitions, sampling every 5000 trees, and compared trace files using Tracer [v1.7] ([Rambaut et al., 2018](#)). We combined tree files using LogCombiner, visualized them using DensiTree, and analyzed the resulting 30,000 trees using the SpeciesDelimitationAnalyzer [v1.8], herein STACEY and SpeciesDelimitationAnalyzer are referred to as SSDA. We used a burnin of 5000 trees and a collapse-height of 0.0001 to calculate our final species delimitation posterior.

Third, we compared two alternative species models, the 2-taxon (PTP: Trinidad/mainland) and 3-taxon models (SSDA: Trinidad/east Amazonia/west Amazonia), using BFD with the RADseq SNP dataset ([Leaché et al., 2014](#)). BFD utilizes the path-sampling analysis of the SNAPP package ([Bryant et al., 2012](#)) in BEAST2 ([Bouckaert et al., 2014](#)) to infer species boundaries directly from biallelic SNP data by comparing the likelihood of two differing species models using Bayes factors ([Leaché et al., 2014](#)). We used 48 path [sampler](#) steps with 100,000 MCMC repetitions and a 10% burnin to sample from 500,000 MCMC SNAPP replications. We systematically compared models using Bayes factors, calculated using $BF = 2 * (|model 1| - |model 2|)$, where the “model” represents the marginal-likelihood estimate from the specific model being compared against ([Ogilvie and Leaché, 2016](#)). We ensured that each model was better than random by estimating the marginal-likelihood for a 3-taxon model, where all individuals were randomly assigned to a “species” to ascertain that both models were better than an unrealistic “null” model ([Burbrink et al., 2011](#)).

Lastly, we conducted [topology](#) tests to assess whether we could reject the hypothesis that eastern and western Amazonia were reciprocally monophyletic, potentially providing support for the hypothesis that each cluster is a distinct lineage. We constructed two sets of ML trees using RAxML [HPC2–v8.2.10] under a GTR + Γ model, with RAxML’s automatic bootstopping function ([Stamatakis, 2014](#)), also implemented on the CIPRES cluster ([Miller et al., 2010](#)) for our RADseq SNP dataset (described above) and for our mtDNA (ND2 and 16S). We constructed an unconstrained tree and a tree for which we enforced a reciprocal monophyletic constraint between eastern and western Amazonia. We conducted topology tests between both trees using the Shimodaira-Hasegawa (SH) test ([Shimodaira and Hasegawa, 1999](#)) and Shimodaira’s Approximately Unbiased (AU) test ([Shimodaira, 2002](#)) in a

likelihood framework under a GTR model with an estimated rate matrix. Topology tests were conducted in Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (PAUP*) [v 4.0a157] ([Swofford, 2002](#)). We calculated significance using 10,000 RELL bootstrap replications.

3. Results

3.1. Population genetic STRUCTURE

The best-fit model for the STRUCTURE analysis was for three populations of alleles in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($K = 3$). These STRUCTURE results in light of [phylogenetic](#) reconstruction indicated that, Trinidadian individuals are distinct from the mainland, but most alleles are shared across the mainland. However, there is a small proportion of unique alleles specific to eastern Amazonia ([Fig. 1, Supplemental Fig. 2](#)), which could be due to a variety of factors (see Discussion). Alleles belonging to the allopatric Trinidad population were distinct from those of the mainland ('orange') ([Fig. 1, Table 1](#)), so we excluded Trinidadian individuals from certain subsequent population-level analyses (i.e. neutrality tests and testing for IBD). Further investigation into the [population structure](#) and demographic history of mainland *G. humeralis* involved three analyses. (i) we tested against a neutral model of [molecular evolution](#) for evidence of rapid population expansion across the mainland, and we looked for concordance between two test statistics, Tajima's D and Fu's Fs. Neither test showed a deviation from neutrality for either the mitochondrial or RADseq SNP data ([Table 3](#)). (ii) we tested for the presence of IBD across the mainland using Mantel's test ([Table 1, Table 2](#)) by correlating a matrix of pairwise [genetic distances](#) and a matrix of geographic distances. This analysis revealed strong evidence for IBD across mainland South America ([Table 2](#), $R^2 = 0.637$, $p\text{-value} = 0.001$). (iii) we estimated within-population genetic distance (p-distance) and within-population [nucleotide](#) diversity (π) for each population and the outgroup, *G. antillensis*, for mtDNA ([Supplemental Table 3](#)). These measurements showed that *G. humeralis* from eastern Amazonia exhibits more [genetic diversity](#) than western populations, and that Trinidadian *G. humeralis* display very little genetic diversity overall when compared to mainland populations.

Table 1. Pairwise uncorrected net between-group mean p-distances for mitochondrial data: ND2 (below diagonal) and 16S (above diagonal). Distances and confidence intervals calculated via 500 bootstrap replicates using MEGA7 software ([Kumar et al., 2016](#)).

Population	East	West	Trinidad	Outgroup
East	0	0.012 $\pm$ 0.003	0.02 $\pm$ 0.006	0.25 $\pm$ 0.021
West	0.05 $\pm$ 0.01	0	0.023 $\pm$ 0.006	0.249 $\pm$ 0.021
Trinidad	0.1 $\pm$ 0.01	0.09 $\pm$ 0.01	0	0.253 $\pm$ 0.021
Outgroup	0.56 $\pm$ 0.01	0.56 $\pm$ 0.02	0.56 $\pm$ 0.01	0

Table 2. Summary of test results sectioned by phylogeographic cluster. Mantel test reports indicate within and across cluster presence of [isolation by distance](#) (** indicates significant correlation). Test statistics reported within and across clusters indicate divergence from a neutral model (no tests reported as being significant); "mtDNA" tests were conducted in DNAsp [v5.0]; ([Librado and Rozas, 2009](#)), whereas "RADseq" tests were conducted in R ([R Core Team, 2016](#)) using the PopGenome [v2.1.6] package ([Pfeifer et al., 2014](#)). Species delimitation method results are reported by geographic cluster; (✓) indicates the delimitation of that cluster as a separate species via the method listed, whereas (–) indicates a failure to delimit a geographic cluster as a species (PTP – Poisson Tree Processes; SSDA – STACEY and SpeciesDelimitationAnalyzer; BFD – Bayes Factor Delimitation).

Geographic Cluster	Mantel's Test		Neutrality Test			Species Delimitation		
	R-square	P-value	Data	Tajima's D	Fu's Fs	PTP	SSDA	BFD
Trinidad	–0.504	0.794	mtDNA	–0.036	–1.910	✓	✓	✓
			RADseq	–1.439	–0.905			

reported in cladogram format in [Supplemental Fig. 3](#). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

3.3. Species delimitation

We utilized three well-documented statistical species delimitation methods (PTP, STACEY, BFD) to examine species limits between the three phylogeographic clusters previously identified by STRUCTURE ([Fig. 1](#)). Analysis of our mtDNA gene tree using PTP revealed significant species-level divergence between Trinidad and mainland clades (p -value = 0.001), but not between eastern and western Amazonia ([Supplemental Fig. 3](#)). Analysis of the multi-locus Sanger sequenced dataset with STACEY and SpeciesDA (SSDA) supported the Trinidad and mainland South American clades as being distinct, species-level lineages (pp = 0.999) ([Table 2](#), [Supplemental Fig. 4](#)). SSDA analyses also yielded an additional species delimitation hypothesis within the mainland, identifying populations from eastern Amazonia and western Amazonia as separate species ([Table 2](#), [Supplemental Fig. 6](#)). We used BFD to compare the two-species (Trinidad + mainland) model, favored by PTP, and the three-species (Trinidad + eastern Amazonia + western Amazonia) model, favored by SSDA, using our RADseq data in a coalescent framework. Pairwise Bayes Factors (BF) calculations selected both the 2-taxa [$\ln(\text{BF}) = 10.4$] and 3-taxa [$\ln(\text{BF}) = 10.8$] models as being significantly better than random species assignments using the [Kass and Raftery \(1995\)](#) scale; if $\ln(\text{BF}) \geq 5$ there is strong support for the model with the higher MLE. The pairwise comparison between 2-taxon (PTP) and 3-taxon (SSDA) models provided stronger support for the 3-taxon model [$\ln(\text{BF}) = 9.5$] ([Table 3](#), [Supplemental Fig. 4](#)). To further examine the feasibility that *G. humeralis* from eastern and western Amazonia belong to distinct species, we tested whether our data supported reciprocal monophyly between the populations using [topology](#) tests by generating constraint trees for each dataset (trees not shown). Indeed, both SH and AU tests rejected the hypothesis that eastern and western Amazonian populations are reciprocally monophyletic, using the RADseq SNP data (SH p -value <0.0001, AU p -value ~0) and mtDNA data (SH p -value = 0.0055, AU p -value = 0.0006).

4. Discussion

[Phylogenetic](#) analyses recovered *G. humeralis* populations from Trinidad as sister to mainland populations, with a western Amazonian [clade](#) nested within populations from eastern Amazonia ([Fig. 1](#), [Fig. 2b](#)). Furthermore, STRUCTURE analysis inferred three populations of alleles in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($K = 3$), with no individuals belonging purely to the third “ghost” population (‘purple’). This STRUCTURE pattern can be the result from two scenarios ([Lawson et al., 2018](#)): (1) admixture with an extinct/unsampled population or (2) [genetic diversity](#) in eastern Amazonia that did not establish in western populations, potentially through [isolation-by-distance](#) (IBD) mediated [gene flow](#) or a [population bottleneck](#) during stepwise westward range expansion. Distinguishing between scenarios (1) and (2) is difficult and they are not mutually exclusive. At present, testing for admixture, scenario 1, is not possible with our current sampling as individuals from the putative “ghost” population are also needed. It’s possible that increased sampling across the Guiana Shield could identify *G. humeralis* populations that harbor an increased frequency of these “ghost alleles”. Indeed, population differentiation in this region has been noted previously for other [taxa](#) ([Noonan and Gaucher, 2005](#)). However, we posit (2) is a more likely scenario, i.e. extensive genetic diversity specific to eastern Amazonian populations, for three reasons: (i) we found much greater genetic diversity in eastern Amazonia ([Supplemental Table 3](#)) and little evidence for shared mtDNA [haplotypes](#) between localities, as did [Avila-Pires et al \(2012\)](#), which would be expected under this scenario; (ii) we recovered a signal of IBD across the mainland, which could account for the eastern specificity of these alleles via [dropout](#); and (iii) western Amazonian populations are monophyletic, which would be expected if there were a population bottleneck during westward colonization. However, apart from weighing these lines of evidence, the current state of knowledge and our current sampling provide no definitive way of differentiating them. Thus, future work may warrant further examination of these possibilities.

Our phylogenetic and STRUCTURE results informed the possibility that Trinidadian divergence from the mainland is sufficient to warrant taxonomic reevaluation. Examining species limits using multiple methods and data types consistently identified the Trinidad populations as distinct species from the mainland populations, while a subset of analyses (SSDA & BFD) further split populations from eastern and western Amazonia. We first address whether the Trinidad populations represent a distinct species from the mainland populations, and then discuss whether the South American populations consist of one or more species.

All species delimitation analyses recovered Trinidadian populations as being distinct from Amazonian *G. humeralis* (Table 2; Supplemental Figs. 3 and 4). Additionally, uncorrected genetic distances in mitochondrial ND2 (10%) between Trinidad and eastern populations (Table 1) are comparable to mitochondrial genetic distances among other recognized sister species of geckos, which typically range from 4.1% to 35.5% (Botov et al., 2015, Grismer et al., 2014a, Grismer et al., 2014b, Grismer et al., 2017, Oliver et al., 2007, Pepper et al., 2006, Portik et al., 2013). Although species delimitation based solely on pre-determined sequence divergence values is difficult, if not impossible, to justify due to variations in effective population sizes and lineage-specific substitution rates (Barracough et al., 2009, Moritz and Cicero, 2004, Pons et al., 2006), genetic distances among putative taxa can highlight taxa that warrant closer examination using other species delimitation methodologies (Gamble et al., 2012a, Hickerson et al., 2006), e.g. PTP, SSDA, and BFD. Thus, the bulk of the evidence supports recognition of the Trinidadian population as an independently evolving metapopulation lineage, or species (de Queiroz, 2007), distinct from mainland *G. humeralis*. Because the type locality of *G. humeralis* is from Peru (Guichenot, 1855, Rivero-Blanco, 1979), mainland South American populations should retain that name. Geckos on Trinidad, however, were previously described as *G. ferrugineus* (Cope, 1864) and we resurrect that name from synonymy for the Trinidadian population and briefly discuss its unusual nominal history.

Gonatodes ferrugineus has a complex taxonomic history (see supplement for complete synonymy). Cope (1864) described *G. ferrugineus* from material collected on Trinidad that Theodore Gill deposited in the Smithsonian. Although the original description was ambiguous, and the type presumably lost (Rivero-Blanco, 1979), Cope (1868) later identified a *G. ferrugineus* specimen (presumably being unaware of *G. humeralis*) among a collection of lizards from Peru and thus later naturalists assumed that *G. ferrugineus* was morphologically similar-to, and perhaps a junior synonym of, *G. humeralis* (Guichenot, 1855). *Gonatodes ferrugineus* was eventually synonymized with *G. humeralis*, although no justification was provided for the decision (Donoso-Barros, 1968). However, throughout the late 19th and most of the 20th centuries discrepancies in nomenclature were apparent. Some herpetologists appeared to be unaware of *G. ferrugineus* and listed *G. humeralis* as occurring on Trinidad, likely based on their own experiences with this species while working in South America (Parker, 1935, Roux, 1926). Others listed *G. ferrugineus* as occurring on Trinidad and *G. humeralis* on the mainland (Boulenger, 1885, Burt & Burt, 1933). Wermuth (1965) added to the confusion by indicating that both *G. ferrugineus* and *G. humeralis* co-occur on Trinidad. However, following the explicit synonymy of Donoso-Barros (1968) and Rivero-Blanco's thorough scholarly review (1979), synonymy of *G. ferrugineus* with *G. humeralis* was unanimously accepted (Avila-Pires, 1995, Kluge, 1993, Kluge, 1995, Kluge, 2001).

Gonatodes ferrugineus is currently morphologically indistinguishable from *G. humeralis* although there appear to be some qualitative differences in proportionality of the face, body size, and coloration in adult males that may, upon further investigation, diagnose this species (Authors' pers. obs.; Rivero-Blanco, 1979). Coloration may be particularly useful as adult males from Trinidad are generally not as colorful as those from mainland South America (Supplemental Fig. 1). Trinidadian males lack red spots on the sides of the body and their heads tend to favor orange/yellow rather than red and white/blue, both of which are typical features of most South American populations (Authors' pers. obs.; Rivero-Blanco, 1979). Similarly-colored males to those from Trinidad have also been observed in northern Venezuela (Rivero-Blanco, 1979), leading to the possibility that *G. ferrugineus* occurs there as well (Supplemental Fig. 1). Indeed, several Trinidadian endemics exhibit distributions that extend into northern Venezuela, such as *Gonatodes ceciliae*, *Gonatodes vittatus*, *Polychrus auduboni*, and *Flectonotus*

fitzgeraldi (Murphy, 1997, Murphy et al., 2017a). Further, previous studies that have examined morphological variation within *G. humeralis* have not included specimens from Trinidad (Avila-Pires, 1995, Avila-Pires et al., 2012, Vitt et al., 1997). Thus, future work should attempt to identify diagnostic phenotypic differences to complement the identified genotypic characters between these two species and determine the geographical boundaries of these species (Supplemental Fig. 1). It is worth pointing out a gap in our sampling from the northern Guiana Shield to Trinidad. Indeed, having not sampled Venezuelan populations may confound species delimitation metrics. However, we find this unlikely as we see no evidence of gene flow between Trinidad and the mainland, even when $K = 2$ (Supplemental Fig. 2) and 9.4% pairwise divergence at the mitochondrial locus ND2 is considerable, and likely reflects substantial reproductive isolation.

Although *G. ferrugineus* was revealed to be unambiguously distinct from mainland populations in all analyses, the status among South American populations was less straightforward. SSDA and BFD both provided support for a species delimitation model that splits mainland *G. humeralis* into two species, occupying eastern and western Amazonia (Table 2, Table 3, Supplemental Fig. 4). This hypothesis was bolstered by the fact that western Amazonia did not possess a large proportion of eastern-specific alleles (Fig. 1b) and that western Amazonia is monophyletic, although not reciprocally monophyletic with relation to eastern populations (Fig. 1a). These data are also congruent with previous work showing that the western Amazonian populations exhibit ecological differences compared to eastern populations. Namely, eastern *G. humeralis* occurs in primary forest, whereas western *G. humeralis* occur frequently in clearings, secondary forests, and human dwellings (Vitt et al., 1997). Additionally, a model that supports a parapatric mode of speciation across Amazonia would support the gradient hypothesis of Amazonian biogeography (Endler, 1977). However, there is emerging evidence that intraspecific, population-level processes can confound assumptions made by coalescent species delimitation methods, such as SSDA and BFD (Ahrens et al., 2016, Barley et al., 2018, Gratton et al., 2015, Sukumaran and Knowles, 2017). This includes processes such as IBD, which we identified in our mainland samples, that can result in oversplitting species even in well-represented, continuously sampled populations. When considered in conjunction with our relatively sparse sampling, particularly in central Amazonia (Fig. 1), it is most likely that SSDA and BFD mis-interpreted this structure as speciation, and thus oversplit the mainland clade. Additionally, for both the mtDNA and RADseq data, eastern and western populations are not reciprocally monophyletic. While reciprocal monophyly at any specific locus is not a prerequisite for species delimitation (Hudson and Coyne, 2002, Palumbi, 2001), rapidly coalescing loci like mtDNA frequently form monophyletic sister species, reflecting their reproductive isolation (Wiens and Penkrot, 2002, Zink and Barrowclough, 2008). Thus, the failure to recover reciprocal monophyly, coupled with high proportions of shared alleles between eastern and western lineages, supports a single-species hypothesis for mainland, i.e. *G. humeralis sensu stricto*.

Our estimates of the divergence time between mainland Amazonia and Trinidad are moderately disparate (mean = 1.89 mya (secondary calibration) and 2.7 mya (ND2 rate)). This is as expected, because gene divergence occurs prior to species divergence (Edwards and Beerli, 2000). Thus, we err on the side of the more-recent species divergence estimate of 1.89 mya (Fig. 2), which then suggests that cladogenesis between *G. ferrugineus* and *G. humeralis* took place in the early- to mid-Pleistocene, coinciding with the published divergences separating sister taxa in other organisms distributed on Trinidad and South America, including: fishes (Jowers et al., 2008), frogs (Camargo et al., 2009), skinks (Hedges and Conn, 2012), and birds (Hunt et al., 2001). Concordance across animal clades is suggestive of a large-scale isolating event between groups of organisms on Trinidad and South America during this time-period due to Pleistocene glacial cycles. However, these divergences are ancient considering recent connections between the Paria peninsula of Venezuela and Trinidad as recently as 10,000 years ago (Comeau, 1991). This transient connector may have also provided *G. ferrugineus* with the means of re-colonizing the mainland in a similar manner to *G. ceciliae* and *G. vittatus* (Supplemental Fig. 1). This possibility presents an interesting testable hypothesis of testing co-divergence of these lineages. Nonetheless, testing this hypothesis using a model-based biogeographic analysis (such as Ree et al., 2005) is currently not possible, as we are still lacking a fully sampled *Gonatodes* phylogeny (Gamble et al., 2008, Schargel et al., 2010, Russell et al., 2015).

We are currently unable to devise definitive tests to differentiate between three competing phylogeographic scenarios: (1) Trinidad and mainland populations were isolated via [vicariance](#) during Pleistocene glacial cycles, (2) dispersal to Trinidad via river flotsam (from the Orinoco or other nearby river), or (3) the inverse scenario, dispersal to the mainland from Trinidad. Given the current data, we are unable to ascertain the approximate distribution of the most recent common ancestor to *G. humeralis* and *G. ferrugineus*. As discussed above, western Amazonian populations are nested within eastern populations of *G. humeralis*, excluding the possibility of an Andean origination ([Fig. 1](#)). *G. humeralis* possesses significantly greater genetic diversity in eastern Amazonia than *G. ferrugineus*, which suggests a [founder effect](#) bottleneck on Trinidad via (1) vicariance or (2) riverine dispersal and discourages (3) the inverse possibility of dispersal from Trinidad to South America ([Supplemental Table 2](#)). In many cases, high levels of genetic diversity correlate with a lineage's point-of-origin as genetic diversity accumulates over time in stable populations ([Ingman et al., 2000](#), [Kimura, 1983](#)). In addition, most *Gonatodes* species occur in South America, including a member of *G. humeralis sensu lato*'s sister group, *G. conncinatus*, suggesting a continental origin, with Caribbean species resulting from subsequent dispersals from the mainland ([Supplemental Fig. 1](#)), unlike *Anolis* lizards ([Glor et al., 2001](#)). However, several species closely-related to this clade, e.g. *G. ocellatus*, *G. ceciliae*, and *G. antillensis* (*G. conncinatus*' sister species), occur on islands north of South America, including Trinidad and Tobago ([Supplemental Fig. 1](#)). Thus, although the data are suggestive, these scenarios can, and should be, explicitly tested when sufficient data are available.

The identification of the recent radiation of *G. humeralis* across Amazonia provides a powerful framework for testing recent biogeographic theories using fine-scale sampling, given specific demographic and phylogeographic predictions ([Avila-Pires et al., 2012](#), [Bush and Oliveira, 2006](#), [Haffer, 1997](#), [Prates et al., 2016](#), [Werneck et al., 2012](#)). We found that *G. humeralis* does not diverge from a neutral model, suggesting a relatively constant population size over time. However, it is also known that small sample sizes (mainland $N = 20$) can confound true deviations from neutrality, although failure to diverge from a neutral model is also a common theme in Amazonian taxa and is not unique to *G. humeralis* ([Lessa et al., 2003](#)). This is still somewhat surprising since the divergence between *G. humeralis* in eastern and western Amazonia has occurred so recently ([Fig. 2](#)). This shallow time-frame, however, provides the potential for Quaternary divergence hypotheses, namely the refuge ([Haffer, 1969](#)) and vanishing refuge ([Vanzolini and Williams, 1981](#)) hypotheses, to be tested by employing more fine-scale sampling than was available for this study. Thus, *G. humeralis sensu stricto* provides a model system for elucidating the recent history of Amazonia.

Within eastern Amazonia, our results are largely concordant with the findings of [Avila-Pires et al. \(2012\)](#), using mitochondrial data to infer high genetic diversity in eastern Amazonia ([Supplemental Table 3](#)). Along with the lack of genetic diversity in western Amazonia and on Trinidad, our data suggest the most recent common ancestor of *G. humeralis sensu stricto* occurred in eastern Amazonia, with subsequent westward expansion; as source populations typically have higher genetic diversity than their emigrated counterparts ([Cann et al., 1987](#), [Ingman et al., 2000](#)). Previous investigations of geographic barriers that have affected *G. humeralis* have focused on riverine barriers ([Avila-Pires et al., 2012](#)). Rivers have played an important role in Amazonian biogeography by acting as barriers to gene flow in multiple taxa [[Cracraft, 1985](#), [Haffer, 1969](#), [Oliveira et al., 2017](#), [Wallace, 1852](#)]. However, there is little evidence that they have had much impact on the present-day distribution of *G. humeralis*, as our time-calibrated phylogeny suggests that intraspecific divergence within *G. humeralis* took place <2.4 mya ([Fig. 2](#)), which is more recent than the establishment of the present-day Amazon river (≥ 3.6 mya) or the paleo-Tocantins river (≈ 2.6 mya) ([Figueiredo et al., 2009](#), [Latrubesse et al., 2010](#)). Future investigations, with more thorough geographic sampling, may be able to elucidate a role for riverine barriers in relation to migration and gene flow in *G. humeralis*. Furthermore, the adaptation(s) that have led to the unusually broad distribution of *G. humeralis* may be of greater macroevolutionary importance for further investigation. Here, we briefly discuss the current state of knowledge regarding *G. humeralis*' lineage-specific adaptations.

4.1. *Gonatodes* as a phylogeographic model system

Gonatodes humeralis is distributed over a geographic range considerably larger than that of any of its congeners. Indeed, because most geckos exhibit small ranges, *G. humeralis* may possess one of the largest native ranges of any gecko species ([Meiri et al., 2017](#), [Roll et al., 2017](#)). *Gonatodes humeralis* resembles its congeners in many respects, and there are several hypotheses to explain the large distribution of *G. humeralis*. The first involves increased [thermal tolerance](#), which could allow *G. humeralis* to disperse across warm, open areas between [forest fragments](#) ([Vanzolini and Williams, 1981](#)). However, *G. humeralis* maintains the same body temperature as at least two congeners: *G. concinnatus* ([Vitt and Zani, 1996](#)); and *G. hasemani* ([Vitt et al., 2000](#)), and although it occupies slightly warmer [microhabitats](#) than *G. hasemani*, its thermal properties may be explained by differences in body size; as *G. humeralis* is the smallest member of its genus ([Avila-Pires, 1995](#)). To test this as a potential explanation for the relative success of *G. humeralis*, body and microhabitat temperatures for additional *Gonatodes* species will be needed ([Hertz et al., 1993](#)). Another hypothesis involves the presence of functionally adhesive digits in *G. humeralis*, and *G. ferrugineus*, a unique trait for these taxa ([Higham et al., 2017](#), [Russell et al., 2015](#)).

The gain and loss of adhesive toepads in geckos has been hypothesized to represent a key innovation ([Higham et al., 2017](#), [Losos, 2011](#), [Russell and Delaunay, 2017](#)). A key innovation is a behavioral or [morphological adaptation](#) that has the capacity to enhance [competitive ability](#), relax adaptive trade-offs, or catalyze the exploitation of a novel resource, which, in turn enhances the number or [longevity](#) of a species ([Hunter, 1998](#)). Digital adhesion allows geckos to exploit vertical, low-friction surfaces and may have allowed *G. humeralis* to occupy habitats unavailable to its congeners, such as higher strata in the rainforest canopy or [locomotion](#) on a wide variety of substrates ([Vitt et al., 1997](#), [Russell et al., 2015](#)). Although current genetic and [fossil](#) data are lacking to successfully correlate gain and loss of digital adhesion and diversification rates in geckos, it has been demonstrated that: (1) digital adhesion has been gained, and lost, multiple times throughout the evolutionary history of gecko lizards (Gekkota) ([Gamble et al., 2012b](#)), (2) under different environmental conditions, selection can favor the presence or absence of adhesive digits ([Russell and Delaunay, 2017](#)), (3) the evolution of functional adhesion requires few morphological changes ([Russell et al., 2015](#)), and (4) small morphological changes can have marked impacts on function and the success of a lineage ([Burggren, 1992](#), [Higham et al., 2015](#), [Higham et al., 2016](#), [Hunter, 1998](#), [Liem, 1973](#), [Russell, 1979](#), [Thomason and Russell, 1986](#), [Webb, 1982](#)). Although, key innovations are generally discussed in the context of [adaptive radiations](#) ([Farrell, 1998](#), [Stroud and Losos, 2016](#)), it is evident that we witness evolutionary processes as a snapshot in time and, given a strong environmental impetus, a well-adapted (successful) lineage with a broad range may also be a lineage that is primed for subsequent diversification ([Endler, 1977](#), [Haffer, 1969](#)). Thus, digital adhesion, which is absent from all other *Gonatodes* species, provides a putative mechanism for *G. humeralis sensu lato*, relative to other members of the genus, to have capitalized on available ecological opportunity across Amazonia and on Trinidad (see [Wellborn and Langerhans, 2014](#) for a scholarly review of ecological opportunity).

5. Conclusion

We propose that *G. humeralis sensu lato* is composed of two species. (1) *G. humeralis sensu stricto* occupies mainland South America and (2) its sister species, *G. ferrugineus*, resides allopatrically on the island of Trinidad. However, we reject the hypothesis that *G. humeralis* is a species-complex made up of multiple species across Amazonia. More specifically, genetic analyses support the hypothesis that *G. humeralis sensu stricto* is a single species throughout its contiguous range across northern South America with substantial [population structure](#) (local diversity and IBD). This is extremely atypical for a small, non-volant Neotropical [taxon](#), and this pattern contrasts with that of most Amazonian taxa, as well as other species of *Gonatodes*, which occupy small, disjunctive distributions, and this discrepancy in geographic range invites further investigation. Indeed, unlike many [clades](#) consisting of widespread Neotropical taxa, *Gonatodes* harbors both widespread and geographically restricted taxa, providing a powerful system for identifying traits that influence [species distributions](#). Thus, future work should attempt to elucidate the [evolutionary adaptations](#) that have influenced the [biogeography](#) of *Gonatodes*.

Author contributions

B.J.P. assisted in study design, performed lab work, analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript. G.R.C. conducted fieldwork. T.E.H. assisted in study design. A.P.R. assisted in study design and manuscript preparation. D.P.S. and L.J.V. conducted fieldwork. T.G. conducted fieldwork, assisted in study design, performed lab work, and manuscript preparation. In addition, all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Authors would like to thank S. Estrada-Villegas, S.V. Nielsen, and A.H. Griffing for helpful comments and insightful discussion on early drafts of the manuscript; anonymous reviewers for constructive and helpful comments on the manuscript; S. Meiri for providing data for our geographic area estimations; A. Schultz and A. M. Simons for lab help on an earlier iteration of this project; and Ambrose Monell Cryo Collection (AMCC) at the American Museum of Natural History, R. Brumfield and LSU Museum of Natural Science Collection of [Genetic Resources](#), M. Forstner, R. M. Murphy (ROM), J. Simmons (KU), and G. Watkins–Colwell (YPM) provided tissues from material in their care. B. J. Pinto was supported in-part by NSF [DEB1657662](#) (to T. Gamble); G. R. Colli thanks Coordenação de Apoio à Formação de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa do Distrito Federal (FAPDF), and the Partnerships for Enhanced Engagement in Research (PEER) program for financial support. This work was also funded by National Science Foundation (NSF) IOS grant ([1147043](#)) and start-up funds from UC Riverside to T. E. Higham, The Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant ([9745-2008](#)) to A. P. Russell, NSF DDIG ([DEB-1110605](#)) to D. P. Scantlebury, the University of Oklahoma Research Council via a George Lynn Cross Research Professorship to L. J. Vitt, NSF IOS grant ([1146820](#)) to D. Zarkower, and start-up funds (Marquette University) and Dayton/Wilkie Fund (Bell Museum of Natural History) to T. Gamble.

Data accessibility

[GenBank](#) and Short Read Archive (SRA) accession numbers provided in Supplemental Table 1.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

The following are the Supplementary data to this article:

Supplementary data 1.

Supplementary Figure 1. *Gonatodes humeralis* occurs sympatrically across its range with 13 congeners: *G. alexandermendensi*, *G. annularis*, *G. ceciliae*, *G. conncinatus*, *G. eladoi*, *G. hasemani*, *G. nascimento*, *G. riveroi*, *G. rozei*, *G. seigliei*, *G. tapajonicus*, *G. timidus*, and *G. vittatus*. All range estimates are based upon museum records from Universidad Nacional de Colombia and from the literature: Avila-Pires, 1995; Carvajal-Campos & Torres-Carvajal, 2012; Meilink et al. 2013; Ribeiro-Junior, 2015; Rivas & Schargel, 2008; Rivero-Blanco, 1979; Schargel et al. 2017; Uetz, 2017; Vanzolini, 1955; Vitt & Zani, 1996; Vitt et al. 1997 & 2000. Bottom right: Proposed species range adjustments concluded from this study, i.e. dividing *G. humeralis* and *G. ferrugineus*. “?” denotes the findings of Rivero-Blanco (1979) where male specimens from northern Venezuela more closely resemble specimens from Trinidad leading to the possibility that this is *G. ferrugineus*. Bottom: Representative photographs of each species *G. humeralis* courtesy of L.J.V. and *G. ferrugineus* courtesy of D.P.S.

Supplementary data 2.

Supplementary Figure 2. Distruct plot output of STRUCTURE software investigating proportions of shared alleles among 16,381 unlinked SNPs with K=1-6. Line graph depicting $\Delta K = [\text{mean}(|L''(K)|)] / \text{sd}(L(K))$ over iteration of each K.

Supplementary data 3.

Supplementary Figure 3. Poisson Tree Processes (PTP) annotated mitochondrial tree output indicating distinct species as red clades with green root. The same tree is shown in an expanded cladogram format reporting nodal support of the mtDNA tree (posterior probabilities ≥ 0.95).

Supplementary data 4.

Supplementary Figure 4. Top left: map relating locality data to location on representative trees. Bottom left: (PTP) Species delimitation model predicted by PTP analysis using mitochondrial gene tree. Top right: (SSDA – STACEY) Species delimitation model predicted by SSDA in a Bayesian coalescent framework, support values for each node correspond to posterior probabilities that indicate each node is a distinct species under six different demographic scenarios (see methods). Bottom right: (BFD) Species delimitation model supported by BFD, reported number $[\ln(\text{BF}) = 9.5]$ reflecting a model comparison between the two-species (PTP) and three-species (SSDA) models by comparing Bayes factors (see methods). Dash marks along branch lengths represent that the lineage beyond is supported as a species-level clade in that respective analysis.

Supplementary data 5.

Supplementary Figure 5. Bayesian time-calibrated phylogenetic reconstruction using a secondary time-calibrated root acquired from Gamble et al. (2015), set at ≈ 72.5 million years with a normal distribution ($\sigma = 4$). Posterior probabilities reported at each node with colored circles where large red circles are equal to posterior probability equal to ≥ 0.99 , while smaller blue circles are equal to less than 0.95 (unsupported). Mean node ages are reported with node bars indicating 95% confidence interval of each mean age.

Supplementary data 6.

Supplementary Figure 6. Bayesian phylogenetic reconstruction using STACEY. (A) Maximum sum of clade credibility tree summarized from 27,003 post-burnin trees, numbers are posterior support for the associated node. (B) DensiTree representation of 27,003 post-burnin trees.

Supplementary data 7.

Supplementary Table 1. Locality and GenBank accessibility information of data generated in this study.

Species	Specimen ID	Locality	Locality ID	16S	ND2	RAG 1	CMOS	PTPN 12	MAP 1b	Radseq
<i>G. ferrugineus</i>	TG1681	Biche, Trinidad	1	KX762113	KX762236	KX762207	KX762257	KX762141	KX762166	SAMN06827899
<i>G. ferrugineus</i>	TG1729	Flanagin Town, Trinidad	1	KX762114	KX762237	KX762208	KX762250	KX762142	KX762167	SAMN06827900
<i>G. ferrugineus</i>	TG1730	Flanagin Town, Trinidad	1	KX762115	KX762238	KX762209	KX762255	KX762143	KX762168	SAMN06827901
<i>G. ferrugineus</i>	TG1842	Biche, Trinidad	1	KX762116	KX762239	KX762210	KX757678	KX762144	KX762169	SAMN06827902
<i>G. ferrugineus</i>	TG1843	Biche, Trinidad	1	KX762117	KX762240	KX774276	N/A.	KX774278	KX762170	SAMN06827903

<i>G. ferrugineus</i>	TG1850	Flanagin Town, Trinidad	1	KX76 2118	KX76 2241	KX76 2211	KX76 2254	KX76 2145	KX76 2171	SAMN06 827904
<i>G. ferrugineus</i>	TG1851	Flanagin Town, Trinidad	1	KX76 2119	KX76 2242	KX76 2212	KX76 2253	KX76 2146	KX76 2172	SAMN06 827905
<i>G. ferrugineus</i>	TG1862	Flanagin Town, Trinidad	1	KX76 2120	KX76 2243	KX76 2213	KX76 2252	KX76 2147	KX76 2173	SAMN06 827906
<i>G. ferrugineus</i>	TG1896	Flanagin Town, Trinidad	1	KX76 2121	KX76 2244	KX76 2214	KX76 2256	KX76 2148	KX76 2174	SAMN06 827907
<i>G. ferrugineus</i>	TG1897	Flanagin Town, Trinidad	1	KX76 2122	KX76 2245	KX77 4277	KX76 2251	KX77 4279	N/A.	SAMN06 827908
<i>G. humeralis</i>	AMCC1 01359	Kwakwani, Berbice River, Guyana	2	EU47 7057	KX76 2218	KX76 2193	KX76 2179	KX76 2126	KX76 2152	SAMN06 827879
<i>G. humeralis</i>	AMCC1 06913	Dubulay Ranch, Berbice River, Guyana	2	EU47 7055	KX76 2219	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	SAMN06 827880
<i>G. humeralis</i>	YPM15 353	Kappel, Sipali District, Suriname	3	EF56 4012	KX76 2247	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	SAMN06 827898
<i>G. humeralis</i>	LSUMZ 12371	Faz. Nova Esperanca, Roraima, Brazil	4	EU47 7058	KX76 2222	KX76 2194	KX76 2180	KX76 2127	KX76 2153	SAMN06 827882
<i>G. humeralis</i>	LSUMZ 12376	Faz. Nova Esperanca, Roraima, Brazil	4	EU47 7056	KX76 2223	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	SAMN06 827883
<i>G. humeralis</i>	CHUNB 31161	Monte Alegre, Pará, Brazil	5	EF56 4040	KX76 2220	N/A.	EF56 4092	N/A.	N/A.	SAMN06 827881
<i>G. humeralis</i>	LSUMZ 14193	Santarem, Pará, Brazil	6	EF56 4031	KX76 2224	KX76 2196	EF56 4085	KX76 2129	KX76 2155	SAMN06 827888
<i>G. humeralis</i>	LSUMZ 14194	Santarem, Pará, Brazil	6	EF56 4029	KX76 2225	KX76 2195	KX76 2181	KX76 2128	KX76 2154	SAMN06 827889
<i>G. humeralis</i>	LSUMZ 16405	Castanho, Amazonas, Brazil	7	EU47 7063	KX76 2230	KX76 2201	KX76 2184	KX76 2134	KX76 2160	SAMN06 827890
<i>G. humeralis</i>	LSUMZ 16408	Castanho, Amazonas, Brazil	7	EU47 7062	KX76 2231	KX76 2202	KX76 2185	KX76 2135	KX76 2161	SAMN06 827891
<i>G. humeralis</i>	CHUNB 47049	Alta Floresta, Mato Grosso, Brazil	8	EU47 7054	KX76 2221	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

<i>G. humeralis</i>	LSUMZ 17785	Guajará-Mirim, Rondônia, Brazil	9	EU47 7065	KX76 2232	KX76 2203	KX76 2186	KX76 2136	KX76 2162	SAMN06 827892
<i>G. humeralis</i>	LSUMZ 17786	Guajará-Mirim, Rondônia, Brazil	9	EU47 7064	KX76 2233	KX76 2204	KX76 2187	KX76 2137	KX76 2163	SAMN06 827893
<i>G. humeralis</i>	MHNS M1472 2	Cuzco Amazônico, Madre de Dios, Peru	10	EU47 7067	KX76 2234	KX76 2205	KX76 2188	KX76 2138	KX76 2164	SAMN06 827895
<i>G. humeralis</i>	MHNS M1472 3	Cuzco Amazônico, Madre de Dios, Peru	10	EU47 7068	KX76 2235	KX76 2206	KX76 2189	KX76 2139	KX76 2165	SAMN06 827896
<i>G. humeralis</i>	WED57 873	Cuzco Amazônico, Madre de Dios, Peru	10	EF56 4028	KX76 2246	KX76 2215	EF56 4082	KX76 2140	KX76 2175	SAMN06 827897
<i>G. humeralis</i>	LSUMZ 13586	Porto Walter, Acre, Brazil	11	EU47 7069	KX76 2228	KX76 2199	KX76 2183	KX76 2132	KX76 2158	SAMN06 827886
<i>G. humeralis</i>	LSUMZ 13587	Porto Walter, Acre, Brazil	11	EU47 7066	KX76 2229	KX76 2200	N/A.	KX76 2133	KX76 2159	SAMN06 827887
<i>G. humeralis</i>	MF194 92	Orellana, Napo, Ecuador	12	EF56 4013	JX04 1361	EF53 4796	EF53 4922	JF41 6860	N/A.	SAMN06 827894
<i>G. humeralis</i>	LSUMZ 12638	RPF-Cuyabeno, Sucumbíos, Ecuador	13	EU47 7061	KX76 2226	KX76 2197	KX76 2182	KX76 2130	KX76 2156	SAMN06 827884
<i>G. humeralis</i>	LSUMZ 12639	RPF-Cuyabeno, Sucumbíos, Ecuador	13	EF56 4030	KX76 2227	KX76 2198	EF56 4084	KX76 2131	KX76 2157	SAMN06 827885
<i>G. antillensis</i>	YPM17 581	Westpunt Bay Beach, Curaçao	N/A.	KX76 2123	KX76 2248	KX76 2216	KX76 2191	KX76 2149	KX76 2176	N/A.
<i>G. antillensis</i>	YPM17 582	Westpunt Bay Beach, Curaçao	N/A.	KX76 2124	KX76 2249	KX76 2217	KX76 2192	KX76 2150	KX76 2177	N/A.
<i>G. antillensis</i>	YPM17 583	Westpunt Bay Beach, Curaçao	N/A.	KX76 2125	KP64 0636	KP64 0630	KP64 0623	KX76 2151	KX76 2178	N/A.

Supplementary data 8.

Supplementary Table 2. GenBank accessibility for StarBEAST2 analysis from Higham et al. (2017) and this study

Species	16S	ND2	ACM4	RAG1	RAG2	CMOS	PTPN12	PDC
<i>G. ferrugineus</i>	KX762113	KX762236	--	KX762207	--	KX762257	KX762141	--
<i>G. ferrugineus</i>	KX762119	KX762242	--	KX762212	--	KX762253	KX762146	--
<i>G. ferrugineus</i>	KX762120	KX762243	--	KX762213	--	KX762252	KX762147	--

<i>G. humeralis_East</i>	EU47705 7	KX76221 8	EF56405 7	KX76219 3	EF56410 9	KX76217 9	KX76212 6	--
<i>G. humeralis_East</i>	EF56404 0	KX76222 0	EF56406 6	--	EF56411 8	EF56409 2	--	--
<i>G. humeralis_East</i>	EF56403 1	KX76222 4	EF56405 9	KX76219 6	EF56411 1	EF56408 5	KX76212 9	--
<i>G. humeralis_West</i>	EF56402 8	KX76224 6	EF56405 6	KX76221 5	EF56410 8	EF56408 2	KX76214 0	--
<i>G. humeralis_West</i>	EF56401 3	JX04136 1	EF53487 9	EF53479 6	EF53496 4	EF53492 2	JF41686 0	--
<i>G. antillensis</i>	KX76212 3	KX76224 7	--	KX76221 6	--	KX76219 1	KX76214 9	--
<i>G. antillensis</i>	KX76212 4	KX76224 8	--	KX76221 7	--	KX76219 2	KX76215 0	--
<i>G. antillensis</i>	KX76212 5	KP64063 6	KP64062 7	KP64063 0	KP64062 5	KP64062 3	KX76215 1	--
<i>G. eladioi</i>	HQ4261 95	--	EF56405 5	HQ4262 83	EF56410 7	EF56408 1	JF41685 9	HQ4261 95
<i>G. hasemani</i>	EF56401 5	KT11956 9	EF53487 8	--	EF53496 3	EF53492 1	--	EF53483 7
<i>G. albogularis</i>	EF56402 0	JX04135 4	EF53488 0	EF53479 7	EF53496 5	EF53492 3	JF41685 4	EF53483 9
<i>G. alexandermendesi</i>	EF56402 6	JX04135 5	EF53488 1	EF53479 8	EF53496 6	EF53492 4	--	EF53484 0
<i>G. annularis</i>	EF56403 7	JX04135 6	EF53487 6	EF53479 4	EF53496 1	EF53491 9	JF41685 5	EF53483 5
<i>G. caudiscutatus</i>	EF56401 1	JX04135 7	EF53487 7	EF53479 5	EF53496 2	EF53492 0	JF41685 6	EF53483 6
<i>G. ceciliae</i>	EF56403 5	JX04135 8	EF56406 2	JF416914	EF56411 4	EF56408 8	JF41685 7	HQ4261 93
<i>G. concinnatus</i>	EF56401 2	JX04135 9	EF56404 4	HQ4262 82	EF56409 6	EF56407 0	JF41685 8	HQ4261 94
<i>G. daudini</i>	EF56403 4	JX04136 0	EF53487 5	EF53479 3	EF53496 0	EF53491 8	--	EF53483 4
<i>G. ocellatus</i>	EF56401 4	JX04136 2	EF56404 6	HQ4262 84	EF56409 8	EF56407 2	--	HQ4261 96
<i>G. vittatus</i>	EF56403 2	JX04136 3	EF56406 0	HQ4262 85	EF56411 2	EF56408 6	--	KT11956 8
<i>L. festae</i>	EF56400 7	--	EF56404 2	HQ4262 97	EF56409 4	EF56406 8	JF41686 2	HQ4262 08
<i>L. sp.</i>	EF56400 8	JX04137 5	EF53487 1	EF53478 9	EF53495 6	EF53491 4	JF41686 1	EF53483 0
<i>L. xanthostigma</i>	EF56400 9	JX04137 6	EF53487 2	EF53479 0	EF53495 7	EF53491 5	JF41686 3	EF53483 1

Supplementary data 9.

Supplementary Table 3. Within-group measures of genetic diversity using mtDNA. Within-group genetic distances for each cluster (p-distances) with standard error (S.E.) calculated using 500 bootstrap replicates in

MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016). Nucleotide diversity (π) for each cluster and standard deviations (sd), calculated using DNAsp (Librado & Rozas, 2009); including 99% confidence intervals ($\pi \pm 3 * sd$).

Geographic Cluster	within-group p-distance	S.E.	pi	sd	pi ($\pm$) 3*sd ***
<i>G. humeralis</i> East	0.0578	± 0.00 45	0.05811	0.003 2	0.04851 - 0.06771
<i>G. humeralis</i> West	0.0296	± 0.00 33	0.02761	0.005 14	0.01219 - 0.04303
<i>G. humeralis</i> Trinidad	0.0026	± 0.00 12	0.00284	0.000 32	0.00188 - 0.0038
<i>G. antillensis</i>	0.0074	± 0.00 23	0.0071	0.002 06	0.00092 - 0.01328
	MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016)		DNAsp v5.0 (Librado & Rozas, 2009)		

References

- Ahrens et al., 2016 D. Ahrens, T. Fujisawa, H. Krammer, J. Eberle, S. Fabrizi, A. Vogler **Rarity and incomplete sampling in DNA-based species delimitation** Syst. Biol., 65 (3) (2016), pp. 478-494, [10.1093/sysbio/syw002](https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syw002)
- Alexander et al., 2017 A. Alexander, Y. Su, C. Oliveros, K. Olson, S. Travers, R. Brown **Genomic data reveals potential for hybridization, introgression, and incomplete lineage sorting to confound phylogenetic relationships in an adaptive radiation of narrow-mouth frogs** Evolution, 71 (2) (2017), pp. 475-488, [10.1111/evo.13133](https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13133)
- Angulo and Icochea, 2010 A. Angulo, J. Icochea **Cryptic species complexes, widespread species and conservation: lessons from Amazonian frogs of the *Leptodactylus marmoratus* group (Anura: Leptodactylidae)** Syst. Biodivers., 8 (2010), pp. 357-370
- Antonelli et al., 2011 A. Antonelli, A. Quijada-Mascareñas, A. Crawford, J. Bates, P.M. Velazco, W. Wüster **Molecular studies and phylogeography of amazonian tetrapods and their relation to geological and climatic models. Ch. 24: Amazonia: landscape and species** Evolution (2011), pp. 386-404, [10.1002/9781444306408](https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444306408)
- Avila-Pires, 1995 T. Avila-Pires **Lizards of Brazilian Amazonia (Reptilia: Squamata)** Zoologische Verhandelingen, 299 (1) (1995)
- Avila-Pires et al., 2012 T. Avila-Pires, D. Mulcahy, F. Werneck, J. Sites **Phylogeography of the teiid lizard *Kentropyx calcarata* and the sphaerodactylid *Gonatodes humeralis* (Reptilia: Squamata): testing a geological scenario for the lower Amazon-Tocantins basins, Amazonia, Brazil** Herpetologica, 68 (2) (2012), pp. 272-287, [10.1655/herpetologica-d-11-00021.1](https://doi.org/10.1655/herpetologica-d-11-00021.1)
- Barley et al., 2018 A. Barley, J. Brown, R. Thomson **Impact of model violations on the inference of species boundaries under the multispecies coalescent** Syst. Biol., 67 (2) (2018), pp. 269-284, [10.1093/sysbio/syx077](https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syx077)
- Barracough et al., 2009 T. Barracough, M. Hughes, N. Ashford-Hodges, T. Fujisawa **Inferring evolutionarily significant units of bacterial diversity from broad environmental surveys of single-locus data** Biol. Lett., 5 (3) (2009), pp. 425-428, [10.1098/rsbl.2009.0091](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2009.0091)
- Beck and Kitching, 2007 J. Beck, I. Kitching **Correlates of range size and dispersal ability: a comparative analysis of sphingid moths from the Indo-Australian tropics** Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr., 16 (3) (2007), pp. 341-349, [10.1111/j.1466-8238.2007.00289.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2007.00289.x)
- Beheregaray, 2008 L. Beheregaray **Twenty years of phylogeography: the state of the field and the challenges for the Southern Hemisphere** Mol. Ecol., 17 (2008), pp. 3754-3774
- Bergmann and Russell, 2007 P. Bergmann, A. Russell **Systematics and biogeography of the widespread Neotropical gekkonid genus *Thecadactylus* (Squamata), with the description of a new cryptic species**

- Zool. J. Linn. Soc., 149 (3) (2007), pp. 339-370, [10.1111/j.1096-3642.2007.00251.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2007.00251.x)
- [Botov et al., 2015](#) A. Botov, T. Phung, T. Nguyen, A. Bauer, I. Brennan, T. Ziegler **A new species of *Dixonius* (Squamata: Gekkonidae) from Phu Quy Island, Vietnam** Zootaxa, 4040 (1) (2015), pp. 48-58, [10.11646/zootaxa.4040.1.4](https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4040.1.4)
- [Boulenger, 1885](#) Boulenger, G., 1885. Catalogue of the Lizards in the British Museum (Nat. Hist.) I. Geckonidae, Eublepharidae, Uroplatidae, Pygopodidae, Agamidae. London. 450 pp.
- [Bouckaert et al., 2014](#) R. Bouckaert, J. Heled, D. Kuehnert, T. Vaughan, C. Wu, D. Xie, et al. **BEAST 2: a software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis** PLoS Comput. Biol., 10 (4) (2014), Article e1003537, [10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003537](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003537)
- [Bryant et al., 2012](#) D. Bryant, R. Bouckaert, J. Felsenstein, N. Rosenberg, A. RoyChoudhury **Inferring species trees directly from biallelic genetic markers: bypassing gene trees in a full coalescent analysis** Mol. Biol. Evol., 29 (8) (2012), pp. 1917-1932, [10.1093/molbev/mss086](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss086)
- [Burbrink et al., 2011](#) F.T. Burbrink, H. Yao, M. Ingrasci, R.W. Bryson, T.J. Guiher, S. Ruane **Speciation at the Mogollon rim in the Arizona mountain kingsnake (*Lampropeltis pyromelana*)** Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 60 (3) (2011), pp. 445-454, [10.1016/j.ympev.2011.05.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2011.05.009)
- [Burggren, 1992](#) W. Burggren **Respiration and circulation in land crabs: novel variations on the marine design** Am. Zool., 32 (1992), pp. 417-427, [10.1093/icb/32.3.417](https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/32.3.417)
- [Burt & Burt, 1933](#) Burt & Burt **A preliminary checklist of the lizards of South America** Trans. Acad. Sci. St Louis., 28 (1) (1933), pp. 1-104
- [Bush and Oliveira, 2006](#) M. Bush, P. Oliveira **The rise and fall of the Refugial Hypothesis of Amazonian speciation: a paleoecological perspective** Biota Neotrop., 6 (1) (2006), [10.1590/s1676-06032006000100002](https://doi.org/10.1590/s1676-06032006000100002)
- [Camargo et al., 2006](#) A. Camargo, R. De Sa, W. Heyer **Phylogenetic analyses of mtDNA sequences reveal three cryptic lineages in the widespread neotropical frog *Leptodactylus fuscus* (Schneider, 1799) (Anura, Leptodactylidae)** Biol. J. Linn. Soc., 87 (2) (2006), pp. 325-341
- [Camargo et al., 2009](#) A. Camargo, W. Heyer, R. de Sá **Phylogeography of the frog *Leptodactylus validus* (Amphibia: Anura): patterns and timing of colonization events in the Lesser Antilles** Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 53 (2) (2009), pp. 571-579, [10.1016/j.ympev.2009.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2009.07.004)
- [Caminer et al., 2017](#) M. Caminer, B. Milá, M. Jansen, A. Fouquet, P.J. Venegas, G. Chávez, S. Ron **Systematics of the *Dendropsophus leucophyllatus* species complex (Anura: Hylidae): cryptic diversity and the description of two new species** PLoS ONE, 12 (3) (2017), Article e0171785, [10.1371/journal.pone.0171785](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0171785) doi:[10.1016/j.tree.2015.11.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2015.11.004)
- [Cann et al., 1987](#) R. Cann, M. Stoneking, A. Wilson **Mitochondrial DNA and human evolution** Nature, 325 (1987), pp. 31-36, [10.1038/325031a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/325031a0)
- [Carvalho et al., 2008](#) E. Carvalho Jr., A. Lima, W. Magnusson, A. Albernaz **Long-term effect of forest fragmentation on the Amazonian gekkonid lizards, *Coleodactylus amazonicus* and *Gonatodes humeralis*** Austral Ecol., 33 (6) (2008), pp. 723-729, [10.1111/j.1442-9993.2008.01840.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2008.01840.x)
- [Casillas and Barbadilla, 2017](#) S. Casillas, A. Barbadilla **Molecular population genetics** Genetics, 205 (3) (2017), pp. 1003-1035, [10.1534/genetics.116.196493](https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.116.196493)
- [Catchen et al., 2011](#) J. Catchen, A. Amores, P. Hohenlohe, W. Cresko, J. Postlethwait **Stacks: building and genotyping loci *de novo* from short-read sequences** G3: Genes|Genomes|Genetics, 1 (3) (2011), pp. 171-182, [10.1534/g3.111.000240](https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.111.000240)
- [Chek et al., 2001](#) A. Chek, S. Loughheed, J. Bogart, P. Boag **Perception and history: molecular phylogeny of a diverse group of Neotropical frogs, the 30-chromosome *Hyla* (Anura: Hylidae)** Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 18 (3) (2001), pp. 370-385, [10.1006/mpev.2000.0889](https://doi.org/10.1006/mpev.2000.0889)
- [Clarke et al., 2017a](#) D. Clarke, P. York, M. Rasheed, T. Northfield **Does biodiversity–ecosystem function literature neglect tropical ecosystems?** Trends Ecol. Evol., 32 (5) (2017), pp. 320-323, [10.1016/j.tree.2017.02.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.02.012)
- [Clarke et al., 2017b](#) D. Clarke, P. York, M. Rasheed, T. Northfield **Identifying areas of need in tropical research: a reply to Stroud and Feeley** Trends Ecol. Evol., 32 (9) (2017), p. 628, [10.1016/j.tree.2017.06.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.06.007)

- [Colston et al., 2013](#) T. Colston, F. Grazziotin, D. Shepard, L. Vitt, G. Colli, R. Henderson, F. Burbrink **Molecular systematics and historical biogeography of tree boas (*Corallus* spp.)** Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 66 (3) (2013), pp. 953-959, [10.1016/j.ympev.2012.11.027](#)
- [Comeau, 1991](#) P. Comeau **Geological events influencing natural vegetation in Trinidad** Living World J. Trinidad & Tobago Field Naturalist's Club, 100 (1991), pp. 29-38
- [Cope, 1864](#) Cope, E., 1864. *Gonatodes ferrugineus*. The Reptile Database in the Catalogue of Life in The Catalogue of Life Partnership: Catalogue of Life.
- [Cope, 1868](#) E. Cope **An examination of the reptilia and Batrachia obtained by the orton expedition to Ecuador and the upper amazon, with notes on other species** Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia., 20 (1868), pp. 96-140
- [Costello et al., 2013](#) M. Costello, R. May, N. Stork **Can we name Earth's species before they go extinct?** Science, 339 (6118) (2013), pp. 413-416, [10.1126/science.1230318](#)
- [Cracraft, 1985](#) J. Cracraft **Historical biogeography and patterns of differentiation within the South American avifauna: areas of endemism** Am. Ornithol. Union Ornithol. Monogr., 36 (1985), pp. 49-84
- [D'angiolella et al., 2011](#) A. D'angiolella, T. Gamble, T. Avila-Pires, G. Colli, B. Noonan, L. Vitt ***Anolis chrysolepis* Duméril and Bibron, 1837 (Squamata: Iguanidae), Revisited: molecular phylogeny and taxonomy of the *Anolis chrysolepis* species group** Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool., 160 (2) (2011), pp. 35-63, [10.3099/0027-4100-160.2.35](#)
- [Da Silva and Patton, 1993](#) M. Da Silva, J. Patton **Amazonian phylogeography: mtDNA sequence variation in arboreal echimyid rodents (Caviomorpha)** Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 2 (3) (1993), pp. 243-255, [10.1006/mpev.1993.1023](#)
- [De Oliveira et al., 2016](#) D. De Oliveira, V. de Carvalho, T. Hrbek **Cryptic diversity in the lizard genus *Plica* (Squamata): phylogenetic diversity and Amazonian biogeography** Zool Scripta, 45 (6) (2016), pp. 630-641, [10.1111/zsc.12172](#)
- [De Queiroz, 2007](#) K. De Queiroz **Species concepts and species delimitation** Syst. Biol., 56 (6) (2007), pp. 879-886, [10.1080/10635150701701083](#)
- [Dexter and Chave, 2016](#) K. Dexter, J. Chave **Evolutionary patterns of range size, abundance and species richness in Amazonian angiosperm trees** PeerJ, 4 (2016), Article e2402, [10.7717/peerj.2402](#)
- [Díaz-Nieto et al., 2016](#) J. Díaz-Nieto, S. Jansa, R. Voss **DNA sequencing reveals unexpected recent diversity and an ancient dichotomy in the American marsupial genus *Marmosops* (Didelphidae: Thylamyini)** Zool. J. Linn. Soc., 176 (4) (2016), pp. 914-940, [10.1111/zoj.12343](#)
- [Diniz-Filho et al., 2013](#) J. Diniz-Filho, T. Soares, J. Lima, R. Dobrovolski, V. Landeiro, P. Telles, L. Bini **Mantel test in population genetics** Genet. Mol. Biol., 36 (4) (2013), pp. 475-485, [10.1590/S1415-47572013000400002](#)
- [Ditchfield, 2000](#) A. Ditchfield **The comparative phylogeography of Neotropical mammals: patterns of intraspecific mitochondrial DNA variation among bats contrasted to nonvolant small mammals** Mol. Ecol., 9 (9) (2000), pp. 1307-1318, [10.1046/j.1365-294x.2000.01013.x](#)
- [Dixon and Soini, 1986](#) J. Dixon, P. Soini **The reptiles of the upper Amazon basin, Iquitos region, Peru, I-VII. Milwaukee Public Museum Amphibia-Reptilia**, 9 (3) (1986), pp. 315-317, [10.1163/156853888x00422](#)
- [Domingos et al., 2017](#) F. Domingos, G. Colli, A. Lemmon, E. Lemmon, L. Beheregaray **In the shadows: phylogenomics and coalescent species delimitation unveil cryptic diversity in a Cerrado endemic lizard (Squamata: *Tropidurus*)** Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 107 (2017), pp. 455-465, [10.1016/j.ympev.2016.12.009](#)
- [Donoso-Barros, 1968](#) R. Donoso-Barros **The lizards of Venezuela (check list and key)** Caribb J. Sci., 8 (3-4) (1968), pp. 105-122
- [Dray and Dufour, 2007](#) S. Dray, A. Dufour **The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for ecologists** J. Stat. Soft., 22 (4) (2007), pp. 1-20, [10.18637/jss.v022.i04](#)
- [Earl and vonHoldt, 2011](#) D. Earl, B. vonHoldt **Structure Harvester: a website and program for visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method** Conserv. Genet. Res., 4 (2) (2011), pp. 359-361, [10.1007/s12686-011-9548-7](#)
- [Eaton, 2014](#) D. Eaton **PyRAD: assembly of de novo RADseq loci for phylogenetic analyses** Bioinformatics, 30 (13) (2014), pp. 1844-1849, [10.1093/bioinformatics/btu121](#)

- [Edgar, 2004](#) R. Edgar **MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput** Nucleic Acids Res., 32 (5) (2004), pp. 1792-1797, [10.1093/nar/gkh340](#)
- [Edwards and Beerli, 2000](#) S. Edwards, P. Beerli **Perspective: gene divergence, population divergence, and the variance in coalescence time in phylogeographic studies** Evolution, 54 (6) (2000), pp. 1839-1854, [10.1111/j.0014-3820.2000.tb01231.x](#)
- [Eizirik et al., 2001](#) E. Eizirik, J. Kim, M. Menotti-Raymond, P. Crawshaw Jr., S.O'Brien, W. Johnson **Phylogeography, population history and conservation genetics of jaguars (*Panthera onca*, Mammalia, Felidae)** Mol. Ecol., 10 (1) (2001), pp. 65-79, [10.1046/j.1365-294x.2001.01144.x](#)
- [Endler, 1977](#) J. Endler **Geographic Variation, Speciation, and Clines** Princeton University Press (1977)
- [Ersts, 2006](#) P. Ersts **Geographic Distance Matrix Generator (Version 1.2.3)** American Museum of Natural History, Center for Biodiversity and Conservation (2006)
- [Etter et al., 2011](#) P. Etter, S. Bassham, P. Hohenlohe, E. Johnson, W. Cresko **SNP discovery and genotyping for evolutionary genetics using RAD sequencing** V. Orgogozo, M. Rockman (Eds.), Molecular Methods for Evolutionary Genetics, Springer, New York (2011), pp. 157-178, [10.1007/978-1-61779-228-1_9](#)
- [Evanno et al., 2005](#) G. Evanno, S. Regnaut, J. Goudet **Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software structure: a simulation study** Mol. Ecol., 14 (8) (2005), pp. 2611-2620, [10.1111/j.1365-294x.2005.02553.x](#)
- [Excoffier and Lischer, 2010](#) L. Excoffier, H. Lischer **Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows** Mol. Ecol. Res., 10 (2010), pp. 564-567, [10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02847.x](#)
- [Farrell, 1998](#) B. Farrell **"Inordinate fondness" explained: why are there so many beetles?** Science, 281 (5376) (1998), pp. 555-559, [10.1126/science.281.5376.555](#)
- [Figueiredo et al., 2009](#) J. Figueiredo, C. Hoorn, P. van der Ven, E. Soares **Late Miocene onset of the Amazon River and the Amazon deep-sea fan: evidence from the Foz do Amazonas Basin** Geology, 37 (2009), pp. 619-622
- [Fouquet et al., 2007a](#) A. Fouquet, M. Vences, M. Salducci, A. Meyer, C. Marty, M. Blanc, A. Gilles **Revealing cryptic diversity using molecular phylogenetics and phylogeography in frogs of the *Scinax ruber* and *Rhinella margaritifera* species groups** Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 43 (2) (2007), pp. 567-582, [10.1016/j.ympev.2006.12.006](#)
- [Fouquet et al., 2007b](#) A. Fouquet, A. Gilles, M. Vences, C. Marty, M. Blanc, N. Gemmell **Underestimation of species richness in neotropical frogs revealed by mtDNA analyses** PLoS ONE, 2 (10) (2007), Article e1109, [10.1371/journal.pone.0001109](#)
- [Fouquet et al., 2014](#) A. Fouquet, C. Cassini, C. Haddad, N. Pech, M. Rodrigues **Species delimitation, patterns of diversification and historical biogeography of the Neotropical frog genus *Adenomera* (Anura, Leptodactylidae)** J. Biogeogr., 41 (5) (2014), pp. 855-870
- [Fouquet et al., 2015](#) A. Fouquet, E. Courtois, D. Baudain, J. Lima, S. Souza, B. Noonan, M. Rodrigues **The trans-riverine genetic structure of 28 Amazonian frog species is dependent on life history** J. Trop. Ecol., 31 (4) (2015), pp. 361-373, [10.1017/s0266467415000206](#)
- [Fu, 1997](#) Y. Fu **Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations against population growth, hitchhiking and background selection** Genetics., 147 (2) (1997), pp. 915-925 PMC1208208
- [Funk et al., 2012](#) W. Funk, M. Caminer, S. Ron **High levels of cryptic species diversity uncovered in Amazonian frogs** Proc. Roy. Soc. B: Biol. Sci., 279 (1734) (2012), pp. 1806-1814, [10.1098/rspb.2011.1653](#)
- [Gamble et al., 2008](#) T. Gamble, A. Simons, G. Colli, L. Vitt **Tertiary climate change and the diversification of the Amazonian gecko genus *Gonatodes* (Sphaerodactylidae, Squamata)** Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 46 (1) (2008), pp. 269-277, [10.1016/j.ympev.2007.08.013](#)
- [Gamble et al., 2011a](#) T. Gamble, A. Bauer, G. Colli, E. Greenbaum, T. Jackman, L. Vitt, A. Simons **Coming to America: multiple origins of New World geckos** J. Evol. Biol., 24 (2) (2011), pp. 231-244
- [Gamble et al., 2011b](#) T. Gamble, J. Daza, G. Colli, L. Vitt, A. Bauer **A new genus of miniaturized and pug-nosed gecko from South America (Sphaerodactylidae: Gekkota)** Zool. J. Linn. Soc., 163 (4) (2011), pp. 1244-1266, [10.1111/j.1096-3642.2011.00741.x](#)

- [Gamble et al., 2012a](#) T. Gamble, G. Colli, M. Rodrigues, F. Werneck, A. Simons **Phylogeny and cryptic diversity in geckos (*Phyllopezus*; Phyllodactylidae; Gekkota) from South America's open biomes** Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 62 (3) (2012), pp. 943-953, [10.1016/j.ympev.2011.11.033](#)
- [Gamble et al., 2012b](#) T. Gamble, E. Greenbaum, T. Jackman, A. Russell, A. Bauer **Repeated origin and loss of adhesive toepads in geckos** PLoS ONE, 7 (6) (2012), Article e39429, [10.1371/journal.pone.0039429](#)
- [Gamble et al., 2015](#) T. Gamble, E. Greenbaum, T. Jackman, A. Bauer **Into the light: diurnality has evolved multiple times in geckos** Biol. J. Linn. Soc., 115 (2015), pp. 896-910, [10.1111/bij.12536](#)
- [Gamble et al., 2018](#) T. Gamble, E. McKenna, W. Meyer, S. Nielsen, B. Pinto, D. Scantlebury, T. Higham **XX/XY sex chromosomes in the South American Dwarf Gecko (*Gonatodes humeralis*)** J. Hered., 109 (2018), pp. 462-468
- [Gazoni et al., 2018](#) T. Gazoni, C. Haddad, H. Narimatsu, D. Cabral-de-Mello, M. Lyra, P. Parise-Maltempi **More sex chromosomes than autosomes in the Amazonian frog *Leptodactylus pentadactylus***
- [Gehara et al., 2014](#) M. Gehara, A. Crawford, V. Orrico, A. Rodríguez, S. Lötters, A. Fouquet, J. Köhler **High levels of diversity uncovered in a widespread nominal taxon: continental phylogeography of the neotropical tree frog *Dendropsophus minutus*** PLoS ONE, 9 (9) (2014), Article e103958, [10.1371/journal.pone.0103958](#)
- [Geurgas and Rodrigues, 2010](#) S. Geurgas, M. Rodrigues **The hidden diversity of *Coleodactylus amazonicus* (Sphaerodactylinae, Gekkota) revealed by molecular data** Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 54 (2) (2010), pp. 583-593, [10.1016/j.ympev.2009.10.004](#)
- [Glor et al., 2001](#) R. Glor, L. Vitt, A. Larson **A molecular phylogenetic analysis of diversification in Amazonian *Anolis* lizards** Mol. Ecol., 10 (11) (2001), pp. 2661-2668
- [Grismer et al., 2014a](#) L. Grismer, C. Onn, S. Anuar, M. Muin **Cyrtos in the city: a new bent-toed Gecko (Genus *Cyrtodactylus*) is the only endemic species of vertebrate from Batu Caves, Selangor, Peninsular Malaysia** Zootaxa, 3774 (4) (2014), pp. 381-394, [10.11646/zootaxa.3774.4.6](#)
- [Grismer et al., 2014b](#) L. Grismer, P. Wood, S. Anuar, A. Riyanto, N. Ahmad, M. Muin, et al. **Systematics and natural history of southeast Asian Rock Geckos (genus *Cnemaspis* Strauch, 1887) with descriptions of eight new species from Malaysia, Thailand, and Indonesia** Zootaxa, 3880 (1) (2014), pp. 1-147, [10.11646/zootaxa.3880.1.1](#)
- [Grismer et al., 2017](#) L. Grismer, P. Wood, M. Kyaw Thura, T. Zin, E. Quah, M. Murdoch, et al. **Phylogenetic taxonomy of *Hemiphyllodactylus* Bleeker, 1860 (Squamata: Gekkonidae) with descriptions of three new species from Myanmar** J. Nat. Hist., 1-35 (2017), [10.1080/00222933.2017.1367045](#)
- [Guayasamin et al., 2017](#) J. Guayasamin, C. Hutter, E. Tapia, J. Culebras, N. Peñafiel, R. Pyron, et al. **Diversification of the rainfrog *Pristimantis ornatissimus* in the lowlands and Andean foothills of Ecuador** PLoS ONE, 12 (3) (2017), Article e0172615
- [Guichenot, 1855](#) Guichenot, A., 1855. Expédition dans les parties centrales de l'Amérique du Sud: de Rio de Janeiro à Lima, et de Lima au Para, vol. 1. P. Bertrand.
- [Gratton et al., 2015](#) P. Gratton, E. Trucchi, A. Trasatti, G. Riccarducci, S. Marta, G. Allegrucci, V. Sbordoni **Testing classical species properties with contemporary data: how "bad species" in the brassy ringlets (*Erebia tyndarus* complex, Lepidoptera) turned good** Syst. Biol., 65 (2) (2015), pp. 292-303, [10.1093/sysbio/syv087](#)
- [Haffer, 1969](#) J. Haffer **Speciation in Amazonian forest birds** Science, 165 (1969), pp. 131-137
- [Haffer, 1997](#) J. Haffer **Alternative models of vertebrate speciation in Amazonia: an overview** Biodiv. Conserv., 6 (1997), pp. 451-476, [10.1023/A:1018320925954](#)
- [Harvey et al., 2017](#) M. Harvey, A. Aleixo, C. Ribas, R. Brumfield **Habitat association predicts genetic diversity and population divergence in Amazonian birds** Am. Nat., 190 (5) (2017), pp. 631-648
- [Hedges and Conn, 2012](#) B. Hedges, C. Conn **A new skink fauna from Caribbean islands (Squamata: Mabuyidae: Mabuyinae)** Zootaxa, 3288 (2012), pp. 1-244
- [Hertz et al., 1993](#) P. Hertz, R. Huey, R. Stevenson **Evaluating temperature regulation by field-active ectotherms: the fallacy of the inappropriate question** Am. Nat., 142 (1993), pp. 796-818

- [Hervas et al., 2016](#) Hervas, F., Elmer, K., Wake, D., Ron, S., 2016. Cryptic diversity and biogeography of South American salamanders. Pontificia Universidad Católica del Peru. Unpublished dissertation.
- [Hickerson et al., 2006](#) M. Hickerson, C. Meyer, C. Moritz **DNA barcoding will often fail to discover new animal species over broad parameter space** Syst. Biol., 55 (5) (2006), pp. 729-739, [10.1080/10635150600969898](#)
- [Higham et al., 2015](#) T. Higham, A. Birn-Jeffery, C. Collins, C. Hulse, A. Russell **Adaptive simplification and the evolution of gecko locomotion: morphological and biomechanical consequences of losing adhesion** PNAS, 112 (2015), pp. 809-814, [10.1073/pnas.1418979112](#)
- [Higham et al., 2016](#) T. Higham, S. Rogers, R. Langerhans, H. Jamniczky, G. Lauder, W. Stewart, *et al.* **Speciation through the lens of biomechanics: locomotion, prey capture and reproductive isolation** Proc. R. Soc. B, 283 (1838) (2016), p. 20161294, [10.1098/rspb.2016.1294](#)
- [Higham et al., 2017](#) T. Higham, T. Gamble, A. Russell **On the origin of adhesion in geckos: small morphological changes lead to a major biomechanical transition in the genus *Gonatodes*** Biol. J. Linn. Soc., 120 (2017), pp. 503-517, [10.1111/bij.12897](#)
- [Hoogmoed, 1973](#) M. Hoogmoed Notes on the Herpetofauna of Surinam IV: The Lizards and Amphisbaenians of Surinam, vol. 4, Springer Science & Business Media(1973)
- [Hudson and Coyne, 2002](#) R. Hudson, J. Coyne **Mathematical consequences of the genealogical species concept** Evolution, 56 (8) (2002), pp. 1557-1565, [10.1554/0014-3820\(2002\)056\[1557:MCOTGS\]2.0.CO;2](#)
- [Hunt et al., 2001](#) J. Hunt, E. Bermingham, R. Ricklefs **Molecular systematics and biogeography of antillean thrashers, tremblers, and mockingbirds (Aves: Mimidae)** Auk, 118 (1) (2001), pp. 35-55, [10.1642/0004-8038\(2001\)118\[0035:MSABOA\]2.0.CO;2](#)
- [Hunter, 1998](#) J. Hunter **Key innovations and the ecology of macroevolution** Trends Ecol. Evol., 13 (1) (1998), pp. 31-36, [10.1016/S0169-5347\(97\)01273-1](#)
- [Hurlbert and White, 2007](#) A. Hurlbert, E. White **Ecological correlates of geographical range occupancy in North American birds** Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr., 16 (6) (2007), pp. 764-773, [10.1111/j.1466-8238.2007.00335.x](#)
- [Ingman et al., 2000](#) M. Ingman, H. Kaessmann, S. Pääbo, U. Gyllenstein **Mitochondrial genome variation and the origin of modern humans** Nature, 408 (6813) (2000), pp. 708-713, [10.1038/35047064](#)
- [Jackman et al., 2008](#) T. Jackman, A. Bauer, E. Greenbaum, F. Glaw, M. Vences **Molecular phylogenetic relationships among species of the Malagasy-Comoran gecko genus *Paroedura* (Squamata: Gekkonidae)** Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 46 (2008), pp. 74-81, [10.1016/j.ympev.2007.10.018](#)
- [Jones, 2017](#) G. Jones **Algorithmic improvements to species delimitation and phylogeny estimation under the multispecies coalescent** J. Math. Biol., 74 (2017), pp. 447-467, [10.1007/s00285-016-1034-0](#)
- [Jowers et al., 2008](#) M. Jowers, B. Cohen, J. Downie **The cyprinodont fish *Rivulus* (Aplocheiloidei: Rivulidae) in Trinidad and Tobago: molecular evidence for marine dispersal, genetic isolation and local differentiation** J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res., 46 (1) (2008), pp. 48-55, [10.1111/j.1439-0469.2007.00422.x](#)
- [Kapli et al., 2017](#) P. Kapli, S. Lutteropp, J. Zhang, K. Kobert, P. Pavlidis, A. Stamatakis, T. Flouri **Multi-rate Poisson Tree Processes for single-locus species delimitation under maximum likelihood and Markov Chain Monte Carlo** Bioinformatics, 33 (11) (2017), pp. 1630-1638, [10.1093/bioinformatics/btx025](#)
- [Kass and Raftery, 1995](#) R. Kass, A. Raftery **Bayes factors** J. Am. Stat. Assoc., 90 (430) (1995), pp. 773-795, [10.1080/01621459.1995.10476572](#)
- [Kearse et al., 2012](#) M. Kearse, R. Moir, A. Wilson, S. Stones-Havas, M. Cheung, S. Sturrock, Buxton, *et al.* **Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data** Bioinformatics, 28 (2012), pp. 1647-1649, [10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199](#)
- [Kimura, 1983](#) M. Kimura **The Neutral Theory of Molecular Evolution** Cambridge University Press (1983)
- [Kluge, 1993](#) A. Kluge **Gekkonoid Lizard Taxonomy** International Gecko Society, San Diego, California, U.S.A. (1993), pp. 1-245
- [Kluge, 1995](#) A. Kluge **Cladistic relationships of *sphaerodactyl* lizards** Am. Mus Novitates, 3139 (1995), pp. 1-23
- [Kluge, 2001](#) A. Kluge **Gekkotan lizard taxonomy** Hamadryad, 26 (2001), pp. 1-209

- [Kopelman et al., 2015](#) N. Kopelman, J. Mayzel, M. Jakobsson, N. Rosenberg, I. Mayrose **Clumpak: a program for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure inferences across K** Mol. Ecol. Res., 15 (5) (2015), pp. 1179-1191, [10.1111/1755-0998.12387](#)
- [Kronauer et al., 2005](#) D. Kronauer, P. Bergmann, J. Mercera, A. Russell **A phylogeographically distinct and deep divergence in the widespread Neotropical turnip-tailed gecko, *Thecadactylus rapicauda*** Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 34 (2005), pp. 431-437, [10.1016/j.ympev.2004.10.009](#)
- [Kumar et al., 2016](#) S. Kumar, G. Stecher, K. Tamura **MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets** Mol. Biol. Evol., 33 (2016), pp. 1870-1874, [10.1093/molbev/msw054](#)
- [Latrubesse et al., 2010](#) E. Latrubesse, M. Cozzuol, S. da Silva-Caminha, C. Rigsby, M. Absy, C. Jaramillo **The Late Miocene paleogeography of the Amazon Basin and the evolution of the Amazon River system** Earth-Sci. Rev., 99 (3) (2010), pp. 99-124
- [Lawson et al., 2018](#) D. Lawson, L. van Dorp, D. Falush **A tutorial on how not to over-interpret STRUCTURE and ADMIXTURE bar plots** Nat. Comm. (2018), p. 9(1), [10.1038/s41467-018-05257](#)
- [Leaché et al., 2014](#) A. Leaché, M. Fujita, Minin, R. Bouckaert **Species delimitation using genome-wide SNP data** Syst. Biol., 63 (2014), pp. 534-542, [10.1093/sysbio/syu018](#)
- [Leaché et al., 2015](#) Leaché, A., Banbury, B., Felsenstein, J., de Oca, A., Nieto-M., Stamatakis, A. (2015). Short tree, long tree, right tree, wrong tree: new acquisition bias corrections for inferring SNP phylogenies. Syst. Biol. 64(6), 1032–1047. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syv053>.
- [Lemmon et al., 2012](#) A. Lemmon, S. Emme, E. Lemmon **Anchored hybrid enrichment for massively high-throughput phylogenomics** Syst. Biol., 61 (2012), pp. 727-744, [10.1093/sysbio/sys049](#)
- [Lessa et al., 2003](#) E. Lessa, J. Cook, J. Patton **Genetic footprints of demographic expansion in North America, but not Amazonia, during the late Quaternary** PNAS, 100 (18) (2003), pp. 10331-10334, [10.1073/pnas.1730921100](#)
- [Librado and Rozas, 2009](#) P. Librado, J. Rozas **DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data** Bioinformatics, 25 (2009), pp. 1451-1452, [10.1093/bioinformatics/btp187](#)
- [Liem, 1973](#) K. Liem **Evolutionary strategies and morphological innovations: cichlid pharyngeal jaws** Syst. Biol., 22 (4) (1973), pp. 425-441
- [Losos, 2011](#) J. Losos **Lizards in an Evolutionary Tree: Ecology and Adaptive Radiation of Anoles** University of California Press (2011)
- [Lynch, 1979](#) J. Lynch **The amphibians of the lowland tropical forests** W.E. Duellman (Ed.), The South American Herpetofauna: Its Origin, Evolution, and Dispersal, The Museum of Natural History, The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas (1979), pp. 189-215
- [Macey et al., 1999](#) J. Macey, Y. Wang, N. Ananjeva, A. Larson, T. Papenfuss **Vicariant patterns of fragmentation among gekkonid lizards of the genus *Teratoscincus* produced by the Indian collision: a molecular phylogenetic perspective and an area cladogram for central Asia** Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 12 (1999), pp. 320-332, [10.1006/mpev.1999.0641](#)
- [Mantel, 1967](#) N. Mantel **The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach** Cancer Res., 27 (1967), pp. 209-220
- [McKay et al., 2013](#) B. McKay, H. Mays, Y. Wu, H. Li, C. Yao, I. Nishiumi, F. Zou **An empirical comparison of character-based and coalescent-based approaches to species delimitation in a young avian complex** Mol. Ecol., 22 (19) (2013), pp. 4943-4957
[10.1111/mec](#)
- [Meiri et al., 2017](#) S. Meiri, A. Bauer, A. Allison, F. Castro-Herrera, L. Chirio, G. Colli, *et al.* **Extinct, obscure or imaginary: the lizard species with the smallest ranges** Div. Dist. (2017) (in press)
- [Miller et al., 2010](#) M. Miller, W. Pfeiffer, T. Schwartz **Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees** Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE), 14 Nov. 2010, New Orleans, LA (2010), pp. 1-8
- [Miralles and Carranza, 2010](#) A. Miralles, S. Carranza **Systematics and biogeography of the Neotropical genus *Mabuya*, with special emphasis on the Amazonian skink *Mabuya nigropunctata* (Reptilia, Scincidae)** Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 54 (3) (2010), pp. 857-869, [10.1016/j.ympev.2009.10.016](#)

- [Moritz and Cicero, 2004](#) C. Moritz, C. Cicero **DNA barcoding: promise and pitfalls** PLoS Biol., 2 (10) (2004), Article e354, [10.1371/journal.pbio.0020354](#)
- [Murphy, 1997](#) J. Murphy **Amphibians and Reptiles of Trinidad and Tobago** Krieger Publications (1997)
- [Murphy et al., 2017a](#) J. Murphy, R. Lehtinen, S. Charles, D. Wasserman, T. Anton, P. Brennan **Cryptic multicolored lizards in the *Polychrus marmoratus* Group (Squamata: Sauria: Polychrotidae) and the status of *Leiolepis auduboni*** Hallowell Amphib Reptile Conserv., 11 (1) (2017), pp. 1-16
- [Murphy et al., 2017b](#) J. Murphy, T. Sierra, J. Downie, M. Jowers **Toads, tall mountains and taxonomy: the *Rhinella granulosa* group (Amphibia: Anura: Bufonidae) on both sides of the Andes** Salamandra, 53 (2) (2017), pp. 267-278
- [Nazareno et al., 2017a](#) A. Nazareno, J. Bemmels, C. Dick, L. Lohmann **Minimum sample sizes for population genomics: an empirical study from an Amazonian plant species** Mol. Ecol. Res. (2017), [10.1111/1755-0998.1265t](#) (in press)
- [Nazareno et al., 2017b](#) A. Nazareno, C. Dick, L. Lohmann **Wide but not impermeable: testing the riverine barrier hypothesis for an Amazonian plant species** Mol. Ecol., 26 (14) (2017), pp. 3636-3648, [10.1111/mec.14142](#)
- [Nei and Li, 1979](#) M. Nei, W. Li **Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases**
- [Noonan and Gaucher, 2005](#) B. Noonan, P. Gaucher **Phylogeography and demography of Guianan harlequin toads (*Atelopus*): diversification within a refuge** Mol. Ecol., 14 (10) (2005), pp. 3017-3031
- [Nunes et al., 2012](#) P. Nunes, A. Fouquet, F. Curcio, P. Kok, M. Rodrigues **Cryptic species in *Iphisa elegans* Gray, 1851 (Squamata: Gymnophthalmidae) revealed by hemipenial morphology and molecular data** Zool. J. Linn. Soc., 166 (2) (2012), pp. 361-376, [10.1111/j.1096-3642.2012.00846.x](#)
- [Ogilvie and Leaché, 2016](#) Ogilvie, H., Leaché, A., 2016. Species delimitation in a SNAPP tutorial: bayes factor delimitation of species (*with genomic data; BFD*): a tutorial and working example.
- [Ogilvie et al., 2017](#) H. Ogilvie, R. Bouckaert, A. Drummond **StarBEAST2 brings faster species tree inference and accurate estimates of substitution rates** Mol. Biol. Evol. (2017), [10.1093/molbev/msx126](#)
- [Oliveira et al., 2017](#) U. Oliveira, M. Vasconcelos, A. Santos **Biogeography of Amazon birds: rivers limit species composition, but not areas of endemism** Sci. Rep., 7 (1) (2017), p. 2992, [10.1038/s41598-017-03098-w](#)
- [Oliver et al., 2007](#) P. Oliver, M. Hutchinson, S. Cooper **Phylogenetic relationships in the lizard genus *Diplodactylus* Gray and resurrection of *Lucasium* Wermuth (Gekkota, Diplodactylidae)** Aust. J. Zool., 55 (3) (2007), pp. 197-210, [10.1071/ZO07008](#)
- [Palumbi, 2001](#) S. Palumbi **Humans as the world's greatest evolutionary force** Science, 293 (5536) (2001), pp. 1786-1790, [10.1126/science.293.5536.1786](#)
- [Parker, 1935](#) H. Parker **The lizards of Trinidad** Trop. Agric., 12 (3) (1935), pp. 65-70
- [Pepper et al., 2006](#) M. Pepper, P. Doughty, J. Keogh **Molecular phylogeny and phylogeography of the Australian *Diplodactylus stenodactylus* (Gekkota; Reptilia) species-group based on mitochondrial and nuclear genes reveals an ancient split between Pilbara and non-Pilbara *D. stenodactylus*** Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 41 (3) (2006), pp. 539-555, [10.1016/j.ympev.2006.05.028](#)
- [Pfeifer et al., 2014](#) B. Pfeifer, U. Wittelsbuerger, S. Ramos-Onsins, M. Lercher **PopGenome: an efficient swiss army knife for population genomic Analyses in R** Mol. Biol. Evol., 31 (7) (2014), pp. 1929-1936, [10.1093/molbev/msu136](#)
- [Pons et al., 2006](#) J. Pons, T. Barraclough, J. Gomez-Zurita, A. Cardoso, D. Duran, S. Hazell, *et al.* **Sequence-based species delimitation for the DNA taxonomy of undescribed insects** Syst. Biol., 55 (4) (2006), pp. 595-609, [10.1080/10635150600852011](#)
- [Portik et al., 2013](#) D. Portik, S.L. Travers, A.M. Bauer, W.R. Branch **A new species of *Lygodactylus* (Squamata: Gekkonidae) endemic to Mount Namuli, an isolated 'sky island of northern Mozambique** Zootaxa, 3710 (5) (2013), pp. 415-435, [10.11646/zootaxa.3710.5.2](#)

- [Prates et al., 2016](#) I. Prates, D. Rivera, M. Rodrigues, A. Carnaval **A mid-Pleistocene rainforest corridor enabled synchronous invasions of the Atlantic Forest by Amazonian anole lizards** *Mol. Ecol.*, 25 (20) (2016), pp. 5174-5186, [10.1111/mec.13821](#)
- [Pritchard et al., 2007](#) J. Pritchard, M. Stephens, D. Falush **Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: dominant markers and null alleles** *Mol. Ecol. Notes*, 7 (4) (2007), pp. 574-578, [10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01758.x](#)
- [R Core Team, 2016](#) R Core Team, 2016. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- [Rambaut et al., 2018](#) A. Rambaut, A. Drummond, D. Xie, G. Baele, M. Suchard **Posterior summarization in Bayesian phylogenetics using Tracer 1.7.** *Syst. Biol.*, 67 (5) (2018), pp. 901-904, [10.1093/sysbio/syy032](#)
- [Ree et al., 2005](#) R. Ree, B. Moore, C. Webb, M. Donoghue **A likelihood framework for inferring the evolution of geographic range on phylogenetic trees** *Evolution*, 59 (11) (2005), pp. 2299-2311, [10.1111/j.0014-3820.2005.tb00940.x](#)
- [Ribeiro-Júnior, 2015](#) M. Ribeiro-Júnior **Catalogue of distribution of lizards (Reptilia: Squamata) from the Brazilian Amazonia. II. Gekkonidae, Phyllodactylidae, Sphaerodactylidae** *Zootaxa*, 3981 (1) (2015), p. 1, [10.11646/zootaxa.3981.1.1](#)
- [Rivero-Blanco, 1979](#) C. Rivero-Blanco **The Neotropical lizard genus *Gonatodes* Fitzinger (Sauria, Sphaerodactylinae). Unpublished dissertation** Texas A&M University (1979)
- [Roberto et al., 2014](#) I. Roberto, T. Pinto, Á. Schlickmann, A. Fraga **From Amazonia to the semi-arid: the unexpected record of *Gonatodes humeralis* (Squamata: Sphaerodactylidae) for the Caatinga Biome** *Herpetol. Notes*, 7 (2014), pp. 309-311
- [Rohland and Reich, 2012](#) N. Rohland, D. Reich **Cost-effective, high-throughput DNA sequencing libraries for multiplexed target capture** *Genome Res.*, 22 (2012), pp. 939-946, [10.1101/gr.128124.111](#)
- [Roll et al., 2017](#) U. Roll, A. Feldman, M. Novosolov, A. Allison, A. Bauer, R. Bernard, S. Meiri **The global distribution of tetrapods reveals a need for targeted reptile conservation** *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* (2017), [10.1038/s41559-017-0380-7](#)
- [Roux, 1926](#) J. Roux **Notes d'erpétologie sud-américaine** *Rev. Suisse Zool.*, 33 (4) (1926), pp. 291-299
- [Russell, 1979](#) A. Russell **The origin of parachuting locomotion in gekkonid lizards (Reptilia: Gekkonidae)** *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.*, 65 (1979), pp. 233-249, [10.1111/j.1096-3642.1979.tb01093.x](#)
- [Russell and Delaugerre, 2017](#) A. Russell, M. Delaugerre **Left in the dust: differential effectiveness of the two alternative adhesive pad configurations in geckos (Reptilia: Gekkota)** *J. Zool.*, 301 (1) (2017), pp. 61-68, [10.1111/jzo.12390](#)
- [Russell et al., 2015](#) A. Russell, J. Baskerville, T. Gamble, T. Higham **The evolution of digit form in *Gonatodes* (Gekkota: Sphaerodactylidae) and its bearing on the transition from frictional to adhesive contact in gekkotans** *J. Morph.*, 276 (11) (2015), pp. 1311-1332, [10.1002/jmor.20420](#)
- [Schargel et al., 2010](#) W. Schargel, G. Rivas, R. Makowsky, J. Señaris, M. Natera, T. Barros **Phylogenetic systematics of the genus *Gonatodes* (Squamata: Sphaerodactylidae) in the Guayana region, with description of a new species from Venezuela** *Syst. Biodiv.*, 8 (2010), pp. 321-339, [10.1080/14772000.2010.504757](#)
- [Shimodaira, 2002](#) H. Shimodaira **An approximately unbiased test of phylogenetic tree selection** *Syst. Biol.*, 51 (3) (2002), pp. 492-508, [10.1080/10635150290069913](#)
- [Shimodaira and Hasegawa, 1999](#) H. Shimodaira, M. Hasegawa **Multiple comparisons of log-likelihoods with applications to phylogenetic inference** *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 16 (8) (1999), pp. 1114-1116, [10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026201](#)
- [Stamatakis, 2014](#) A. Stamatakis **RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies** *Bioinformatics*, 30 (9) (2014), pp. 1312-1313, [10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033](#)
- [Stephens et al., 2001](#) M. Stephens, N. Smith, P. Donnelly **A new statistical method for haplotype reconstruction from population data** *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, 68 (4) (2001), pp. 978-989, [10.1086/319501](#)
- [Stroud and Losos, 2016](#) J. Stroud, J. Losos **Ecological opportunity and adaptive radiation** *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol.*, 47 (2016), pp. 507-532, [10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-121415-032254](#)

- [Stroud et al., 2017](#) J. Stroud, K. Feeley **Neglect of the tropics is widespread in ecology and evolution: a comment on Clarke et al.** Trends Ecol. Evol., 32 (9) (2017), pp. 626-628, [10.1016/j.tree.2017.06.006](#)
- [Sukumaran and Knowles, 2017](#) J. Sukumaran, L. Knowles **Multispecies coalescent delimits structure, not species** PNAS, 114 (7) (2017), pp. 1607-1612, [10.1073/pnas.1607921114](#)
- [Swofford, 2002](#) D. Swofford **PAUP*: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (* and Other Methods)** Sunderland, MA (2002)
- [Tajima, 1989](#) F. Tajima **Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism** Genetics, 123 (3) (1989), pp. 585-595 PMC1203831
- [Thomason and Russell, 1986](#) J. Thomason, A. Russell **Mechanical factors in the evolution of the mammalian secondary palate: a theoretical analysis** J. Morph., 189 (1986), pp. 199-213, [10.1002/jmor.1051890210](#)
- [Turchetto-Zolet et al., 2013](#) A. Turchetto-Zolet, F. Pinheiro, F. Salgueiro, C. Palma-Silva **Phylogeographical patterns shed light on evolutionary process in South America** Mol. Ecol., 22 (5) (2013), pp. 1193-1213, [10.1111/mec.12164](#)
- [Vanzolini, 1955](#) P. Vanzolini **Sobre *Gonatodes varius* (Auguste Duméril) com notas sobre outras espécies do gênero (Sauria, Gekkonidae)** Pap Avul Zool., 12 (1955), pp. 119-132
- [Vanzolini and Williams, 1981](#) P. Vanzolini, E. Williams **The vanishing refuge: a mechanism for ecogeographic speciation** Pap Avulsos de Zool., 34 (23) (1981), pp. 251-255
- [Vitt and Zani, 1996](#) L. Vitt, P. Zani **Organization of a taxonomically diverse lizard assemblage in Amazonian Ecuador**
- [Vitt et al., 1997](#) L. Vitt, P. Zani, A. Monteiro de Barros **ecological variation among populations of the gekkonid lizard *Gonatodes humeralis* in the Amazon basin** Copeia, 1 (1997), pp. 32-43, [10.2307/1447837](#)
- [Vitt et al., 2000](#) L. Vitt, R. Souza, S. Sartorius, T. Avila-Pires, M. Espósito **Comparative ecology of sympatric *Gonatodes* (Squamata: Gekkonidae) in the western Amazon of Brazil** Copeia, 1 (2000), pp. 83-95, [10.1643/0045-8511\(2000\)2000\[0083:CEOSGS\]2.0.CO;2](#)
- [Wallace, 1852](#) A. Wallace **On the monkeys of the Amazon** Zool. Soc. London (1852)
- [Webb, 1982](#) P. Webb **Locomotor patterns in the evolution of actinopterygian fishes** Am. Zool., 22 (1982), pp. 329-342, [10.1093/icb/22.2.329](#)
- [Weir et al., 2015](#) J. Weir, M. Faccio, P. Pulido-Santacruz, A. Barrera-Guzmán, A. Aleixo **Hybridization in headwater regions, and the role of rivers as drivers of speciation in Amazonian birds** Evolution, 69 (7) (2015), pp. 1823-1834, [10.1111/evo.12696](#)
- [Wellborn and Langerhans, 2014](#) G. Wellborn, R. Langerhans **Ecological opportunity and the adaptive diversification of lineages** Ecol. Evol., 5 (1) (2014), pp. 176-195, [10.1002/ece3.1347](#)
- [Wermuth, 1965](#) H. Wermuth **Liste der rexenten amphibian und reptilien. Gekkonidae, Pygopodidae, Xantusidae** Das Tierreich., 80 (1965), pp. 1-246
- [Werneck et al., 2012](#) F. Werneck, T. Gamble, G. Colli, M. Rodrigues, J. Sites Jr. **Deep diversification and long-term persistence in the South American 'dry diagonal': integrating continent-wide phylogeography and distribution modeling of geckos** Evolution, 66 (10) (2012), pp. 3014-3034, [10.1111/j.1558-5646.2012.01682.x](#)
- [Wiens and Penkrot, 2002](#) J. Wiens, T. Penkrot **Delimiting species using DNA and morphological variation and discordant species limits in spiny lizards (*Sceloporus*)** Syst. Biol., 51 (1) (2002), pp. 69-91, [10.1080/106351502753475880](#)
- [Wynn and Heyer, 2001](#) A. Wynn, W. Heyer **Do geographically widespread species of tropical amphibians exist? An estimate of genetic relatedness within the Neotropical frog *Leptodactylus fuscus* (Schneider 1799) (Anura Leptodactylidae)** Trop Zool., 14 (2) (2001), pp. 255-285, [10.1080/03946975.2001.10531157](#)
- [Zamudio and Greene, 1997](#) K. Zamudio, H. Greene **Phylogeography of the bushmaster (*Lachesis muta*: Viperidae): implications for Neotropical biogeography, systematics, and conservation** Biol. J. Linn. Soc., 62 (3) (1997), pp. 421-442, [10.1111/j.1095-8312.1997.tb01634.x](#)
- [Zink and Barrowclough, 2008](#) R. Zink, G. Barrowclough **Mitochondrial DNA under siege in avian phylogeography** Mol. Ecol., 17 (9) (2008), pp. 2107-2121, [10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03737.x](#)