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ABSTRACT
EXPLORING POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHIC SCHEMES FOR TLS IN 5G NB-IOT: FEASIBILITY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Kadir Sabanci
Marquette University, 2023

Narrowband Internet of Things (NB-IoT) is a wireless communication technology that enables a wide range of applications, from smart cities to industrial automation. As a part of the 5G extension, NB-IoT promises to connect billions of devices with low-power and low-cost requirements. However, with the advent of quantum computers, the incoming NB-IoT era is already under threat due to conventional cryptographic algorithms that might be adapted to secure devices in NB-IoT being susceptible to be broken soon. In this context, we investigate the feasibility of using post-quantum key exchange and signature algorithms for securing NB-IoT applications. We develop a realistic ns-3 environment to represent the characteristics of NB-IoT networks and analyze the usage of post-quantum algorithms to secure communication. In this context, we investigate the feasibility of using post-quantum key exchange and signature algorithms for securing NB-IoT applications.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IoT</td>
<td>Internet of Things</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIOT</td>
<td>Industrial IoT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTC</td>
<td>Machine-type Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eNB</td>
<td>evolved NodeB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPWAN</td>
<td>Low-power wide-area network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTE</td>
<td>Long Term Evolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3GPP</td>
<td>3rd Generation Partnership Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRB</td>
<td>Physical Resource Block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB-IoT</td>
<td>Narrow Band IoT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSM</td>
<td>Power Saving Mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-DRX</td>
<td>extended Discontinuous Reception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL</td>
<td>Maximum Coupling Loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-MTC</td>
<td>enhanced Machine Type Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LoRa</td>
<td>Long Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDT</td>
<td>Early Data Transmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLS</td>
<td>Transport Layer Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16QAM</td>
<td>16 Quadrature Amplitude Modulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IETF</td>
<td>Internet Engineering Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>Transmission Control Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNS</td>
<td>Domain Name System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td>Hypertext Transfer Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTTPS</td>
<td>HTTP Secure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMTPS</td>
<td>Simple Mail Transfer Protocol Secure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC</td>
<td>Message Authentication Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Certificate Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>Elliptic Curve Cryptography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECDHE</td>
<td>Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHE</td>
<td>Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQC</td>
<td>Post-Quantum Cryptography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST</td>
<td>National Institute of Standards and Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEM</td>
<td>Key Exchange Mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIS</td>
<td>Short Integer Solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWE</td>
<td>Learning With Errors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSA</td>
<td>Digital Signature Algorithms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECDSA</td>
<td>Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ns-3</td>
<td>Network Simulator 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGW</td>
<td>Packet Gateway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGW</td>
<td>Signaling Gateway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPC</td>
<td>Evolved Packet Core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTT</td>
<td>Round Trip Times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OQS</td>
<td>Open Quantum Safe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKI</td>
<td>Public Key Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>Perfect Forward Secrecy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Motivation

The Internet of Things (IoT) technology holds great potential for connecting billions of intelligent devices situated in diverse locations, from urban centers to rural areas and even space [1]. Consequently, a range of IoT applications are emerging in transportation, healthcare, automation, and smart city industries, collectively known as Industrial IoT (IIoT) applications [2]. These applications are expected to rely on 5G/6G technologies that are specifically designed to support communication among IoT devices. For instance, Narrow Band-IoT (NB-IoT) technology in 5G provides extended coverage with enhanced signal penetration and longer battery life [3]. However, the expansion in coverage also means the connection of more IoT devices, which can put pressure on IIoT applications due to increased communication traffic. Furthermore, the increase in traffic also raises concerns about security management, as security protocols can create additional overhead on traffic [4].

Efforts are underway to manage the security keys and certificates required for millions of IoT devices, as reported in several research studies, including [5] [6] [7] [8]. Alongside these efforts, researchers are also exploring the complete overhaul of the security infrastructure, with communication protocols designed to be quantum resistant. However, these schemes come at the cost of increased communication and computation overhead. Recent developments in quantum computing have accelerated the expectation that the first generation of industrial quantum computers will soon be available, raising concerns about the security implications for IIoT systems [9]. On one hand, with the
increased data traffic there will be little bandwidth available for security management operations like authentication and key exchange, which are necessary for secure communications. On the other hand, migrating to post-quantum security protocols may add additional overhead, potentially causing delays that could compromise the application requirements.

1.2 Objectives

Post-quantum cryptography (PQC) and NB-IoT protocols come from two different venues of the technology landscape, while closely affecting each other from the performance point of view. In the literature surveys made, we found out that, this relation is not discussed thoroughly. Especially, regarding which specific post-quantum algorithms can be used with NB-IoT without experiencing performance degradation.

The main objective of this thesis is to conduct a real-world performance analysis of TLS handshake with post-quantum key exchange and signature algorithms on NB-IoT networks. Our analysis has two fundamental parts. First, we aim to analyze the post-quantum key exchange overhead. This overhead is originated from increased “key_share” data sizes of the new post-quantum key exchange protocol Crystals-Kyber. Second, we investigate post-quantum signature overhead. Post-quantum signature algorithms come with significantly bigger sizes compared to algorithms in use today such as RSA and ECDSA.

Through the analysis conducted, we want to shed light on whether these newly introduced post-quantum cryptographic algorithms can align with emerging IoT technology, particularly NB-IoT. We believe that this work can serve as a benchmark
guiding industry practitioners who want to transition to post-quantum algorithms and helping them identify which algorithms would be best for their interest.

1.3 Thesis Organization

In Chapter 2 we provide background information about topics related to the thesis, such as IoT technology, NB-IoT and working principles, TLS Protocol version 1.3, and finally Post-Quantum Cryptography.

Chapter 3 presents a literature review of studies focusing on the performance benchmarks of PQC algorithms, particularly those discussing implementation and network-based performance.

In Chapter 4 we present our approach to measuring the performance characteristics of PQC algorithms on an NB-IoT network. This chapter includes detailed information about the steps followed for software installation, key generation, simulation software, and simulation applications employed for benchmarks.

In Chapter 5 we evaluate the findings from Chapter 4. We discuss the results as well as the contributing factors.

Chapter 6 is dedicated to conclusions and remarks around Post-Quantum Cryptography algorithm usage with NB-IoT technology and possible future studies related to the thesis’ context.
CHAPTER 2: BACKGROUND

2.1 Internet of Things (IoT)

Internet of Things (IoT) technology provides connectivity to everyday devices with the prospect of integrating them into the digital world. Household appliances, parking meters, healthcare devices, and industrial sensors are some examples of countless possible IoT application areas [10]. According to industry reports, the number of IoT devices worldwide is expected to exceed 43 billion at the end of 2023 [11]. With this, the simultaneous connectivity of a sheer number of terminals poses a technical challenge to the telecom industry and academia.

Low Power Wide Area Networks (LPWAN) are a class of connectivity methods, targeting IoT terminals that generally have different connectivity requirements than computers and smartphones. These requirements are characterized by high number of terminals, small payloads, and low power consumption [12]. On the other hand, connectivity technologies must be able to address different traffic patterns, such as periodic and burst traffic, as well as low and high mobility of the terminals depending on the use case [13]. In the last decade, the industry has come up with different technologies to answer the connectivity demands of different devices categories, these LPWAN technologies can be deployed on unlicensed spectrum, such as SigFox and LoRa, or licensed spectrum with standards such as e-MTC and NB-IoT [14].

2.1 NB-IoT

NB-IoT is an LPWAN technology designed to efficiently utilize the LTE spectrum to connect a high number of IoT terminals simultaneously. It was initially standardized in 2016 with 3GPP Release 13 document as an extension of the LTE, but with some
important changes, such as reduced bandwidth, enhanced coverage, and improved power-saving capabilities [15].

Moreover, NB-IoT comes with configurable specification elements such as terminal density, number of subframe repetitions, and power-saving timers. A mobile operator can choose proper settings according to its customer profile and terrain requirements to provide the best coverage and battery lifetime.

Another important aspect of NB-IoT design is support for simpler and cheaper half-duplex terminals, which is a crucial step towards realizing the billions of connected devices target [16]. In addition, NB-IoT applications are expected to tolerate latencies up to 10 seconds, as the devices using the same PRB might create bottlenecks at the random-access procedure phase [17].

NB-IoT has undergone further improvements with the subsequent 3GPP releases. Release 15 introduced Early Data Transmission (EDT) which decreases control signaling overhead for single message transmissions and therefore better latency, power consumption, and spectrum usage; this version also introduced Time Division Duplex (TDD) and coverage improvements [18]. Release 16 presented a key change, enabling NB-IoT to communicate with 5G core, which in essence is a 4G radio protocol [19]. Finally, Release 17 added 16-QAM modulation support to provide higher data rates [20].

With all the mentioned improvements, NB-IoT stands as a promising wireless access technology for the 5G era, which can help connect massive numbers of non-mission critical and delay-tolerant IoT devices. According to market research, the NB-IoT chipset market is expected to exceed $22 billion by 2030 [21], and NB-IoT as a connection technology is expected to consist of 43% of all LPWAN connectivity landscape by 2025.
The rest of this section will cover technical specifications and working principles of NB-IoT technology.

2.1.1 NB-IoT Architecture

NB-IoT can be deployed using a single LTE Physical Resource Block (PRB) of 180 KHz for uplink and downlink channels. This PRB is also shared among 12 subcarriers, allocating 15KHz of bandwidth to each user equipment, compared to LTE's standard 20MHz bandwidth [15]. As NB-IoT uplink and downlink channels use different Transport Block sizes and different scheduling configurations, downlink bitrate is significantly lower than the uplink [23]. For existing LTE infrastructure, NB-IoT migration can be made with software updates, without new hardware or spectrum requirements. NB-IoT base stations (eNB) can serve both standard LTE users and NB-IoT terminals at the same time by assigning different PRBs to each device category [24].

![NB-IoT Deployment Modes](image)

**Figure 1 – NB-IoT Deployment Modes**

NB-IoT standard supports different operation or deployment modes within existing LTE and GSM spectrum. This approach provides more flexibility to the service
providers as some operators have already abandoned 2G / 3G networks [25] and therefore can easily assign free of use bands to NB-IoT usage. These deployment modes are,

- **in-band mode**: in a single resource block of the LTE band,
- **guard band mode**: in a vacant guard spectrum between different LTE bands,
- **standalone mode**: in a band allocated from the GSM spectrum [26].

### 2.1.2 Coverage Enhancement

NB-IoT offers three coverage enhancement modes: CE0, CE1, and CE2. CE0 has the same Maximum Coupling Loss (MCL) as GSM, which is 144 dB, whereas CE1 and CE2 provide 154 dB and 164 dB MCL respectively [27]. Increased MCL is achieved through repetitions. Nb-IoT can use up to 2048 repetitions in the uplink and 128 in the downlink, which is left to the network operator’s preference to satisfy the best coverage while keeping connected devices battery efficient [28]. In addition to these technical capabilities in the physical layer, NB-IoT also allows the integration of half-duplex NB-IoT terminals, which significantly helps to reduce the overall cost of IoT device deployments since these terminals are cheaper than full-duplex terminals. This advantage is particularly significant for IoT applications that involve infrequent, low-bandwidth communication, where the latency introduced by half-duplex communication may not be a major concern.
2.1.3 NB-IoT Power Saving Modes

NB-IoT also introduces advanced power management techniques through Power Saving Mode (PSM) and Extended Discontinuous Reception (eDRX), which can significantly improve the battery life of IoT devices. PSM, introduced in 3GPP version 12, enables IoT devices to turn off network functionality while remaining registered to the network, reducing power consumption without requiring re-attachment to the NB-IoT [29]. The eDRX mode, on the other hand, allows IoT devices to wake up periodically to check for incoming messages while remaining passive during uplink transmission, thereby conserving power even further. These power management techniques extend the capabilities of NB-IoT even more, considering the long-term operability of IoT devices in remote or hard-to-reach locations where battery replacement or recharging may be difficult or impossible.

2.2 Transport Layer Security (TLS)

TLS is an IETF cryptographic standard that provides security to Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) messages. Today, TLS is the de-facto protocol for securing
HTTP traffic and is used along with some other protocols like email and DNS [30]. TLS protocol stands between the Application and Transport Layers of the Internet Protocol Stack and provides encryption to the application data. When an application protocol is secured through TLS, it is renamed to imply added security, such as HTTP vs HTTPS, the last S letter indicating the added security. This naming pattern is also used with some other protocols like SMTP where the TLS-secured version is renamed as SMTPS [31].

TLS is designed for creating ad-hoc secure connections between the vast number of devices on the internet. To achieve this, TLS provides a couple of important security features:

**Confidentiality:** Confidentiality aims to hide the real communication data from anyone but the initiating parties. To achieve this, Application Layer data is encrypted using symmetrical keys. Encrypted data looks like random bits to outsiders and can only be recovered by using the symmetrical key [32].

**Integrity:** Integrity is about keeping messages secure against tampering. TLS uses Message Authentication Code (MAC) to ensure no changes are made during transmission. Sending party appends a MAC digest to the message, which is recalculated and checked against the appended MAC at the receiving party. If the MAC digests are not identical the message is discarded and receiving party asks for a TCP retransmission [33].

**Authentication:** In a TLS session, communicating parties need to make sure that, they are communicating with the intended entity. TLS conducts authentication using public key cryptography through X.509 certificates [34]. Certificates contain public keys along with some other meta information about the subject and issuer of the certificate. The
issuer which can be a Certificate Authority (CA) or Intermediate Certificate Authority (ICA), digitally signs the certificate data and adds this signature to the certificate. Digital signatures are asymmetric cryptographic primitives which use public/private key pairs. While the private key is used for signing data by the owner of the public/private key, the public key can be used by anyone to verify whether the signature is created by the key owner. Although TLS supports mutual authentication, certificate-based authentication is generally used by the servers, whereas clients use simpler methods like password authentication [35].

TLS is a protocol stack and is consisted of five different underlying protocols. These protocols are the Handshake Protocol, Record Protocol, Change Cipher Spec Protocol, Heartbeat Protocol, and Alert Protocol. Within the scope of this thesis, we will be reviewing the first two which are the most important and relevant ones.

2.2.1 TLS 1.3 Handshake Protocol

TLS is categorized as a hybrid protocol as it uses symmetric encryption together with asymmetric encryption. Asymmetric encryption is used to decide security parameters, authentication of communicating parties through digital certificates and session key establishment [36].

TLS 1.3 was standardized by IETF in 2018 and is the most recent version of the protocol. TLS handshake protocol is redesigned to enhance security by starting data encryption earlier and decreasing latency by reducing the number of round-trip-times (RTT) of TLS 1.2 from 3-RTT to 1.5-RTT, with this version. This newly introduced key exchange mechanism is one of the most notable changes in TLS 1.3. The message flows in Figure 3 represent a server-only authentication handshake in Public Key Infrastructure
(PKI) settings with RSA or ECC signatures. TLS 1.3 supports Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral (ECDHE) and conventional Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral (DHE) key exchange schemes [37], however, in this work we use only ECDHE.

**TLS 1.3 Handshake**

![TLS 1.3 Handshake Diagram](image)

*indicates encrypted data

Figure 3 - TLS 1.3 1.5-RTT Handshake

TLS handshake protocol implements two important security functions: key exchange and authentication. The client initiates the process by sending a "ClientHello" message, which includes the cryptographic parameters and a nonce. The client also sends its ECDHE "KeyShare". The server responds with a "ServerHello" message, containing its selected cryptographic parameters and the server nonce. The server also sends its own ECDHE "KeyShare" and related "Extensions". For authentication, the server includes its "Certificate" (certificate chain) and a "CertificateVerify" message which contains a
signature generated by hashing previous handshake messages and signing them with the server’s long-term private key. The server's "Finished" message is a Message Authentication Code (MAC) which is also computed over the entire handshake, to ensure the integrity of the exchanged messages.

In some cases, the client might also need to authenticate itself using a digital certificate which is called mutual authentication. The client sends its "Certificate" (certificate chain) and "CertificateVerify" (traffic signature) messages together with the “Finished” message and its “ApplicationData”. The client also completes its handshake with a "Finished" message which similarly contains a MAC of the entire handshake, providing both integrity and key confirmation. If client authentication is not required, such as in daily web traffic, the "Certificate" and "CertificateVerify" parts of the handshake message are sent empty.

2.2.2 Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) Key Exchange

Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) and conventional Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral (DHE) protocols rely on the same mathematically hard problem, the discrete logarithm, while employing different arithmetic methods for key calculation.

Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC), is categorized as a generalized discrete logarithm problem, therefore can be applied to Diffie-Hellman key exchange. Typically, mathematical operations on an elliptic curve are point operations and results are another point on the curve, see Figure 4. For cryptographic usage, on the other hand, calculations are made over finite prime fields (Galois Field) which means modulo operation is applied to calculation [38].
ECDHE needs some initial domain parameters for cryptographic calculations, such as:

a) A large prime number p for modulo operation,

b) an elliptic curve with a well understood security properties such as prime256v1 \[40\] which is denoted by,

\[ y^2 = x^3 + a \cdot x + b \mod p \]

c) and a primitive element or starting point on the elliptic curve, \( P = (x_p, y_p) \)

Given these domain parameters, both A and B start with choosing private keys a and b which are large integers. Public keys will be calculated by point multiplications of \( P \) with itself private key times on the chosen elliptic curve. In the next step, both parties share their public keys which will be used to generate a shared secret, which is also a point on the curve \[41\].
2.2.3 TLS Record Protocol

When the TLS handshake is completed with agreed-upon encryption and MAC keys, both parties send “ChangeCipherSpec” messages to indicate starting symmetrically encrypted transmission to each other. In this phase, data coming from the Application Layer is secured and handed over to the TCP protocol for network transmission.
TLS record protocol splits application data into data blocks and optionally applies compression. After these steps, MAC and Padding data are concatenated into data blocks. MAC field is used for assuring data integrity while padding is required to avoid some weaknesses of block ciphers [42]. Finally, TLS Header is added to the encrypted data, which is then streamed through the TCP protocol.

2.3 Post-Quantum Cryptography

In recent years, the encryption landscape is going through some drastic changes because of considerable development efforts put on quantum computers. Quantum computers are theoretically shown to be capable of solving a set of mathematically hard
problems that lay the foundations of modern cryptography. In 1997, Peter W. Shor published his paper which proposes a quantum algorithm to solve prime factorization and discrete logarithm problems in polynomial time [43], which ultimately means that today’s public key cryptography namely RSA or ECC will be broken once the quantum computing is available at scale.

NIST Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC) standardization process started in 2017 for selecting quantum-resistant public-key cryptographic algorithms to replace the current vulnerable algorithms, such as signature and key exchange or KEM which we use interchangeably in this thesis. Initial submissions to the NIST’s process came from these five different families of encryption [44]:

2.3.1 Code-Based

This family is based on error-correcting codes and their usability in encryption. When a random linear code is used for encryption, there is no efficient decoder, whereas if a good code is used, there exists a decoder that can be used for decryption [45]. Classic McEliece (KEM), BIKE (KEM), and HQC (KEM) algorithms from this family are listed in the Round-3 qualifiers.

2.3.2 Isogeny-Based

This family is based on isogenies between two elliptic curves, which can be represented by polynomials where the addition operation in one curve would yield the same result when computed with the corresponding images on the second curve [46]. SIKE (KEM) is listed in Round-3 as an alternate candidate but was acknowledged as being insecure by the submitters, and there are no candidates left for this category in the standardization process [47].
2.3.3 Hash-Based

The idea is to employ hash functions to generate one-time or many-time signatures such as Lamport or Merkle signatures which are believed to be safe against quantum attacks [48]. Sphincs+ is a Round-3 alternate candidate which uses many few-time signature (FTS) keys from which a random key pair is chosen to sign a message [49]. Public and private key sizes for Sphincs+ remain small but the signature size is relatively higher than most of the other Round-3 qualifiers [50].

2.3.4 Multivariate

These are the schemes based on multivariate polynomials over finite fields. Decryption is based on the hidden structure of the polynomial so that inverting the polynomial is possible [51]. Round-3 finalist Rainbow (DSA) has small signatures but comes with large key pairs [50].

2.3.5 Lattice-Based

This cryptosystem family has lattice-based hard security proofs, and the idea is creating problems that are difficult to solve even for quantum computers [52]. Some of the hard problems are Learning-with-Errors (LWE), Learning-with-Rounding (LWR), and Short Integer Solution (SIS) [53].
All lattice-based cryptography operations are defined on integers where:

- A basis is a set of vectors, 
  \[ B = (b_1, \ldots, b_m) \]
- Lattice is defined by scalar and arithmetic operations calculated over basis vectors:
  \[ L(B) = B . Z^m = \sum_{i=1}^{m} Z . b_i \]

Using mentioned properties, it is possible to draw an entire lattice which is a set of points, which Figure 7 represents an example [55].

2.3.5.1 Short Integer Solution (SIS)

Short Integer Solution (SIS) problem is an average case hard problem based on lattice cryptography [56]. SIS shows that on n-dimensional vectors modulo q (\(Z_q^n\)), it is hard to find a short integer \(z \in Z^m\) such that:
\[(\ldots A \ldots) (z) = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n\]

The solution is categorized as a lattice problem, as

\[A = (a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n\]

defines a \(q\)-ary lattice.

2.3.5.2 Learning With Errors (LWE)

Learning With Errors (LWE) uses error terms added to inner dot products of matrices and is based on hardness of finding a vector \(s \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n\) from

\[A = (a_1, \ldots, a_m) \quad \text{and} \quad b^t = s^t A + e^t\]

where \(e\) is an error vector [55].

2.3.5.3 Crystals-Kyber Key Exchange

Here we want to give details about how LWE based Crystals-Kyber (Kyber) key exchange mechanism works:

Given, \(q\) is a prime, \(m\) is a message, \(s\) is a random vector, \(A\) is a matrix of random polynomials and \(e\) is a random error vector, where all coefficients of the vectors are small integers. The definition is as follows [57] [58]:

**Modulus:** \(q\), \hspace{1cm} **Private key:** \(s\), \hspace{1cm} **Public key:** \(t = (A.s + e) \mod q\) and \(A\)

a) **Encryption:**

- Choose a randomizer polynomial \(r\), and random error polynomials \(e_1\) and \(e_2\).
- Encode message \(m\) as a binary polynomial to get \(m_b\).
- Scale \(m_b\) by multiplying with \([q/2]\) to get \(m_s\).
- Encrypt \(m_s\) using public key \((A, t)\) and calculate two ciphertext values \(u\) and \(v\) where:

\[u = A.r + e_1, \quad v = t.r + e_2 + m_s\]
b) Decryption

- Calculate \( m_n = v - s \cdot u \), which is equal to \( m_n = m_3 + e \cdot r + e_2 - s \cdot e_1 \).
- Descale \( m_n \) by dividing it by \( [q/2] \) to eliminate small error terms with small coefficients and get \( m_b \) again.
- Recover original message \( m \) from \( m_b \), by converting binary polynomial to a base 10 number.

Lattice-based PQC schemes are high performing in key generation, encapsulation, and decapsulation and lattice-based signatures have reasonable public key and signature sizes compared to other PQC schemes [59]. Crystals-Kyber (KEM), SABER (KEM), NTRU-Prime (KEM), Frodo (KEM), Falcon (DSA), and Crystals-Dilithium (DSA) are lattice-based schemes listed in NIST Round-3 either as a finalist or alternate candidates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature Algorithm and Parameter</th>
<th>NIST Classical Security Level</th>
<th>Signature Size (bytes)</th>
<th>Public Key Size (bytes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RSA-2048</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA-3072</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECDSA-prime256v1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPHINCS-SHA256-128s-simple</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7856</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPHINCS-SHA256-192s-simple</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16224</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPHINCS-SHA256-256s-simple</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29792</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falcon-512</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falcon-1024</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>1793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilithium2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2420</td>
<td>1312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilithium3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3293</td>
<td>1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilithium5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4595</td>
<td>2592</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 - Signature and public key size comparison of traditional and post-quantum signature algorithms [50] [60]
On July 2022 NIST announced the first selected algorithms from Round-3, as Crystals-Kyber for Key Exchange Mechanism (KEM); Crystals-Dilithium, Falcon, and Sphincs+ for Digital Signature Algorithms (DSA). For Round-4 there are no DSA candidates left for consideration at the time of writing this thesis and one of the most important aspects of the selected DSA’s is their large signature sizes compared to algorithms in use today, see Table 1 [61].
CHAPTER 3: LITERATURE REVIEW

Currently, there are limited number of studies that evaluate the performance characteristics of post-quantum algorithms. The literature on this topic can be divided into two categories: network performance related studies and implementation performance related studies.

3.1 Post-Quantum Network Performance Related Studies

The first notable study is conducted by [62], which compares the performance of some NIST post-quantum signature candidates selected by authors, on a broadband connection between a cloud server and a client. Similarly, [63] evaluates post-quantum schemes on TLS by assessing the overhead of hybrid schemes that use post-quantum and conventional key exchange at the same time during TLS handshake. The purpose of the work is to measure the overhead of hybrid schemes while establishing a TLS connection on a regular network. Finally, in 2022 [64] conducts a benchmarking study of post-quantum schemes for QUIC protocol, which is a lightweight version of TLS protocol and evaluates the overhead of Dilithium-2 and Dilithium-3 certificate chains in a cloud environment.

3.2 Post-Quantum Implementation Performance Related Studies

In 2021, [65] modifies the TLS 1.2 to evaluate the effects of the post-quantum key exchange algorithm Kyber with the post-quantum signature scheme Sphincs+ on a resource-constrained device based on TPM, with a focus on measuring the computational overhead of the two post-quantum algorithms in TLS. Finally, [66] makes a comparative analysis of time and energy consumption characteristics of NIST PQC Round 2 candidates, with optimized C codes on a PC.
To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first comprehensive work that evaluates the effects of different post-quantum schemes in TLS on an NB-IoT network. In addition, we assess the overhead of post-quantum key exchange (KEM) and post-quantum signatures by explicitly comparing the former with conventional key exchange ECDHE and comparing the latter with conventional signature scheme ECDSA and RSA. By doing so, we shed light on performance differences of different post-quantum adaptation scenarios, such as separately evaluating the effect of post-quantum KEM and signatures to TLS. This provides valuable insights into the feasibility of adopting post-quantum schemes in various scenarios and highlights the areas where further considerations are needed according to the NB-IoT setup and the end-device density.
CHAPTER 4: APPROACH

NB-IoT is a relatively new technology that is increasingly gaining interest from the consumer market. One of the key promises of this technology is scalability for the vast number of IoT terminals through decreased spectrum usage. In this sense, we aim to test the network performance limits of NB-IoT against our main concern, the overhead brought about by the post-quantum era signature and key exchange algorithms.

To evaluate the performance of post-quantum algorithms on NB-IoT network, a network simulator is employed for cost and practicality reasons. Moreover, a simulator environment enables infrastructure-level debugging, giving the user freedom to conduct stress tests to see the real limits of the technology. To achieve these goals, a simulator that can reflect the real-world working conditions of an NB-IoT infrastructure is employed. The search for a reliable simulation environment led us to ns-3 Network Simulator [67] which is a well-established and reputable network simulation tool.

ns-3 simulator provides support for LTE networks through its LENA project. Current version is 5G-LENA which also supports 5G networks [68]. 5G-LENA code supports all network element types that a real IP-based LTE network requires, such as eNB, Packet Gateway (PGW), and Signaling Gateway (SGW) which is referred to as Evolved Packet Core (EPC). This realistic setup provides packet-level inspection capability of test scenarios, which we frequently used to augment the approach and update the simulation code and parameters.

Although NB-IoT is an extension of the LTE, it has some major differences compared to standard implementation in terms of Radio Resource Control (RRC) and Physical Layers. NB-IoT simulator code used in the experiments (LENA-NB) [69] is implemented
independently on top of the 5G-LENA project, and a paper regarding the implementation was published in 2022 at the WNS3 workshop [70].

The simulation application, which is basically a TCP client-server application, mimics the data exchange process of a TLS 1.3 handshake. The exchanged data traffic between the client and server application in the simulation is derived from the traffic generated from an \( s_{\text{client}} \) and \( s_{\text{server}} \) application of OpenSSL fork [71] of the Open Quantum Safe (OQS) project.

In the sequel, we give details regarding the ns-3 and OpenSSL program setup, signature and key generation steps, as well as data size extraction operations.

4.1 liboqs and OQS-OpenSSL

The OQS project is an initiative dedicated to open-source implementation of post-quantum algorithms. Starting from 2019, development efforts were conducted around the NIST Post-Quantum Cryptography standardization project and its candidate algorithms. Currently, the project libraries support all winner and candidate algorithms of Round 3.

The OQS development process is concentrated on two main development paths. The first one is \( \text{liboqs} \), a C library implementation of post-quantum algorithms, the second one is implementation of industry standard protocols and applications such as OpenSSL, SSH, and X.509 [72]. In the following sections, we prefer to call the OQS fork of the OpenSSL as OQS-OpenSSL to avoid confusion with the standard OpenSSL program. For detailed setup instructions please refer to Appendix B-1 section.

4.2 Preparation of Post-Quantum Keys and Certificates

In a Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) setting, root certificate authorities (CA) act as a root of trust for other entities. Root CAs can self-sign their own certificates along with
Intermediate CA certificates and server certificates [73]. Intermediate CAs on the other hand, issue certificates for other Intermediate CAs or servers. When a client verifies a server certificate, it needs root and Intermediate CA certificates (public keys) to assure the authenticity of the signature chain, see Figure 8.

![Figure 8 – Certificate Signing Chain](image)

We used the OQS-OpenSSL program to generate X.509 certificate chains for NIST Round 3 selected signature algorithms: Falcon, Crystals-Dilithium, and Sphincs+ as well as RSA and ECDSA. Figure 9 shows a server certificate signed using the Falcon algorithm with a ring degree of 512, which we decode using Certlogik’s CSR Decoder [74]. The error message (“Unable to load Public Key”) in the decoded text indicates that the website still does not recognize Falcon certificates and therefore cannot show the
public key. Instead, it shows serial number 1.3.9999.3.1 in the output, which is the assigned object identifier for this algorithm [75]. A sample certificate chain creation process is provided in Appendix B-2 section.

---

Figure 9 – A server certificate signed with Falcon-512

4.3 Obtaining TLS 1.3 Payloads After Post-Quantum Adaptation

After creating the necessary certificate chains, we run TLS 1.3 sessions between the OQS-OpenSSL’s s_server and s_client programs. The objective of these runs is to determine the traffic overheads produced by various key exchange and signature algorithms during the TLS handshake and use them as input for our simulation. For all scenarios, we employ one root CA certificate, one Intermediate CA certificate, and one...
server certificate. Intermediate CA certificates are directly used as the certificate chain files. The setup reflects the typical number of certificates that are chained for common client and server applications that use TLS. Figure 10 gives details about the algorithm specific information carried in the data fields of a TLS 1.3 handshake using Kyber key exchange and Falcon signature algorithms as an example.

**Figure 10 - TLS 1.3 Handshake with Kyber key exchange and Falcon signatures**

1: Client's KeyShare contains Kyber ephemeral public key.
2: Server's Keyshare contains encrypted session key
3: Certificate field contains Falcon server and intermediate CA certificates
4: CertificateVerify contains a Falcon traffic signature

* indicates encrypted data

To determine the exact payload size between the client and server, we capture the TLS handshake traffic using Wireshark Network Analyzer while `s_server` and `s_client` commands were running. We analyze every capture file to determine total data transmission on every round of this 2-RTT communication and determine the exact payload size of each message from the client to the server and from the server to the
client to accomplish a successful TLS handshake that uses post-quantum schemes. Figure 11 shows how this analysis works, by grouping the messages and the corresponding ACK messages. After analysis, we use payload sizes as input parameters for the applications that run on ns3. Detailed instructions and commands used are given in Appendix 2-C section.

Figure 11 - Analysis of payload sizes for a TLS handshake session with Kyber key exchange and Dilithium signatures

4.4 Application to Mimic TLS 1.3

ns-3 applications are user programs running on ns-3 nodes that represent the end devices like IoT terminals or servers. These applications drive the simulations on the virtual infrastructure provided by the main ns-3 program [76].

For experiments, we create two TCP socket-based network applications written in C language which simulate TLS 1.3 handshake. Client application MyTcpEchoClient starts with sending a TCP session request and MyTcpEchoServer grants the TCP session. Next, the client sends the payload for the first round, simulating the “ClientHello” message,
while the server listens and waits until receiving the right amount of data. When the server receives the expected number of bytes, it can start sending its own data for the first round. This process continues until the client and server successfully send and receive their final payloads. Upon successful transmission rounds, the client sends a socket close request to the server, as shown in Figure 11. As a remark, we want to mention that our simulation uses a 2-RTT approach rather than 1.5 RTT of TLS 1.3. The reason for this is our client application’s inability to determine whether the server successfully receives the final data before closing the connection. Therefore, we employ one more data stream from the server to the client, to indicate completion.

Payload sizes extracted in the previous section are provided to the applications as configuration parameters. Source codes for all the mentioned programs are given in the Appendix-A section.
Figure 12 – UML State Diagrams for ns-3 applications MyTcpEchoClient and MyTcpEchoServer

4.5 Network Topology

For experiments, we create LTE-EPC networks with a varying number of IoT devices (5, 10, 15, and 20), randomly distributed within a circular area of a one-kilometer radius. An evolved NodeB (eNB) device is placed at the center of the circle, serving as a base station to connect the IoT devices in the coverage area. A remote host located on the internet hosts MyTcpEchoServer applications, whereas each IoT device runs one instance of MyTcpEchoClient application, which together simulate the TLS handshake.
This setup represents the ideal connectivity conditions (CE0 Level), to provide the best bitrates to terminals. We allowed only one eNB channel with a single resource block (RB) of 180KHz, which can accommodate a maximum of 12 IoT devices to connect at the same time. When the number of connected IoT devices exceeds 12, the remaining ones wait for their turn until some of the active devices are set to idle state (RRC_IDLE) by the network, allowing the waiting devices to attempt retransmission. We deliberately limit the base station to a single NB-IoT channel to observe the effects of channel saturation. However, in a real-world scenario, multiple PRBs might be available at the

Figure 13 – Simulation Network Topology
same location, enabling each base station to serve multiple channels to support varying numbers of IoT devices (Figure 13).

4.6 Baseline and Performance Metrics

In this study, we aim to showcase the effects of post-quantum security schemes on the communication overhead of the TLS 1.3 handshake in an NB-IoT network, as compared to conventional security schemes. The digital signatures used in the TLS handshake are the main cause of traffic overhead, and they come in two different forms:

a. The signatures that are carried in certificates; during server-only authentication, the server typically transmits a minimum of two digital certificates in the "Certificate" data field of the handshake. These certificates include the server's own certificate (also known as the server certificate) as well as the certificate of the Intermediate CA.

b. The signature in the “CertificateVerify” data field which provides integrity of the handshake and authenticity of the server.

The digital signatures discussed here underscore the significance of choosing an appropriate signature algorithm, as it has a notable impact on TLS performance.

To evaluate the impact of post-quantum schemes on various stages of the handshake, we have developed the following scenarios:

1. As a benchmark, we utilize the ECDHE key exchange algorithm alongside ECDSA and RSA signature schemes, where certificates contain either RSA public key and RSA signature pairs or ECDSA public key and ECDSA signature pairs. Key sizes are selected as 2048 bits for RSA and 256 bits for ECC, as these are the most frequently used key sizes [77].
2. To assess the impact of post-quantum key exchange algorithms, we replace the ECDHE key exchange in TLS 1.3 with post-quantum key exchange Kyber-512 [78].

3. To evaluate the impact of post-quantum authentication overhead and the resulting increase in certificate sizes due to larger public key and signature sizes, we generated server, intermediate, and root CA certificates containing public keys and signatures from the Falcon, Dilithium, and Sphincs+ post-quantum schemes selected by NIST. Referring to OQS-OpenSSL’s GitHub page we choose falcon-512 for Falcon, and sphinessha256128fsimple for Sphincs+ as algorithm variants, which have the smallest public key and signature sizes. With Crystals-Dilithium, we employed the dilithium3 parameter set, which is the recommended parameter set for achieving AES-128 bits of security by the creators of the scheme [79].
CHAPTER 5: EVALUATIONS

5.1 Overhead of Post-Quantum Key Exchange (KEM) on TLS 1.3 Handshake

In this section, we analyze the supplementary communication overhead of post-quantum key exchange compared to the traditional ECDHE key exchange method. To do so we substitute ECDHE with Kyber in two TLS cipher suites, namely TLS-ECDHE-RSA and TLS-ECDHE-ECDSA, where the RSA and ECDSA components represent the signature algorithms utilized. Through this, we can evaluate the performance of Kyber across different signature suites. In Figure 14, we present the average completion time of the TLS handshake under various numbers of IoT devices on a single NB-IoT channel.

![Figure 14 - Effect of key exchange algorithm on TLS handshake time](image)

Based on the experiment results presented in Figure 14, it is evident that replacing ECDHE with the post-quantum algorithm Kyber has a noticeable negative impact on the
average time required to complete a TLS handshake. For instance, when comparing ECDHE-RSA with Kyber-RSA, the average TLS handshake time increased from 5.61 seconds to 11.02 seconds for just 5 IoT devices. This difference becomes even more significant when the number of devices increases to 20, where the average TLS handshake time almost reaches one minute.

![Figure 15 - Effect of key exchange algorithm on throughput](image)

Similarly, when comparing ECDHE-ECDSA with Kyber-ECDSA, the average time increases from 3.85 seconds to 7.07 seconds for 5 devices. However, we note that the increase is less drastic when using ECDSA as the signature algorithm instead of RSA, and the TLS handshake takes less than 30 seconds on average for 20 devices. This is because the small overhead of ECDSA helps the NB-IoT network accommodate the overhead of post-quantum Kyber. In both cases, Kyber introduces a significant overhead, and this can be directly attributed to the difference in “key_share” size between Kyber
and ECDHE algorithms as shown in Table 2. Specifically, the “key_share” size of Kyber in the “ClientHello” message is 806 bytes, which is 768 bytes larger than the 38 bytes of ECDHE. The size increase is also observed with the “key_share” in the “ServerHello” message, which was 36 bytes for ECDHE and 772 bytes for Kyber. Overall, the substitution of key exchange algorithms in TLS handshake introduces an overhead of 1500 bytes, resulting in an additional 50% overhead compared to ECDHE + RSA and 73% compared to ECDHE + ECDSA, as shown in Table 2. These findings underscore the importance of selecting the appropriate key exchange algorithm to minimize network traffic size, particularly in the context of post-quantum algorithms and their larger key sizes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KEM/Digital Signature</th>
<th>key_share Size in ClientHello (bytes)</th>
<th>key_share Size in ServerHello (bytes)</th>
<th>Total Traffic Exchanged During Handshake (bytes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECDHE + ECDSA (prime256v1)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECDHE + RSA (2048)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kyber512 + ECDSA (prime256v1)</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>772</td>
<td>3560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kyber512 + RSA (2048)</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>772</td>
<td>4522</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 – TLS handshake traffic size comparisons with ECDHE and Kyber key exchange algorithms

Experiment results indicate that NB-IoT provides similar throughput for Kyber compared to the ECDHE scheme. Figure 15 illustrates that the throughput for all
scenarios begins at approximately 4 kbps for 5 IoT devices and gradually decreases to 1 kbps for 20 devices in ECDSA scenarios and 0.8 kbps for RSA scenarios.

Another observation is, although the kyber512+ECDSA scenario has a bigger total traffic size compared to ECDHE+RSA, they both yield 0.8 kbps of throughput. This result can be explained by the asymmetrical bitrate characteristic of NB-IoT networks, which does not favor large payloads in the downlink direction. On the other hand, the overhead caused by the bigger key_share of Kyber is split between uplink and downlink channels and yields better throughput results.

5.2 Overhead of Post-Quantum Signature Algorithms on TLS-Handshake

For our second benchmark, we examined the overhead generated by post-quantum signature algorithms in comparison to ECDSA, when post-quantum Kyber is utilized as the default key exchange algorithm. The use of post-quantum signature algorithms results in significant increases in both signature and public key sizes, which in turn leads to considerable latency spikes in our tests. Of the post-quantum signature schemes we tested, Falcon was the most lightweight, as indicated in Table 3. As a result, it performed the best, completing the TLS handshake for five devices in under 16 seconds on average, and in about 50 seconds for 20 devices. However, Dilithium and Sphincs+ took more than 40 and 70 seconds, respectively, even with only five devices in the channel, see Figure 16. This can be attributed to the total exchanged traffic sizes of these two algorithms, which are 16997 and 26830 bytes, respectively (Table-3).
In this set of experiments, measured throughput is about 3.5 kbps with 5 devices, which is slightly lower than traditional signature scheme scenarios (ECDSA/RSA), but
with the increased number of devices, results decrease even more. In comparison to ECDSA, we observed a 28% decrease in throughput for 10, 15, and 20 devices when post-quantum authentication schemes were used, resulting in a throughput of only 0.6 kbps, see Figure 17. These results suggest that the large traffic overhead introduced by new post-quantum signature schemes has an adverse impact on NB-IoT performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KEM/Digital Signature</th>
<th>ICA + Server Certificate Size (bytes)</th>
<th>Signature Size (bytes)</th>
<th>Total Traffic Exchanged During Handshake (bytes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kyber512 + ECDSA (prime256v1)</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kyber512 + falcon512</td>
<td>3404</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>6965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kyber512 + dilithium3</td>
<td>10824</td>
<td>3293</td>
<td>16997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kyber512 + sphincsha256128fsimple</td>
<td>16070</td>
<td>7856</td>
<td>26830</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 – Certificate, signature, and total traffic overheads of current vs post-quantum signature algorithms with Kyber key exchange

Replacing conventional signature schemes with post-quantum signature schemes during TLS handshake has a significant impact on performance compared to simply substituting the ECDHE with the post-quantum key exchange scheme Kyber. Falcon, while the best performing among the post-quantum signature schemes we tested, introduces nearly 5 times the overhead when compared to ECDHE/ECDSA and 2.5 times the overhead when compared to ECDHE/RSA. Despite this, it remains a feasible candidate for post-quantum signature migration in low-density NB-IoT networks, with a latency of 15 seconds for five devices. However, the results indicate that Dilithium and Sphincs+ may be impractical for most applications that run on IoT networks.
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

6.1 Conclusion

In this study, we examine the feasibility of using post-quantum cryptographic schemes in TLS to ensure the security of NB-IoT applications. Drawing on the experimental results, we can conclude that NB-IoT applications can transition to post-quantum key exchange Kyber with an acceptable performance degradation when ECDSA is used. However, the use of post-quantum signature schemes would lead to impractical TLS handshake times and lower throughputs. If there is a need to adopt post-quantum signatures, the most viable choice would be Falcon with a ring degree of 512.

In light of these findings, we suggest migrating to Kyber as a viable option to prevent potential "store-now, decrypt later" type attacks that may arise from breaking ECDHE encryption with quantum computers in the future [45]. However, as there is currently no evident threat, it is advisable to continue using conventional signature schemes for TLS authentication until a quantum computer powerful enough to breach the security of ECDSA is developed.

For the post-quantum era, on the other hand, the industry needs to formulate alternative approaches and methods to handle the signature overheads of cryptographic operations. While this work specifically focuses on TLS handshake, the scope can be extended to include other protocols like VPN and SSH which also use signatures in their authentication phase. Here we list some methods to mitigate the effects of post-quantum signatures:

**Long Term Symmetric Key Usage:** A practical method to avoid signature overheads is to utilize symmetrical keys for subsequent sessions and conduct less
frequent handshakes. While this approach eliminates the Perfect Forward Secrecy (PFS) feature of TLS 1.3, it can bring more advantages compared to disadvantages when overall network performance is considered. This approach might be best compatible with IoT devices that communicate to a limited number of servers on the internet, which decreases the number of new authentication requirements.

**Certificate Caching:** Another option is caching the Intermediate CA certificates on the IoT device to avoid retransmission. With this, one of the two necessary signatures used for authentication in the handshake process is eliminated. However, this approach like the previous one requires a previous TLS session to cache the certificate, and certificates should be checked against revocation on every usage.

### 6.2 Future Work

In the future, we aim to extend our work by focusing on unvisited aspects of the NB-IoT technology in this work, and by running our experiments on other LPWAN technologies. Specifically, all the experiments conducted within the scope of this work feature the best NB-IoT coverage level, namely CE0. Although deploying IoT terminals in CE0 coverage helps with identifying the best throughput and handshake times, running the experiments with distant terminals would help identify adjustable NB-IoT network parameters such as repetition numbers for other coverage enhancement levels and therefore cell sizes.

Another intention is to test post-quantum key exchange and signature performances on other LPWAN technologies. LoRa, SigFox, and e-MTC feature different bandwidth allocations and need to be investigated with bigger traffic loads. What is more, NB-IoT
features stationary terminals, and the effect of mobility should also be investigated with further studies.


APPENDICES

A. TLS 1.3 SIMULATOR APPLICATION CODE [80]

a. MyTcpEchoClient

```cpp
#include "ns3/log.h"
#include "ns3/ipv4-address.h"
#include "ns3/nstime.h"
#include "ns3/inet-socket-address.h"
#include "ns3/socket.h"
#include "ns3/simulator.h"
#include "ns3/socket-factory.h"
#include "ns3/packet.h"
#include "ns3/uinteger.h"
#include "ns3/trace-source-accessor.h"
#include "my-tcp-echo-client.h"
#include "ns3/tag.h"
#include "TimestampTag.h"
#include "string.h"
#include "ns3/config-store-module.h"
#include "ns3/core-module.h"
#include <thread>
#include <iostream>
#include <chrono>
#include <chrono>

namespace ns3 {

NS_LOG_COMPONENT_DEFINE ("MyTcpEchoClientApplication");
NS_OBJECT_ENSURE_REGISTERED (MyTcpEchoClient);

TypeId
MyTcpEchoClient::GetTypeId (void)
{
    static TypeId tid = TypeId ("ns3::MyTcpEchoClient")
        .SetParent<Application> ()
        .AddConstructor<MyTcpEchoClient> ()
        .AddAttribute ("MaxPackets",
                       "The maximum number of packets the application will send",
                       UintegerValue (100),
                       MakeUintegerAccessor (&MyTcpEchoClient::m_count),
                       MakeUintegerChecker<uint32_t> ()
        .AddAttribute ("Interval",
                       "The time to wait between packets",
                       UintegerValue (100),
                       MakeUintegerAccessor (&MyTcpEchoClient::m_count),
                       MakeUintegerChecker<uint32_t> ()
        .AddAttribute ("Port",
                       "The port number to connect to", (UintegerValue (8080),
                       MakeUintegerAccessor (&MyTcpEchoClient::m_count),
                       MakeUintegerChecker<uint32_t> ())
        .AddAttribute ("Timeout",
                       "The time to wait before giving up", (UintegerValue (10000),
                       MakeUintegerAccessor (&MyTcpEchoClient::m_count),
                       MakeUintegerChecker<uint32_t> ())
        .AddAttribute ("BufferSize",
                       "The size of the buffer to use for incoming packets", (UintegerValue (1024),
                       MakeUintegerAccessor (&MyTcpEchoClient::m_count),
                       MakeUintegerChecker<uint32_t> ()
        .AddAttribute ("Echo",
                       "Whether to echo the data", (UintegerValue (true),
                       MakeUintegerAccessor (&MyTcpEchoClient::m_count),
                       MakeUintegerChecker<uint32_t> ()

    return tid;
}
```

Copyright 2007 University of Washington

This program is free software; you can redistribute it and/or modify
it under the terms of the GNU General Public License version 2 as
published by the Free Software Foundation;

This program is distributed in the hope that it will be useful,
but WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of
MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. See the
GNU General Public License for more details.

You should have received a copy of the GNU General Public License
along with this program; if not, write to the Free Software
Foundation, Inc., 59 Temple Place, Suite 330, Boston, MA 02111-1307 USA
TimeValue (Seconds (1.0)),
MakeTimeAccessor (&MyTcpEchoClient::m_interval),
MakeTimeChecker ()
.AddAttribute ("RemoteAddress",
"The destination Ipv4Address of the outbound packets",
AddressValue (),
MakeAddressAccessor (&MyTcpEchoClient::m_peerAddress),
MakeAddressChecker ()
.AddAttribute ("RemotePort",
"The destination port of the outbound packets",
UintegerValue (0),
MakeUintegerAccessor (&MyTcpEchoClient::m_peerPort),
MakeUintegerChecker<uint16_t> ())
.AddAttribute ("PacketSize", "Size of echo data in outbound packets",
UintegerValue (100),
MakeUintegerAccessor (&MyTcpEchoClient::SetDataSize,
&MyTcpEchoClient::GetDataSize),
MakeUintegerChecker<uint32_t> ())
.AddAttribute ("TagCounter", "Number of RTTs",
UintegerValue (0),
MakeUintegerAccessor (&MyTcpEchoClient::SetTagCounter),
MakeUintegerChecker<uint8_t> ())
.AddAttribute ("ClientNo", "ClientNo",
UintegerValue (0),
MakeUintegerAccessor (&MyTcpEchoClient::SetClientNo),
MakeUintegerChecker<uint8_t> ())
.AddAttribute ("MSS", "MSS",
UintegerValue (0),
MakeUintegerAccessor (&MyTcpEchoClient::SetMSS),
MakeUintegerChecker<uint32_t> ())
.AddTraceSource ("Tx", "A new packet is created and is sent",
MakeTraceSourceAccessor (&MyTcpEchoClient::m_txTrace),
"ns3::Packet::TracedCallback")
.AddTraceSource ("Rx", "A packet has been received",
MakeTraceSourceAccessor (&MyTcpEchoClient::m_rxTrace),
"ns3::Packet::TracedCallback")
.AddTraceSource ("TxWithAddresses", "A new packet is created and is sent",
MakeTraceSourceAccessor (&MyTcpEchoClient::m_txTraceWithAddresses),
"ns3::Packet::TwoAddressTracedCallback")
.AddTraceSource ("RxWithAddresses", "A packet has been received",
MakeTraceSourceAccessor (&MyTcpEchoClient::m_rxTraceWithAddresses),
"ns3::Packet::TwoAddressTracedCallback")

};

return tid;
}

TypeId MyTcpEchoClient::GetInstanceTypeId() const {
  return MyTcpEchoClient::GetTypeId();
}

MyTcpEchoClient::MyTcpEchoClient ()
{
  NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this);
  m_sent = 0;
  m_socket = 0;
  m_sendEvent = EventId ();
  m_data = 0;
  m_dataSize = 1000;
}

MyTcpEchoClient::~MyTcpEchoClient()
void MyTcpEchoClient::SetTagCounter (uint8_t tagCounter)
{
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this << "tag Counter " << tagCounter);
    m_tagCounter = tagCounter;
}

void MyTcpEchoClient::SetClientNo(uint8_t clientNo)
{
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this << "clientNo: " << clientNo);
    m_clientNo = clientNo;
}

void MyTcpEchoClient::SetIsComplete (){ 
    isComplete=true;
}

bool MyTcpEchoClient::GetIsComplete(){
    return isComplete;
}

void MyTcpEchoClient::SetRemote (Address ip, uint16_t port)
{
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this);
    m_peerAddress = ip;
    m_peerPort = port;
}

void MyTcpEchoClient::SetRemote (Address addr)
{
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this << addr);
    m_peerAddress = addr;
}

void MyTcpEchoClient::DoDispose (void)
{
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this);
    Application::DoDispose ();
}

void MyTcpEchoClient::StartApplication (void)
{
    startingIndex = 4;
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this);
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION ("client MSS " << m_maxSegmentSize);
    Config::SetDefault ("ns3::TcpSocket::SegmentSize", UintegerValue

(m_maxSegmentSize));
  Config::SetDefault ("ns3::TcpSocket::InitialCwnd", UintegerValue (1));

  if (m_socket == 0)
  {
    TypeId tid = TypeId::LookupByName ("ns3::TcpSocketFactory");
    m_socket = Socket::CreateSocket (GetNode (), tid);
    if (m_socket->Bind () == -1)
    {
      NS_FATAL_ERROR ("Failed to bind socket");
    }
    m_socket->Connect (InetSocketAddress (Ipv4Address::ConvertFrom(m_peerAddress),
                                          m_peerPort));
  }
  m_socket->SetRecvCallback (MakeCallback (&MyTcpEchoClient::HandleRead, this));
  ScheduleTransmit (Seconds (0.));
}

void MyTcpEchoClient::StopApplication ()
{
  NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this);
  if (m_socket != 0)
  {
    m_socket->Close ();
    m_socket->SetRecvCallback (MakeNullCallback<void, Ptr<Socket> > ());
    m_socket = 0;
  }
  Simulator::Cancel (m_sendEvent);
}

void MyTcpEchoClient::SetDataSize (uint32_t dataSize)
{
  NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this << dataSize);
  // If the client is setting the echo packet data size this way, we infer
  // that she doesn't care about the contents of the packet at all, so
  // neither will we.
  // delete [] m_data;
  m_data = 0;
  m_dataSize = 0;
  m_size = dataSize;
}

uint32_t
MyTcpEchoClient::GetDataSize (void) const
{
  NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this);
  return m_size;
}

void
MyTcpEchoClient::SetFill (std::string fill)
{
  NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this << fill);
  uint32_t dataSize = fill.size () + 1;
if (dataSize != m_dataSize)
{
    delete [] m_data;
    m_data = new uint8_t [dataSize];
    m_dataSize = dataSize;
}

memcpy (m_data, fill.c_str (), dataSize);

    //
    // Overwrite packet size attribute.
    //
    m_size = dataSize;
}

void MyTcpEchoClient::SetFill (uint8_t fill, uint32_t dataSize)
{
    if (dataSize != m_dataSize)
    {
        delete [] m_data;
        m_data = new uint8_t [dataSize];
        m_dataSize = dataSize;
    }

    memset (m_data, fill, dataSize);

    //
    // Overwrite packet size attribute.
    //
    m_size = dataSize;
}

void MyTcpEchoClient::SetFill (uint8_t *fill, uint32_t fillSize, uint32_t dataSize)
{
    if (dataSize != m_dataSize)
    {
        delete [] m_data;
        m_data = new uint8_t [dataSize];
        m_dataSize = dataSize;
    }

    if (fillSize >= dataSize)
    {
        memcpy (m_data, fill, dataSize);
        return;
    }

    // Do all but the final fill.
    //
    uint32_t filled = 0;
    while (filled + fillSize < dataSize)
    {
        memcpy (&m_data[filled], fill, fillSize);
        filled += fillSize;
    }

    // Last fill may be partial
    //
    memcpy (&m_data[filled], fill, dataSize - filled);
void MyTcpEchoClient::SetPacketSizes(uint32_t * sizes)
{
    m_PacketSizes = sizes;
}

void MyTcpEchoClient::SetMSS(uint32_t mss)
{
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this << mss);
    m_maxSegmentSize = mss;
}

uint32_t * MyTcpEchoClient::GetPacketSizes()
{
    return m_PacketSizes;
}

void MyTcpEchoClient::ScheduleTransmit (Time dt)
{
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this << dt);
    m_sendEvent = Simulator::Schedule (dt, &MyTcpEchoClient::Send, this);
}

void MyTcpEchoClient::Send (void)
{
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION_NOARGS ();
    NS_ASSERT (m_sendEvent.IsExpired ());

    Ptr<Packet> p;
    uint32_t *arr = GetPacketSizes();
    //uint32_t newSize = arr[4-(startingIndex)];
    uint32_t newSize = arr[4-(startingIndex)];
    startingIndex = startingIndex -2;
    if (m_dataSize)
    {
        p = Create<Packet> (m_data, m_dataSize);
    }
    else
    {
        p = Create<Packet> (newSize);
    }
    Address localAddress;
    m_socket->GetSockName (localAddress);
    m_txTrace (p);
    if (Ipv4Address::IsMatchingType (m_peerAddress))
    {
        m_txTraceWithAddresses (p, localAddress, InetSocketAddress (Ipv4Address::ConvertFrom (m_peerAddress), m_peerPort));
    }
    else if (Ipv6Address::IsMatchingType (m_peerAddress))
    {
        m_txTraceWithAddresses (p, localAddress, Inet6SocketAddress (Ipv6Address::ConvertFrom (m_peerAddress), m_peerPort));
    }
m_socket->Send (p);
++m_sent;

if (Ipv4Address::IsMatchingType (m_peerAddress))
{
    NS_LOG_INFO ("At time " << Simulator::Now ().As (Time::S) " client " <<
                  +m_clientNo << " sent " << packet->GetSize () << " bytes to " <<
                  Ipv4Address::ConvertFrom (m_peerAddress) " port " << m_peerPort);
}
else if (Ipv6Address::IsMatchingType (m_peerAddress))
{
    NS_LOG_INFO ("At time " << Simulator::Now ().As (Time::S) " client " <<
                  +m_clientNo << " sent " << packet->GetSize () << " bytes to " <<
                  Ipv6Address::ConvertFrom (m_peerAddress) " port " << m_peerPort);
}
else if (InetSocketAddress::IsMatchingType (m_peerAddress))
{
    NS_LOG_INFO ("At time " << Simulator::Now ().As (Time::S) " client " <<
                  +m_clientNo << " sent " << packet->GetSize () << " bytes to " <<
                  InetSocketAddress::ConvertFrom (m_peerAddress) " port " << m_peerPort);
}
else if (Inet6SocketAddress::IsMatchingType (m_peerAddress))
{
    NS_LOG_INFO ("At time " << Simulator::Now ().As (Time::S) " client " <<
                  +m_clientNo << " sent " << packet->GetSize () << " bytes to " <<
                  Inet6SocketAddress::ConvertFrom (m_peerAddress) " port " << m_peerPort);
}

if (m_sent < m_count)
{
    ScheduleTransmit (m_interval);
}

MyTcpEchoClient::HandleRead (Ptr<Socket> socket)
{
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this << socket);
    Ptr<Packet> packet;
    Address from;
    Address localAddress;
    TimestampTag timestampTag;

    NS_LOG_INFO("INSIDE MyTcpEchoClient::HandleRead , startingIndex IS : "<<
                 startingIndex " for client " << +m_clientNo);

    while ((packet = socket->RecvFrom (from)))
    {
        if (InetSocketAddress::IsMatchingType (from))
        {
            NS_LOG_INFO ("At time " << Simulator::Now ().As (Time::S) " client " <<
                          +m_clientNo << " received " << packet->GetSize () << " bytes from " <<
                          InetSocketAddress::ConvertFrom (from) " port " <<
                          InetSocketAddress::ConvertFrom (from) <<
        }
        else if (Inet6SocketAddress::IsMatchingType (from))
        {
            NS_LOG_INFO ("At time " << Simulator::Now ().As (Time::S) " client " <<
                          +m_clientNo << " received " << packet->GetSize () << " bytes from " <<
                          Inet6SocketAddress::ConvertFrom (from) " port " <<
                          Inet6SocketAddress::ConvertFrom (from) <<
        }
InetSocketAddress::ConvertFrom (from).GetPort ();
}
socket->GetSockName (localAddress);
m_rxTrace (packet);
m_rxTraceWithAddresses (packet, from, localAddress);

uint8_t ttl = 0;
uint32_t packetSize = packet->GetSize ();
uint32_t *arr = GetPacketSizes ();

//uint32_t expectedSize = arr[4-(startingIndex +1)];
uint32_t expectedSize = arr[4-(startingIndex +1)];
totalPacketSize = totalPacketSize + packetSize;

if(totalPacketSize >= expectedSize){
    //uint32_t newSize = arr[4-(startingIndex)];
    uint32_t newSize = arr[4-(startingIndex)];

    if (newSize < packetSize){
        NS_LOG_INFO("newSize < expectedSize==>");
        //NS_LOG_INFO("packet->GetSize(): " << packet-
        >GetSize() " newSize: " << +newSize);
        // NS_LOG_INFO("packet->RemoveAtEnd2 abs(packet->GetSize() - +newSize)
        "<< abs(packet->GetSize()) - +newSize);
        packet->RemoveAtEnd2 ( abs(packetSize - +newSize) );
    }else if (newSize > packetSize){
        //NS_LOG_INFO("newSize > expectedSize==>");
        NS_LOG_INFO("packet->GetSize(): " << packet-
        >GetSize() " newSize: " << +newSize);
        // NS_LOG_INFO("packet->AddPaddingAtEnd abs(packet->GetSize() -
        +newSize) "<< abs(packet->GetSize()) - +newSize);
        packet->AddPaddingAtEnd( abs(packetSize - +newSize) );
    }else{

    }
}

startingIndex = startingIndex - 2;
NS_LOG_INFO("startingIndex updated to: " <<
+m_clientNo);

if (startingIndex < 0) {
    // NS_LOG_INFO("ttl is 0 client " << +m_clientNo " aborting packet
cycle! at" << Simulator::Now().GetNanoSeconds());
    // NS_LOG_INFO("delay for client: " << +m_clientNo " "
    Simulator::Now().GetNanoSeconds() - Seconds(2.0).GetNanoSeconds());
    std::cout << "ttl is 0 client " << +m_clientNo " aborting packet
    cycle! at" << Simulator::Now().GetNanoSeconds() << std::endl;
    std::cout << "delay for client: " << +m_clientNo " "
    Simulator::Now().GetNanoSeconds() - Seconds(2.0).GetNanoSeconds() << std::endl;

    m_socket->Close();
    SetIsComplete();
    return;
} else {
    socket->SendTo(packet, 0, from);
    totalPacketSize = 0;
}

if (InetSocketAddress::IsMatchingType(from)) {
    NS_LOG_INFO("At time "
        << Simulator::Now().As(Time::S) " " client "
    +m_clientNo "" sent "
        << packet->GetSize() "" bytes from "
        << packet->GetAddress0().GetIP () << " on port "
        << packet->GetAddress0().GetPort () << std::endl;
b. MyTcpEchoServer

```cpp
/* * * Mode:C++; c-file-style:"gnu"; indent-tabs-mode:nil; -* */
* Copyright 2007 University of Washington
*
* This program is free software; you can redistribute it and/or modify
* it under the terms of the GNU General Public License version 2 as
* published by the Free Software Foundation;
*
* This program is distributed in the hope that it will be useful,
* but WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of
* MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. See the
* GNU General Public License for more details.
*
* You should have received a copy of the GNU General Public License
* along with this program; if not, write to the Free Software
* Foundation, Inc., 59 Temple Place, Suite 330, Boston, MA 02111-1307 USA
*/
#include "ns3/log.h"
#include "ns3/ipv4-address.h"
#include "ns3/address-utils.h"
#include "ns3/nstime.h"
#include "ns3/inet-socket-address.h"
#include "ns3/socket.h"
#include "ns3/tcp-socket.h"
#include "ns3/simulator.h"
#include "ns3/socket-factory.h"
#include "ns3/packet.h"
#include "ns3/uinteger.h"
#include "my-tcp-echo-server.h"
#include "ns3/tag.h"
#include "string.h"
#include <thread>
#include <iostream>
#include <chrono>
```
#include "ns3/config-store-module.h"
#include "ns3/core-module.h"
#include <thread>
#include <iostream>
#include <chrono>

namespace ns3 {

NS_LOG_COMPONENT_DEFINE ("MyTcpEchoServerApplication");
NS_OBJECT_ENSURE_REGISTERED (MyTcpEchoServer);

TypeId     MyTcpEchoServer::GetTypeId (void)
{
    static TypeId tid = TypeId ("ns3::MyTcpEchoServer")
        .SetParent<Application> ()
        .AddConstructor<MyTcpEchoServer> ()
        .AddAttribute ("Local", "The Address on which to Bind the rx socket.",
                        AddressValue (),
                        MakeAddressAccessor (&MyTcpEchoServer::m_local),
                        MakeAddressChecker ())
        .AddAttribute ("Port", "Port on which we listen for incoming packets.",
                        UintegerValue (9),
                        MakeUintegerAccessor (&MyTcpEchoServer::m_port),
                        MakeUintegerChecker<uint16_t> ())
        .AddAttribute ("ServerNo","ServerNo",
                        UintegerValue (0),
                        MakeUintegerAccessor (&MyTcpEchoServer::SetServerNo),
                        MakeUintegerChecker<uint8_t> ())
        .AddAttribute ("MSS","MSS",
                        UintegerValue (0),
                        MakeUintegerAccessor (&MyTcpEchoServer::SetMSS),
                        MakeUintegerChecker<uint32_t> ())
        .AddTraceSource ("Rx", "A packet has been received",
                        MakeTraceSourceAccessor (&MyTcpEchoServer::m_rxTrace),
                        "ns3::Packet::TracedCallback")
        .AddTraceSource ("RxWithAddresses", "A packet has been received",
                        MakeTraceSourceAccessor (&MyTcpEchoServer::m_rxTraceWithAddresses),
                        "ns3::Packet::TwoAddressTracedCallback")
    ;
    return tid;
}

MyTcpEchoServer::MyTcpEchoServer ()
{
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this);
}

MyTcpEchoServer::~MyTcpEchoServer()
{
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this);
    m_socket = 0;
}

void     MyTcpEchoServer::DoDispose (void)
{
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this);
    Application::DoDispose ();
}

void MyTcpEchoServer::SetPacketSizes(uint32_t * sizes){
    m_PacketSizes = sizes;
}
uint32_t * MyTcpEchoServer::GetPacketSizes()
{
    return m_PacketSizes;
}

void MyTcpEchoServer::SetMSS(uint32_t mss)
{
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this<< mss);
    m_maxSegmentSize = mss;
}

void MyTcpEchoServer::SetServerNo(uint8_t serverNo)
{
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this << "serverNo: " << serverNo);
    m_serverNo = serverNo;
}

void MyTcpEchoServer::StartApplication (void)
{
    startingIndex = 3;
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this);
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION ("m_local: " << m_local);
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION ("m_local: " << m_port);
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION ("SERVER MSS: " << m_maxSegmentSize);

    Config::SetDefault ("ns3::TcpSocket::SegmentSize", UintegerValue (m_maxSegmentSize));
    Config::SetDefault ("ns3::TcpSocket::InitialCwnd", UintegerValue (1));

    if (m_socket == 0)
    {
        TypeId tid = TypeId::LookupByName ("ns3::TcpSocketFactory");
        m_socket = Socket::CreateSocket (GetNode (), tid);
        InetSocketAddress local = InetSocketAddress (Ipv4Address::GetAny (), m_port);
        //        NS_LOG_FUNCTION ("InetSocketAddress local: " << local.GetIpv4());
        if (m_socket->Bind (local) == -1)
        {
            NS_FATAL_ERROR ("Failed to bind socket");
        }
        m_socket->Listen ();
        //        NS_LOG_INFO("Echo Server local address: " << m_local << " port: " << m_port
        //        << " bind: ");
    }

    m_socket->SetRecvPktInfo (true);
    m_socket->SetRecvCallback (MakeCallback (&MyTcpEchoServer::HandleRead, this));
    m_socket->SetAcceptCallback (MakeNullCallback<bool, Ptr<Socket>, const Address &> (),
                                  MakeCallback (&MyTcpEchoServer::HandleAccept, this));
    m_socket->SetCloseCallbacks(
                                  MakeCallback (&MyTcpEchoServer::HandleClose, this),
                                  MakeCallback (&MyTcpEchoServer::HandleClose, this));
}

void MyTcpEchoServer::HandleClose(Ptr<Socket> s1)
void MyTcpEchoServer::HandleAccept (Ptr<Socket> s, const Address& from)
{
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this << s << from);
    //NS_LOG_INFO("ACCEPT IN ECHO SERVER from " <<
    InetSocketAddress::ConvertFrom(from).GetIpv4());
    s->SetRecvCallback (MakeCallback (&MyTcpEchoServer::HandleRead, this));
}

void
MyTcpEchoServer::StopApplication ()
{
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION (this);
    if (m_socket != 0)
    {
        m_socket->Close ();
        m_socket->SetRecvCallback (MakeNullCallback<void, Ptr<Socket> > ());
    }
}

void
MyTcpEchoServer::HandleRead (Ptr<Socket> socket)
{
    NS_LOG_FUNCTION(this << socket);
    Ptr<Packet> packet;
    Address from;
    Address localAddress;
    uint8_t ttl = 0;
    NS_LOG_INFO("--INSIDE MyTcpEchoServer::HandleRead , startingIndex IS :" <<
    startingIndex << " for client " << m_serverNo);
    while (packet = socket->RecvFrom (from))
    {
        uint8_t *msg;
        socket->GetSockName(localAddress);
        m_rxTrace(packet);
        m_rxTraceWithAddresses(packet, from, localAddress);
        uint32_t packetSize = packet->GetSize ();
        if (InetSocketAddress::IsMatchingType(from))
        {
            NS_LOG_INFO("At time " << Simulator::Now().As(Time::S) << " server " <<
            m_serverNo <<" received " << packet->GetSize () << " bytes from 
            " << InetSocketAddress::ConvertFrom(from).GetIpv4 () << " port 
            " << InetSocketAddress::ConvertFrom(from).GetPort ());
        } else if (Inet6SocketAddress::IsMatchingType(from))
        {
            NS_LOG_INFO("At time " << Simulator::Now().As(Time::S) << " server " <<
            m_serverNo <<" received " << packet->GetSize () << " bytes from 
            " << Inet6SocketAddress::ConvertFrom(from).GetIpv6() << " port 
            " << Inet6SocketAddress::ConvertFrom(from).GetPort ());
        }
    }
}
uint32_t *arr = GetPacketSizes();
uint32_t expectedSize = arr[4-(startingIndex +1)];
totalPacketSize = totalPacketSize + packetSize;
if(totalPacketSize >= expectedSize){
    uint32_t newSize = arr[4-(startingIndex)];
    if (newSize < packetSize){
        packet->RemoveAtEnd2 ( abs(packetSize - newSize) );
    }else if (newSize > packetSize){
        packet->AddPaddingAtEnd( abs(packetSize - newSize) );
    }else{
    }
}
sock->SendTo(packet, 0, from);
totalPacketSize = 0;
startingIndex = startingIndex - 2;
NS_LOG_INFO("startingIndex updated to: " << startingIndex << " for server 
<< m_serverNo " on port " << InetSocketAddress::ConvertFrom(from).GetPort() );
if (InetSocketAddress::IsMatchingType(from)) { NS_LOG_INFO("At time 
<< Simulator::Now().As(Time::S) " " server " 
<< packet->GetSize() " bytes from 
<< InetSocketAddress::ConvertFrom(from).GetIpv4() " 
<< InetSocketAddress::ConvertFrom(from).GetPort());
} else if (Inet6SocketAddress::IsMatchingType(from)) { NS_LOG_INFO("At time 
<< Simulator::Now().As(Time::S) " " server " 
<< " port " << " port " 
<< " port " 

} // Namespace ns3
c. ns-3 Runner Script (scenario_tcp.c)

```c
#include "ns3/core-module.h"
#include "ns3/point-to-point-module.h"
#include "ns3/internet-module.h"
#include "ns3/applications-module.h"
#include "ns3/mobility-module.h"
#include "ns3/config-store-module.h"
#include "ns3/random-variable-stream.h"
#include "ns3/lte-module.h"
#include <ns3/winner-plus-propagation-loss-model.h>
#include <chrono>
#include <iomanip>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <ctime>
#include <fstream>
#include <thread>
#include "ns3/flow-monitor-helper.h"
#include "ns3/my-tcp-echo-client.h"
#include "ns3/simulator.h"

// ./waf --run "scratch/scenario_tcp --numberOfUEs=10 --mss=200 --verbose=false --simulationTime=1000 --profile=EcRsa" >> scenario_tcp_10UE.log 2>&1 &

using namespace ns3;

uint32_t nClientApps = 0;
int numberOfUEs = 12;
ApplicationContainer clientApps;
ApplicationContainer serverApps;

NS_LOG_COMPONENT_DEFINE ("NbIoTExample");

void checkClients () {
    int numberOfCompleted = 0;

    for (int i=0; i<nClientApps; i++) {
        Ptr<MyTcpEchoClient> client1 = DynamicCast<MyTcpEchoClient>(clientApps.Get(i));
        if (client1->GetIsComplete()) {
            numberOfCompleted++;
        }
    }

    if (numberOfCompleted == numberOfUEs) {
        NS_LOG_INFO ("numberOfCompleted: " << numberOfCompleted << " ENDING SIMULATION" <<
            Simulator::Now().As(Time::S));
        Simulator::Stop();
        Simulator::Destroy();
        exit (0);
    }
}

int main (int argc, char *argv[]) {
    Time::SetResolution (Time::NS);
    std::string simName = "NbIoTExample";
    bool verbose = false;
    double cellsize = 1000; // in meters
    int numberOfRemoteHosts = 1;
```
int numberOfENBs = 1;//numberOfUEs/12;
int simulationTime = 100;
int mss = 600;
std::string profile = "EcRsa";

uint32_t packetSizesEcRsa[4] = {415, 2037, 80, 494};
uint32_t packetSizesEcEcdsa[4] = {415, 1059, 80, 494};
uint32_t packetSizesKyberRsa[4] = {1143, 2821, 80, 478};
uint32_t packetSizesKyberEcdsa[4] = {1143, 1843, 80, 494};
uint32_t packetSizesKyberFalcon512[4] = {1143, 5248, 80, 494};
uint32_t packetSizesKyberDilithium[4] = {1143, 15296, 80, 478};
uint32_t packetSizesKyberSphincs[4] = {1143, 25113, 80, 494};
uint32_t packetSizes[4];

bool ciot = false;
bool edt = false;

CommandLine cmd (__FILE__);

std::copy(std::begin(packetSizesEcRsa), std::end(packetSizesEcRsa),
std::begin(packetSizes));

if( profile == "EcRsa" || profile == "" ) {
}
else if (profile == "EcEc"){
}
else if (profile == "KyberRsa"){
}
else if (profile == "KyberEc"){
}
else if (profile == "Falcon"){
}
else if (profile == "Sphincs"){
}
else if (profile == "Dilithium"){
}
else {
    std::copy(std::begin(packetSizesEcRsa), std::end(packetSizesEcRsa),
std::begin(packetSizes));
}

uint32_t packetSize = 0;
uint32_t maxPacketCount = 1;
Time interPacketInterval = Seconds (1.);
Time simTime = Seconds(simulationTime);
LogComponentEnable ("NbIoTExample", LOG_LEVEL_INFO);
LogComponentEnable("MyTcpEchoClientApplication", LOG_LEVEL_INFO);
LogComponentEnable("MyTcpEchoServerApplication", LOG_LEVEL_INFO);
LogComponentEnable("MyTcpEchoClientApplication", LOG_LEVEL_FUNCTION);
LogComponentEnable("MyTcpEchoServerApplication", LOG_LEVEL_FUNCTION);

if (verbose) {
    LogComponentEnable("LteUeRrc", LOG_LEVEL_INFO);
    LogComponentEnable("LteEnbRrc", LOG_LEVEL_INFO);
    LogComponentEnable("LteEnbMac", LOG_LEVEL_INFO);
    LogComponentEnable("LteUeMac", LOG_LEVEL_INFO);
    LogComponentEnable("LteUePhy", LOG_LEVEL_INFO);
    LogComponentEnable("LteUeRrc", LOG_LEVEL_FUNCTION);
    LogComponentEnable("LteEnbRrc", LOG_LEVEL_FUNCTION);
    LogComponentEnable("LteEnbMac", LOG_LEVEL_FUNCTION);
    LogComponentEnable("LteUeMac", LOG_LEVEL_FUNCTION);
    LogComponentEnable("LteUePhy", LOG_LEVEL_FUNCTION);
}

NS_LOG_INFO("numberOfUEs " << numberOfUEs);
NS_LOG_INFO("simulationTime " << simulationTime);
NS_LOG_INFO("profile " << profile);
NS_LOG_INFO("mss " << mss);

NS_LOG_INFO("Create LTE.");
Ptr<LteHelper> lteHelper = CreateObject<LteHelper>();
Ptr<PointToPointEpcHelper> epcHelper = CreateObject<PointToPointEpcHelper>();
lteHelper->SetEpcHelper(epcHelper);
lteHelper->EnableRrcLogging();
lteHelper->SetEnbAntennaModelType("ns3::IsotropicAntennaModel");
lteHelper->SetUeAntennaModelType("ns3::IsotropicAntennaModel");
lteHelper->SetAttribute("PathlossModel", StringValue("ns3::WinnerPlusPropagationLossModel"));
lteHelper->SetPathlossModelAttribute("HeightBasestation", DoubleValue(50));
lteHelper->SetPathlossModelAttribute("Environment", EnumValue(UMaEnvironment));
lteHelper->SetPathlossModelAttribute("LineOfSight", BooleanValue(false));
Config::SetDefault("ns3::LteHelper::UseIdealRrc", BooleanValue(true));
Config::SetDefault("ns3::LteSpectrumPhy::CtrlErrorModelEnabled", BooleanValue(false));
Config::SetDefault("ns3::LteSpectrumPhy::DataErrorModelEnabled", BooleanValue(false));

Ptr<Node> pgw = epcHelper->GetPgwNode();
// Create a single RemoteHost
NodeContainer remoteHostContainer;
remoteHostContainer.Create(numberOfRemoteHosts);
Ptr<Node> remoteHost = remoteHostContainer.Get(0);
InternetStackHelper internet;
internet.Install(remoteHostContainer);

NS_LOG_INFO("Create Internet.");
PointToPointHelper p2ph;
p2ph.SetDeviceAttribute("DataRate", DataRateValue("100Gb/s"));
p2ph.SetDeviceAttribute("Mtu", UintegerValue(1500));
p2ph.SetChannelAttribute("Delay", TimeValue(MilliSeconds(10)));
p2ph.EnablePcapAll(profile +"-std::to_string(numberOfUEs) +"mss"+std::to_string(mss) +"_scenario", true);
NetDeviceContainer internetDevices = p2ph.Install(pgw, remoteHost);
Ipv4AddressHelper ipv4h;
ipv4h.SetBase("1.0.0.0", "255.0.0.0");
Ipv4InterfaceContainer internetIpIfaces = ipv4h.Assign(internetDevices);
Ipv4Address remoteHostAddr = internetIpIfaces.GetAddress(1);
Address serverAddress = Address(internetIpIfaces.GetAddress(1));
Ipv4StaticRoutingHelper ipv4RoutingHelper;
Ptr<Ipv4StaticRouting> remoteHostStaticRouting = ipv4RoutingHelper.GetStaticRouting(remoteHost->GetObject<Ipv4>());
remoteHostStaticRouting->AddNetworkRouteTo(Ipv4Address("7.0.0.0"), Ipv4Mask("255.0.0.0"), 1);
NS_LOG_INFO ("Set Position");
NodeContainer enbNodes;
enbNodes.Create (numberOfENBs);
Ptr<ListPositionAllocator> positionAlloc = CreateObject<ListPositionAllocator> ();
positionAlloc->Add (Vector (cellsize/2, cellsize/2, 25)); //last 25 parameter is probably height of tower?

MobilityHelper mobilityEnb;
mobilityEnb.SetMobilityModel("ns3::ConstantPositionMobilityModel");
mobilityEnb.SetPositionAllocator(positionAlloc);
mobilityEnb.Install(enbNodes);

NodeContainer ueNodes;
ueNodes.Create (numberOfUEs);
Ptr<ListPositionAllocator> positionAllocUe = CreateObject<ListPositionAllocator> ();

ObjectFactory pos;
//    pos.SetTypeId ("ns3::UniformDiscPositionAllocator");
pos.SetTypeId ("ns3::RandomDiscPositionAllocator");
pos.Set ("X", StringValue (std::to_string(cellsize/2)));
pos.Set ("Y", StringValue (std::to_string(cellsize/2)));
pos.Set ("Z", DoubleValue (5)); //UEs at 5 meters
pos.Set ("Rho", StringValue ("ns3::UniformRandomVariable[Min=0|Max=30]"));
Ptr<PositionAllocator> m_position = pos.Create ()
    ->GetObject<PositionAllocator> ();
for (uint32_t i = 0; i < numberOfUEs; ++i){
    Vector position = m_position->GetNext ();
    positionAllocUe->Add (position);
    std::cout << "position: " << position.x << "," << position.y << "," << position.z << std::endl;
}

NS_LOG_INFO ("Set Mobility.");
MobilityHelper mobilityUe;
 mobilityUe.SetMobilityModel ("ns3::ConstantPositionMobilityModel");
 mobilityUe.SetPositionAllocator (positionAllocUe);
 mobilityUe.Install (ueNodes);

// Install LTE Devices to the nodes
NetDeviceContainer enbLteDevs = lteHelper->InstallEnbDevice (enbNodes);
NetDeviceContainer ueLteDevs = lteHelper->InstallUeDevice (ueNodes);

// Install the IP stack on the UEs
internet.Install (ueNodes);
Ipv4InterfaceContainer ueIpIface;
ueIpIface = epcHelper->AssignUeIpv4Address (NetDeviceContainer (ueLteDevs));

// Assign IP address to UEs, and install applications
for (uint32_t u = 0; u < ueNodes.GetN (); ++u){
    Ptr<Node> ueNode = ueNodes.Get (u);
    // Set the default gateway for the UE
    Ptr<IPv4StaticRouting> ueStaticRouting = ipv4RoutingHelper.GetStaticRouting (ueNode->GetObject<IPv4> ());
    ueStaticRouting->SetDefaultRoute (epcHelper->GetUeDefaultGatewayAddress (), 1);
}

// Install and start applications on UEs and remote host
uint16_t uiPort = 2000;
for (uint16_t i = 0; i < numberOfUEs; i++){
    NS_LOG_INFO ("Creating Applications. " << i);
$
$lteHelper->AttachSuspendedNb(ueLteDevs.Get(i), enbLteDevs.Get(i % numberOfENBs));
//std::this_thread::sleep_for(std::chrono::milliseconds(100));
Ptr<LteUeNetDevice> ueLteDevice = ueLteDevs.Get(i)->GetObject<LteUeNetDevice> ();

Ptr<LteUeRrc> ueRrc = ueLteDevice->GetRrc();
++ulPort;
MyTcpEchoServerHelper server (serverAddress, ulPort, mss);
serverApps.Add(server.Install (remoteHost));
server.SetPacketSizes(serverApps.Get (i),packetSizes);
server.SetAttribute("ServerNo", UintegerValue(i));

MyTcpEchoClientHelper ulClient (remoteHostAddr, ulPort);
ulClient.SetAttribute ("Interval", TimeValue(interPacketInterval));
ulClient.SetAttribute ("MaxPackets", UintegerValue (maxPacketCount));
ulClient.SetAttribute ("PacketSize", UintegerValue(packetSize));
ulClient.SetAttribute ("ClientNo", UintegerValue(i));
ulClient.SetAttribute("MSS", UintegerValue(mss));
ulClient.SetAttribute ("TagCounter", UintegerValue (sizeof(packetSizes) / sizeof(int)));
clientApps.Add (ulClient.Install (ueNodes.Get(i)));
ulClient.SetPacketSizes(clientApps.Get (i),packetSizes);

} nClientApps = clientApps.GetN ();
Simulator::Schedule (Seconds (0), &checkClients);

Ptr<FlowMonitor> flowMonitor;
FlowMonitorHelper flowHelper;
flowMonitor = flowHelper.InstallAll();
NS_LOG_INFO ("Start sim with "<<nClientApps<< " client apps.");
serverApps.Start (Seconds (1.0));
serverApps.Stop (simTime);

clientApps.Start (Seconds (2.0));
clientApps.Stop (simTime);

NS_LOG_INFO ("Run Simulation.");
Simulator::Stop (simTime);
Simulator::Run ();
flowMonitor->SerializeToXmlFile(profile +"_" +std::to_string(numberOfUEs) +"_mss" +
std::to_string(mss) +"_flowMonitor.xml", true, true);

} 

B. INSTALLATION and DIGITAL SIGNATURE GENERATION SCRIPTS

a. liboqs and OQS-OpenSSL Setup on MACOS
Install build / compile / debug tools for C language, standard OpenSSL binary using MACOS’s HomeBrew package manager, and pytest library using Python3’s package manager pip3:

```bash
brew install cmake ninja openssl@1.1 wget doxygen graphviz astyle valgrind
pip3 install pytest pytest-xdist pyyaml
```

Download source codes for liboqs and OQS-OpenSSL:

```bash
git clone -b main https://github.com/open-quantum-safe/liboqs.git
git clone -b OQS-OpenSSL_1.1.1-stable https://github.com/open-quantum-safe/openssl.git
```

In this step we build liboqs. For this task we need standard OpenSSL binary to build liboqs, so we export its path as OPENSSL_ROOT_DIR environment variable. Another environment variable we need to set is DCMAKE_INSTALL_PREFIX which creates an install directory for liboqs header and library files inside the OQS-OpenSSL directory:

```bash
export OPENSSL_ROOT_DIR=/opt/homebrew/
cd liboqs
mkdir build && cd build
cmake -GNinja .. -DCMAKE_INSTALL_PREFIX=/Users/myuser/OQS-OpenSSL_1.1.1-stable/oqs ninja
```

Finally, configure and build OQS-OpenSSL with required parameters for ARM-64 instructions.

```bash
cd /Users/myuser/OQS-OpenSSL_1.1.1-stable/
export LD_LIBRARY_PATH=/opt/homebrew/Cellar/openssl@1.1/1.1.1m/lib/
./Configure --no-shared --darwin64-arm64-cc
make -j
```

b. Certificate Chain Creation for RSA-2048 on MACOS

Export OQS-OpenSSL program path, then create a root certificate and private key
cd /Users/myuser/OQS-OpenSSL_1_1_1-stable/

export DYLD_LIBRARY_PATH=/Users/myuser/OQS-OpenSSL_1_1_1-stable/oqs/lib/

apps/openssl req -x509 -new -newkey rsa:2048 -keyout rsa2048_root.key -out rsa2048_root.crt -nodes -subj "/CN=oqstest root" -days 365 -config apps/openssl.cnf

Create an Intermediate CA certificate signing request and private key

apps/openssl req -new -newkey rsa:2048 -keyout rsa2048_CA.key -out rsa2048_CA.csr -nodes -subj "/CN=oqstest CA" -days 365 -config apps/openssl.cnf

Sign Intermediate CA certificate signing request with the root certificate

apps/openssl x509 -req -in rsa2048_CA.csr -out rsa2048_CA.crt -CA rsa2048_root.crt -CAkey rsa2048_root.key -CAcreateserial -days 365

Create server certificate signing request and private key

apps/openssl req -new -newkey rsa:2048 -nodes -keyout rsa2048_srv.key -out rsa2048_srv.csr -nodes -subj "/CN=oqstest server" -config apps/openssl.cnf

Sign server certificate signing request with the Intermediate CA certificate

apps/openssl x509 -req -in rsa2048_srv.csr -out rsa2048_srv.crt -CA rsa2048_CA.crt -CAkey rsa2048_CA.key -CAcreateserial -days 365

c. TLS 1.3 Handshake Test Cases

Terminal window 1

apps/openssl s_server -key rsa2048_srv.key -cert rsa2048_srv.crt -cert_chain rsa2048_CA.crt -accept 44330 -www -tls1_3

Terminal window 2

apps/openssl s_client -groups kyber512 -CAfile rsa2048_root.crt -connect localhost:44330
With the first command, a TLS 1.3 server instance starts on TCP port 44330. `s_server` program requires some information such as RSA private key, RSA server certificate and the certificate chain which makes verification of the server certificate possible on the client side. When `s_client` asks for a new session, server certificate is sent to the client along with the certificate chain. `s_client` on the other hand, needs to know the root certificate to verify the certificate chain which is provided with `-CAfile` parameter. The client also identifies the key exchange protocol that it prefers with `-groups` parameter, which would otherwise be ECDHE as default.

d. ns-3 and NB-LENA Setup on Ubuntu

1. Install git, C language make / build / install /debug tools, and python3

   ```bash
   apt install g++ python3 cmake ninja-build git gdb valgrind
   ```

2. Download ns-3 3.32 and extract from zip

   ```bash
   git clone https://gitlab.com/nsnam/ns-3-allinone.git
   ./download.py -n ns-3.32
   tar xjf ns-allinone-3.30.tar.bz2
   ```

3. Configure and Install

   ```bash
   ./waf configure
   ./waf
   ```