Marquette University

[e-Publications@Marquette](https://epublications.marquette.edu/)

[Biological Sciences Faculty Research and](https://epublications.marquette.edu/bio_fac)

Biological Sciences, Department of

3-2012

Phylogeny and Cryptic Diversity in Geckos (Phyllopezus; Phyllodactylidae; Gekkota) from South America's Open Biomes

Tony Gamble Marquette University, anthony.gamble@marquette.edu

Guarino R. Colli Universidade de Brasília

Miguel T. Rodrigues Universidade de São Paulo

Fernanda P. Werneck Brigham Young University

Andrew M. Simons University of Minnesota

Follow this and additional works at: [https://epublications.marquette.edu/bio_fac](https://epublications.marquette.edu/bio_fac?utm_source=epublications.marquette.edu%2Fbio_fac%2F758&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Biology Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/41?utm_source=epublications.marquette.edu%2Fbio_fac%2F758&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Gamble, Tony; Colli, Guarino R.; Rodrigues, Miguel T.; Werneck, Fernanda P.; and Simons, Andrew M., "Phylogeny and Cryptic Diversity in Geckos (Phyllopezus; Phyllodactylidae; Gekkota) from South America's Open Biomes" (2012). Biological Sciences Faculty Research and Publications. 758. [https://epublications.marquette.edu/bio_fac/758](https://epublications.marquette.edu/bio_fac/758?utm_source=epublications.marquette.edu%2Fbio_fac%2F758&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Marquette University

e-Publications@Marquette

Biology Faculty Research and Publications/College of Arts and Sciences

This paper is NOT THE PUBLISHED VERSION; **but the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript.** The published version may be accessed by following the link in the citation below.

Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, Vol. 62, No. 3 (March 2012): 943-953. DOI. This article is © Elsevier and permission has been granted for this version to appear in [e-Publications@Marquette.](http://epublications.marquette.edu/) Elsevier does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from Elsevier.

Phylogeny and Cryptic Diversity in Geckos (*Phyllopezus*; Phyllodactylidae; Gekkota) from South America's Open Biomes

Tony Gamble

Department of Genetics, Cell Biology and Development, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA Bell Museum of Natural History, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, USA

Guarino R. Colli

Departamento de Zoologia, Universidade de Brasília, 70910-900 Brasília, DF, Brazil

Miguel T. Rodrigues

Departamento de Zoologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, Cidade Universitária, 05508-090 São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Fernanda P. Werneck

Department of Biology, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602, USA

Andrew M. Simons

Bell Museum of Natural History, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, USA Department of Fisheries, Wildlife and Conservation Biology, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, USA

Abstract

The gecko genus *Phyllopezus* occurs across South America's open biomes: Cerrado, Seasonally Dry Tropical Forests (SDTF, including Caatinga), and Chaco. We generated a multi-gene dataset and estimated phylogenetic relationships among described *Phyllopezus* taxa and related species. We included exemplars from both described *Phyllopezus pollicaris* subspecies, *P. p. pollicaris* and *P. p. przewalskii*. Phylogenies from the concatenated data as well as species trees constructed from individual gene trees were largely congruent. All phylogeny reconstruction methods showed *Bogertia lutzae* as the sister species of *Phyllopezus maranjonensis*, rendering *Phyllopezus* paraphyletic. We synonymized the monotypic genus *Bogertia* with *Phyllopezus* to maintain a taxonomy that is isomorphic with phylogenetic history. We recovered multiple, deeply divergent, cryptic lineages within *P. pollicaris*. These cryptic lineages possessed mtDNA distances equivalent to distances among other gekkotan sister taxa. Described *P. pollicaris* subspecies are not reciprocally monophyletic and current subspecific taxonomy does not accurately reflect evolutionary relationships among cryptic lineages. We highlight the conservation significance of these results in light of the ongoing habitat loss in South America's open biomes.

Highlights

► We construct a multi-locus [phylogeny](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/cladistics) for geckos in the genus *Phyllopezus*. *► Bogertia* is related to *Phyllopezus maranjonensis* making *Phyllopezus* paraphyletic. ► The monotypic gecko genus *Bogertia* is synonymized with *Phyllopezus*. ► The gecko *Phyllopezus pollicaris* is composed of multiple cryptic lineages. *► Phyllopezus pollicaris* subspecific taxonomy is not isomorphic with phylogeny.

1. Introduction

Molecular [phylogenies](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/cladistics) and [DNA sequence](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/dna-sequence) data are routinely used to identify species limits and describe biological diversity [\(Hebert et al., 2004a,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0205) [Knowles and Carstens, 2007,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0235) [Sites and Marshall, 2004,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0430) [Wiens and](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0515) [Penkrot, 2002\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0515). Previous research makes it increasingly apparent that large numbers of [vertebrate](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/vertebrates) species have yet to be described and molecular phylogenetic analyses that include multiple intraspecific samples often discover deeply divergent lineages in what was thought to be a single, widespread species (Fouquet et al., [2007,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0150) [Funk and Omland, 2003,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0155) [Geurgas and Rodrigues, 2010,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0180) [Hebert et al., 2004b,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0210) [Oliver et al., 2009,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0315) [Pfenninger](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0340) [and Schwenk, 2007\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0340). These lineages typically represent cryptic species and such studies highlight the inadequate taxonomy of many [taxa,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/taxon) including many well-known vertebrate [clades.](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/cladistics) The resulting species descriptions and taxonomic changes can have important conservation implications. Splitting a widespread species into multiple, cryptic species increases the perceived biological diversity of a region. In addition, the distributions of the newly

detected species are smaller than the former widespread "taxon", requiring a reevaluation of threats and species viability.

The discovery and description of new species takes on a certain urgency when viewed in light of the ongoing biodiversity crisis. A basic knowledge of the species that occur in an area is crucial to quantifying biological diversity and identifying conservation priorities [\(Bickford et al., 2007,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0050) [Bini et al., 2006,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0055) Bininda-Emonds et al., [2000,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0060) [Goldstein et al., 2005,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0185) [Mace, 2004\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0265). Nowhere is this more evident than South America's cis-Andean open biomes: [Cerrado,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/cerrado) Seasonally Dry Tropical Forests (SDTF, including Caatinga), and Chaco [\(Werneck, 2011\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0500). New vertebrate species continue to be described from these areas every year [\(Cacciali et al., 2007,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0085) [Cassimiro and](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0090) [Rodrigues, 2009,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0090) [Colli et al., 2009,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0105) [Diniz-Filho et al., 2005,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0125) [Patterson, 2000,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0320) [Rodrigues and Dos Santos, 2008\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0390). South America's open biomes are among the most critically endangered habitats in the world, having been largely transformed by industrial agriculture and cattle production [\(Altieri, 2009,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0010) [Klink and Machado, 2005,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0230) [Leal](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0255) [et al., 2005,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0255) [Zak et al., 2004\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0525). Indeed, Cerrado is considered a global biodiversity hotspot, an area with a remarkably high concentration of [endemic species,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/endemic-species) and experiencing increased habitat loss [\(Myers et al., 2000\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0290). Compounding these problems, very little of the land is formally protected by parks and reserves. For example, only 2.2% of Brazilian Cerrado and less than 1% of Caatinga is protected [\(Klink and Machado, 2005,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0230) [Leal et al.,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0255) [2005\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0255). Rapid habitat destruction makes the description and subsequent protection of biological diversity in the region a top priority [\(Bernard et al., 2011,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0045) [Cavalcanti and Joly, 2002,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0095) [Colli et al., 2002,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0100) [Pimm et al., 2010\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0345).

The gecko genus *Phyllopezus* occurs across South America's open biomes and is an ideal model to determine if widespread taxa in these threatened regions are composed of multiple cryptic lineages. *Phyllopezus* is a genus of large-bodied, saxicolous and arboreal geckos consisting of three species. *Phyllopezus periosus* [Rodrigues,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0375) [1986](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0375) occurs in Caatinga habitats in northeastern Brazil. *Phyllopezus maranjonensis* [Koch et al., 2006](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0240) occurs in SDTF of the Marañon Valley in northern Peru. *Phyllopezus pollicaris* [\(Spix, 1825\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0445) is composed of two [subspecies:](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/subspecies) *P. p. pollicaris* is widespread in Cerrado, Caatinga and other SDTFs habitats in central and eastern Brazil, while *P. p. przewalskii* occurs in Cerrado habitats in southwestern Brazil and the Chaco of Paraguay, southern Bolivia and northern Argentina. *Phyllopezus* has a long and confusing taxonomic history. *P. pollicaris* was originally assigned to the Neotropical gecko genus *Thecadactylus*. [Cuvier](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0110) [\(1829\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0110) synonymized *Thecadactylus pollicaris* with *[Hemidactylus](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/hemidactylus)* mabouia based on the description and Spix´s (1825) figure but without examining the type specimens. The genus *Phyllopezus* was established for the species *P. goyazensis*, which was later synonymized with *P. pollicaris* [\(Müller and Brongersma, 1933,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0285) [Peters,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0335) [1877\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0335). A second species, *Phyllopezus przewalskii*, was described from western Mato Grosso, Brazil [\(Koslowsky,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0245) [1895\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0245). *P. przewalskii* was quickly synonymized with *P. goyazensis* [\(Boulenger, 1897\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0075) until it was afforded subspecific status within *P. pollicaris* in the only [taxonomic revision](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/taxonomic-revisions) of the genus [\(Vanzolini,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0475) [1953\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0475). *Phyllopezus* taxonomy appeared to stabilize after [Vanzolini's \(1953\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0475) treatise and the genus remained monotypic with just two subspecies until the recent descriptions of *P. periosus* and *P. maranjonensis* [\(Koch et al.,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0240) [2006,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0240) [Peters et al., 1986,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0330) [Rodrigues, 1986\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0375).

A recent molecular phylogeny of New World geckos called into question current *Phyllopezus* taxonomy [\(Gamble](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0160) [et al., 2011a\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0160). That study found deep divergences among the three *Phyllopezus* specimens sampled. In addition, *Phyllopezus* was paraphyletic with regard to *Bogertia lutzae* [Loveridge, 1941,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0260) a small gecko from Restinga habitats on Brazil's Atlantic coast. *Bogertia* was nested within *Phyllopezus* and the sister taxon of *P. maranjonensis*. These findings challenge *Phyllopezus* [monophyly](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/monophyly) and prompted us to look more closely at the phylogenetic relationships among members of the genus. Here we use a multi-gene dataset to estimate phylogenetic relationships among all described *Phyllopezus* taxa to determine

if *Bogertia* and *Phyllopezus* represent reciprocally monophyletic lineages. We also use these data to determine if the widespread *P. pollicaris* is composed of multiple cryptic lineages and test whether current subspecific taxonomy adequately reflects phylogenetic diversity within the species.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Taxon sampling and molecular methods

We included samples from 40 *Phyllopezus* specimens, including exemplars from all described species*: P. periosus, P. pollicaris*, and *P. maranjonensis*. We included specimens of *P. pollicaris przewalskii* from Argentina, Paraguay and Bolivia, and *P. pollicaris pollicaris* from multiple sites in central and eastern Brazil [\(Fig. 1\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#f0005). Specimens of the closely related phyllodactylid [taxa](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/taxon) *B. lutzae, Gymnodactylus amarali* and *Phyllodactylus xanti* were included as outgroups. A complete list of sampled specimens and sampling localities can be found in [Table 1.](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#t0005)

Fig. 1. Map of South America showing major open biomes: Chaco, [Cerrado,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/cerrado) and Caatinga. Collecting localities for *Phyllopezus* and *Bogertia* specimens used in this study are shown. Letters refer to the localities of *P.* pollicaris [clades](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/cladistics) identified by the GMYC analysis.

Table 1. Details of material examined. [Haplotype](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/haplotype) number refers to duplicate haplotypes collapsed for the GMYC analysis. Brazilian states: TO = Tocantins, GO = Goiás, BA = Bahia, PB = Paraíba, AL = Alagoas, PI = Piauí.

[Genomic DNA](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/genomic-dna) was extracted from muscle, liver and tail clips using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). We used PCR to amplify fragments of the mitochondrial ribosomal gene *16S* and portions of 4 nuclear protein-coding genes: [recombination-activating gene](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/recombination-activating-gene) 1 (*[RAG1](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/rag1)*), recombination-activating gene 2 (*[RAG2](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/rag2)*); [oocyte maturation](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/oocyte-maturation) factor MOS (*C-MOS*), and acetylcholinergic receptor M4 (*ACM4* or *CHRM4*). Primers used for PCR and sequencing are listed in [Table 2.](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#t0010) We purified PCR products using [Exonuclease](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/exonuclease) I and Shrimp [Alkaline Phosphatase](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/alkaline-phosphatase) [\(Hanke and Wink, 1994\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0195). Sequencing was performed using Big Dye terminator cycle sequencing with an ABI 3730xl at the Advanced Genetic Analysis Center, University of Minnesota. Sequences were edited and assembled with Sequencher 4.8 (Gene Codes Corporation). Nuclear gene sequences were aligned using T-Coffee [\(Notredame et al., 2000\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0305) and translated to amino acids using MacClade 4.08 [\(Maddison and Maddison, 1992\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0275) to confirm alignment and gap placement. *16S* sequences were aligned using ClustalW [\(Thompson et al., 1994\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0470) using a gap open penalty of 10 and a gap extension penalty of 0.1 with subsequent minor adjustments by eye.

2.2. Phylogenetic analyses

We analyzed the concatenated dataset with partitioned Maximum Likelihood (ML) using RAxML 7.2.6 [\(Stamatakis, 2006\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0450). Nuclear gene data were partitioned by [codon](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/codon) with a separate partition for *16S* for a total of four partitions. Each partition utilized the GTR + Gamma model of sequence evolution, the model handled by RAxML. Nodal support was assessed using 1000 nonparametric bootstrap replicates [\(Felsenstein, 1985\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0140). We also analyzed the concatenated data using Bayesian analysis with MrBayes 3.1.2 [\(Huelsenbeck and Ronquist,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0225) [2001,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0225) [Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0395). The partitioning strategy was the same as the ML analysis using models of sequence evolution selected with the Akaike information criterion (AIC) as implemented in jModelTest [\(Posada, 2008\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0355) and model parameters estimated independently using the unlink option. We conducted two independent runs, each consisting of six parallel [Markov chain](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/markov-chain) Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains for 5 million generations and sampled every 1000 generations. Finally, we estimated [phylogenies](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/cladistics) for each locus independently in MrBayes as above. Heterozygous [genotypes](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/genotyping) in the nuclear gene data were resolved using PHASE 2.1.1 [\(Stephens and Donnelly, 2003\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0455), implementing the default options of 100 main iterations, 1 thinning interval, 100 burn-in iterations, and confidence probability thresholds of 0.90. We assessed [convergence](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/binocular-convergence) and stationarity in all Bayesian analyses by plotting likelihood values in Tracer 1.5 [\(Rambaut and Drummond, 2007\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0360) and plotting split frequencies between independent runs using AWTY [\(Nylander et al., 2008\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0310).

Inconsistencies between gene trees and species trees, especially those due to incomplete lineage sorting, can cause errors in phylogenetic reconstruction [\(Degnan and Rosenberg, 2009,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0120) [Maddison, 1997,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0270) [Slowinski et al.,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0435) [1997\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0435). This prompted us to estimate species trees in a coalescent framework incorporating individual gene [genealogies.](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/genealogy) Most species tree estimation methods require *a priori* assignment of individuals to a species before estimating the phylogenetic relationships among those species. Large uncorrected *16S* distances among [clades](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/cladistics) (see Section 3) suggested the presence of multiple cryptic species in *P. pollicaris* and this required that we delimit presumptive taxa within *P. pollicaris* if we were to incorporate this newly uncovered diversity in our phylogenetic analyses. The lack of an independent hypothesis to partition taxa within *P. pollicaris*, such as morphology, prompted us to use the mtDNA data to provisionally assign individuals to "species". Simply picking mtDNA haploclades to represent species was considered an arbitrary exercise given the hierarchical nature of a phylogenetic tree. We therefore implemented an objective means of species delimitation using the general mixed Yule coalescent (GMYC) model implemented in the software SPLITS [\(Ezard et al., 2009,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0135) [Pons et al., 2006\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0350). The GMYC model estimated the number of phylogenetic clusters or "species" by identifying the transition between intra- and interspecific branching patterns on an ultrametric phylogeny [\(Pons et al., 2006\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0350). A likelihoodratio test was used to determine if the model with a shift in the branching processes provided a better fit to the data than the null model lacking a shift in branching processes. The ultrametric phylogeny of unique *16S* [haplotypes](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/haplotype) was constructed with BEAST 1.6.1 [\(Drummond and Rambaut, 2007\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0130) under a strict [molecular clock](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/molecular-clock) with the substitution rate set to 1.0 and a substitution model determined using the AIC in jModeltest [\(Posada, 2008\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0355). We used a coalescent tree prior with a constant population size. The analyses were run for 10,000,000 generations sampling every 1000th generation and used a [UPGMA](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/upgma) starting tree. We conducted 3 replicate runs and pooled samples using LogCombiner 1.6.1 [\(Drummond and Rambaut, 2007\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0130) excluding the first 1,000,000 generations from each run.

We used [∗]BEAST [\(Heled and Drummond, 2010\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0215), as implemented in BEAST 1.6.1, to estimate a species tree from the multilocus data. We resolved heterozygous genotypes in the nuclear gene data using PHASE 2.1 as described above. We assigned individuals to "species" based on clusters identified by the GMYC analysis. The mtDNA substitution rate was set to 1.0 and [mutation rates](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/mutation-rate) for other loci were co-estimated relative to the mtDNA under a strict molecular clock with substitution models as determined using the AIC in jModeltest [\(Posada, 2008\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0355). We ran the analyses for 50,000,000 generations sampling every 1,000th generation. A UPGMA starting tree was used for each locus. We conducted 3 replicate runs and pooled samples using LogCombiner 1.6.1 (Drummond [and Rambaut, 2007\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0130) excluding the first 5000,000 generations from each run.

We estimated the Bayesian concordance analysis (BCA) tree using BUCKy 1.4.0 [\(Ané et al., 2007\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0015) using the posterior distributions of trees from the analyses of individual loci performed in MrBayes. Nodal support, the concordance factor, was measured as the proportion of loci that shared a specific clade across the majority of sampled loci. We conducted three separate analyses, each with a different *a priori* level of discordance among loci, which was controlled by the Dirichlet process prior *α* [\(Ané et al., 2007\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0015). An interactive web tool ([<http://bigfork.botany.wisc.edu/concordance/>](http://bigfork.botany.wisc.edu/concordance/)) was used to calculate *α* values for our data: *α* = 0.01 placed a high prior on 1 tree; *α* = 1 placed a high prior on 1–3 trees; and *α* = 10 placed a high prior on 4–5 trees. Analyses were run for 1100,000 generations with the first 100,000 generations discarded as burn-in.

2.3. Genetic distances

Net among-group distances [\(Nei and Li, 1979\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0295) between *Phyllopezus* lineages for the *16S* data were calculated using MEGA 4 [\(Kumar et al., 2008\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0250). We calculated both uncorrected *p*-distances and ML corrected distances using the GTR + I + G model. Standard error estimates were calculated using 500 bootstrap replicates.

2.4. Testing phylogenetic hypotheses

Two taxonomic hypotheses were tested with our data: (1) [monophyly](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/monophyly) of *Phyllopezus*, exclusive of *Bogertia*; and (2) reciprocal monophyly of *P. p. pollicaris* and *P. p. przewalskii* to determine if current subspecific nomenclature adequately reflects evolutionary history and phylogenetic diversity. We tested both hypotheses in a ML framework using the Shimodaira–Hasegawa (SH) test [\(Shimodaira and Hasegawa, 1999\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0420) and the approximately unbiased (AU) test [\(Shimodaira, 2002\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0415). We estimated constrained phylogenies using the concatenated data in RAxML as above. Per-site likelihood values were calculated in RAxML and *p*-values estimated using the software CONSEL [\(Shimodaira and Hasegawa, 2001\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0425). We also tested each hypothesis in a Bayesian framework. Bayesian posterior probabilities of the alternative hypotheses were calculated using the filter option in PAUP[∗] [\(Swofford,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0465) [2002\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0465). Briefly, we filtered the post burn-in posterior distribution of trees from the Bayesian analyses with a constrained tree representing the alternative hypothesis to be tested. The proportion of trees consistent with the constrained tree approximates the posterior probability of the alternative hypothesis. We tested alternative hypotheses using results from the concatenated Bayesian analysis, the Bayesian analyses of each individual locus, and the Bayesian species trees from the [∗]BEAST analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Molecular data

We sequenced fragments from one [mitochondrial gene](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/mitochondrial-gene) and four nuclear protein-coding loci for a total of 2758 aligned base pairs of sequence data. A deletion of six continuous base pairs, or two [codons,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/codon) was found in the coding region of *C-MOS* in the three *P. pollicaris* specimens in [Clade](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/cladistics) E, comprising individuals from the [sand](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/dune-sand) [dunes](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/dune-sand) of the middle Rio São Francisco region (Gentio do Ouro and Santo Inácio, Bahia). No other insertions or deletions were observed among protein-coding loci.

3.2. Phylogenetic relationships within Phyllopezus

Maximum likelihood and Bayesian [phylogenies](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/cladistics) of the concatenated data were largely congruent and most nodes well supported [\(Fig. 2\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#f0010). Both methods recovered *P. periosus* as the sister taxon of the remaining *Phyllopezus* and *Bogertia* samples; *P. maranjonensis* and *B. lutzae* were strongly supported sister taxa; and we recovered multiple, well supported, deeply divergent clades within *P. pollicaris*. Bayesian consensus trees of each of the individual loci were generally poorly resolved and had posterior probabilities <0.95 at most nodes [\(Fig. 3\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#f0015).

Fig. 2. Maximum likelihood [phylogeny](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/cladistics) of the concatenated dataset. [Taxa](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/taxon) enclosed by lettered boxes indicate [clades](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/cladistics) identified by the GMYC analysis. Circles indicate levels of clade support from the maximum likelihood bootstrap analysis and Bayesian posterior probabilities from the Bayesian analyses. Photos by

Fig. 3. Bayesian [phylogenies](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/cladistics) for each locus. Circles at nodes indicate [clades](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/cladistics) with posterior probabilities >0.95. [Taxon](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/taxon) names appended with a "b" represent the alternative phased allele for heterozygous individuals.

The GMYC analysis recovered 15 maximum likelihood entities with a confidence interval of six to 25 [\(Fig. 4\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#f0020). The likelihood-ratio test to determine if there was a shift from interspecific to intraspecific processes was not significant (*P* = 0.116). Specimens of *P. pollicaris* were separated into 10 clusters, which, for convenience, were labeled A though J [\(Table 1,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#t0005) [Fig. 4\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#f0020).

Fig. 4. Ultrametric [phylogeny](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/cladistics) of unique *16S* [haplotypes.](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/haplotype) The vertical line represents the cutoff for species-level clusters identified by the GMYC analysis. [Taxon](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/taxon) names/IDs of species-level clusters are enclosed by boxes. The large gray box shows the confidence interval of species-level clusters (6–25 clades). Circles indicate nodes with posterior probabilities >0.95. Photo of *Phyllopezus periosus* by MTR.

Relationships among the major clades identified using the GMYC analysis using both species tree methods, *BEAST analyses and BCA [\(Fig. 5\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#f0025), were identical to each other and to the concatenated phylogenies. Nodal support was high (>0.95) for most nodes of the *BEAST consensus tree [\(Fig. 5\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#f0025). BCA concordance trees with varying α levels were identical to each other and had identical concordance factors. Several clades had concordance factors >0.50 although it can be difficult to determine what represents a significant concordance factor [\(Baum, 2007\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0040).

Fig. 5. [Phylogenetic relationships](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/cladistics) among *Phyllopezus* species. Topology estimated using Bayesian coalescent analyses with [∗]BEAST, which is identical to the topology from the Bayesian concordance analysis (BCA) using BUCKy. Node values indicate Bayesian posterior probabilities (top) and posterior mean concordance factors from the BCA analysis (bottom). Lettered *P. pollicaris* [clades](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/cladistics) refer to clusters identified by the GMYC analysis.

3.3. Genetic distances

Uncorrected net among-group distances for *16S* between *Phyllopezus* clades ranged from 4.7% to 18.0% [\(Table](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#t0015) [3\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#t0015). Maximum likelihood corrected distances ranged from 5.7% to 36.8%. Uncorrected net among-group distances among *P. pollicaris* clades A–J ranged from 4.7% to 15.6%, while ML corrected distances ranged from 5.7% to 28.8% [\(Table 3\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#t0015).

Table 3. Net among group distances between sampled [clades](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/cladistics) for 16S data. Values below the diagonal are uncorrected p-distances. Values above the diagonal are ML corrected distances using the GTR + I + G model. Standard error values, calculated using 500 bootstrap replicates, are in parentheses.

3.4. Phylogenetic hypothesis testing

We tested the [monophyly](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/monophyly) of *Phyllopezus* and reciprocal monophyly of *P. p. przewalskii* (Clade D) and *P. p. pollicaris* [subspecies](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/subspecies) in a likelihood framework by comparing the concatenated ML tree [\(Fig. 2\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#f0010) to trees constrained to reflect the alternative hypotheses [\(Table 4\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#t0020). Both constrained trees were significantly different from the ML tree using the AU test, but only the tree that constrained reciprocal monophyly of the two *P. pollicaris* subspecies was significantly different from the ML tree using the SH test. Bayesian posterior probabilities of the alternative hypotheses were either zero or very low for all analyses of individual loci and the concatenated data as well as the species trees from the [∗]BEAST analyses [\(Table 5\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#t0025).

Table 4. Results of topology tests for the concatenated data using the likelihood-based Shimodaira–

Hasegawa (SH) test and the approximately unbiased (AU) test.

individual locus, the concatenated data and the species tree analyses using ∗BEAST. **Monophyly of** *Phyllopezus* **exclusive of** *Bogertia* **Reciprocal monophyly of** *P. p. pollicaris* **and** *P. p. przewalskii* RAG1 0.0005 0.0000 RAG2 0.0018 0.0003 C-MOS 0.0028 0.0040 ACM4 0.0000 0.0000 0.00088 16S 0.0253 0.0000 Concatenated data 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000 Species tree $\vert 0.0000$ 0.0000

Table 5. Posterior probabilities of alternative [phylogenetic](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/cladistics) hypotheses from the Bayesian analyses of each

4. Discussion

4.1. Phylogenetic relationships

We inferred [phylogenetic relationships](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/cladistics) among *Phyllopezus* species from a multi-gene dataset using several methods including Maximum Likelihood and Bayesian analyses of the concatenated data, and species trees constructed from individual gene trees using both BCA and the coalescent-based [∗]BEAST. The same topology was recovered, with varying levels of nodal support, from all of these techniques. All multi-gene analyses recovered *P. periosus* as the sister species to the remaining *Phyllopezus* species plus *Bogertia*. We also recovered a *Bogertia* + *P. maranjonensis* [clade](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/cladistics) that rendered *Phyllopezus* paraphyletic, consistent with a previous phylogenetic analysis [\(Gamble et al., 2011a\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0160). Phylogenetic relationships estimated from mtDNA were largely congruent with the multi-locus results, with the exception of the placement of *P. periosus*. The Bayesian analyses using MrBayes recovered *P. periosus* as the sister taxon to *P. pollicaris* samples, while the BEAST analyses found that *P. periosus* formed a clade with *P. maranjonensis* + *B. lutzae*. These alternative positions of *P. periosus* were not well supported in either analysis. Individual nDNA loci showed conflicting patterns of relationships, both among loci and with the multi-locus analyses, and nodal support was generally low for most nodes.

4.2. Taxonomic status of Bogertia

All of the multi-gene phylogenetic analyses recovered *B. lutzae* samples nested within *Phyllopezus* as the sister species of *P. maranjonensis*. Phylogenetic hypothesis testing, except for the SH test of the concatenated data, also rejected a monophyletic *Phyllopezus* exclusive of *Bogertia*. The SH test is known to be conservative, particularly when multiple trees are examined, as was the case here [\(Shimodaira, 2002,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0415) [Strimmer and Rambaut,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0460) [2002\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0460). Implementing a correction for multiple trees, the weighted SH test [\(Buckley et al., 2001\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0080), resulted in a marginally significant difference between the ML tree and the constraint tree ($P = 0.049$).

Phyllopezus and *Bogertia* share many characteristics. Digits of both genera possess undivided scansors with a prominent claw that extends beyond the toepads. The first digit on both manus and pes is either reduced, e.g. *Phyllopezus*, or rudimentary, e.g. *Bogertia* [\(Loveridge, 1941,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0260) [Vanzolini, 1953\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0475). Both genera have large paraphalanges, cartilaginous structures associated with the adhesive pads, that take up most of the internal area of the toepads [\(Russell and Bauer, 1988\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0400). Other internal digital characteristics related to [tendons,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/tendons) muscles and osteology are also very similar [\(Russell and Bauer, 1988\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0400). *Phyllopezus* and *Bogertia* share similarities in cranial osteology, including the absence of a stapedial foramen and a short parietal [\(Abdala, 1996\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0005). *B. lutzae* also has a 2*n* = 40 [karyotype,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/karyotype) like specimens of *P. periosus* and *P. pollicaris* from clades E and J [\(Pellegrino et al.,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0325) [1997\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0325).

The molecular phylogenies presented here, coupled with morphological and karyotypic similarities, indicate a close relationship between *Phyllopezus* and *Bogertia*. These results also have taxonomic implications. To prevent a paraphyletic *Phyllopezus* and maintain a classification that is isomorphic with respect to phylogenetic history, we synonymize *Bogertia* with *Phyllopezus*. *B. lutzae* becomes *Phyllopezus lutzae* comb. nov.

The large distributional gap between *P. lutzae*, which is restricted to Restinga habitat on Brazil's Atlantic coast [\(Vrcibradic et al., 2000\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0495), and *P. maranjonensis* in the Peruvian Andes [\(Koch et al., 2006\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0240) is remarkable and not a commonly encountered pattern. Most Restinga endemics have sister [taxa](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/taxon) in nearby areas, e.g. *Liolaemus lutzae* and *L. occipitalis* [\(Schulte et al., 2000\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0410), or have close relatives with large distributions, e.g. *[Bothrops](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/bothrops)* leucurus and *B. atrox* [\(Fenwick et al., 2009\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0145). The disjunct distributions of *P. lutzae* and *P. maranjonensis* suggest their ancestor had a widespread distribution across the continent followed by extinction across the intervening areas. Although the original factors responsible for this enormous ancestral distribution and subsequent extinction are presently obscure, extinction likely played an important part in *Phyllopezus'* history.

4.3. *P. pollicaris subspecies*

Phyllopezus p. przewalskii (Clade D), the [subspecies](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/subspecies) from southwestern Brazil and the Chaco, was monophyletic with both mtDNA and multi-gene analyses. *Phyllopezus p. przewalskii* are also morphologically diagnosable and differ from *P. p. pollicaris s.l.* by [ventral scale](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/ventral-scales) number (26–29 in *P. p. przewalskii* vs. 28–32 in *P. p. pollicaris*) and the absence of postcloacal [tubercles](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/tubercle) [\(Peters et al., 1986,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0330) [Vanzolini, 1953,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0475) [Vanzolini, 1968\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0480). The karyotype of *P. p. przewalskii* (2*n* = 38) was also distinct from both *P. periosus* (2*n* = 40) and *P. p. pollicaris* (2*n* = 40) [\(Pellegrino](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0325) [et al., 1997\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0325). The *P. p. pollicaris* specimens examined by [Pellegrino et al. \(1997\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0325) were from Alagoado, Manga and Santo Inácio, BA; Cabaceiras, PB; and Xingó, AL. Specimens from these Caatinga localities, with the exception of Manga, which we did not sample here, correspond to clades E and J in our analyses.

The molecular and morphological evidence demonstrates that *P. p. przewalskii* is a distinct species under a lineage-based species concept [\(de Queiroz, 2007\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0115); raising it to species status would render *P. pollicaris* paraphyletic. This is because, under the current nomenclature, all *P. pollicaris* that are not *P. p. przewalskii* are *P. p. pollicaris*. Phylogenetic topology tests rejected the reciprocal [monophyly](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/monophyly) of the *P. pollicaris* subspecies indicating that current subspecific names do not accurately reflect evolutionary history within the species. Addressing this problem requires the erection of new names for the other *P. pollicaris* clades to ensure that taxonomy is isomorphic with phylogeny. The erection of new names to represent clades within *P. pollicaris*, as mentioned below, is premature based on our data. An accurate assessment of *Phyllopezus* species diversity will require more thorough taxonomic and geographic sampling and an examination of additional characters, such as morphological, karyological, and ecological data.

4.4. Cryptic genetic diversity

We recovered substantial phylogenetic diversity among *P. pollicaris* samples indicating the presence of multiple cryptic species. The GMYC analysis identified 10 species-level clusters within *P. pollicaris*. These clusters were strongly supported by both mtDNA alone and the combined mtDNA and nDNA data and had uncorrected *16S* distances (4.7–15.6%) equivalent to distances among other gekkotan sister species, which typically range from 4% to 10% [\(Bauer and Lamb, 2002,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0030) [Hass, 1996,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0200) [Rocha et al., 2009,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0370) [Ziegler et al., 2008\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0530). This is similar to the 5% uncorrected sequence divergence in *16S* that has been used as a tentative cutoff for identifying cryptic [amphibian](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/amphibia) species [\(Vences et al., 2005\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0490). Although these distances are comparable to genetic distances in other amphibian and reptile species, we are not advocating species delimitation based on some predetermined amount of sequence divergence. Variation in lineage-specific substitution rates and alterations in coalescent times due to differences in [effective population sizes](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/effective-population-size) makes the application of a universal threshold to delimit species difficult to implement [\(Barraclough et al., 2009,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0020) [Hickerson et al., 2006,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0220) [Moritz and Cicero,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0280) [2004,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0280) [Pons et al., 2006\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0350). That said, examining the amount of sequence divergence among closely related taxa can serve as a [heuristic](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/heuristics) to identify taxa that warrant a closer examination using other taxonomic methods.

The large [mitochondrial DNA](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/mitochondrial-dna) distances among *P. pollicaris* clades suggest that many may be valid species. Even so, we are reluctant to identify these clades as species in a taxonomic sense at this time. The likelihood-ratio test from the GMYC analysis was not significant calling into question the robustness of the results. There are several explanations for a non-significant outcome; the most likely reason in this case was our sparse sampling within several of the clades. Incomplete taxon sampling can weaken the ability to detect the transition between interand intraspecific branching patterns [\(Pons et al., 2006\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0350). Indeed, some of the *P. pollicaris* clades were represented by just single individuals, e.g. clades A, B, F and I. Sparse taxon sampling is also very likely the cause for the wide confidence interval for the GMYC results (six to 25 species). Additionally, a thorough analysis of morphological and ecological data (e.g. niche modeling) should be conducted to confirm our preliminary results and provide diagnostic characters for putative species [\(Bauer et al., 2011\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0035). Morphological data in particular will likely prove informative for this purpose as morphological variation among *P. p. pollicaris* populations has been commented on previously [\(Borges-Nojosa and Caramaschi, 2005,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0070) [Rodrigues, 2005b\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0385).

The presence of multiple cryptic lineages in *P. pollicaris* provides evidence that current estimates of herpetofaunal diversity in South America's open biomes are underestimated, perhaps substantially so. This stems primarily from the existence of large unsampled expanses [\(Colli et al., 2002,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0100) [Rodrigues, 2005b,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0385) [Souza et](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0440) [al., 2010\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0440), the paucity of systematists [\(Rodrigues, 2005a,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0380) [Yahner, 2004\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0520), the meager financial support for biological collections [\(Bockmann, 2011\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0065), and the still incipient utilization of molecular data for species delimitation and taxonomic studies [\(Werneck, 2011\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0500). For instance, from 2000 to 2009, 32 new species of [Cerrado](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/cerrado) [squamates](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/squamate) were described, at a rate of 3.56 new species per year, representing approximately 36% of all Cerrado squamate species (92) described during the 20th century [\(Nogueira et al., 2010\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0300)! Further, 29 (92%) of these species are endemic and have small ranges (see also [Diniz-Filho et al., 2005\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0125).

The deeply divergent and geographically structured *Phyllopezus* haploclades recovered here emphasize the region's complex biogeographical history and challenges initial views that South America's open biomes possess a homogenous [herpetofauna](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/herpetofauna) and shared diversification history [\(Vanzolini, 1976\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0485). On the contrary, we found each of the open biomes possessed one or more unique lineages not shared by the other biomes. *P. pollicaris*, because of its complex phylogenetic history and its occurrence across heterogeneous habitats in the open biomes, represents an ideal group to test several of the recently proposed biogeographic hypotheses to explain species diversification in South American open biomes [\(Werneck, 2011,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0500) [Werneck and Colli, 2006,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0505) [Werneck et al.,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0510) [2011\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790311005057#b0510). Further [molecular genetic](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/agricultural-and-biological-sciences/molecular-genetics) work with *Phyllopezus*, that attempts to broaden the geographic scope and the number of individuals sampled should be a priority.

Acknowledgements

Many thanks to A. Bauer for helpful comments on taxonomy. W. Böhme (ZFMK), U. Fritz and A. Hundsdörfer (MTD), R. Murphy (ROM) , I. de la Riva (MNCN) and H. Zaher (MZUSP) kindly provided tissues from material in their care. Support for this research was provided by NSF (DEB 0515909 to A. Bauer and T. Jackman); Department of Fisheries, Wildlife & Conservation Biology to AMS; FAPESP and CNPq to MTR; CAPES, CNPq and FAPDF to GRC; and Dayton/Wilkie Fund, Bell Museum of Natural History to TG. FPW is supported by grants from CAPES/Fulbright and Department of Biology, BYU. MTR thanks his students D. Pavan, F.F. Curcio, G. Skuk, H. Rodrigues, H. Zaher, J. Cassimiro, J.M. Martins, and R. Moraes for help in field.

References

- Abdala, V., 1996. Osteología craneal y relaciones de los geconinos sudamericanos (Reptilia: Gekkonidae). Rev. Esp. Herpetol. 10, 41–54.
- Altieri, M.A., 2009. The ecological impacts of large-scale agrofuel monoculture production systems in the Americas. B Sci. Technol. Soc. 29, 236–244.
- Ané, C., Larget, B., Baum, D.A., Smith, S.D., Rokas, A., 2007. Bayesian estimation of concordance among gene trees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 24, 412–426.
- Barraclough, T.G., Hughes, M., Ashford-Hodges, N., Fujisawa, T., 2009. Inferring evolutionarily significant units of bacterial diversity from broad environmental surveys of single-locus data. Biol. Lett. 5, 425–428.
- Bauer, A.M., De Silva, A., Greenbaum, E., Jackman, T.R., 2007. A new species of day gecko from high elevation in Sri Lanka, with a preliminary phylogeny of Sri Lankan Cnemaspis (Reptilia: Squamata: Gekkonidae). Mitt. Mus. Natkd. Berl. Zool. Reihe Suppl. 83, 22–32.
- Bauer, A.M., Lamb, T., 2002. Phylogenetic relationships among members of the Pachydactylus capensis group of southern African geckos. Afr. Zool. 37, 209–220.
- Bauer, A.M., Parham, J.F., Brown, R.M., Stuart, B.L., Grismer, L., Papenfuss, T.J., Bohme, W., Savage, J.M., Carranza, S., Grismer, J.L., 2011. Availability of new Bayesian-delimited gecko names and the importance of character-based species descriptions. Proc. Roy. Soc. Biol. Sci. Ser. B 278, 490–492.
- Baum, D.A., 2007. Concordance trees, concordance factors, and the exploration of reticulate genealogy. Taxon 56, 417–426.
- Bernard, E., Aguiar, L.M.S., Machado, R.B., 2011. Discovering the Brazilian bat fauna: a task for two centuries? Mammal Rev. 41, 1365–2907.
- Bickford, D., Lohman, D.J., Sodhi, N.S., Ng, P.K.L., Meier, R., Winker, K., Ingram, K.K., Das, I., 2007. Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. Trends Ecol. Evol. 22, 148–155.
- Bini, L.M., Diniz Filho, J.A.F., Rangel, T.F., Bastos, R.P., Pinto, M.P., 2006. Challenging Wallacean and Linnean shortfalls: knowledge gradients and conservation planning in a biodiversity hotspot. Divers. Distrib. 12, 475–482.
- Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P., Vazquez, D.P., Manne, L.L., 2000. The calculus of biodiversity: integrating phylogeny and conservation. Trends Ecol. Evol. 15, 92–94.
- Bockmann, F.A., 2011. Fund biodiversity collections. Nature 472, 295.
- Borges-Nojosa, D.M., Caramaschi, U., 2005. Composição e ana´lise comparativa da diversidade e das afinidades biogeogra´ficas dos lagartos e anfisbeni´deos (Squamata) dos brejos nordestinos. In: Leal, I.R., Tabarelli, M., Silva, J.M.C. (Eds.), Ecologia e Conservaça~o da Caatinga. Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Recife, PE, pp. 463–512.
- Boulenger, G.A., 1897. Reptilia and Batrachia (1896). Zool. Record 33, 1–38.
- Buckley, T.R., Simon, C., Shimodaira, H., Chambers, G.K., 2001. Evaluating hypotheses on the origin and evolution of the New Zealand alpine cicadas (Maoricicada) using multiple-comparison tests of tree topology. Mol. Biol. Evol. 18, 223–234.
- Cacciali, P., Avila, I., Bauer, F., 2007. A new species of Homonota (Squamata, Gekkonidae) from Paraguay, with a key to the genus. Phyllomedusa 6, 137–146.
- Cassimiro, J., Rodrigues, M.T., 2009. A new species of lizard genus Gymnodactylus Spix, 1825 (Squamata: Gekkota: Phyllodactylidae) from Serra do Sincora, northeastern Brazil, and the status of G. carvalhoi Vanzolini, 2005. Zootaxa, pp. 38–52.
- Cavalcanti, R.B., Joly, C.A., 2002. Biodiversity and conservation priorities in the Cerrado region. In: Oliveira, P.S., Marquis, R.J. (Eds.), The Cerrados of Brazil: Ecology and Natural History of a Neotropical Savanna. Columbia University Press, New York, NY, pp. 351–368.
- Colli, G.R., Bastos, R.P., Araujo, A.F., 2002. The character and dynamics of the Cerrado herpetofauna. In: Oliveira, P.S., Marquis, R.J. (Eds.), The Cerrados of Brazil: Ecology and Natural History of a Neotropical Savanna. Columbia University Press, New York, NY, pp. 223–241.
- Colli, G.R., Giugliano, L.G., Mesquita, D.O., França, F.G.R., 2009. A new species of Cnemidophorus from the Jalapão regio, in the central Brazilian cerrado. Herpetologica 65, 311–327.
- Cuvier, G., 1829. Le Re`gne Animal Distribue´ d'Apre` s son Organisation, pour Servir de Base a` l'Histoire Naturelle des Animaux et d'Introduction a` l'Anatomie Compare´e. Tome 2. De´terville et Crochard, Paris.
- de Queiroz, K., 2007. Species concepts and species delimitation. Syst. Biol. 56, 879– 886.
- Degnan, J.H., Rosenberg, N.A., 2009. Gene tree discordance, phylogenetic inference and the multispecies coalescent. Trends Ecol. Evol. 24, 332–340.
- Diniz-Filho, J.A.F., Bastos, R.P., Rangel, T.F.L.V.B., Bini, L.M., Carvalho, P., Silva, R.J., 2005. Macroecological correlates and spatial patterns of anuran description dates in the Brazilian Cerrado. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 14, 469–477.
- Drummond, A.J., Rambaut, A., 2007. BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. BMC Evol. Biol. 7, 214.
- Ezard, T., Fujisawa, T., Barraclough, T.G., 2009. SPLITS: SPecies' LImits by Threshold Statistics. R package version 1.0-11.
- Felsenstein, J., 1985. Confidence-limits on phylogenies an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39, 783– 791.
- Fenwick, A.M., Gutberlet Jr., R.L., Evans, J.A., Parkinson, C.L., 2009. Morphological and molecular evidence for phylogeny and classification of South American pitvipers, genera Bothrops, Bothriopsis, and Bothrocophias (Serpentes: Viperidae). Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 156, 617–640.
- Fouquet, A., Gilles, A., Vences, M., Marty, C., Blanc, M., Gemmell, N.J., 2007. Underestimation of species richness in Neotropical frogs revealed by mtDNA analyses. PLoS ONE 2, e1109.
- Funk, D.J., Omland, K.E., 2003. Species–level paraphyly and polyphyly: Frequency, causes, and consequences, with insights from animal mitochondrial DNA. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 34, 397–423.
- Gamble, T., Bauer, A.M., Greenbaum, E., Jackman, T.R., 2008a. Evidence for Gondwanan vicariance in an ancient clade of gecko lizards. J. Biogeogr. 35, 88–104.
- Gamble, T., Simons, A.M., Colli, G.R., Vitt, L.J., 2008b. Tertiary climate change and the diversification of the Amazonian gecko genus Gonatodes (Sphaerodactylidae, Squamata). Mol. Phylogen. Evol. 46, 269–277.
- Gamble, T., Bauer, A.M., Colli, G.R., Greenbaum, E., Jackman, T.R., Vitt, L.J., Simons, A.M., 2011a. Coming to America: multiple origins of New World geckos. J. Evol. Biol. 24, 231–244.
- Gamble, T., Daza, J.D., Colli, G.R., Vitt, L.J., Bauer, A.M., 2011b. A new genus of miniaturized and pug-nosed gecko from South America (Sphaerodactylidae: Gekkota). Zool. J. Linn. Soc 163, 1244–1266.
- Geurgas, S.R., Rodrigues, M.T., 2010. The hidden diversity of Coleodactylus amazonicus (Sphaerodactylinae, Gekkota) revealed by molecular data. Mol. Phylogen. Evol. 54, 583–593.
- Goldstein, P., Wyner, Y., Doukakis, P., Egan, M.G., Amato, G., Rosenbaum, H., DeSalle, R., 2005. Theory and methods for diagnosing species and populations in conservation. Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. 92, 12–27.
- Groth, J.G., Barrowclough, G.F., 1999. Basal divergences in birds and the phylogenetic utility of the nuclear RAG-1 gene. Mol. Phylogen. Evol. 12, 115– 123.
- Hanke, M., Wink, M., 1994. Direct DNA-sequencing of PCR-amplified vector inserts following enzymatic degradation of primer and dNTPs. BioTechniques 17, 858– 860.
- Hass, C.A., 1996. Relationships among the West Indian geckos of the genus Sphaerodactylus: a preliminary analysis of mitochondrial 16S ribosomal RNA sequences. In: Powell, R., Henderson, R.W. (Eds.), Contributions to West Indian Herpetology: A tribute to Albert Schwartz. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles, Ithaca, NY.
- Hebert, P.D.N., Penton, E.H., Burns, J.M., Janzen, D.H., Hallwachs, W., 2004a. Ten species in one: DNA barcoding reveals cryptic species in the neotropical skipper butterfly Astraptes fulgerator. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101, 14812–14817.
- Hebert, P.D.N., Stoeckle, M.Y., Zemlak, T.S., Francis, C.M., 2004b. Identification of birds through DNA barcodes. PLoS Biol. 2, e312.
- Heled, J., Drummond, A.J., 2010. Bayesian inference of species trees from multilocus data. Mol. Biol. Evol. 27, 570–580.
- Hickerson, M.J., Meyer, C.P., Moritz, C., 2006. DNA barcoding will often fail to discover new animal species over broad parameter space. Syst. Biol. 55, 729– 739.
- Huelsenbeck, J.P., Ronquist, F., 2001. MrBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 17, 754–755.
- Klink, C.A., Machado, R.B., 2005. Conservation of the Brazilian cerrado. Conserv. Biol. 19, 707–713.
- Knowles, L.L., Carstens, B.C., 2007. Delimiting species without monophyletic gene trees. Syst. Biol. 56, 887–895.
- Koch, C., Venegas, P., Böhme, W., 2006. A remarkable discovery: description of a biggrowing new gecko (Squamata: Gekkonidae: Phyllopezus) from northwestern Peru. Salamandra 42, 145–150.
- Koslowsky, J., 1895. Un nuevo geco de Matto Grosso. Rev. Mus. La Plata Secc. Zool. 8, 371–372.
- Kumar, S., Nei, M., Dudley, J., Tamura, K., 2008. MEGA: A biologist-centric software for evolutionary analysis of DNA and protein sequences. Brief. Bioinform. 9, 299–306.
- Leal, I.R., da Silva, J.M.C., Tabarelli, M., Lacher, T.E., 2005. Changing the course of biodiversity conservation in the Caatinga of northeastern Brazil. Conserv. Biol. 19, 701–706.
- Loveridge, A., 1941. Bogertia lutzae—a new genus and species of gecko from Bahia, Brazil. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 54, 195–196.
- Mace, G., 2004. The role of taxonomy in species conservation. Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. B-Biol. Sci. 359, 711–719.
- Maddison, W.P., 1997. Gene trees in species trees. Syst. Biol. 46, 523–536.
- Maddison, W.P., Maddison, D.R., 1992. MacClade, analysis of phylogeny and character evolution. Sinauer, Sunderland, MA.
- Moritz, C., Cicero, C., 2004. DNA barcoding: promise and pitfalls. PLoS Biol. 2, e354.
- Müller, L., Brongersma, L.D., 1933. Ueber die identität von Thecadactylus pollicaris Spix 1825 mit Phyllopezus goyazensis Peters 1877. Zool. Meded (Leiden) 15, 156–161.
- Myers, N., Mittermeier, R.A., Mittermeier, C.G., da Fonseca, G.A.B., Kent, J., 2000. Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature 403, 853–858.
- Nei, M., Li, W.H., 1979. Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76, 5269–5273.
- Nogueira, C., Colli, G., Costa, G., Machado, R., 2010. Diversidade de répteis Squamata e evolução do conhecimento faunístico no Cerrado. In: Diniz, I.R., MarinhoFilho, J., Machado, R.B., Cavalcanti, R.B. (Eds.), Cerrado: Conhecimento Científico Quantitativo como Subsídio para Ações de Conservação. Editora Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, Distrito Federal, pp. 335–375.
- Notredame, C., Higgins, D.G., Heringa, J., 2000. T–Coffee: a novel method for fast and accurate multiple sequence alignment. J. Mol. Biol. 302, 205–217.
- Nylander, J.A.A., Wilgenbusch, J.C., Warren, D.L., Swofford, D.L., 2008. AWTY (are we there yet?): a system for graphical exploration of MCMC convergence in Bayesian phylogenetics. Bioinformatics 24, 581–583.
- Oliver, P.M., Adams, M., Lee, M.S., Hutchinson, M.N., Doughty, P., 2009. Cryptic diversity in vertebrates: molecular data double estimates of species diversity in a radiation of Australian lizards (Diplodactylus, Gekkota). Proc. Roy. Soc. Biol. Sci. Ser. B 276, 2001–2007.
- Patterson, B.D., 2000. Patterns and trends in the discovery of new Neotropical mammals. Divers. Distrib. 6, 145– 151.
- Pellegrino, K.C.M., Kasahara, S., Rodrigues, M.T., Yonenaga Yassuda, Y., 1997. Pericentric inversion events in karyotypic distinction of Brazilian lizards of genus Phyllopezus (Squamata, Gekkonidae) detected by chromosomal banding patterns. Hereditas 127, 255–262.
- Peters, J.A., Donoso-Barros, R., Orejas-Miranda, B., 1986. Catalogue of the Neotropical Squamata: Part I Snakes & Part II Lizards and Amphisbaenians. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.
- Peters, W., 1877. Herpetologische Notizen II. Bemerkungen über neue oder weniger bekannte Amphibien. Monatsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin 1877, 415–423.
- Pfenninger, M., Schwenk, K., 2007. Cryptic animal species are homogeneously distributed among taxa and biogeographical regions. BMC Evol. Biol. 7, 121– 127.
- Pimm, S.L., Jenkins, C.N., Joppa, L.N., Robersts, D.L., Russell, G.J., 2010. How many endangered species remain to be discovered in Brazil? Nat. Conserv. 8, 71–77.
- Pons, J., Barraclough, T.G., Gomez–Zurita, J., Cardoso, A., Duran, D.P., Hazell, S., Kamoun, S., Sumlin, W.D., Vogler, A.P., 2006. Sequence-based species delimitation for the DNA taxonomy of undescribed insects. Syst. Biol. 55, 595–609.
- Posada, D., 2008. JModelTest: phylogenetic model averaging. Mol. Biol. Evol. 25, 1253–1256.
- Rambaut, A., Drummond, A.J., 2007. Tracer, version 1.5 Distributed by authors.
- Reeder, T.W., 1995. Phylogenetic relationships among phrynosomatid lizards and inferred from mitochondrial ribosomal DNA sequences: substitutional bias and information content of transitions relative to transversions. Mol. Phylogen. Evol. 4, 203–222.
- Rocha, S., Vences, M., Glaw, F., Posada, D., Harris, D.J., 2009. Multigene phylogeny of Malagasy day geckos of the genus Phelsuma. Mol. Phylogen. Evol. 52, 530–537.
- Rodrigues, M.T., 1986. Um novo espécie do gênero Phyllopezus de Cabaceiras: Paraiba: Brasil; com comentários sobre a fauna de lagartos sa área (Sauria Gekkonidae). Pap. Avulsos Zool. (Sao Paulo) 36, 237–250.
- Rodrigues, M.T., 2005a. The conservation of Brazilian reptiles: challenges for a megadiverse country. Conserv. Biol. 19, 659–664.
- Rodrigues, M.T., 2005b. Herpetofauna da Caatinga. In: Leal, I.R., Tabarelli, M., Silva, J.M.C. (Eds.), Ecologia e Conservaça~o da Caatinga. Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Recife, PE, pp. 181–236.
- Rodrigues, M.T., Dos Santos, E.M., 2008. A new genus and species of eyelid-less and limb reduced gymnophthalmid lizard from northeastern Brazil (Squamata, Gymnophthalmidae). Zootaxa 1873, 50–60.
- Ronquist, F., Huelsenbeck, J.P., 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19, 1572–1574.
- Russell, A.P., Bauer, A.M., 1988. Paraphalangeal elements of gekkonid lizards a comparative survey. J. Morphol. 197, 221–240.
- Saint, K.M., Austin, C.C., Donnellan, S.C., Hutchinson, M.N., 1998. C–mos, a nuclear marker useful for squamate phylogenetic analysis. Mol. Phylogen. Evol. 10, 259–263.
- Schulte, J.A., Macey, J.R., Espinoza, R.E., Larson, A., 2000. Phylogenetic relationships in the iguanid lizard genus Liolaemus: multiple origins of viviparous reproduction and evidence for recurring Andean vicariance and dispersal. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 69, 75–102.
- Shimodaira, H., 2002. An approximately unbiased test of phylogenetic tree selection. Syst. Biol. 51, 492–508.
- Shimodaira, H., Hasegawa, M., 1999. Multiple comparisons of log-likelihoods with applications to phylogenetic inference. Mol. Biol. Evol. 16, 1114–1116.
- Shimodaira, H., Hasegawa, M., 2001. CONSEL: for assessing the confidence of phylogenetic tree selection. Bioinformatics 17, 1246–1247.
- Sites, J.W., Marshall, J.C., 2004. Operational criteria for delimiting species. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 35, 199– 227.
- Slowinski, J.B., Knight, A., Rooney, A.P., 1997. Inferring species trees from gene trees: a phylogenetic analysis of the elapidae (Serpentes) based on the amino acid sequences of venom proteins. Mol. Phylogen. Evol. 8, 349–362.
- Souza, F.L., Uetanabaro, M., Landgref-Filho, P., Piatti, L., Prado, C.P.A., 2010. Herpetofauna, municipality of Porto Murtinho, Chaco region, state of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. Check List 6, 470–475.
- Spix, J.B., 1825. Animalia nova sive species novae Lacertarum quas in itinere per Brasiliam annis MDCCCXVII– MDCCCXX jussu et auspicius Maximiliani Josephi I Bavariae Regis suscepto collegit et descripsit Dr. J.B. de Spix. Lipsiae: T.O. Weigel; F.S. Hybschmanni, Monachii.
- Stamatakis, A., 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics 22, 2688– 2690.
- Stephens, M., Donnelly, P., 2003. A comparison of Bayesian methods for haplotype reconstruction from population genotype data. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 73, 1162– 1169.
- Strimmer, K., Rambaut, A., 2002. Inferring confidence sets of possibly misspecified gene trees. Proc. Roy. Soc. Biol. Sci. Ser. B 269, 137–142.
- Swofford, D., 2002. PAUP⁄ . Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (⁄ and other methods). Sinauer, Sunderland, MA.
- Thompson, J.D., Higgins, D.G., Gibson, T.J., 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignments through sequence weighting, position specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. 22, 4673–4680.
- Vanzolini, P.E., 1953. Sóbre o genero Phyllopezus Peters (Sauria, Gekkonidae). Pap. Avulsos Zool. (Sao Paulo) 11, 353–369.
- Vanzolini, P.E., 1968. Lagartos Brasileiros da familia Gekkonidae (Sauria). Arq. Zool. (Sao Paulo) 17, 1–84.
- Vanzolini, P.E., 1976. On the lizards of a cerrado-caatinga contact: evolutionary and zoogeographical implications (Sauria). Pap. Avulsos. Zool. (Sao Paulo) 29, 111– 119.
- Vences, M., Thomas, M., Bonett, R.M., Vieites, D.R., 2005. Deciphering amphibian diversity through DNA barcoding: chances and challenges. Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. B-Biol. Sci. 360, 1859–1868.
- Vrcibradic, D., Hatano, F.H., Rocha, C.F.D., Van Sluys, M., 2000. Geographic distribution Bogertia lutzae. Herpetol. Rev. 31, 112.
- Werneck, F.P., 2011. The diversification of eastern South American open vegetation biomes: historical biogeography and perspectives. Quatern. Sci. Rev. 30, 1630– 1648.
- Werneck, F.P., Colli, G.R., 2006. The lizard assemblage from seasonally dry tropical forest enclaves in the Cerrado biome, Brazil, and its association with the Pleistocenic Arc. J. Biogeogr. 33, 1983–1992.
- Werneck, F.P., Costa, G.C., Colli, G.R., Prado Jr, D.E., Sites, J.W., 2011. Revisiting the historical distribution of seasonally dry tropical forests: new insights based on palaeodistribution modelling and palynological evidence. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 20, 272–288.
- Wiens, J.J., Penkrot, T.A., 2002. Delimiting species using DNA and morphological variation and discordant species limits in spiny lizards (Sceloporus). Syst. Biol. 51, 69–91.
- Yahner, R.H., 2004. The work of taxonomy. Conserv. Biol. 18, 6–7.
- Zak, M.R., Cabido, M., Hodgson, J.G., 2004. Do subtropical seasonal forests in the Gran Chaco, Argentina, have a future? Biol. Conserv. 120, 589–598.
- Ziegler, T., Nguyen, Q.T., Schmitz, A., Stenke, R., Rösler, H., 2008. A new species of Goniurosaurus from Cat Ba Island, Hai Phong, northern Vietnam (Squamata: Eublepharidae). Zootaxa 1771, 16–30.