Marquette University

e-Publications@Marquette

Chemistry Faculty Research and Publications

Chemistry, Department of

7-2017

Reactivity of (1-methoxycarbonylpentadienyl)iron(1+) cations with hydride, methyl, and nitrogen nucleophiles

Yuzhi Ma

Marquette University

Young K. Yun

Marquette University

Julie L. Wondergem

Marquette University

Anobick Sar

Marquette University

Jayapal Reddy Gone

Marquette University

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://epublications.marquette.edu/chem_fac

Part of the [Chemistry Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Ma, Yuzhi; Yun, Young K.; Wondergem, Julie L.; Sar, Anobick; Gone, Jayapal Reddy; Lindeman, Sergey V.; and Donaldson, William, "Reactivity of (1-methoxycarbonylpentadienyl)iron(1+) cations with hydride, methyl, and nitrogen nucleophiles" (2017). *Chemistry Faculty Research and Publications*. 804.
https://epublications.marquette.edu/chem_fac/804

Authors

Yuzhi Ma, Young K. Yun, Julie L. Wondergem, Anobick Sar, Jayapal Reddy Gone, Sergey V. Lindeman, and William Donaldson

Marquette University

e-Publications@Marquette

Chemistry Faculty Research and Publications/College of Arts and Sciences

This paper is NOT THE PUBLISHED VERSION; but the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript. The published version may be accessed by following the link in the citation below.

Tetrahedron, Vol. 73, No. 30 (July 27, 2017): 4493-4500. [DOI](#). This article is © Elsevier and permission has been granted for this version to appear in [e-Publications@Marquette](#). Elsevier does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from Elsevier.

Reactivity of (1-Methoxycarbonylpentadienyl)Iron(1+) Cations with Hydride, Methyl, and Nitrogen Nucleophiles

[Yuzhi Ma](#)

Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI

[Young K. Yun](#)

Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI

[Julie Wondergem \(nee Lukesh\)](#)

Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI

[Anobick Sar](#)

Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI

[Jayapal Reddy Gone](#)

Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI

[Sergey Lindeman](#)

Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI

[William A. Donaldson](#)

Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI

Abstract

The reaction of tricarbonyl and (dicarbonyl)triphenylphosphine (1-methoxycarbonyl-pentadienyl)iron(1+) [cations](#) **7** and **8** with methyl [lithium](#), NaBH₃CN, or potassium [phthalimide](#) affords (pentenediyl)iron complexes **9a-c** and **11a-b**, while reaction with dimethylcuprate, gave (*E,Z*-diene)iron complexes **10** and **12**. Oxidatively induced-reductive elimination of **9a-c** gave vinylcyclopropanecarboxylates **17a-c**. The optically active vinylcyclopropane (+)-**17a**, prepared from (1*S*)-**7**, undergoes [olefin cross-metathesis](#) with excess (+)-**18** to yield (+)-**19**, a C9—C16 synthon for the antifungal agent ambruticin. Alternatively reaction of **7** with methanesulfonamide or trimethylsilylazide gave (*E,E*-diene)iron complexes **14d** and **e**. [Huisgen](#) [3 + 2] cyclization of the (azidodienyl)iron complex **14e** with [alkynes](#) afforded [triazoles](#) **25a-e**.

Graphical abstract

Keywords

Dienyl-iron cations, Nucleophilic addition, Vinylcyclopropanes, Click chemistry

1. Introduction

Acyclic (pentadienyl)iron(1+) [cations](#) are versatile precursors for [organic synthesis](#), due to the wide variety of [structural motifs](#) which may be produced upon nucleophilic addition ([Scheme 1](#)).¹ While [NMR spectra](#) for most cations **1** are indicative of the “U” or cisoid structure in solution, it is generally believed that the “S” or transoid form does exist in an equilibrium with the more stable “U” form ([Scheme 1](#)).¹ The spectroscopic detection of a transoid structure has only been reported for a single sterically biased case.² Nucleophilic attack on the “U” structure may proceed via attack at one of the [pentadienyl](#) termini to afford *cis*-diene complexes **2** (path A), or with weak nucleophiles such as water, [amines](#) and electron-rich aromatics, via the less-stable but more reactive “S” form to generate *trans*-diene complexes (path B). For certain combinations of nucleophiles and cations, attack occurs at an internal carbon of the dienyl ligand to afford (3-pentene-1,5-diyl)iron complexes **4** (path C). Stable complexes **4**, which possess an electron withdrawing substituent at the σ -bound (C1) carbon, may be decomposed under oxidative conditions to afford vinylcyclopropanes. Alternatively, unstable complexes **4** are known to afford 5-substituted-2-cyclohexenones **6** via [cyclocarbonylation](#).

Scheme 1. **7** and **8** with non-carbon nucleophiles.⁶ We herein report on the reactivity of these [cations](#) with [hydride](#), methyl,⁷ and nitrogen nucleophiles, and their subsequent reactions.

The reactivity of (1-methoxycarbonylpentadienyl)iron(1+) cations **7** and **8** with *carbon* nucleophiles is observed to proceed via all three pathways.^{3, 4, 5} This reactivity has been utilized in the synthesis of methyl 5-hydroxyeicosatetraenoate (5-HETE),^{3a} iron containing HETE analogs,^{3c} and the C7–24 segments of macrolactin A,^{3b} (path A), and 2-(2'-carboxycyclopropyl)-glycines,^{5c} bicyclopropanes,^{5h} and dysibetaine CPa⁵ⁱ (path C). There are fewer examples of the reaction of (dienyl)iron cations.

2. Results and discussion⁸

The (1-methoxycarbonylpentadienyl)iron(1+) [cations](#) (±)-**7** and (±)-**8** were prepared by literature methods.^{3(a), 5(b)} Cationic complex (±)-**8** was isolated as an amorphous solid. Recrystallization from acetone/diethyl [ether](#) gave yellow block crystals that were characterized by X-ray diffraction analysis ([Fig. 1](#)).⁹ The structure of **8** revealed that the [triphenylphosphine](#) ligand occupies the basal site *anti* to the methoxycarbonyl substituent on the [pentadienyl](#) ligand. This relative orientation of the PPh₃ ligand, in solution, was previously proposed on the basis of the upfield shifts of H-7 and H-8_{exo} (numbering in [Fig. 1](#)) compared to those for **7**.^{5b} As anticipated, the Fe–CO bond distances ([Table 1](#)) are shorter (1.784–1.812 Å) and the carbonyl C–O distances (1.133–1.142 Å) for **8** compared to those observed in the crystal structure of **7** (Fe–CO = 1.835–1.837 Å; FeC–O = 1.121–1.137 Å).^{3c} In contrast, the C4–C5,

C5—C6 and C6—C7 distances within the pentadienyl ligand and the iron-to-pentadienyl distances Fe—C4, Fe—C5 and.

Fig. 1. ORTEP of **8** (PF_6^- [counterion](#) and [solvent](#) molecule omitted for clarity).

Table 1. Bond lengths (Å) for (pentadienyl)iron [cations](#) **7** and **8** (atom numbering corresponds to structure in [Fig. 1](#)).

Bond	7 (ref. 3c)	8 (this work)
Fe__C1	1.835(7)	1.812(5)
Fe__C2	1.837(6)	1.784(5)
C1__O1	1.137(8)	1.133(6)
C2__O2	1.121(7)	1.142(6)
Fe__C4	2.168(7)	2.177(5)
Fe__C5	2.114(7)	2.101(5)
Fe__C6	2.129(7)	2.128(5)
Fe__C7	2.141(6)	2.102(5)
Fe__C8	2.207(7)	2.165(5)
C4__C5	1.402(9)	1.404(7)
C5__C6	1.418(9)	1.408(8)
C6__C7	1.417(9)	1.417(8)
C7__C8	1.419(10)	1.395(8)

Fe—C6 for **7** and **8** are relatively similar, while the C-7-C8, Fe__C7 and Fe__C8 distances (1.395 Å, 2.102 Å and 2.165 Å) are slightly shorter for **8**, compared to those for **7** (1.419 Å, 2.141 Å and 2.207 Å).

We have previously reported⁷ that addition of a solution of [methyllithium](#) in ether to a solution of **7** in [dichloromethane](#) gave predominantly the pentendiyl complex **9a** along with variable amounts of the known¹⁰ complex **13**, while reaction of **7** with Me₂CuLi (formed from MeLi/CuBr) gave primarily the *E,Z*-diene complexes **10a** ([Scheme 2](#), [Table 2](#)). The reactions of **7** with Me₃Al or MeTi(*i*-PrO)₃ were less selective and gave mixtures of **9a** and **10a**. Reaction of **8** with MeLi or with Me₂CuLi gave predominantly pentenediyl complex **11a** or diene complex **12a**. The reaction of **7** with NaBH₃CN gave a separable mixture of pentenediyl complex **9b** and *E,Z*-diene complex **10b**. Use of LiAlH(*t*-BuO)₃ instead of NaBH₃CN gave lower yields of **9b**. In a similar fashion, reaction of **8** with NaBH₃CN gave predominantly pentenediyl complex **11b**. Finally, reaction of **7** with KNPhth, MeSO₂NH₂ or TMSN₃ gave pentendiyl complex **9c** or *E,E*-diene complexes **14d** or **14e**.

Scheme 2. Nucleophilic addition to (1-methoxypentadienyl)iron [cations](#).

Table 2. Nucleophilic addition to (1-methoxycarbonylpenta-dienyl)iron [cations](#).

Reagents	Nu	Product(s)
7 MeLi ^c	Me	9a (46–71%) + 13 (0–25%) ^a
7 MeLi/CuBr___SMe ₂ ^d	Me	10a + 9a (14:1, 58%) ^a
7 Me ₃ Al ^e	Me	10a + 9a (3:1, 59%) ^b
7 MeLi/TiCl(<i>i</i> -PrO) ₃ ^c	Me	10a + 9a (2:1, 37%) ^b
8 MeLi ^c	Me	11a (66%) + 12a (2%) ^b
8 MeLi/CuBr___SMe ₂ ^d	Me	12a (56%) ^b
7 NaBH ₃ CN ^f	H	9b (78%) + 10b (12%) ^b
7 LiI/LiAlH(<i>t</i> -BuO) ₃ ^f	H	9b (31%) ^b
8 NaBH ₃ CN ^f	H	11b (87%) + 12b (5%) ^b
7 K ⁺ NPhth ^g	NPhth	9c (62%) ^b
7 H ₂ NSO ₂ Me ^h	NHMs	14d (98%) ^b
7 TMSN ₃ ^h	N ₃	14e (56%) ^b

a Reported in preliminary communication (ref. [7](#)).

b This work.

c $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/-78\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$.

d $\text{THF-Et}_2\text{O (3:1)}/-78\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$.

e $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/-30\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$.

f $\text{THF}/0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$.

g $\text{CH}_3\text{NO}_2/23\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$.

h $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/23\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$.

The structures of the products were assigned on the basis of their NMR spectral data. In particular for **9a-c** the presence of three [metal carbonyl](#) signals in their ^{13}C NMR spectra (ca. δ 211-203 ppm) is characteristic of (pentenediyl) $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3$ complexes, while the upfield signals in the ^1H NMR spectra of **9a-b** and **11a-b** (ca. δ 0.0–0.17 ppm) are consistent with the [hydrogen](#) attached to the pentenediyl carbon σ -bonded to iron (i.e. H^1 of structure in [Scheme 2](#)). [5\(b\)](#), [5\(c\)](#), [5\(i\)](#) For (*E,Z*-diene) $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3$ complexes **10a-b** signals at ca. δ 92 and 85–87 ppm in their ^{13}C NMR and at ca. δ 6.0 and 5.3 ppm in their ^1H NMR spectra are characteristic of C3 and C4 and their attached hydrogens respectively. [3\(a\)](#), [3\(b\)](#) For the corresponding (*E,Z*-diene) $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_1\text{PPh}_3$ complex **12a**, the signals for H3 and H4 appear at δ 5.87 and 4.28 ppm; shifted upfield (compared to **10a-b**) due to the anisotropic effect of the triphenylphosphine ligand. [3b5b](#) Finally, for (*E,E*-diene) $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_3$ complexes **14d-e** signals at ca. δ 86 and 85 ppm in their ^{13}C NMR and at ca. δ 5.85 and 5.35 ppm in their ^1H NMR spectra are characteristic of C3 and C4 and their attached hydrogens respectively. [4](#)

There appears to be little difference between the tricarbonyl-ligated and (dicarbonyl)triphenylphosphine-ligated cations (**7** and **8** respectively) in the [regioselectivity](#) for addition of methyl [lithium](#) or dimethylcuprate. The formation of the regioisomeric (pentenediyl) or (diene) products may be rationalized by initial single electron transfer from either methyl reagent to form a transient (pentadienyl)iron radical and a methyl-metal radical ([Scheme 3](#)). Single electron transfer reactions to (pentadienyl)iron cations have previously been reported by Kochi. [11](#) For methyllithium, collapse of the radical pair occurs via C–C bond formation at the internal dienyl carbon. Alternatively, for methylcuprate, collapse of the radical pair occurs at the less sterically hindered terminal carbon. If the radical pair escapes the [solvent](#) cage, then a second single electron transfer can occur to afford the pentadienyl [anion](#) **15**. Aqueous work-up of the reaction mixture gives the protonated product **13**.

Scheme 3. Mechanism for addition of methyl nucleophiles.

explanation for the low yields of **17c**. The [relative configuration](#) of **17c** was assigned based of its ^1H NMR spectral data. The signal for H-2 appears at δ 3.60 (dd) ppm; the smaller couplings (4.4 and 5.2 Hz) are indicative of *trans* relative [stereochemistry](#) with respect to H-1 and H-3. In addition, the signal for H-4 of **17c** appears at δ 5.95–5.82 ppm, downfield of the signals for H-4 of **17a** (δ 5.55 ppm) and **17b** (δ 5.44 ppm). This further downfield shift is attributed to the deshielding effect of the *cis*-ester substituent.

Scheme 5. Oxidative decomposition of **9b** and **9c**.

The vinylcyclopropane carboxylates **17a** and **b** are the result of an oxidatively induced-reductive elimination which is known to occur with retention of configuration. The product **17c** is the product from apparent inversion of configuration at C3 of **9c**. This is rationalized on the basis of a rapid π -to- σ -to- π rearrangement of the oxidized pentenediyl **22** to **23** prior to [reductive elimination](#) ([Scheme 6](#)).^{5c} The steric repulsion inherent in the gauche relationship between C4 and the phthalimide substituent in **22** is relieved in **23**, where these two groups are anti. Reductive elimination from **23** to afford **17c** occurs more rapidly than reductive elimination from **22**.

Scheme 6. Rationale for formation of **16c**.

Copper-catalyzed [azide acetylene](#) coupling (Cu-ACC) reactions of ferrocenylmethyl azides has been reported,¹⁷ including the “labelling” of [estradiol](#) with a redox active organometallic functionality.^{17(a), 17(b), 17(c)} In addition, estradiol complexes of metal carbonyls have been

previously prepared for Cr, Mo, W, Mn, Re, Ru, and Co as both tracers for the [steroid](#) in biological systems by FT IR, and as [radiopharmaceuticals](#) (Tc).¹⁸ While there have been a number of (5-azido-1,3-cyclodiene)iron complexes reported,¹⁹ to our knowledge there are no reports of Cu-ACC reactions from these azides. The reaction of dienyl azide **14e** with terminal [alkynes](#) **24a-e**, in the presence of copper(I) [iodide](#), gave the (dienyl)triazoles **25a-e** in moderate isolated yield (eqn. (2)). The 1,4-substituent pattern about the [triazole](#) ring of **20a-e** was assigned on the basis of their NMR spectral data. In particular, a signal in their ¹³C NMR spectra in the range δ 119.2–121.0 ppm was assigned to the C5' carbon of the triazole ring.²⁰ These assignments are consistent with the formation of [isomers](#) of this type by Cu-catalyzed “click” reactions.²¹ The preparation of estradiol complex **25e** represents another example of a metal carbonyl “labelled” steroid.

3. Conclusions

Addition of [hydride](#), methyl [lithium](#) or [phthalimide](#) nucleophiles to (1-methoxycarbonylpentadienyl)iron(1+) [cations](#) **7** and **8** proceeds via bond formation at the internal C-2 carbon to afford (pentenediyl)iron complexes. Oxidative decomplexation of (pentenediyl)iron complexes **9a-c** affords vinylcyclopropane-carboxylates **17a-c**, and (+)-**17a** was utilized in a synthesis of the C9–C16 segment of ambruticin. Alternatively, reaction of **7** with weak nucleophiles proceeds via the transoid form of the cation to afford (*E,E*-diene)iron complexes **14d** and **e**. The azidodienyl complex **14e** expands the range of [azides](#) which undergo [Huisgen](#) [3 + 2] [cycloadditions](#) to generate organometallic functionalized [triazoles](#).

4. Experimental

4.1. General data

All reactions involving moisture or air sensitive reagents were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere in oven-dried glassware with anhydrous [solvents](#). THF and [ether](#) were distilled from sodium/benzophenone. Purifications by [chromatography](#) were carried out using flash [silica](#) gel (32–63 μ). [NMR spectra](#) were recorded on either a Varian Mercury+ 300 MHz or a Varian UnityInova 400 MHz instrument. CDCl₃ was purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were calibrated to 7.27 ppm for residual CHCl₃ and the central peak at 77.23 ppm for CDCl₃. [Coupling constants](#) are reported in Hz. Elemental analyses were obtained from Midwest Microlabs, Ltd., Indianapolis, IN, and [high-resolution mass spectra](#) were obtained from the University of Nebraska Center for Mass Spectrometry and the COSMIC lab at Old Dominion University.

4.2. Tricarbonyl(1-methoxycarbonyl-2-methyl-3-pentene-1,5-diyl)iron ($\pm$)-**9a**

To a solution of ($\pm$)-**7** (1.640 g, 4.000 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL, 0.1 M soln) flame dried flask under N₂ cooled to –78 °C, was added dropwise a solution of [methyllithium](#) in ether (4.0 mL, 1.6 M, 6.4 mmol) over a period of 10 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at –78 °C for 1 h. It was then quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (100 mL) and the mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted

with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. The crude brown residue was purified by chromatography (SiO₂, hexanes–ethyl [acetate](#) = 40:1) to give (±)-**9a** as a yellow solid (0.7916 g, 71%). mp 63–64 °C; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 2068, 1976, 1689; δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 4.58–4.44 (2H, m, H-3 and H-4), 3.67 (3H, s, OMe), 3.59–3.52 (1H, m, H-5_{exo}), 3.20–3.02 (1H, m, H-2), 2.50 (1H, dd, *J* 2.2, 11.0 Hz, H-5_{endo}), 0.70 (3H, d, *J* 7.5 Hz, Me), 0.00 (1H, d, *J* 8.5, H-1); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 211.0, 210.6, 203.9, 180.8, 97.3, 67.6, 54.2, 51.5, 34.2, 25.8, 14.6. Anal. calcd for C₁₁H₁₂O₅Fe: C, 47.17; H, 4.32. Found: C, 46.93; H, 4.26%.

4.3. (1*S*)-Tricarbonyl(1-methoxycarbonyl-2-methyl-3-pentene-1,5-diyl)iron (–)-**9a**

The reaction of (1*S*)-**7** (0.7490 g, 1.830 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ at –78 °C with an ethereal solution of methyl [lithium](#) (2.013 mmol) was carried out in a fashion similar to the reaction of (±)-**7** with methyl lithium. Purification of the crude product by chromatography (SiO₂, hexanes–ethyl acetate = 40:1) to give (–)-**9a** as a yellow solid (0.2486 g, 49%). mp 66–67 °C; [α]_D²³ = –545 (c 0.252, CHCl₃). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra for this product were identical to that for the racemic compound.

4.4. Tricarbonyl(methyl 2*E*,4*Z*-heptadienoate)iron (±)-**10a**

To a solution of methyllithium (2.0 mL, 1.4 M in ether, 2.8 mmol) in THF (15 mL) and ether (5 mL) at –78 °C was added CuBr·Me₂S (200 mg, 0.972 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 45 min, and then solid (±)-**7** (200 mg, 0.487 mmol) was added in one portion. The mixture was stirred for an additional 2 h at –78 °C, then quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (20 mL) and the mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature. The resultant mixture was extracted several times with ether and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, hexanes–ethyl acetate = 3:1) to afford (±)-**10b** as a yellow oil (80 mg, 58%). δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.02 (1H, dd, *J* 5.1, 8.1 Hz, H-3), 5.26 (1H, dd, *J* 5.4, 7.8 Hz, H-4), 3.64 (3H, s, OMe), 2.73 (1H, dt, *J* 6.3, 7.6 Hz, H-5), 2.14 (1H, d, *J* 8.6 Hz, H-2), 1.54 (1H, m, H-6), 1.19 (1H, m, H-6'), 0.96 (3H, t, *J* 7.2 Hz, Me-7); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 173.3, 92.5, 85.5, 63.9, 51.6, 45.7, 22.6, 17.4.

4.5. Reaction of (±)-**7** with trimethylaluminum

A solution of trimethylaluminum (1.22 mL, 2.0 M in [toluene](#), 2.44 mmol) was added to CH₂Cl₂ (6 mL), and water (6.8 equiv) was added. The mixture was cooled to –30 °C and solid (±)-**7** (100 mg, 0.243 mmol) was added in one portion. The mixture was stirred for 2 h, while warming to room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched with water, extracted several times with ethyl acetate and the combined extracts were washed with water, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, hexanes–ethyl acetate = 10:1) to afford a mixture of (±)-**10a** and (±)-**9a** (3:1 ratio by ¹H NMR, 40 mg, 59%).

4.6. Reaction of (±)-**7** with methyl tris(isopropoxy)titanium

To a solution of $\text{TiCl}(\text{i-PrO})_3$ (1.71 g, 6.57 mmol) in dry ether (20 mL) at $-30\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ was added a solution of methyllithium (4.69 mL, 1.4 M in ether, 6.56 mmol) and the mixture was warmed to $0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ over 1 h period. The mixture was transferred by cannula to a flame-dried round bottom flask and the solvent evaporated. The residue was taken up in dry CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) and cooled to $-78\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. A solution of **7** (200 mg, 0.486 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) was slowly added by syringe. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 18 h. The mixture was quenched with [methanol](#) until effervescence ceased and then poured into ice water. The mixture was extracted several times with CH_2Cl_2 and the combined extracts washed with water, brine, dried (MgSO_4) and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , hexanes–ethyl acetate = 10:1) to afford a mixture of (±)-**10a** (±)-**9a** (2:1 ratio by ^1H NMR, 50 mg, 36%).

4.7. Dicarboxyl(1-methoxycarbonyl-2-methyl-3-pentene-1,5-diyl)(triphenylphosphine)iron (±)-**11a**

The reaction of (±)-**8** (0.640 g, 0.994 mmol) with methyllithium in CH_2Cl_2 was carried out in a similar fashion to the reaction of **7** with methyl lithium. Purification of the residue gave (±)-**12a** (9.1 mg, 2%) followed by (±)-**11a** (0.3362 g, 66%) both as yellow solids. **11a**: mp $194\text{--}195\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$; IR (KBr, cm^{-1}) 1983, 1933, 1680, 1433, 1152; δ_{H} (300 MHz, CDCl_3) 7.44–7.28 (15H, m, PPh_3), 4.31 (1H, t, J 6.6 Hz, H-3), 3.81–3.72 (1H, m, H-3), 3.74 (3H, s, OMe), 3.17 (1H, app q, J 7.7 Hz, H-2), 2.57–2.49 (1H, m, H-5_{exo}), 2.35–2.25 (1H, m, H-5_{endo}), 0.61 (3H, d, J 7.0 Hz, Me), 0.01 (1H, dd, J 3.5, 7.9 Hz, H-1); δ_{C} (75 MHz, CDCl_3) 218.1, 217.9, 182.4, 133.4 (d, $J_{\text{C-P}}$ 28.0 Hz), 132.9 (d, $J_{\text{C-P}}$ 9.7 Hz), 130.3, 128.6 (d, $J_{\text{C-P}}$ 9.1 Hz), 96.6, 66.1, 56.8, 51.3, 35.2, 25.6 (d, $J_{\text{C-P}}$ 6.3 Hz), 13.5 (d, $J_{\text{C-P}}$ 15.5 Hz). Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{27}\text{O}_4\text{PFe}\cdot 1/2\text{H}_2\text{O}$: C, 64.26; H, 5.39. Found: C, 64.29; H, 5.28%.

4.8. Dicarboxyl(methyl 2E,4Z-heptadienoate)(triphenyl-phosphine)iron (±)-**12a**

The reaction of (±)-**8** (314 mg, 0.487 mmol) with methyllithium-CuBr was carried out in a similar fashion to the reaction of **7** with Me_2CuLi . Purification of the residue by column chromatography (SiO_2 , hexanes–ethyl acetate = 10:1) gave (±)-**12a** as a yellow solid (140 mg, 56%). δ_{H} (300 MHz, CDCl_3) 7.52–7.32 (15H, m, PPh_3), 5.87 (1H, dd, J 5.4, 7.6 Hz, H-3), 4.28 (1H, br m, H-4), 3.66 (3H, s, OMe), 1.95 (1H, br d, J 8.4 Hz, H-2), 1.75–1.45 (2H, m, CH_2), 1.05 (1H, m, H-5), 0.72 (3H, t, J 7.5 Hz, Me-7); δ_{C} (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 175.2, 134.3 ($J_{\text{C-P}}$ 40 Hz), 133.2 ($J_{\text{C-P}}$ 9.7 Hz), 130.0, 128.3 ($J_{\text{C-P}}$ 9.7 Hz), 89.2, 87.7, 65.3, 51.2, 40.1 (br), 31.5, 22.7 ($J_{\text{C-P}}$ 17 Hz), 17.5, 14.1.

4.9. Tricarboxyl(1-methoxycarbonyl-3-pentene-1,5-diyl)iron (±)-**9b** and Tricarboxyl(methyl 2E,4Z-hexadienoate)iron (±)-**10b**

To a solution/suspension of (±)-**7** (500 mg, 1.22 mmol) in THF (30 mL) at $0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ was added portion wise, solid NaBH_3CN (92 mg, 1.46 mmol). The mixture was stirred at $0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 2 h. The

reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted several times with ether. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. Analysis of the crude product by ¹H [NMR spectroscopy](#) indicated that this was a mixture of **9b** and **10b** (ca. 7:1). Purification of the residue by column chromatography (SiO₂, hexanes–ethyl acetate = 10:1) gave (±)-**10b** (38 mg, 12%), followed by (±)-**9b** (252 mg, 78%), both as yellow oils. **9b** solidified upon standing.

(±)-**9b**: mp 84–85 °C; IR (CH₂Cl₂, cm⁻¹) 2063, 1989, 1689; δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 4.57 (1H, td, *J* 7.3, 12.0 Hz, H-4), 4.35 (1H, m, H-3), 3.70–3.66 (4H, m and s, OMe and H-5_{exo}), 3.04 (1H, td, *J* 7.5, 14.6 Hz, H-2), 2.57 (1H, dd, *J* 2.0, 12.0 Hz, H-5_{endo}), 2.34 (1H, dddd, *J* 1.2, 3.2, 8.8, 14.6 Hz, H-2'), 0.15 (1H, dd, *J* 7.4, 8.7 Hz, H-1); δ_C (75 Hz, CDCl₃) 211.4, 210.9, 204.1, 181.7, 98.9, 59.3, 53.1, 51.4, 25.2, -0.9; Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₀O₅Fe: C, 45.15; H, 3.79. Found: C, 44.74; H, 3.76.

(±)-**10b**: δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.04 (1H, ddd, *J* 1.2, 5.1, 8.4 Hz, H-3), 5.33 (1H, dd, *J* 5.1, 7.8 Hz, H-4), 3.67 (3H, s, OMe), 2.90 (1H, qd, *J* 7.2, 7.8 Hz, H-5), 2.22 (1H, d, *J* 8.1 Hz, H-2), 1.17 (3H, d, *J* 7.2 Hz, Me-6); δ_C (75 Hz, CDCl₃) δ 174.3 (C=O₂Me), 92.2 (C-3), 87.1 (C-4), 54.8 (OMe), 51.7, 46.0, 14.4 (Me-6).

4.10. Dicarboxyl(1-methoxycarbonyl-3-pentene-1,5-diyl)(triphenylphosphine)iron (±)-11b

The reaction of (±)-**8** (500 mg, 0.775 mmol) with NaBH₃CN (58 mg, 0.92 mmol) in THF at 0 °C was carried out in a fashion similar to the reaction of **7** with NaBH₃CN. Purification of the residue by column chromatography (SiO₂, hexanes–ethyl acetate = 10:1) gave (±)-**12b** (20 mg, 5%) followed by (±)-**11b** as a yellow solid (339 mg, 87%). **11b**: δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.50–7.20 (15H, m, Ph₃P), 4.13 (1H, m, H-3), 3.83 (1H, m, H-4), 3.75 (3H, s, OMe), 3.08 (1H, td, *J* 7.2, 14.1 Hz, H-2), 2.66 (1H, m, H-5_{exo}), 2.35 (2H, m, H-5_{endo} and H-2'), 0.17 (1H, m, H-1); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 183.1, 133.4, 132.8 (*J*_{PC} 9.8 Hz), 130.1, 128.4 (*J*_{PC} 8.5 Hz), 98.0 (*J*_{PC} 2.4 Hz), 57.0, 55.6, 51.0, 26.5, -1.7; Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₂₅O₄FeP: C, 64.82; H, 5.04. Found: C, 64.65; H, 5.05.

4.11. Tricarboxyl(1-methoxycarbonyl-2-phthalimido-3-pentene-1,5-diyl)iron (±)-9c

To a solution of **7** (400 mg, 0.910 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and [nitromethane](#) (3 mL) was added solid potassium [phthalimide](#) (214 mg, 1.16 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 18 h, and then poured into water and the resulting mixture extracted several times with CH₂Cl₂. The combined extracts were washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated. The residue was purified by chromatography (SiO₂, hexanes–ethyl acetate = 4:1) to give **9c** as a yellow solid (242 mg, 65%). mp 150–151 °C; δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.65–7.82 (4H, m, Phth), 5.57 (1H, dd, *J* 7.3, 10.2 Hz, H-2), 4.83 (1H, ddd, *J* 7.2, 9.0, 12.3 Hz, H-4), 4.66 (1H, t, *J* 7.2 Hz, H-3), 3.68 (3H, s, OMe), 3.63 (1H, br d, *J* 7.8 Hz, H-5_{exo}), 3.50 (1H, dd, *J* 2.1, 12.3 Hz, H-5_{endo}), 1.53 (1H, d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, H-1); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 210.4, 209.0, 203.6, 179.1, 167.8, 134.3, 131.2, 123.6, 123.4, 100.8, 64.4, 55.8, 51.7, 47.8, 17.6. Anal. calcd for C₁₈H₁₃NO₇Fe: C, 52.58; H, 3.19. Found: C, 52.78; H, 3.24%.

4.12. Tricarbonyl(methyl 6-methylsulfonamido-2E,4E-hexadienoate)iron ($\pm$)-14d

To a solution of [methane](#) sulfonamide (36 mg, 0.38 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (6 mL) was added solid **7** (100 mg, 0.228 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 4 h, evaporated to dryness, and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and the organic solution was extracted with water. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated. The residue was purified by chromatography (SiO_2 , hexanes–ethyl acetate = 7:3) to give ($\pm$)-**14d** as a yellow oil (80 mg, 98%). δ_{H} (300 MHz, CDCl_3) 5.85 (1H, dd, J 5.1, 8.0 Hz, H-3), 5.40 (1H, dd, J 5.1, 8.1 Hz, H-4), 4.89–4.82 (1H, br m, $\text{NH}\text{SO}_2\text{Me}$), 3.67 (3H, s, OMe), 3.36–3.26 (2H, m, CH_2NHMs), 2.97 (3H, s, SO_2Me), 1.32–1.22 (1H, m, H-5), 1.11 (1H, d, J 8.2 Hz, H-2); δ_{C} (75 MHz, CDCl_3) 172.5, 86.5, 86.0, 58.6, 52.1, 46.9, 46.3, 41.2. HRMS (FAB): $M + \text{H}^+$, found 359.9837. $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{14}\text{NO}_7\text{SFe}$ requires 359.9840.

4.13. Tricarbonyl(methyl 6-azido-2E,4E-hexadienoate)iron ($\pm$)-14e

To a solution of **7** (205 mg, 0.500 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (15 mL) was added trimethylsilyl [azide](#) (86 mg, 0.75 mmol). The reaction was stirred overnight and then quenched with water. The mixture was extracted several times with CH_2Cl_2 and the combined extracts dried (MgSO_4) and concentrated. The residue was purified by chromatography (SiO_2 , hexanes–ethyl acetate = 10:1) to give **14e** as a yellow oil (85 mg, 56%). δ_{H} (300 MHz, CDCl_3) 5.86 (1H, ddd, J 0.9, 5.1, 8.1 Hz, H-3), 5.35 (1H, dd, J 5.1, 8.1 Hz, H-4), 3.67 (3H, s, OMe), 3.50–3.35 (2H, m, CH_2N_3), 1.27 (1H, q, J 7.2 Hz, H-5), 1.12 (1H, dd, J 0.9, 8.4 Hz, H-2); δ_{C} (75 MHz, CDCl_3) 172.3, 86.1, 85.1, 56.3, 53.9, 52.0, 46.8.

4.14. ($\pm$)-Methyl (1S*,2S*,3R*)-2-ethenyl-3-methylcyclopropane-carboxylate ($\pm$)-17a

To a solution of **9a** (418.6 mg, 1.495 mmol) in anhydrous methanol (15 mL) was added solid ceric [ammonium nitrate](#) in portions of one equivalent every 10 min (4.084 g, 7.475 mmol total) until no more starting material was observed by tlc. After addition was complete, the reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 1 h and then partitioned between water and CH_2Cl_2 , and the aqueous layer was extracted several times with CH_2Cl_2 . The combined layers were dried (MgSO_4) and concentrated. The [crude oil](#) was subjected to kugelrohr distillation to give ($\pm$)-**16b** (177.1 mg, 85%) as a volatile colorless oil. IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 3085, 2954, 1728, 1635, 1442, 1409, 1309, 1173, 1069, 919; δ_{H} (300 MHz, CDCl_3) 5.55 (1H, ddd, J 17.0, 10.3, 8.7 Hz, $\text{CH}=\text{CH}_2$), 5.22 (1H, J 16.9, 1.6, 0.6 Hz, $\text{CH}=\text{CH}\text{H}_Z$), 5.11 (1H, J 10.3, 1.6, 0.6 Hz, $\text{CH}=\text{CH}\text{H}_Z$), 3.67 (3H, s, OCH_3), 2.14 (1H, ddd, J 9.6, 9.6, 4.7 Hz, H-3), 1.73–1.60 (1H, m, H-2), 1.45 (1H, dd, J 4.7, 4.7 Hz, H-1), 1.13 (3H, d, J 6.4 Hz, CH_3); δ_{C} (75 Hz, CDCl_3) 174.1, 134.2, 117.2, 52.0, 31.4, 28.8, 23.1, 12.8; HRMS (EI): $[\text{M} - \text{CH}_3]^+$ found 125.1335. $\text{C}_7\text{H}_9\text{O}_2$ requires 125.0602.

4.15. (+)-Methyl (1S,2S,3R)-2-ethenyl-3-methylcyclopropane-carboxylate (+)-17a

The decomplexation of (–)-**9a** (0.4200 g, 1.500 mmol) with CAN (4.282 g, 7.810 mmol) in methanol was carried out in a fashion similar to the decomplexation of ($\pm$)-**9a**. Purification of the crude oil by kugelrohr distillation gave (–)-**17a** as a volatile colorless oil (0.1177 g, 56%);

$[\alpha]_D^{23} = +139$ (c 0.294, CHCl_3). The ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra for this product were identical to that for the racemic compound.

4.16. (+)-Methyl (1S,2S,3R)-2-[(1E,3R)-4-[[1,1-dimethylethyl]-diphenylsilyl]oxy]-3-methyl-1-butenyl]-3-methylcyclopropane-carboxylate (+)-19a

To a solution of (+)-**17a** (90.90 mg, 0.6492 mmol) and (+)-**18** (1.8919 g, 5.8391 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (7.0 mL) under N_2 was added Grubbs' 2nd generation catalyst (27.7 mg, 0.0326 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 30 h, and then cooled and concentrated under a stream of N_2 . The residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , hexanes–ethyl acetate = 40:1) to afford (+)-**19** (249 mg, 88%) as a pale oil. $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +50$ (c 0.25, CHCl_3); IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 1728, 1427, 1313, 1173, 1112, 824, 702; δ_{H} (300 MHz, CDCl_3) 7.70–7.62 (4H, m, ArH), 7.46–7.30 (6H, m, ArH), 5.54 (1H, dd, $J = 7.3, 15.5$ Hz, H-14), 5.15 (1H, dd, $J = 8.5, 15.8$ Hz, H-13), 3.16 (3H, s, OMe), 3.51–3.46 (2H, m, CH_2OSi), 2.42–2.31 (1H, m, H-15), 2.06 (1H, ddd, $J = 4.4, 9.0, 9.0$ Hz, H-12), 1.72–1.57 (1H, m, H-11), 1.34 (1H, dd, $J = 4.7, 4.7$ Hz, H-10), 1.10 (3H, d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, Me-28), 1.05 (9H, s, *t*-Bu), 0.99 (3H, d, $J = 6.7$ Hz, Me-27) (atom designation corresponding to ambruticin numbering, assignments were aided by COSY NMR); δ_{C} (75 Hz, CDCl_3) 174.1, 136.2, 135.7, 133.9, 129.6, 127.7, 125.4, 68.8, 51.9, 39.8, 30.9, 28.8, 27.1, 22.9, 19.6, 12.9; HRMS (FAB) $\text{M} + \text{Li}^+$, found 443.2580. $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{36}\text{O}_3\text{SiLi}$ requires 443.2594.

4.17. Methyl trans-2-vinylcyclopropanecarboxylate ($\pm$)-17b

To a solution of **9b** (130 mg, 0.489 mmol) in anhydrous methanol (10 mL) at room temperature was added in one portion, solid ammonium cerium nitrate (2.68 g, 4.89 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h, poured into water and extracted several times with ethyl acetate. The combined extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO_4) and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by chromatography (SiO_2 , hexanes–ethyl acetate = 10:1) to give ($\pm$)-**17b** as a volatile oil (20 mg, 32%). δ_{H} (300 MHz, CDCl_3) 5.44 (1H, ddd, $J 8.1, 10.2, 18.3$ Hz, $\text{CH}=\text{CH}_2$), 5.21 (1H, dd, $J 1.5, 18.3$ Hz, $\text{CH}=\text{CH}_\text{E}\text{H}_\text{Z}$), 5.04 (1H, dd, $J 1.5, 10.2$ Hz, $\text{CH}=\text{CH}_\text{E}\text{H}_\text{Z}$), 3.72 (3H, s, OMe), 1.70 (1H, td, $J 4.8, 8.7$ Hz, H-1), 1.42 (1H, td, $J 4.5, 8.7$ Hz, H-2), 1.07–0.97 (2H, m, H-3 and H-3'); δ_{C} (75 MHz, CDCl_3) 171.0, 137.9, 114.8, 51.7, 25.5, 21.6, 15.5. The NMR spectral data for this compound is consistent with the literature values.¹⁶

4.18. Methyl 2-phthalimido-3-vinylcyclopropanecarboxylate ($\pm$)-17c

To a solution of **9c** (80 mg, 0.20 mmol) dissolved in anhydrous acetonitrile (10 mL) under nitrogen was added copper (II) chloride (79 mg, 0.59 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 50 °C for 1 h and then concentrated to remove acetonitrile. The residue was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 and purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , hexanes–ethyl acetate = 10:1) to afford ($\pm$)-**17c** as a colorless oil (10 mg, 0.037 mmol, 19%). δ_{H} (300 MHz, CDCl_3) 7.85–7.70 (4H, m, Phth), 5.95–5.82 (1H, m, $\text{CH}=\text{CH}_2$), 5.43 (1H, ddd, $J 0.6, 1.8, 17.2$ Hz, $\text{CH}=\text{CH}_\text{E}\text{H}_\text{Z}$), 5.24 (1H, ddd, $J 0.6, 1.5, 10.3$ Hz, $\text{CH}=\text{CH}_\text{E}\text{H}_\text{Z}$), 3.75 (3H, s, OMe), 3.60 (1H, dd, $J 4.4, 5.2$ Hz, H-2), 2.77–2.61 (2H, m, H-1 and H-3); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl_3) 210.0, 134.3, 131.7, 131.6, 123.4,

119.0, 52.1, 35.1, 29.8, 26.4. HRMS (ESI): M_2Na^+ , found 565.1588. $(C_{15}H_{13}NO_4)_2Na^+$ requires 565.1581.

4.19. (Tricarbonyl)(methyl 6-phenyl-1*H*-1,2,3-triazole-2*E*,4*E*-hexadienoate)iron (±)-25a

To a solution of (±)-**14e** (20 mg, 0.065 mmol) in CH_3CN (10 mL) was added phenylacetylene (10 mg, 0.098 mmol) and copper (I) iodide (2 mg, 10 mol %). The mixture was heated to 70 °C under nitrogen. After 19 h, the temperature was raised to 100 °C and the solution started to reflux. This temperature was maintained for another 5 h. After cooling, the mixture was quenched with H_2O , extracted several times with CH_2Cl_2 , and the combined organic extracts was washed with brine, dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , hexanes–ethyl acetate gradient = 2:1 → pure ethyl acetate) to afford (±)-**25a** (16 mg, 0.039 mmol, 60%) as a yellow oil. δ_H (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) 7.85–7.79 (3H, m, Ph and triazole-H), 7.46–7.32 (3H, m, Ph), 5.91 (1H, ddd, J 0.9, 5.2, 8.6 Hz, H-3), 5.57 (1H, ddd, J 0.9, 5.2, 8.6 Hz, H-4), 4.60 (1H, dd, J 5.9, 14.6 Hz, H-6), 4.48 (1H, dd, J 8.6, 14.5 Hz, H-6'), 3.67 (3H, s, OMe), 1.47–1.38 (1H, m, H-5), 1.15 (1H, dd, J 0.9, 8.2 Hz, H-2); δ_C (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) 172.2, 148.3, 130.6, 129.1, 128.6, 126.0, 119.2, 86.3, 85.8, 55.3, 53.0, 52.0, 47.3. HRMS (ESI): $M + Na^+$, found 432.0250. $C_{18}H_{15}N_3O_5FeNa$ requires 432.0253.

4.20. (Tricarbonyl)(methyl 6-butyl-1*H*-1,2,3-triazole-2*E*,4*E*-hexadienoate)iron (±)-25b

The reaction of (±)-**14e** (20 mg, 0.065 mmol) with 1-hexyne (11 mg, 0.13 mmol) in the presence of copper (I) iodide (2 mg, 10 mol%) was carried out in a fashion similar to the preparation of **25a**. Purification of the residue by column chromatography (SiO_2 , hexanes–ethyl acetate gradient = 2:1 → pure ethyl acetate) gave (±)-**25b** (12 mg, 0.031 mmol, 48%) as a yellow oil. δ_H (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) 7.30 (1H, s, triazole-H), 5.89 (1H, dd, J 5.1, 8.3 Hz, H-3), 5.53 (1H, dd, J 5.1, 8.3 Hz, H-4), 4.52–4.38 (2H, m, H-6 and H-6'), 3.66 (3H, s, OMe), 2.72 (2H, t, J 7.8 Hz, $CH_2(CH_2)_2CH_3$), 1.69–1.60 (4H, m), 1.44–1.33 (3H, m, H-5 and CH_2CH_3), 1.12 (1H, d, J 8.3 Hz, H-2), 0.93 (3H, t, J 7.4 Hz, Me); δ_C (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) 172.2, 149.1, 120.3, 86.2, 85.7, 56.0, 52.8, 52.1, 47.1, 31.8, 25.5, 22.4, 13.9. HRMS (ESI): $M + Na^+$, found 412.0565. $C_{16}H_{19}N_3O_5FeNa$ requires 412.0566.

4.21. (Tricarbonyl)[methyl 6-(4-ethynylphenyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazole-2*E*,4*E*-hexadienoate]iron (±)-25c

The reaction of (±)-**14e** (21 mg, 0.068 mmol) with 1,4-diethynylbenzene (9 mg, 0.071 mmol) in the presence of copper (I) iodide (2 mg, 10 mol%) was carried out in a fashion similar to that for **25a**. The residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , hexanes–ethyl acetate gradient = 2:1 → pure ethyl acetate) to afford (±)-**25c** (12 mg, 0.028 mmol, 41%) as a yellow oil. δ_H (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) 7.82–7.77 (3H, m, ArH and triazole-H), 7.57–7.53 (2H, m, ArH), 5.92 (1H, ddd, J 0.8, 5.0, 8.2 Hz, H-3), 5.57 (1H, dd, J 5.1, 8.2 Hz, H-4), 4.63–4.45 (2H, m, H-6 and H-6'), 3.67 (3H, s, OMe), 3.13 (1H, s, $C\equiv CH$), 1.44–1.37 (1H, m, H-5), 1.15 (1H, dd, J 0.8, 8.2 Hz, H-2); δ_C (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) 171.9, 147.4, 132.7, 130.7, 125.6, 122.0, 119.3, 86.0, 85.6, 83.4, 78.0, 55.0, 52.8, 51.9, 47.1. HRMS (ESI): $M + Na^+$, found 456.0252. $C_{20}H_{15}N_3O_5FeNa$ requires 456.0253].

4.22. (Tricarbonyl)methyl 6-[6-methoxy-2-naphthyl]-1*H*-1,2,3-triazole-2*E*,4*E*-hexadienoate)iron ($\pm$)-**25d**

The reaction of ($\pm$)-**14e** (20 mg, 0.065 mmol) with 2-ethynyl-6-methoxy-naphthalene (18 mg, 0.099 mmol) in the presence of copper (I) iodide (2 mg, 10 mol%) was carried out in a fashion similar to the preparation of **25a**. Purification of the residue by column chromatography (SiO₂, hexanes–ethyl acetate gradient = 2:1 $\rightarrow$ pure ethyl acetate) gave ($\pm$)-**25d** (16 mg, 0.033 mmol, 50%) as a yellow solid. mp 151–154 °C; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 8.25 (1H, s, naphthyl-H), 7.90–7.86 (2H, m, naphthyl-H and triazole-H), 7.80–7.76 (2H, m, naphthyl-H), 7.18–7.13 (2H, m, naphthyl-H), 5.91 (1H, ddd, J 1.2, 5.2, 8.1 Hz, H-3), 5.58 (1H, ddd, J 0.8, 5.1, 8.3 Hz, H-4), 4.65–4.45 (2H, m, H-6 and H-6'), 3.93 (3H, s, OMe), 3.66 (3H, s, OMe), 1.48–1.41 (1H, m, H-5), 1.16 (1H, dd, J = 1.2, 8.0 Hz, H-2); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 172.1, 158.0, 148.4, 134.5, 129.7, 129.0, 127.4, 125.6, 124.4, 119.4, 118.9, 105.8, 86.1, 85.6, 55.3, 52.8, 51.8, 47.0. HRMS (ESI): $M + \text{Na}^+$, found 512.0515. C₂₃H₁₉N₃O₆FeNa requires 512.0515.

4.23. (Tricarbonyl)methyl 6-[4-(3,17-dihydroxyestra-1,3,5(10)-trien-17-yl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazole-2*E*,4*E*-hexadienoate)iron **25e**

The reaction of ($\pm$)-**14e** (23 mg, 0.074 mmol) with 17 α -ethynylestradiol (33 mg, 0.11 mmol) in the presence of copper (I) iodide (2 mg, 10 mol%) was carried out in a fashion similar to the preparation of **25a**. Purification of the residue by column chromatography (SiO₂, hexanes-ethyl acetate gradient = 2:1 $\rightarrow$ pure ethyl acetate) gave **25e** (16 mg, 0.027 mmol, 36%) as a yellow oil. This was determined to be a mixture of [diastereomeric](#) complexes by NMR spectroscopy. δ_{H} (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.49 and 7.47 (1H, 2 $\times$ s, triazole-H), 7.05 (1H, d, J 8.4 Hz, ArH), 6.63–6.52 (2H, m, ArH), 5.91 (1H, dd, J 8.2, 5.3 Hz, H-3), 5.55 (1H, dd, J 8.2, 5.3 Hz, H-4), 5.19 (1H, s, OH), 4.51 (2H, d, J 7.0 Hz, H-6 and H-6'), 3.67 (3H, s, OMe), 2.85–2.65 (3H, m), 2.50–2.35 (1H, m), 2.22–2.06 (2H, m), 2.03–1.82 (3H, m), 1.64–1.29 (8H, m), 1.14 (1H, d, J 8.2 Hz, H-2), 1.05 (3H, s, Me-18), 0.73 (1H, br t, J 7.0 Hz). δ_{C} (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 172.3, 154.3, 153.7, 138.5, 132.7, 126.7, 121.0, 115.5, 112.9, 86.2, 85.7, 82.8, 55.7, 53.0, 52.2, 48.6, 47.5, 47.3, 43.4, 39.7, 38.3, 33.1, 29.9, 27.5, 26.4, 23.6, 14.4. HRMS (ESI): $M + \text{Na}^+$, found 626.1558. C₃₀H₃₃N₃O₇FeNa requires 626.1560].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health ([GM-42641](#)), National Science Foundation ([CHE-0848870](#)) and NSF instrumentation grant ([CHE-0521323](#)). [High-resolution mass spectra](#) were obtained at the Old Dominion University COSMIC facility.

References

- 1(a) R. Gree *Synthesis* (1989), pp. 341-355 (b) R. Gree, J.P. Lellouche L.S. Liebeskind (Ed.), *Advances in Metal-organic Chemistry*, vol. 4, JAI Press, Greenwich, CT (1995), pp. 129-273 (c) C. Iwata, Y. Takemoto *Chem Commun* (1996), pp. 2497-2504 (d) W.A. Donaldson *Aldrichim Acta*, 30 (1997), pp. 17-24 (e) L.R. Cox, S.V. Ley *Chem Soc Rev*, 27 (1998), pp. 301-314 (f) W.A. Donaldson *Curr Org Chem*, 4 (2000), pp. 837-868 (g)

W.A. Donaldson, S. Chaudhury Eur J Org Chem (2009), pp. 3831-3843 (h) H.-J. Knoelker Third Manual M. Schosser (Ed.), Organometallics in Synthesis, John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey (2013), pp. 545-776

- [2](#) T.S. Sorenson, C.R. Jablonski J Organomet Chem, 25 (1970), pp. C62-C66
- [3](#) Pathway A. (a) C. Tao, W.A. Donaldson J Org Chem, 58 (1993), pp. 2134-2143 (b) S. Li, W.A. Donaldson Synthesis (2003), pp. 2064-2068 (c) N. Kausch-Busies, B. Kater, J.M. Heudorfl, A. Prokop, H.G. Schmalz Eur J Org Chem (2011), pp. 1133-1139
- [4](#) Pathway B. (a) W.A. Donaldson, M. Ramaswamy Tetrahedron Lett, 30 (1989), pp. 1339-1342 (b) M.A. Hossain, M.-J. Jin, W.A. Donaldson J Organomet Chem, 630 (2001), pp. 5-10
- [5](#) Pathway C.: (a) M. Laabassi, R. Gree Bull Soc Chim Fr, 129 (1992), pp. 151-156 (b) W.A. Donaldson, L. Shang, C. Tao, Y.K. Yun, M. Ramaswamy, V.G. Young Jr. J Organomet Chem, 539 (1997), pp. 87-98 (c) Y.K. Yun, K. Godula, Y. Cao, W.A. Donaldson J Org Chem, 68 (2003), pp. 901-910 (d) L. Motiei, I. Marek, H.E. Gottlieb, V. Marks, J.-P. Lellouche Tetrahedron Lett, 44 (2003), pp. 5909-5912 (e) N.J. Wallock, W.A. Donaldson Org Lett, 7 (2005), pp. 2047-2049 (h) R.K. Pandey, S. Lindeman, W.A. Donaldson Eur J Org Chem (2007), pp. 3829-3831 (i) T.A. Siddiquee, J.M. Lukesh, S. Lindeman, W.A. Donaldson J Org Chem, 72 (2007), pp. 9802-9803
- [6](#) (a) J. Morey, D. Gree, P. Mosset, L. Toupet, R. Gree Tetrahedron Lett, 28 (1987), pp. 2959-2962 (b) P. Pinsard, J.-P. Lellouche, J.-P. Beaucourt, L. Toupet, L. Schio, R. Gree J Organomet Chem, 371 (1989), pp. 219-231 (c) W.A. Donaldson, L. Shang, M. Ramaswamy, C.A. Droste, C. Tao, D.W. Bennett Organometallics, 14 (1995), pp. 5119-5126
- [7](#) J.M. Lukesh, W.A. Donaldson **The reactions of cation 7 with MeLi or MeLi/CuBr, the decomplexation of the (pentenediyl)iron complex 9a and the olefin cross-metathesis of (+)-17a to afford the C9-C16 segment of ambruticin were previously reported in communication form** Chem Commun (2005), pp. 110-112
- [8](#) For compounds which are racemic mixtures of enantiomers, only one enantiomer has been pictured for clarity.
- [9](#) CCDC 1537729 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.
- [10](#) J.T. Wasicak, R.A. Craig, R. Henry, B. Dasgupta, H. Li, W.A. Donaldson Tetrahedron, 53 (1997), pp. 4185-4198
- [11](#) (a) R.E. Lehmann, T.M. Bockman, J.K. Kochi J Am Chem Soc, 112 (1990), pp. 458-459 (b) R.E. Lehmann, J.K. Kochi Organometallics, 10 (1991), pp. 190-202
- [12](#) D.W. Lee, C.F. Manful, J.R. Gone, Y. Ma, W.A. Donaldson Tetrahedron, 72 (2016), pp. 753-759
- [13](#) Y.K. Yun, H. Barmann, W.A. Donaldson Organometallics, 20 (2001), pp. 2409-2412
- [14](#) K. Konno, T. Fujishima, S. Maki, *et al.* J Med Chem, 43 (2000), p. 4247
- [15](#) S. Hanessian, T. Focken, X. Mi, *et al.* J Org Chem, 75 (2010), pp. 5601-5618 See references in this article for other syntheses of ambruticin
- [16](#) S. Ye, Z.Z. Huang, C.A. Xia, Y. Tang, L.X. Dai J Am Chem Soc, 124 (2002), pp. 2432-2433

- [17](#) (a) E. Szanti-Pinter, J. Wouters, A. Gomory, *et al.* Steroids, 104 (2015), pp. 284-293 (b) E. Szanti-Pinter, Z. Csok, L. Kollar, K. Vekey, R. Skoda-Foldes J Organomet Chem, 718 (2012), pp. 105-107 (c) E. Szanti-Pinter, J. Balogh, Z. Csok, L. Kollar, A. Gomory, R. Skoda-Foldes Steroids, 76 (2011), pp. 1377-1382 (d) L. Kocsis, I. Szabo, S. Bosze, T. Jerni, F. Hudecz, A. Csampai Bioorg Med Chem Lett, 26 (2016), pp. 946-949 (e) S. Trakossas, E. Coutouli-Argyropoulou, D.J. Hadjipavlou-Litina Tetrahedron Lett, 52 (2011), pp. 1673-1676 (f) V.S. Sudhir, N.Y.P. Kumar, S. Chandrasekaran Tetrahedron, 66 (2010), pp. 1327-1334 (g) V.S. Sudhir, C. Venkateswarlu, O.T.M. Musthafa, S. Sampath, S. Chandrasekaran Eur J Org Chem (2009), pp. 2120-2129 (h) J.M. Casas-Solvas, A. Vargas-Berenguel, L.F. Capitan-Vallvey, F. Santoyo-Gonzalez Org Lett, 6 (2004), pp. 3687-3690
- [18](#) (a) H. El Amouri, A. Vessieres, D. Vichard, S. Top, M. Gruselle, G. Jaouen J Med Chem, 35 (1992), pp. 3130-3135 (b) G. Jaouen, A. Vessieres, S. Top, M. Savignac, A.A. Ismail Organometallics, 6 (1987), pp. 1985-1987 (c) D. Osella, O. Gambino, C. Nervi, E. Stein, G. Jaouen, A. Vessieres Organometallics, 13 (1994), pp. 3110-3114 (d) B. Ferber, S. Top, A. Vessieres, R. Welter, G. Jaouen Organometallics, 25 (2006), pp. 5730-5739 (e) L.G. Luyt, H.M. Bigott, M.J. Welch, J.A. Katzenellenbogen Bioorg Med Chem, 11 (2003), pp. 4977-4989 (f) S. Top, H. El Hafa, A. Vessieres, *et al.* J Am Chem Soc, 117 (1995), pp. 8372-8380 (g) S. Top, H. El Hafa, A. Vessieres, M. Huche, J. Vaissermann, G. Jaouen Chem Eur J, 8 (2002), pp. 5241-5249
- [19](#) (a) D.A. Brown, N.J. Fitzpatrick, W.K. Glass, P.K. Sayal Organometallics, 3 (1983), pp. 1137-1144 (b) A.J. Pearson, K. Srinivasan J Org Chem, 57 (1992), pp. 3965-3973
- [20](#) X. Creary, A. Anderson, C. Brophy, Crowell, Z. Funk J Org Chem, 77 (2012) 8756–8751
- [21](#) V.V. Rostovtsev, L.G. Green, V.V. Fokin, K.B. Sharpless Angew Chem Int Ed, 41 (2002), pp. 2596-2599