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Abstract

Noncovalent forces such as hydrogen bonding, halogen bonding, \(\pi-\pi\) stacking, and \(\text{C-H/\pi}\) and \(\text{C-H/O}\) interactions hold the key to such chemical processes as protein folding, molecular self-assembly, and drug–substrate interactions. Invaluable insight into the nature and strength of these forces continues to come from the study of isolated molecular clusters. In this work, we report on a study of the isolated anisole–methane complex, where both \(\text{C-H/\pi}\) and \(\text{C-H/O}\) interactions are possible, using a combination of theory and experiments that include mass-selected two-color resonant two-photon ionization spectroscopy, two-color appearance potential (2CAP) measurements, and velocity mapped ion imaging (VMI). Using 2CAP and VMI, we derive the binding energies of the complex in ground, excited, and cation radical states. The experimental values from the two methods are in excellent agreement, and they are compared with selected theoretical values calculated using density functional theory and ab initio methods. The optimized ground-state cluster geometry, which is consistent with the experimental observations, shows methane sitting above the ring, interacting with anisole via both \(\text{C-H/\pi}\) and \(\text{C-H/O}\) interactions, and this dual mode of interaction is reflected in a larger ground-state binding energy as compared with the prototypical benzene–methane system.
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Introduction

The characterization of isolated molecular clusters provides important insights into the nature and strength of intermolecular forces and noncovalent interactions,(1−5) which, in turn, impact a variety of fields, including protein folding,(6) supramolecular chemistry and molecular self-assembly,(7,8) crystal engineering,(9−11) and drug–substrate interactions.(12−14) Moreover, the study of intermolecular binding interactions and energetics in isolated clusters affords insight into the complex reality of solute–solvent interactions in condensed phases.(15,16) A variety of distinct noncovalent interactions are important, including hydrogen bonding,(17) halogen bonding and other \(\sigma\)-hole-type interactions,(18−20) \(\pi-\pi\) interactions,(3) \(\text{C-H-\pi}\) interactions,(10,21−28) and \(\text{C-H-O}\) interactions,(29,30) which can act in concert or in competition.

Many prior studies of weakly bound clusters have utilized a reference chromophore, often consisting of an aromatic moiety that can be spectroscopically detected via fluorescence or resonant ionization methods, bound to different species (e.g., rare gas, diatomic, or small polyatomic molecules) to probe the structure and strength of the interaction mechanism.(2,16,30−36) Isolated clusters can be readily prepared experimentally through use of jet-cooled supersonic expansions (molecular beams),(5,16,37−39) with electronic or infrared spectroscopy used to classify spectral changes of the chromophore upon cluster formation. The advent of velocity mapped ion imaging (VMI) has provided another tool for investigating the binding energies of clusters,(40−44) which the
Reisler group has exploited to measure the binding energies of a variety of model hydrogen-bonded complexes.\cite{45–51} In the present article, we utilize anisole as a chromophore and employ resonant 2-photon ionization (R2PI) and VMI methods to probe the anisole–methane complex. Given that anisole possesses two sites that can act as proton acceptors, the electron lone pair on oxygen and its aromatic electron system, both C–H–π and C–H–O interactions may be expected. While relatively weak in comparison with hydrogen bonding, these are nonetheless important types of noncovalent interactions.\cite{10,21,22,29,30}

Anisole is a prototypical aromatic chromophore,\cite{52} readily detected via R2PI methods, and relevant for studying different intermolecular interactions, as evident by the variety of reported studies on the formation and characterization of molecular complexes of anisole with simple systems including CO$_2$,\cite{33} NH$_3$,\cite{53} H$_2$O,\cite{31} and Ar.\cite{54} In addition, the anisole dimer has been extensively studied.\cite{32,35,55} With two main primary sites available as proton acceptors, it is noteworthy that the anisole–H$_2$O 1:1 complex exhibits a hydrogen bond with the oxygen lone pair, while the anisole–NH$_3$ 1:1 complex is of a π-type. Motivated by these studies, we sought to consider the anisole–CH$_4$ 1:1 complex, specifically as to how the geometry and C–H–π binding characteristics would be influenced by the methoxy substituent, in comparison to prior theoretical and experimental studies of aliphatic C–H/π-type clusters of methane with various aromatics.\cite{56–59}

Thus, in this work we present a combined experimental and theoretical investigation of the anisole–methane van der Waals complex. Experimentally, the spectroscopy and binding energies of the complex were determined using R2PI and VMI methods. First, the electronic spectrum of the complex was obtained using two-color R2PI (2CR2PI) spectroscopy with an ionizing photon of fixed wavelength (266 nm). Ionization potentials of the anisole monomer and anisole–methane complex were determined using ion yield spectra measured with 2CR2PI spectroscopy, using a tunable ionizing photon. Then, two-color appearance potential (2CAP) measurements were conducted on the isolated anisole–methane cluster to determine the binding energy in the ground (S$_0$) state, from which upper limits on binding energies in the first excited (S$_1$) and cation radical (D$_0$) states were obtained using a thermochemical cycle analysis. As a further check, we determined the binding energy in the D$_0$ state using VMI, which following application of the thermochemical cycle gave consistent values for the binding energy in all three component states. The experimental results were compared with theoretical predictions based on dispersion-corrected density functional theory (DFT), as well as ab initio methods.

**Experimental and Theoretical Methods**

We conducted several types of experiments for this work. R2PI and associated studies were performed in a 1 m linear time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometer, which has previously been described in detail.\cite{2} In 2CR2PI experiments, the first photon, resonant with the S$_0$–S$_1$ transition, came from the frequency-doubled output of a Nd:YAG pumped dye laser (Lambda-Physik Scanmate 2E pumped by the third harmonic of a Quantel Q-Smart 850 Nd:YAG laser). The second ionizing photon came from either the 266 nm output of a second Nd:YAG system (Continuum Minilite) or the tunable frequency-doubled output of a second Nd:YAG pumped dye laser (Sirah Cobra-Stretch pumped by the second harmonic of a Spectra-Physics INDI Nd:YAG laser). The excitation and ionization lasers were overlapped spatially and temporally and counter-propagated through the TOF spectrometer. Temporal control of the experiment was achieved by use of an eight-channel digital pulse/delay generator (Berkeley Nucleonics 565).

Initially, electronic spectra of anisole and the anisole–methane complex were obtained using 2CR2PI spectroscopy with 266 nm ionization, monitoring the monomer or complex mass, respectively. These spectra are shown in the lower panels of Figure 1. Ionization yield spectra (Figure 1, upper panels) were then obtained using a tunable ionization laser, where the pump laser wavelength ($\lambda_1$) was set on resonance of the monomer or complex, and the ionizing wavelength ($\lambda_2$) was scanned through the ionization threshold, while monitoring the
appropriate mass channel. Note that, for the electronic spectra in Figure 1, we use traditional spectroscopic units of inverse centimeters, whereas the ion yield spectra are given in electronvolts, with the energy representing the sum of the two photon energies. The counterpropagating lasers were loosely focused into the extraction region via 1.0 m (pump) or 2.0 m (probe) plano-convex focusing lenses and intersected perpendicularly with the supersonic molecular beam. In two-color experiments, the energy of the pump laser was attenuated using a polarizer such that no one-color signal from the pump laser was observed. The molecular beam was formed by passing a premix of 10% methane in Ar carrier gas, at backing pressures ranging between 40 and 80 psi, through a bubbler containing liquid anisole (Sigma-Aldrich, 99% purity) held in a refrigerated bath maintained at −5 °C. This mixture was expanded into the source chamber via the 0.8 mm diameter orifice of a pulsed nozzle (General Valve series 9), passing into the extraction region through a 1.5 mm diameter conical nickel skimmer (Beam Dynamics).

One complication observed was the presence of an anisole hot band, likely the \(6\text{B}_\text{ν} \) transition, which overlapped the origin band of the anisole–methane complex. While this, of course, was not a hindrance for spectroscopic experiments where the cluster ion was detected, it proved a nuisance in determination of the complex dissociation energy using the 2CAP and VMI methods, where the \textit{monomer} ion was detected. There, we found it necessary to operate at higher backing pressures and tweak the nozzle-laser delay conditions to minimize the intensity of this feature.

Velocity-mapped ion imaging experiments were performed in a separate, newly constructed spectrometer that utilized the same molecular beam source. The source chamber was differentially pumped by a 6 in. diffusion pump (VHS-6), and the molecular beam entered the ionization region by passing through a 1.5 mm diameter conical nickel skimmer (Beam Dynamics). The ion optic assembly, contained in a large cylindrical chamber pumped by an 8 in. diameter turbomolecular pump, consisted of a set of eleven 100 mm diameter, 1.5 mm thick polished steel electrodes. Electrodes 1–5 were separated by ceramic spacers 6.35 mm in diameter and 20 mm in length, while the remaining electrodes were separated by spacers of the same diameter and 25 mm in length. This electrode stack was mounted via another set of 25 mm long spacers onto a large (200 mm diameter, 2.0 mm thick) mounting plate. The central holes in these plates were 5 mm for the mounting plate, and, respectively, 5 mm for the first electrode, 25 mm for the second and third electrodes, and 40 mm for the remaining electrodes. Prior to mounting, the electrodes were coated with a thin layer of colloidal graphite.

The laser(s) crossed the molecular beam between the first (repeller) and second (extractor) electrode, at a point 150 mm downstream of the skimmer orifice. In the experiments reported here, the repeller and extractor electrodes were biased at 2000 V and \(~1380\) V, respectively, with the voltages tuned precisely (in ±1 V
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increments, using Stanford Research Systems PS325 high-voltage power supplies) to obtain velocity mapping conditions. The remaining electrodes were grounded. To aid initially in finding the appropriate velocity mapping conditions, ion trajectories were simulated using SIMION 8.1 software.

The imaging detector was contained in a separate chamber pumped by a 6 in. turbomolecular pump, which could be isolated from the main flight tube using a gate valve. The base pressure in the detection region was typically 1 × 10^{-8} Torr. The detector was mounted coaxially with the molecular beam at a point 1.3 m downstream of the skimmer and consisted of a VID275 camera system (Photek), which incorporated a dual 75 mm chevron microchannel plate (MCP) stack interfaced with an image intensifier and IDS UI3060 camera. The MCP input was grounded, and the front and rear MCP voltages were typically set at 850 and 1700 V, respectively. The screen voltage was typically set at 5000 V; all voltages were supplied via a tracking, triple output digital high-voltage power supply (Photek). To collect images only on the mass feature of interest, the rear MCP voltage was lowered by 500 V, and a variable gate pulse of +500 V amplitude was alternating current (AC) coupled to the rear MCP using a separate gate unit.

Ion images were typically acquired over thousands of laser shots (18 000 for a typical 30 min integration), with the signal attenuated to observe only a few ions each laser shot to avoid image blurring from space charge effects. The resultant images were background-subtracted using images obtained with λ2 set below the fragmentation threshold, and the inverse Abel transform of the images was calculated using the pBASEX algorithm in a LABVIEW coded program.(60) To calibrate the images, we measured the binding energy of the anisole–Ar 1:1 complex, which has been precisely determined (with a precision of <1%) using mass-analyzed threshold ionization.(54) The derived instrumental magnification factor was in good agreement with that estimated from SIMION simulations.

To support our experimental findings, electronic structure calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 software package.(61) In a study of the benzene dimer, we previously found that accurate ground- and excited-state energies could be obtained using a simple PBE0 density functional(62–64) augmented with the D3 version of Grimme’s dispersion term.(65) More recent studies of fluorene-based complexes revealed that the CAM-B3LYP-D3 method well-reproduced experimental binding energies across neutral, excited, and cation radical states. Thus, calculations of the ground-state binding energy were initially performed using PBE0-D3 and CAM-B3LYP-D3 methods with QZVPPD and 6-311+g(3df,3pd) basis sets.(66,67) The binding energies were corrected for zero-point energy and basis set superposition error using the counterpoise method—the latter was negligibly small with this quality of basis set. Geometry optimizations employed an ultrafine Lebedev’s grid with 99 radial shells per atom and 590 angular points in each shell; tight cutoffs were employed.

Considering theoretical studies of the cation radical state, earlier studies of π-stacked complexes found that a calibrated(68–70) B1LYP functional with 40% of Hartree–Fock exchange (B1LYP-40) well-reproduced properties of the cation radical state. However, this method performed poorly in the present case, and we thus turned to the MP2 method, which has previously been benchmarked (with aug-cc-pVXZ basis sets, X = D or T) for quantitative evaluation of C–H/π interaction energies.(24) Additionally, other dispersion-corrected DFT methods were used to examine the cation radical state.

**Results and Discussion**

Figure 1 shows an overview of some of the spectroscopic information collected on anisole and the anisole–methane complex, presented with energy ladders for the monomer and complex in the S0, S1, and D0 (cation radical) states. The electronic spectra of anisole, which is similar to that previously reported,(71,72) and the complex were obtained using 2CR2PI spectroscopy as described above, and they are shown in the lower panels in Figure 1. The spectrum of the complex is origin-dominated, indicative of a small geometry change upon
electronic excitation, and the origin is red-shifted by $-64 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ from that of anisole (see also Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). This shift is significantly larger than that observed for the benzene–methane ($-41 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) or toluene–methane ($-43 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) complexes.\(^{(59,73)}\) The $S_1$ vibrational frequencies derived from these spectra, given in Table S1 in the Supporting Information, show very little shift in the low-frequency aromatic ring vibrations upon complexation.

With the electronic spectrum of the complex determined, we performed 2CR2PI measurements of the monomer and complex with a tunable ionizing photon to determine the respective ionization thresholds. Both species exhibited sharp ionization onsets (see the upper panels of Figure 1) from which the following IPs were obtained: anisole, 8.222(5) eV; the anisole–methane complex, 8.186(5) eV—one standard deviation is given in parentheses. As the difference in these values will be emphasized here, our measurements were uncorrected for shifts due to electrostatic fields in the mass spectrometer. Note that our measured value for anisole is slightly lower than prior determinations (8.232 eV).\(^{(74,75)}\)

Following this initial spectroscopic characterization of the complex, we then performed 2CAP measurements.\(^{(39,55,76)}\) In this method, which provides an upper limit to the binding energy in the $S_0$ state and is illustrated in Figure 2A, the excitation wavelength ($\lambda_1$) is fixed on resonance of the complex, and the ionizing photon wavelength ($\lambda_2$) is scanned above the cluster IP while monitoring the yield of the monomer (anisole) cation. The energetic onset marking the appearance of the anisole cation represents the sum of the adiabatic monomer ionization energy (AIE) and ground-state complex binding energy (Figure 2A). In Figure 2B is the measured 2CAP spectrum, in which the $x$-axis scale was set by subtracting the monomer IP from the two-photon energy, yielding a ground-state binding energy (given in eV). Two clear onsets are observed in the 2CAP spectrum. The onset at lower energy represents ionization from a hot-band of the monomer. This could be reduced but not entirely eliminated by varying the expansion conditions. The higher onset represents the true appearance potential of the monomer from cracking of the anisole–methane complex, which was determined via a linear extrapolation as shown. This approach yielded a ground ($S_0$) state binding energy upper limit of 0.060(2) eV, or, in the more traditional unit for complex binding energies, 5.8(2) kJ/mol. Application of the thermochemical cycle shown in Figure 1 then returned binding energy upper limits in $S_1$ and $D_0$ of, respectively, 6.6(2) and 9.2(2) kJ/mol. These are provided in Table 1.
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Table 2. Comparison of Experimental and Computed Binding Energies for the Anisole–Methane Complex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>method</th>
<th>binding energy (kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>experiment (2CAP)</td>
<td>$S_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>experiment (VMI)</td>
<td>5.8(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAM-B3LYP-D3/def2-QZVPPD</td>
<td>5.29(13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An alternative strategy to determine the cluster binding energy in the D₀ state is VMI.\cite{40-44} Here, the complex is selectively excited via two-color excitation to energies above the dissociation energy in D₀, and the kinetic energy (KE) release in the monomeric (anisole) cationic fragment is measured. Under the assumption that the maximum observed kinetic energy release corresponds to the production of two fragments with zero internal energies, that is

$$KE_{\text{max}} = E^+ = E_{\text{photon}} - \text{BE}(D_0) \quad (1)$$

the binding energy (BE) in D₀ is determined. Application of the thermochemical cycle shown in Figure 1 then returns the S₀ and S₁ binding energies. In practice, the VMI method also sets an upper limit to the binding energy, as signal/noise limitations may lead to an observed KE_{\text{max}} smaller than the true value. Moreover, on the one hand, the internal energy distribution in the counter fragment is not known. On the other hand, it is important to limit the number of ions formed per shot, particularly with the long path length employed here, as space charge effects can blur the image, potentially leading to an overestimate of KE_{\text{max}}.

The upper panel of Figure 3 displays VMI images of the anisole cation obtained following ionization of the anisole–methane complex at the total energies shown, while the lower panel displays the kinetic energy distributions obtained from transformation of the images obtained at three wavelengths above threshold. As the total energy increases above threshold, KE_{\text{max}} (eq 1) also clearly increases, as expected. Upon the basis of the observed KE_{\text{max}} and the available total energy, a cluster binding energy in D₀ was determined at each data point and averaged over measurements obtained at different ionizing photon wavelengths. The corresponding binding energy values in S₀ and S₁ were obtained using the thermochemical cycle shown in Figure 1, and all binding energies are recorded with one standard deviation in Table 1. It is immediately noted that the VMI results are in excellent agreement with the values derived from 2CAP measurements.
Figure 3. (upper) Anisole monomer ion images obtained following fragmentation of the ionized anisole–methane complex at four different total energies, corresponding to one energy below (left) and three energies above (lower) the complex fragmentation threshold. $P(E)$ distributions derived following transformation of the images obtained above threshold, as described in the text. The $x$-axis labels the anisole cation kinetic energy in electronvolts. The maximum kinetic energy values derived from these plots were used to calculate the complex binding energy in the D$_0$ state, as described in the text.

Shown also in Table 1 are a selection of calculated binding energies obtained at different levels of theory. Unless otherwise noted, these were obtained following complete geometry optimization of the complex and monomers, and they are corrected for zero-point energy and basis set superposition error (BSSE) using the counterpoise method. Note that the optimized cluster structure was similar among the various methods. Focusing first on the ground (S$_0$) state, the calculated binding energies are largely in good agreement with experiment, ranging from 5.2 to 6.6 kJ/mol. Figure 4 shows two views of the optimized structure of the complex at the PBE0-D3/def2-QZVPPD level of theory, where the methane is sitting above the plane of the ring, with one C–H group interacting with the O atom and a second interacting with the π-system of the aromatic ring (Figure 4A). This is more clearly seen in the alternate view shown in Figure 4B.

Figure 4. (A) Optimized structure of the ground (S$_0$) state of the anisole–methane complex at the PBE0-D3/def2-QZVPPD level of theory. (B) Alternate view illustrating the presence of both C–H/O and C–H/π interactions in the complex.

With respect to the D$_0$ state, the structure of the cation radical was optimized at various levels of theory, and the binding energies derived (Table 1) were corrected for zero-point energy and BSSE using the counterpoise correction. Here spin-unrestricted wave functions were employed, and it was assumed in defining the fragments that the ionized complex consisted of an anisole cation radical and neutral methane. The optimized structure at the UMP2/aug-cc-pVDZ level, shown in Figure S2 in the Supporting Information, is similar to that of the ground state, consistent with our expectation of a modest geometry change from S$_0$ to D$_0$ based upon the origin-dominated S$_0$–S$_1$ transition and relatively sharp ionization onset observed in the experimental data (Figure 1). The calculated binding energies using various DFT methods are largely in good agreement with experiment,
while the single-point UMP2/aug-cc-pVTZ energy calculated based upon the structure optimized at the UMP2/aug-cc-pVDZ level is \( \sim 25\% \) smaller than measured experimentally. In future studies, we plan a more comprehensive theoretical treatment of this complex, which will also examine the binding energy in \( S_1 \).

While there are very few direct binding energy measurements of aromatic–methane clusters,\(^{39}\) it is instructive to compare our results with prior experimental and theoretical studies of the related methane–benzene system. Here, ab initio calculations suggest that the ground state adopts a \( C_3v \) structure, where the methane sits above the ring, with one methane C–H bond interacting via C–H/π interaction with the aromatic ring.\(^{56}\) Experimental measurement of the ground-state (\( S_0 \)) binding energy using mass-analyzed threshold ionization (MATI) spectroscopy gives values of 4.31–4.73 kJ/mol,\(^{24}\) in good agreement with the estimated CCSD(T) theoretical value at the complete basis set limit.\(^{56}\) While experimentally measured binding energies of model C–H/O systems involving methane are lacking, theoretical calculations at the MP2 level suggest a binding energy for the \( CH_4–H_2O \) complex of \( \sim 1 \) kJ/mol.\(^{77}\) Thus, in comparison with benzene–methane, the larger ground-state binding energy measured here for anisole–methane is consistent with the dual role of C–H/π and C–H/O interactions in stabilizing the complex.

**Conclusions**

We have reported an experimental and theoretical investigation of the anisole–methane complex, a prototypical system for examining C–H/π and C–H/O interactions acting in concert. Indeed, the optimized ground-state structure of the complex, which gives a binding energy in excellent agreement with experiment, shows that both types of interactions are operative. Using two-color appearance potential and velocity mapped ion imaging experiments, we have derived binding energies for the complex in ground (\( S_0 \)), excited (\( S_1 \)), and cation radical (\( D_0 \)) states. These are largely in good agreement with theoretical expectations.

**Supporting Information**

One table of vibrational frequencies for the anisole–methane complex and two additional figures. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: [10.1021/acs.jpca.9b01020](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.9b01020). (PDF)
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