Clinical Research by the Practicing Physician: Why and How?

Harold B. Haley
Clinical Research by the Practicing Physician: Why and How

Harold B. Haley, M.D.

The private practitioner in a community hospital can perform worthwhile and interesting research. In this presentation I am not referring to research that requires an electron microscope, a Ph.D. in biochemistry, or a mainframe computer. Rather, I am discussing the doctor's search for answers to questions which arise in practice when eye and brain are attuned to looking for them.

THE WHY

Why should a practicing physician want to do research? There are many reasons, any one of which can be of primary importance to the individual concerned. Some of them are: (1) An alert physician may observe a clinical phenomenon (a new symptom complex, an unusual response to a treatment or drug) and be stimulated to study it further to determine incidence, significance, or mechanisms involved. To make an observation and follow it up is, clinically, intellectually, and philosophically, it is search for truth. (2) The sense of achievement and professional satisfaction of individually making a contribution to medical knowledge. (3) Research serves as a means of keeping the non-partisan, the non-passive onlooker, attuned to looking for them. The search for an answer can become secondarily a hobby in medicine, providing a focus of interest and an area in which to daydream and think away from the immediate pressures of the day. For some physicians, research effort after years of successful practice can provide a psychologically needed shift of emphasis.

The strongest motivations for doing research are the rigorous stimulus it gives to one's practice, thinking, and living and the opportunity it gives the individual to contribute to medical progress.

For the inquisitive mind of the practicing physician to go beyond mere curiosity and sincerely join the search for truth, he must have a disciplined attitude and a compulsive feeling toward his research. Since his primary responsibility is the care of patients, research time has many competitors—office hours, family time, recreation, etc. Choice must be made. Persistence is a major factor in achievement.

THE HOW

One type of research that fits the area of this discussion is based primarily on the record room, studying a series of cases in the local context against observations, findings, and results commonly reported from the large centers. Another type is research in which the physician-investigator either records specific clinical observations or carries out laboratory studies on clinical material. In this discussion I am specifically not referring to so-called clinical testing of new drugs. Recent unfortunate events have produced chaos of medical-legal, emotional, and other factors confusing this area. Clinical pharmacology, as a specific field, is developing new criteria and sounder procedures; but this will not be a fruitful area for many solo practitioners.

Record room—The recent provocative study from community hospitals in Rockford, Illinois, on results of different modes of treating cancer of the breast has stimulated studies by other groups and awakened considerable controversy.1 This study appears to indicate that the type of operation performed for breast cancer doesn't affect the long-term results. Reanalysis of the same raw data was made by outside statisticians, who confirmed the conclusions of the original study.2 This study clearly indicates the need for a statistically valid study of alternate cases to compare meaningfully the relative efficiency of radical mastectomy, simple mastectomy, and simple mastectomy plus X-irradiation in the treatment of breast cancer.

Compilation of experiences with conditions prevalent in a community can be worthwhile—e.g., farm injuries or infectious diseases localized to certain areas.

Reports at 5-year intervals by Snodgrass, Munn, and Flarity, from Rock County, Wisconsin, have provided valuable information on results of community hospital treatment of appendicitis and surgery of the gallbladder.3456 These authors

point out the importance of evaluation at the community level, where most surgery is performed under circumstances unlike those in major medical centers where most reports come from. Studies such as these can be immensely educational to the original investigator and provide interesting clinical information to other physicians as well.

Library — Since research is a discipline requiring training and/or guidance, the primary problem for the physician is how to get started. The most important requirement is to observe patients and consciously look for problems to be studied. Once an idea has been considered and reconsidered, access to a good medical library is essential. A day or two spent in a medical center with the Index Medicus, Chemical Abstracts, Biological Abstracts, Science Citation Index, Excerpta Medica, and other indices can be most valuable. The American Medical Association maintains a library service which, in response to a request from an A.M.A. member on his letterhead, searches the literature and compiles bibliographies on specific subjects, as well as lending books and journals. The American College of Surgeons, which maintains a library devoted to the history of medicine and surgery, will assist research in this area. A package library service on clinical subjects which is maintained by the Texas Medical Association Memorial Library (1801 North Lamar Boulevard, Austin 1, Texas) is accessible also to Fellows of the College. The American Dental Association serves dentists on an interlibrary loan basis. The National Library of Medicine is developing a computer service which will greatly facilitate the gathering of material in specific areas of research. It also lends books and prepares some bibliographies which are available on an interlibrary basis.

Various commercial book series, such as major encyclopedias, and the publications of such companies as the W. F. Prior Co., Inc., include abstracting or photostat services. The supervisor of the medical section of the local public library will help you obtain pertinent publications. To find most good research can be found in a good library. With the rapid development of inexpensive high-quality copy techniques we can expect expansion of reprint and photoduplication services. Lastly, and importantly, medical school libraries are usually most willing to help their alumni.

Collaboration and cooperation — The how of a particular study may require collaboration with other individuals, the pathologist, radiologist, and director of laboratories in your hospital will be the ones most often involved. I have been impressed with the amount of interest these people have in this kind of study. Many times arrangements for serum tests, histological preparations, and the like can be made with them. The science departments of local small college might on occasion also be interested in collaborative studies.

A major source of help is the expert working in the field of your interest. Such an individual can be identified from the bibliography you will be accumulating or from local reputation. When you have lived with your idea long enough and have done sufficient reading to have knowledge and understanding of the field, you may write this person of your desire to meet with him. Such an arrangement may be made during a vacation; or, at a medical meeting, you may approach a speaker at the end of his presentation of material on a subject related to yours. I have been tremendously impressed with the good will and free exchange of information among scientists. Of course, you must be serious, know your field, and be conscious of the time of the person you are approaching. A consultant's time should not be imposed upon until one has done extensive reading and planning and some actual work on the project. Until an investigator gets his hands dirty in it, no problem has real meaning for him.

Clinical observation — My interest in the subject of this paper arose in 1956 when, at the American Medical Association convention, while studying the scientific exhibits, I came across one by Dr. A. W. Graham, of Chisholm, Minnesota. Dr. Graham was a small-town practitioner, still active although in his seventies. His exhibit consisted of hand-lettered posters, in considerable contrast to a plush, heavily artistic government-agency exhibit located next to his. Dr. Graham's exhibit was based on the fact that for 39 years he recorded pressures in three generations of some families. He recorded the pressures of children of hypertensive parents. He had previously published a significant study showing a gradual increase in blood pressure as children became older. This was based on yearly examination of children in school from ages five to 16.7 He had clinical fun with this throughout his practice. He also was presenting a longitudinal study in depth of information not usually available. This term "clinical fun" is one possible description of the enthusiasm and pleasure that some physician-investigators derive from their productive efforts.

We have had a recent personal example of an exercise in clinical observation. A patient admitted with acute large-bowel obstruction underwent emergency surgery for relief of obstruction. Two further laparotomies were necessary for repeated obstruction. No definite point of obstruction was ever seen. The operative note at time of the first obstruction stated that two gallons of ascitic fluid were removed from the patient. This occurred in a municipal hospital, and at change of service a few weeks later the oncoming team recognized that the patient had clinical myxedema. In the patient presenting with acute intestinal obstruction, myxedema had not been clinically obvious; when new doc-

themselves to treating the unobstructed patient for the first time, the clinical diagnosis was made and confirmed by laboratory studies. This one case. Could the intestinal obstruction have been due to myxedematous changes in the wall of the intestine, and what was the significance of the two gallons of ascitic fluid? Examination of the hospital records found one other case of proven myxedema with ascites. This then led to search of the literature and the finding that there were a few isolated reports of myxedema with ascites and a very small number of cases of intestinal obstruction apparently due to myxedema. Review of the literature and analysis of our two cases demonstrated, first of all, that these complications of myxedema do occur and, secondly, that the ascitic fluid in myxedema is a high-protein, high-specific gravity fluid. This is not the world's most significant medical finding. On the other hand, it was worthwhile to put it together and to call this specificity to the attention of other physicians.

Our best example is a tragic one. That is the story of thalidomide. A doctor in Germany in a community hospital is discussing the role of the private practitioner in the community hospital is discussing the why and the how are explored. A few of many available examples are discussed to show the physician that this approach can enrich his clinical activity and to stimulate him to efforts in this direction.

The possible research role of the private practitioner in the community hospital is discussed. The why and the how are explored. A few of many available examples are discussed to show the physician that this approach can enrich his clinical activity and to stimulate him to efforts in this direction.

SUMMARY

The possible research role of the private practitioner in the community hospital is discussed. The why and the how are explored. A few of many available examples are discussed to show the physician that this approach can enrich his clinical activity and to stimulate him to efforts in this direction.
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Webster defines research as "a diligent inquiry or examination in seeking facts or principles, the laborious search after truth." In recent years and under the aegis of government grants, the word has assumed an aura of the nebulous. To many practicing doctors, the field seems untouchable, or at least approached with trepidation. It is interesting to examine the facts as they apply to medicine.

In general it must be granted that medicine is, at best, a pseudo-science in which the art of practice is still essential. Three brief examples may be cited to illustrate this truth:

1. T.L.C., tender loving care, unscientific as it may be, is still a basic ingredient in treating the sick.

2. We often treat pain, man's commonest symptom, with a general analgesic such as aspirin even before a diagnosis is attempted. Only when pain persists are laboratory aids sought and they often are not specific but rather suggestive.

3. In obstetrics, we are called upon to estimate fetal size. This may be the important consideration in delivery decisions, yet any honest obstetrician readily admits he can be quite wrong in his guess.

Research activities in medicine have two distinct and generally separate backbones.

A. Scientific. The laboratory is the place in which the immuno- and biochemist, the biologist, the physicist keep opening new doors. Patient contact is occasional, and they speak a language difficult for the clinician to understand. When presented from a lecture platform, their discussions of pyrine rings with carbon substitutions or of the enzymatic importance in biliverdin metabolism are potent sporitics for the practicing physician. His closed eyes and his nodding head must not be misconstrued as lack of appreciation.

B. Clinical. The patient is the foundation for this search after truth. Reactions and responses to drug administration are not mathematical, and uncertainties are corrected only by numbers. Rarely is the clinician a first rate scientist, or the scientist a first rate clinician. In this category of research, attempts to be exact may be as frustrating as impressions gleaned from clinical experience. Truths become partially evident only because the patients who react well far out number those in whom the drug fails.