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# Abstract

5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) has become one of the most widely employed antimetabolite chemotherapeutic agents in recent decades. It is considered a first line antineoplastic agent for the treatment of colorectal cancer. Unfortunately, chemotherapy with 5-FU has several limitations, including its short half-life, high cytotoxicity and low bioavailability. In order to overcome the drawbacks of 5-FU and enhance its therapeutic efficiency, many scientific groups have focused on designing a new delivery system to successfully deliver 5-FU to tumor sites. We provide a comprehensive review on different strategies to design effective delivery systems, including nanoformulations, drug-conjugate formulations and other strategies for the delivery of 5-FU to colorectal cancer. Furthermore, co-delivery of 5-FU with other therapeutics is discussed. This review critically highlights the recent innovations in and literature on various types of carrier system for 5-FU.  
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# Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most prevalent cancer in both men and women, and the second for cancer-related mortality, following lung cancer. Various types of medicine or medicine combinations have been investigated as efficient treatments.1,2 Nanomedicine offers noteworthy solutions and is considered as a magic bullet for drug delivery in order to achieve maximum benefit, while minimizing side effects and improving drug efficacy.3–6 Smart nanoparticulated drug delivery systems (DDSs) (Figure 1) have made a great impact on the safe accumulation of anticancer drugs to the designated region and the delivery of therapeutic agents based on external or internal stimuli.5,7,8

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Figure 1** Some nanoparticulate DDSs. |

5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) has become one of the most widely employed antimetabolite chemotherapeutic agents in recent decades. It has been used as a first line antineoplastic agent in the treatment of several cancers, such as colorectal, breast, head and neck, pancreas and stomach cancers. 5-FU is a water-soluble drug; hence, it is administered intravenously.9,10 However, the appearance of drug resistance is substantial limitation in the clinical usage of 5-FU. For instance, owing to its low bioavailability, the cure rates of 5-FU for advanced CRC are less than 15%. Moreover, 5-FU results in severe toxicological damage to the gastrointestinal (GI) system and blood factors; and neurological, dermatological and cardiological reactions.5,11 Thus, it is essential to develop promising DDSs for 5-FU to achieve a better therapeutic effect with fewer side effects. Another strategy to achieve good results in the treatment of CRC is using fluorouracil substitutes such as TAS-102, which can overcome the short half-life and poor efficacy of 5-FU and have a good targeting effect.12

Although 5-FU is among the superior chemotherapeutic agents for CRC, there are several disadvantages, including rapid metabolism, short half-life (around 10–20 minutes),13 low bioavailability, high cell toxicity and inadequate selectivity for cancerous cells, all of which limit the effectiveness of 5-FU in cancer chemotherapy. Furthermore, oral formulations would result in seriously adverse GI reactions. In order to surmount the disadvantages of 5-FU and enhance its chemotherapeutic efficacy in CRC, many research teams have focused on the development of new 5-FU delivery systems. With this method, the cytotoxic effect of anticancer agents on healthy tissues can be lessened by using specific DDSs7,14,15

In this article, a comprehensive review of the literature, considering contemporary articles on DDSs for 5-FU, is presented. The mechanism of action and resistance to 5-FU are also reviewed. Furthermore, potential strategies to design novel DDSs for 5-FU are discussed. Finally, we provide future outlooks on utilizing DDSs for 5-FU delivery to CRC.

# Mechanism of Action and Resistance to 5-FU

As an analogue of the nucleobase uracil, 5-FU can enter cells via the same mechanism of facilitated transport as uracil.16 Then, 5-FU is converted to fluorodeoxyuridine monophosphate (FdUMP), fluorodeoxyuridine triphosphate (FdUTP) and fluorouridine triphosphate (FUTP), which are the active metabolites of 5-FU. RNA synthesis and the operation of thymidylate synthase (TS) are interrupted by these metabolites (Figure 2).9 By this action, 5-FU can fight cancerous cells.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Figure 2** Mechanism of action of 5-FU to inhibit thymidylate synthase. **Abbreviations:** FdUMP, fluorodeoxyuridine monophosphate; dUTP, deoxyuridine triphosphate; dTMP, deoxythymidine monophosphate; dTTP, deoxythymidine triphosphate methylene; THF, methylene tetrahydrofolate; DHF, dihydrofolate. |

On the other hand, most of the provided 5-FU is catabolized to dihydrofluorouracil (DHFU), which is an inactive metabolite, by dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD). This is a rate-limiting enzyme for 5-FU catabolism and is found to a great extent in liver and cancer cells. Upregulation of DPD gene expression in CRC is associated with 5-FU resistance.17 Therefore, higher doses of 5-FU are needed in CRC with acquired drug resistance (ADR).18

# Potential Strategies to Enhance Chemotherapy by 5-FU

One of the most important purposes of pharmaceutical research is to discover and engineer new materials for formulating 5-FU, in order to minimize side effects but maximize clinical efficacy.19,20 Different methods can be used to construct drug carriers. For example, the use of a core–shell structure, where the specific drug is in the core of the DDS, can efficiently protect the drug from the environment and prolong its circulation time.21 This method results in physical entrapment of drug in the nanoformulation. In addition, using this strategy, passive accumulation of the DDS in the tumor environment can be achieved, which is called the enhanced permeability and retention effect.22,23 Furthermore, targeted delivery of the drug can be achieved by several receptors overexpressed on some tumor cells, such as asialoglycoproteins,24 transferrin,25 integrin receptors26,27 and folate.28

Another strategy used to make drug carrier systems involves chemically binding the drug molecule to a polymer chain to create a polymer–drug conjugate. In this way, burst release from the DDS is inhibited. This method has advantages in increasing the solubility of the drug and tunability of drug pharmacokinetics.22

In this section, we discuss three different types of delivery system used in pharmaceutical research to deliver 5-FU successfully. First, nanotechnology-based 5-FU delivery systems (Table 1) are discussed. Second, microparticulate delivery systems, and third, drug-conjugate delivery of 5-FU are considered and discussed.

**Table 1** Different Nano-Delivery Systems of 5-FU

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Main Format | Structure | Particle Size | Reference |
| Solid Lipid Nanoparticle | Stearic acid, lecithin, poloxamer 188 | 137±5.5 nm to 800±53.6  nm | 32 |
| Chitosan | CS-g-PCL | 61.4–108.6 nm | 33 |
|  | LMWC-4-bromomethyl-3-nitrobenzoic acid | 365 nm | 34 |
|  | CS-PAsp | 85–300 nm | 35 |
|  | CG5-FU-NPs and FCG5-FU-NPs | 31–33 nm | 36 |
| Magnetic Nanocarrier | Fe3O4-encapsulating carbon nanospheres (TMMIPs) | 150 nm | 40 |
|  | Samarium ferrite (SmFeO3) nanoparticles coated with poly | ~50 nm | 48 |
|  | (methylmethacrylate) |  |  |
|  | CEINs–PEI–βCD–FA | ˂100 nm | 49 |
| Molecularly Imprinted | MIP-CS-g-PMMA | 130 nm | 39 |
| Polymer | TMMIPs | 150 nm | 40 |
| Polymeric NP and MP | Poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3 hydroxyhexanoate) (PHBHHx) PLA and PLA-PEG  FA-PLGA | 160 nm and 3 µm  294 nm and 283 nm  224±18 nm | 41  47  42 |
| Protein NP | Bovine serum albumin (BSA) | 210 nm | 43 |
| Dendrimer | PEG-PAMAM  PDEA-mPEG-PAMAM (PPD) | 270–307 nm  43.0 nm at pH 4.0,  41.6 nm at pH 6.5 and  11.6 nm at pH 7.4 | 45  46 |
| Liposome | Transferrin-conjugated liposome | – | 52 |
|  | Folate-PEG-DSPE | 174 nm | 53 |
| Carbon Nanotube Silica NP | Multi-walled CNTs  Epidermal growth factor–hollow mesoporous silica nanoparticles | –  120 nm and  pore size = 2.5 nm | 56  18 |

## Recruitment of Nano-Based Delivery Systems

### *SLN-Based Delivery Systems*

Solid lipid nanoparticles (SLNs), as a colloidal DDS, have many advantages, including the ability to control the drug release pattern and enhance drug stability, and possess a high drug loading capacity.29–31

The incorporation of 5-FU into the shell of SLNs for the treatment of Erlich’s tumor was investigated. The in vitro release behavior of prepared SLNs was improved in comparison with 5-FU alone. Moreover, the sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (NaCMC) gel matrix was used as a suitable medium for SLN diffusion and release. SLN-treated mice exhibited improved treatment efficacy in comparison to 5-FU-injected mice. As a result, SLNs could enhance 5-FU or any other hydrophilic medicine through the local route of administration.32

### *Chitosan as a Polymeric Nanocarrier*

In one study, a series of chitosan-graft-poly(ε-caprolactone) micelles (CS-g-PCL) for 5-FU delivery was prepared. It was found that the properties of the micelles were highly influenced by the length of the hydrophobic chain of the copolymer. These copolymers showed controlled release behavior and interaction levels between copolymers, and 5-FU was a determinant factor in tuning the release rate. 5-FU-loaded micelles displayed adequate biocompatibility and lower cytotoxicity in comparison to free 5-FU as a result of the slow release behavior, yet they were efficient in killing cancerous cells.33

### *Low Molecular Weight Chitosan–5-FU Conjugate*

A prodrug of 5-FU covalently conjugated to low molecular weight chitosan (LMWC) with a photo-responsive linker (attachment of 5-FU with 4-bromomethyl-3-nitrobenzoic acid, which is the cleavable linker) was also reported. The linker was designed to be cleaved using UV light at 365 nm. The release of 5-FU was controlled via monitoring the dose of the external stimulus. The conjugate polymer was more water soluble and had improved cell penetration ability (mean size less than 100 nm) in comparison to LMWC, and produced hydrogel and DMSO-based gel.34

### *Chitosan–PAsp*

Novel non-stoichiometric complex nanoparticles (NPs) based on CS and polyaspartic acid (PAsp) were formulated. All of the in vitro and in vivo analyses of 5-FU-loaded NPs exhibited CS-controlled and slow release of 5-FU, in contrast to 5-FU solution. Furthermore, the area under the curve (AUC) (an important parameter in pharmacokinetics) of the nano-based delivery systems was increased.35

### *Folate-Tagged Chitosan Functionalized Gold NPs (CG-5-FU-NPs and FCG-5-FU-NPs)*

In a study by Akinyelu and Singh, 5-FU was loaded on gold NPs, with CS and folate-tagged CS. This nanostructure had great colloidal stability and pH-dependent drug release behavior. Moreover, the kinetics of drug release was better fitted to a zero-order mechanism. Also, the anticancer effects of CG-5-FU-NPs and FCG-5-FU-NPs were superior to those of free 5-FU in the MCF-7 and HepG-2 cell lines. In addition, the study showed that FCG-5-FU-NPs possessed higher cytotoxicity than CG-5-FU-NPs in MCF-7 cells owing to the existence of folate receptors on these cells.36

### *Surface Molecularly Imprinted Polymer of Chitosan-Grafted Poly(Methyl Methacrylate) (MIP-CS-g-PMMA)*

Molecular imprinting has been used as smart polymers with particular recognition capacities for template molecules.37,38 Zheng et al designed and prepared a novel oral-based colon-targeted 5-FU delivery system based on a molecularly imprinted method using CS-PMMA as the matrix former for delivery of 5-FU through microspheres. The in vitro release mechanism was highly dependent on pH and time. 5-FU did not release at pH 1, slow release was observed at pH 6.8 and fast release was achieved in the simulated colonic fluid (pH 7.4).39

## TMMIPs

A type of Fe3O4-encapsulating carbon nanosphere with a shell of molecularly imprinted polymers, which are dually responsive to temperature and magnetism (TMMIPs), were produced by free radical polymerization. The synthesized nanospheres were used for controlled delivery of 5-FU from aqueous media. These typical core–shell structures had a mean diameter of approximately 150 nm, and the coating layer thickness was about 50 nm.40

## PHBHHx

Several kinds of microparticles (MPs) and NPs based on poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyhexanoate) (PHBHHx) were prepared by a double-emulsion solvent evaporation technique. They were utilized as the carrier of 5-FU. The characterization showed that the synthesized NPs and MPs had a spherical shape and sizes of approximately 160 nm for NPs and 3 µm for MPs. This study revealed that cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) as the emulsifier could enhance the drug loading content in both NPs and MPs. The in vitro drug release behavior was shown to be biphasic, with the initial burst release within 8 hours followed by a slow release rate throughout 24 hours.41

## PLGA-Modified NPs

In a study by Wang et al, a novel conjugate of poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) NPs was prepared. These NPs were conjugated with folic acid (FA), which is a suitable ligand for receptors on HT-29 cancer cells. They used 1,3-diaminopropane as a cross-linker. This cross-linker was used to facilitate the conjugation of FA into PLGA-based 5-FU carriers. This fabrication had a great impact on specific targeted DDSs for antineoplastic agents.42

## BSA NPs (Protein-Based NPs)

Protein-based NPs have attracted growing attention as new DDSs owing to their biodegradability and non-antigenicity. In a study conducted by Maghsoudi et al, 5-FU-loaded BSA NPs were prepared by a phase separation technique. Taguchi’s M16 design of experts was used in order to investigate the effect of process variables on the entrapment efficiency (%EE) of 5-FU. It was found that pH had the most important effect on %EE as the response parameter, and the amount of glutaraldehyde had the least important influence. This 5-FU-loaded BSA had a sustained release behavior, as it was capable of releasing drug based on zero kinetic release up to 20 hours.43

## Calcium Phosphate Nanoparticles (CaP NPs)

CaP NP have attracted great attention for anticancer drug deliveryowing to their biodegradability in nature, controlled release pattern and considerable loading efficiency. As a result, novel 5-FU-loaded CaP NPs (CaP–5-FU NPs) were prepared by a reverse micelle method.44

## PEG-PAMAM

In a study conducted by Bhadra et al, the application of uncoated and PEGylated poly(amidoamine) (PAMAM) dendrimers as 5-FU delivery systems was examined. 4.0 G PAMAM dendrimers were synthesized via Michael addition and exhaustive amidation reactions. The core was ethylenediamine and the propagating agent was methylmethacrylate. In order to PEGylate the dendrimers, carboxymethyl methoxy polyethylene glycol (MPEG-5000), which was previously activated by N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), was used. This reaction revealed enhancement of the drug loading content, controlled drug release behavior and reduced hemolytic toxicity. Also, PEGylation has been indicated to be advisable for fabrication of PAMAM dendrimers in order to reduce hematological disturbance and drug leakage. Moreover, this modification enhanced the drug loading capacity and was determined to be suitable for depot administration of 5-FU delivery systems.45

## PDEA-mPEG-PAMAM (PPD)

As a new fabrication, a core–shell structure by 4.0 G PAMAM, parallel poly(2-(N,N-diethylamino)ethyl methacrylate (PDEA) chains and mPEG chains (as the shell) was prepared. The PDEA chains were used owing to their pH-responsive behavior, and the PEG chains resulted in long circulation in bloodstream to target the tumor region. This polymer was used as the 5-FU carrier. As these nanocarriers were hydrophobic at pH 7.4 and hydrophilic at pH 6.5 (owing to the pH-responsive PDEA chains), NP sizes, drug entrapment and release behavior were highly pH dependent. The release of 5-FU from this system at pH 7.4 was faster than at pH 6.5. Therefore, it is a promising system for antineoplastic drugs with high entrapment efficiency, pH-responsive release and tumor-targeting capability.46

## Poly(Lactic Acid) (PLA) and PLA-PEG

5-FU-loaded PLA or PLA-PEG NPs were prepared via an emulsion solvent evaporation technique. The as-synthesized system could prolong 5-FU release but, surprisingly, did not reduce 5-FU cytotoxicity in the HepG2 cell line. The researchers proved that all the pharmacokinetic parameters after a single oral administration in rats were improved. For instance, 5-FU bioavailability was enhanced four-fold in comparison to free 5-FU. Consequently, PLA and PLA-PEG nanoparticles have great potential as nanocarriers of 5-FU in oral delivery.47

## Magnetic Nanoparticles

In a study by Hariharan et al, a series of 5-FU-loaded samarium ferrite (SmFeO3) nanoparticles coated with poly(methylmethacrylate) was prepared. The drug was attached to the NPs by a host–guest complex with β-cyclodextrin (βCD). The size was about 50 nm, and the NPs showed a superparamagnetic behavior. In vitro cytotoxicity studies demonstrated the conservation of 5-FU potency and activity.48

## CEINs–PEI–βCD–FA

Carbon-encapsulated iron nanoparticles (CEINs) are used as theranostic probes. In a study conducted by Kasprzak et al, CEINs were chosen as the magnetic core of the DDS. In order to modify the surface of CEINs, branched polyethyleneimine (PEI) was utilized. FA was utilized as the targeting ligand, which is covalently attached to the CEINs, while βCD was the host for 5-FU. The release pattern of 5-FU was investigated at various pH levels. By this examination, the highest release was seen at pH 9 and the lowest at pH 4.7. So, this theranostic material has a controlled release behavior and could be a promising DDS in nanomedicine.49 In this kind of NP, the magnetic core was used for diagnosis, and by delivering 5-FU to the target site, this system was named a theranostic agent (having both therapeutic and diagnosis pathways) (Figure 3).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Figure 3** Synthesis of CEINs–PEI–5-FU–βCD–FA nanotheranostic agents.**Note:** Copyright © 2018 Chemistry Select. Reproduce from Kasprzak A, Gunka K, Fronczak M, Bystrzejewski M, Poplawska M. Folic acid-navigated and β-cyclodextrin-decorated carbon-encapsulated iron nanoparticles as the nanotheranostic platform for controlled release of 5-fluorouracil. *Chemistry Select*. 2018;3(38):10821–10830.49 |

## Transferrin-Conjugated Liposomes

Among the different vesicular systems, liposomes have gained interest as a site-specific delivery system, owing to their chemical and structural flexibility, along with their biocompatibility.50,51 In a study carried out by Soni et al, 5-FU-loaded transferrin-coupled liposomes were prepared by the cast film method. The in vivo results indicated a selective uptake of the nanocarrier from the endothelial cells of the brain. Approximately a 10-fold and 17-fold increase in the uptake of 5-FU to the brain after the entrapment by the liposomal system and transferrin-coupled liposomes, respectively, was obtained,.52

## Folate–PEG-DSPE

In a study conducted by Handali et al, optimization of a preparation of 5-FU-loaded phosphatidyl choline (PC) liposome was evaluated. FA was used as the targeting ligand for the surface receptors of HT-29, Caco-2 and MCF-7 cell lines. According to the results, the amount of PC was a significant variable in optimizing particle size and entrapment efficiency. Furthermore, in vitro cytotoxicity assays demonstrated that folate-conjugated liposomes had superior antitumor activity compared to the bare liposomes.53

## OFZG

A phospholipase A2-sensitive amphiphilic prodrug, 1-O-octadecyl-2-(5-fluorouracil)-N-acetyl-3-zidovudine-phosphorylglycerol (OFZG), was prepared and utilized as the nanoassembly during the injection of a mixture of Tween 80/cholesterol/OFZG (0.1:1:2 mol/mol/mol) in water. Secretory phospholipase A2, which cleaves ester, is overexpressed in several types of cancer cells. The nanoassemblies exhibited improved anticancer activity compared to 5-FU in HCT116, HT-28 and COLO205 cell lines. The distribution of OFZG after intravenous administration was mainly in the kidney, spleen, lung and liver; it also exhibited rapid elimination.54

## Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes (MWCNTs)

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs), as a developing type of nanomaterial, have been established as vehicles for gene and drug delivery.55 A group of researchers applied multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) to improve the cytotoxic and antitumoral effects of 5-FU. The drug was physically adsorbed on to MWCNTs, and the effect of drug both in vitro and in vivo was significantly improved. This study suggested that by using MWCNTs as carriers for antineoplastic drug delivery, not only would the efficacy be improved, but also the chances of resistance to cancer cells would be considerably diminished.56

## Silica Nanoparticles (EGF-HMSNs)

Silica NPs provide unique properties as a drug delivery vehicle, including excellent stability and biocompatibility, along with a highly ordered structure of pores with uniform size.57 In order to overwhelm ADR in CRC, a series of hollow mesoporous silica nanoparticles (HMSNs) conjugated with epidermal growth factor (EGF) was recruited as nanovehicles for 5-FU delivery to the SW480/ADR cell line, which is a CRC cell line with overexpression of EGF receptors. This type of nanocarrier was specifically internalized in the cells via receptor-mediated endocytosis and could successfully facilitate endosomal escape; by this method, ADR could be overcome in this cell line, resulting in cell death at the S phase of the cell cycle.18

## MAA–co-IA Hydrogel

A new nanohydrogel based on methacrylic acid (MAA) and itaconic acid (IA), with ethylene glycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA) as a cross-linker, was synthesized for oral administration of 5-FU and leucovorin calcium (LV) to reach to the target site of the colon. This combinatorial DDS had a pH-responsive property to release the cargo at the pH of the colon (pH 7.4), but remain intact at acidic pH. This property was due to the existence of carboxylic acid groups in the structure of this delivery system, which were able to ionize at higher pH. The polymeric network is prone to swelling in this condition, and faster release of the loaded drug would be expected as a consequence of polymer swelling at pH 7.4. The release was better fitted to first order kinetics.58

# Non-Nano-Based Delivery Systems for 5-FU

## Eudragit P-4581F/RS100 Microspheres

A colon-targeted MP, as an oral DDS, was prepared via an easy oil-in-water emulsification process. Eudragit P-4581F, which is a pH-sensitive polymer, and Eudragit RS100, which prolongs drug release, were used in the formulation. This type of microsphere provided a colon-specific delivery system that permits release of the cargo at pH 7.4, and prolonged release at the specific site would be expected owing to the presence of Eudragit RS100. This formulation would be a potential candidate for oral delivery of 5-FU.59

### *Eudragit S100 Electrospun Fibers*

Various series of 5-FU-loaded Eudragit S100 fibers were prepared by electrospinning to fabricate a pH-sensitive oral delivery system. However, after characterization analyses, it was revealed that a very significant amount of 5-FU was immediately released in stomach-mimicking media (pH 1.0) owing to the low molecular weight of 5-FU, which allows its diffusion through the fibers. Also, the loss of fiber integrity was another proposed reason for 5-FU escaping at the initial time of release.60

### *Floating Bioadhesive Tablet (FBT)*

To target gastric cancer and diminish the dose and side effects, a series of FBTs of 5-FU was prepared. The tablets were made by a direct compression process. Hydroxyl propyl methyl cellulose (HPMC) was used to sustain the drug release and carbopol-971P, guar gum and sodium alginate were employed for their bioadhesive properties. This formulation could be successful as a gastric-targeted DDS.61

### *PVC/PEG Polymeric Film*

In a study by Khalaf et al, a polymeric film based on polyvinyl chloride (PVC), PEG and starch cellulose acetate (SCA) was prepared. Trioctyltrimellitate, poly(MA-alt-NVP) (TOTM) was used as a plasticizer. It was suggested that a PVC/PEG/TOTM blend with a ratio of 10:90:5 had good mechanical properties. Moreover, the drug (5-FU) release pattern depended on the pH of the medium. In this regard, a sustained release pattern was observed, but a faster and higher release was detected at higher pH. The cytotoxic assay indicated that release of 5-FU from the film had a sustained behavior in human liver cells.62

### *Matrix Tablet with Pectin-Based Coat*

In order to obtain a colon-targeted 5-FU tablet, a microbially responsive pectin-based matrix tablet of 5-FU was prepared. Then, Eudragit S100 was used as a coating agent to restrict the release of 5-FU to the colon region. As a result, the systemic side effects were reduced and efficacy was improved.63

## Applying Drug Conjugates for 5-FU Delivery

## Poly(MA-alt-NVP)

A water-soluble and alternating copolymer of poly(maleic anhydride-alt-N-vinyl pyrrolidonepoly(MA-alt-NVP) was synthesized via a charge transfer complex (CTC). The characterization of the copolymer was confirmed by attenuated total reflection–Fourier transform infrared, nuclear magnetic resonance, high-resolution Raman and X-ray diffraction spectroscopy. Then, conjugation of 5-FU to the copolymer was demonstrated by the aforementioned analyses.64 The results confirmed the successful copolymerization, and this copolymer could be used as a carrier for 5-FU to deliver this anticancer drug.

## Poly(Ethylene Oxide)-*b*-Poly(*n*-Butyl Methacrylate-*co*-4-Methyl-[7-(Methacryloyl)Oxyethyloxy]Coumarin)) (PEO-*b*-P(BMA-*co*-CMA))

A series of block copolymers functionalized by coumarin was synthesized according to the atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) method. The drug–micelle conjugates were prepared by covalent bonding of 5-FU to the coumarin under UV radiation. Moreover, the drug release study was conducted under UV radiation (approximately 254 nm), and the results demonstrated the controlled release of 5-FU from the conjugates. Therefore, a photoresponsive biocompatible 5-FU delivery system was prepared that would be a potential candidate for delivery of other antineoplastic agents.65

## T22-GFP-H6-FdU

In a study conducted by Céspedes et al, the active metabolite of 5-FU (floxuridine, FdU) was delivered to the CRC tumor. This cytotoxic agent was used to treat liver metastases of CRC. In this study, a nanoconjugate containing a fusion protein (the peptide T22 as a CXCR4 ligand, a green fluorescent protein and a histidine tail) was bound to FdU. This protein-based nanoconjugate selectively internalized and destroyed CXCR4+ CRC tumor cells and hence achieved a targeted DDS.66

# Combination Delivery of 5-FU

Combinational chemotherapy is attracting more attention in comparison to single chemotherapy regimens. Combinatorial strategies in cancer treatment create a synergetic effect and, therefore, can enhances therapeutic efficiency.67 Using combinational therapeutics could be very effective owing to their enhanced curative efficacy in many diseases, such as malignant tumors.68 Table 2 presents some combination delivery systems discussed in this article.

**Table 2** Combinational Delivery System Containing 5-FU

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Drug Used for Co-Delivery | Type of Delivery System | Advantages/Improvement of Application | Reference |
| Metformin | PFL/PPLL hydrogel | Induces cell cycle arrest Increases cytotoxicity of 5-FU | 69 |
| Leucovorin Calcium | MAA-IA-EGDMA hydrogel | Synergizes the cytotoxicity activity of 5-FU | 58 |
| As-miR-21 | PAMAM dendrimer | Represses cell growth Induces apoptosis  Increases cytotoxicity of 5-FU  Decreases adverse side reactions by targeting miR-21 overexpressing tumors | 70 |
| LY294002 | PEGylated nanoliposome | Enhances the sensitivity of tumor cells against 5-FU Results in higher cytotoxicity | 71 |
| CD-siRNA | LDH NPs | Overwhelms the drug resistance Improves cytotoxicity | 72 |

## Metformin

A nanohydrogel based on reactive aldehyde-functionalized 4-arm PEG (PFA) and four-arm PEG-*b*-poly(L-lysine) (PPLL) was prepared via Schiff’s base reaction. This nanocarrier could simultaneously deliver metformin and 5-FU to colon 26 (C26) cell lines for improvement of antineoplastic activity and to overcome side effects. The combination treatment of 5-FU and metformin could induce cell cycle arrest and apoptosis, while subsequently increasing cytotoxicity both in vitro and in vivo. The release of both drugs was achieved in a controlled manner and pH-dependent pattern. As a result, this injectable hydrogel could perform successfully in the treatment of CRC.69

## Leucovorin Calcium

A novel hydrogel based on MAA and IA, with EGDMA as a cross-linker, was synthesized for oral administration of both 5-FU and LV to reach to the target site of the colon. LC administration with 5-FU was able to synergistically increase the cytotoxic activity and potency of 5-FU, as well as enhancing the therapeutic index.58

## As-miR-21

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) have been proven to be deregulated in various types of tumor cell. miR-21, as a pipeline in glioblastoma cells, can repress cell growth, induce cell apoptosis and lead to cell cycle arrest. Therefore, it can increase the therapeutic response of neoplastic drugs. In a study conducted by Ren et al, PAMAM dendrimer was used as a carrier for dual delivery of antisense-microRNA21 (as-miR-21) oligonucleotide and 5-FU to accomplish the best result regarding cytotoxic efficacy. The MTT assay was performed in glioma cells (U251) and showed a dramatic increase in cytotoxicity. Therefore, this article suggested that co-delivery of as-miR-21 and 5-FU could have significant clinical outcomes in the cure of miR-21-overexpressing tumor cells.70

## Autophagy Inhibitor (LY294002)

In this study, 5-FU and LY294002 were successfully loaded in PEGylated nanoliposomes to target esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC). This combinatorial drug delivery could lead to higher cytotoxic efficacy compared to single drug therapy. Also, the faster release of LY compared to 5-FU could result in the inhibition of autophagy, which enhanced the sensitivity of tumor cells to 5-FU and induced a greater extent of apoptosis.71

## CD-siRNA

Layered double hydroxide (LDH) NPs were employed as a vehicle for the co-delivery of 5-FU and Allstars Cell Death siRNA (CD-siRNA) to enhance cancer treatment. The LDH intercalated 5-FU into its interlayer space and also loaded siRNA on the external parts of LDH NPs. This type of NP has been indicated to be effective as an intracellular delivery system. Moreover, the combination of the antineoplastic drug 5-FU and CD-siRNA could significantly improve cytotoxicity in HCT-116, U2OS and MCF-7 cell lines, in comparison to single therapy, owing to the simultaneous mitochondrial damage process. Consequently, the approach of co-delivery of siRNA and 5-FU by LDH NPs could be promising in overwhelming the drug resistance and improving cancer treatment.72

# Future Outlook and Conclusion

The application of novel DDSs in the field of pharmaceutical sciences has revolutionized healthcare systems, because carrier-based advanced DDSs can significantly reduce the adverse effects of drugs and improve the drug efficacy. In this review, nano-based delivery systems of 5-FU were highlighted. This type of DDS has the potential to enhance the physicochemical aspects of drug characteristics and can effectively target 5-FU to the cancerous region. Moreover, today the field of nanomedicine is taking the lead for personalized medicine.73 So, it is important to use this kind of novel technology to carry the drugs. All types of nanocarrier, including CNTs, liposomes, polymeric NPs, silica NPs, dendrimers and micelles, have advantages over conventional delivery systems and, in most cases, outweigh the disadvantages.

Furthermore, combining therapeutic agents with 5-FU to enhance therapeutic efficacy is another beneficial ability of novel delivery systems for the treatment of CRC. This strategy can also enhance the sensitivity of tumor cells to 5-FU and overcome drug resistance.

Another strategy is the application of stimuli-responsive nanocarriers to achieve passive targeting delivery. The physical/chemical and internal/external stimuli provide precise control of 5-FU release and, therefore, can reduce severe side effects following premature release.4

We believe that in the future, nanomedicine-based co-delivery DDSs will be more promising than conventional drugs to cure cancers, but more attempts must be completed to enhance the pharmacokinetic aspects of this type of DDS and successfully translating to the clinic.

# Abbreviations

5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; CRC, colorectal cancer; GI, gastrointestinal; DDS, drug delivery system; FdUMP, fluorodeoxyuridine monophosphate; FdUTP, fluorodeoxyuridine triphosphate; FUTP, fluorouridine triphosphate; DHFU, dihydrofluorouracil; DPD, dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase; ADR, acquired drug resistance; SLN, solid lipid nanoparticle; CS, chitosan; CS-g-PCL, chitosan-graft-poly(ε-caprolactone) micelle; LMWC, low molecular weight chitosan; AUC, area under the curve; NP, nanoparticle; MIP-CS-g-PMMA, surface molecularly imprinted polymer of chitosan-grafted poly(methyl methacrylate); TMMIP, temperature and magnetic responsive molecularly imprinted polymer; PHBHHx, poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyhexanoate); MP, microparticle; CTAB, cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide; PLGA, poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid); FA, folic acid; BSA, bovine serum albumin; EE, entrapment efficiency; PEG, polyethylene glycol; PAMAM, poly(amidoamine); NHS, N-hydroxysuccinimide; mPEG, methoxy polyethylene glycol; PDEA, poly(2-(N,N-diethylamino)ethyl methacrylate; PLA, poly(lactic acid); βCD, β-cyclodextrin; CEIN, carbon-encapsulated iron nanoparticle; PEI, polyethyleneimine; PC, phosphatidyl choline; OFZG, 1-O-octadecyl-2-(5-fluorouracil)-N-acetyl-3-zidovudine-phosphorylglycerol; CNT, carbon nanotube; MWCNT, multi-walled carbon nanotube; HMSN, hollow mesoporous silica nanoparticle; EGF, epidermal growth factor; MAA, methacrylic acid; IA, itaconic acid; EGDMA, ethylene glycol dimethacrylate; LV, leucovorin calcium; FBT, floating bioadhesive tablet; HPMC, hydroxyl propyl methyl cellulose; PVC, polyvinyl chloride; SCA, starch cellulose acetate; TOTM, trioctyltrimellitate; poly(MA-alt-NVP), poly(maleic anhydride-alt-N-vinyl pyrrolidone); CTC, charge transfer complex; PEO-*b*-P(BMA-*co*-CMA), poly(ethylene oxide)-*b*-poly(*n*-butyl methacrylate-*co*-4-methyl-[7-(methacryloyl)oxyethyloxy]coumarin)); ATRP, atom transfer radical polymerization; as-miR-21, antisense-microRNA21; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; CD-siRNA, Allstars Cell Death siRNA; LDH, layered double hydroxide.
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