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Young Kim outlines a model for assessing the overall integrity of your message which spans the “what”, “how” and “when” of communications.

In public relations, ethics has been regarded as a critical issue and a vital principle1. From a philosophical and scholarly perspective, ethics may be defined as the study of what is right or wrong, fair or unfair, just or unjust; in a word, morality2. Public relations professionals are aware of the importance of ethics and have created and maintained a code of ethics to guide organisations toward ethical practices3. Despite these efforts, companies frequently have to deal with crises caused by unethical public relations practices.

# Cases in point

Recent examples such as the scandals in American financial organisations (e.g., Enron, WorldCom), as well as crises in foreign companies operating in America (e.g., Toyota), have shown the importance of ethics in crisis communication4. In the early 2000s, Enron and WorldCom faced similar crises as a result of unethical fi nancial practices; however, each company’s crisis communications affected its reputation in quite different ways. Ultimately, Enron’s reputation was damaged more than Worldcom’s5.

The Toyota recall crisis also demonstrated the importance of ethics when dealing with a crisis6. Between 2009 and 2010, Toyota Motor Corporation, the world’s largest car maker, faced the most challenging crisis in its history when allegations arose that sudden unintended acceleration could bring about a fatal accident7. As it turned out, there was no evidence related to electronic problems in Toyota vehicles, yet the unethical crisis communication used during the incident damaged the company’s reputation in the eyes of the American press and the public8.

Thus, ethics are essential in crisis communication and must be taken into consideration in all public relations practices. Research about crisis communication ethics has received relatively little attention compared to other areas of communication or public relations. Surprisingly, not one study dealing with this topic appears in the totality of published studies from 1991 to 20099. This gap between crisis communication and the importance of ethics was therefore the impetus for me to develop an ethical test.

# Establishing ethical principles

I examined literature related to crisis communication, including theories, models and strategies, and established ethical principles in terms of what, how, and when essential elements must be employed for effective and ethical crisis communication, resulting in transparency, two-way communication, and right-time (TTR) test. The TTR model consists of the three principles: transparency (what), two-way symmetrical communication (how), and right-time (when). The TTR Test defi nes the moral boundaries of crisis communication and serves as a set of action-guiding principles spanning both moral consequences and effectiveness in dealing with a crisis.

## Transparency (What)

The first principle of the TTR Test is transparency. Transparency is a measure of the degree to which organisational actions and decisions are ascertainable and understandable by a party interested in those actions or decisions10. It involves “the willingness and responsibility to try to give a meaningful and accurate account of oneself, or of circumstances in which one is involved”11. Nonetheless, the concept of transparency is not limited to just availability of information but also involves active participation in acquiring, distributing and creating knowledge12. Since there is always new information to gain or disclose as well as another side to every story, transparency is “an unending process” 13.

However, transparency in the TTR Test is a more specific standard value which organisations must show during a crisis than literal transparency in the ethics literature, because such disclosure of information should be required for responsible decision-making14.

Transparency must be combined with trust and truthfulness. In public relations, trust can be operationalised as an organisation “doing what it says it will do”15. Specifically, trust is the belief that an organisation will do its utmost to meet stakeholders’ expectations of the organisation, in particular, to reduce levels of uncertainty and stress for both stakeholders and victims in a crisis16. To maintain trust and to ensure that stakeholder expectations can
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be met, an organisation must consider what stakeholders think about the organisation. In times of crisis, it should be truthful about its circumstances17. As recent cases including Enron, WorldCom, Nike and Toyota have shown, trust and truthfulness are essential for crisis communication. While Enron and Toyota did not meet stakeholders’ expectations and lost public trust, Nike and WorldCom maintained that trust through their truthful communication18.

Further, the concept of trustworthy transparency embraces being honest, candid and factually accurate from an ethical standpoint19. Transparency is an organisation’s willingness based on responsible decision making to disclose information on its business, social and political activities, leading to a responsible openness of information that means “stakeholders can see what the organisation is doing and understand the reasoning behind its actions”20. In order to be transparent, public relations practitioners must acknowledge and respect the need for information and the interests of those with whom they communicate, consider the harm that may result from communication, counsel clients and employers to “tell the truth,” and balance constituent interests with organisational interests21. Transparency in the TTR Test encompasses trust, truthfulness, candour, honesty and openness.

## Two-way Symmetrical Communication (How)

Two-way symmetrical communication has provided the ethical base for public relations22. Since two-way symmetrical communication fosters understanding among all parties involved, it is considered as the ideal goal for which public practitioners must strive23. In practical models and theories of public relations ethics, use of two-way symmetrical crisis communication strengthens the conceptual base of the TTR test because it is based on a way of satisfying a moral duty to engage in dialogue24. Furthermore, two-way symmetrical communication plays a pivotal role as one of the principles of responsible advocacy in public relations25.

Two-way symmetrical communication is basically assumed to include “telling the truth,” “interpreting the client and public to one another,” and “management understanding the viewpoints of employees and neighbours as well as employees and neighbours understanding the viewpoints of management”26. Its goal is to foster understanding and communication rather than to persuade the public and other stakeholders, and therefore, “exchange information based on dialogue,” as well as to create “balance between the organisation and public”27. Two-way symmetrical communication forms the basis of excellent public relations practice. According to Grunig, “excellent organisations ‘stay close’ to their customers, employees, and other strategic constituencies”28.

In short, two-way symmetrical communication is characterised by the willingness of an organisation to listen and respond to its key stakeholders’ concerns, interests, and actions; that is, using this form of communication can satisfy the organisation’s moral duty to engage in dialogue29. Moreover, symmetry is an inherently ethical form of communication30. Two-way symmetrical communication can be achieved through ethical responses based on truth-telling and an understanding of all viewpoints during a crisis, which logically implies transparency. Therefore, two-way symmetrical communication in the TTR Test refers to a basic concept: it focuses on “an ethical response to a crisis”31.

## Right-time (when)

The old adage concerning the key principles of crisis communication, “tell it all, tell it fast,” supports the notion that “when information gets out quickly, rumours are stopped”32. It seems as if promptness or immediacy, is a general rule in public relations practice. In1986, Johnson and Johnson Company was able to terminate a crisis relating to allegations of poisoned Tylenol quickly, limiting the damage and restoring credibility by providing the public with prompt response33. Also, the 1995 fire of Malden Mills in Massachusetts and a 1998 fire of Cole Hardwoods in Indiana demonstrated that an immediate response is a very important aspect of an effective, ethical crisis response, as it reduces uncertainty and stress amongst stakeholders and victims34.

Got Ethics? “Tis gap between crisis communication and the importance of ethics was the impetus for me to develop the ethical test”
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“In order to be transparent, public relations practitioners must acknowledge and respect the need for information and the interests of those with whom they communicate

Most research and case studies to date have focused on ‘the initial response to a crisis’ during the post-crisis phase. Unfortunately, there are currently no studies related to crisis communication that cover every crisis stage. The focus tends to be aimed at promptness or immediacy as the best way to conduct crisis communication, which makes it easy to overlook follow-up crisis communication with stakeholders35.

Promptness or immediacy needs to be considered with more care when applying it to the life cycle of a crisis. An immediate or prompt response is obviously important, especially when it comes to post-crisis communication; however, crisis communication is essential at every crisis stage. Ethical crisis communication should continue throughout the life cycle of a crisis, which includes all three stages: pre, during, post36. Timeliness of communication is one of the factors critical to satisfying all stakeholder groups37, and the more unpredictable the state of an event or the external environment, the more critical a timely response becomes38.

Reynolds and Seeger39 also urge timely communication, not just in terms of the immediate release of information to the public, but also recognising the emerging differences in communication exigencies and audiences at every crisis stage. Furthermore, the finding that the form of the crisis response, including its timeliness and consistency, is more powerful than crisis communication strategies based on denial, diversion, excuse, justification and concession at generating trust and relationship commitment, highlights the importance of timely communication40. Above all, establishing the “right time” to circulate information is crucial to the effective transmission of messages. Crisis information should reach, in a timely fashion, every person who is at risk and wants to be informed41. Consequently, “right-time” in the TTR Test refers to the timing of communications and a prompt response throughout the life cycle of the crisis.

# Taking the test

The TTR Test is a new ethical model for effective crisis communication drawn from three common variables from crisis communication literature and practices (what, how and when). The TTR Test has the potential to be a useful tool for simultaneously understanding effectiveness and ethics in public relations practices by helping PR professionals to develop solutions for unethical practices as well as to establish an effective crisis communication strategy. Furthermore, the application of the TTL Test to public relations enables practitioners to advance the communication profession as well as be effective and socially responsible42.
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