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# Abstract:

In this paper, the content service provision of information-centric vehicular networks (ICVNs) is investigated from the aspect of mobile edge caching, considering the dynamic driving-related context information. To provide up-to-date information with low latency, two schemes are designed for cache update and content delivery at the roadside units (RSUs). The roadside unit centric (RSUC) scheme decouples cache update and content delivery through bandwidth splitting, where the cached content items are updated regularly in a round-robin manner. The request adaptive (ReA) scheme updates the cached content items upon user requests with certain probabilities. The performance of both proposed schemes are analyzed, whereby the average age of information (AoI) and service latency are derived in closed forms. Surprisingly, the AoI-latency trade-off does not always exist, and frequent cache update can degrade both performances. Thus, the RSUC and ReA schemes are further optimized to balance the AoI and latency. Extensive simulations are conducted on SUMO and OMNeT++ simulators, and the results show that the proposed schemes can reduce service latency by up to 80% while guaranteeing content freshness in heavily loaded ICVNs.

# SECTION 1 Introduction

Vehicular communications feature high mobility, short-lived link connectivity, and rapidly changing network topology, posing great challenges to IP-based solutions in terms of efficiency and reliability [1]. In this context, Information Centric Vehicular Networking (ICVN) is emerging as a promising paradigm, which supports the receiver-driven content retrieval without requiring the conventional sender-driven end-to-end connectivity [2]. Furthermore, ICVN can utilize the storage resources of roadside units (RSUs) or vehicles to realize mobile edge caching, bringing benefits including reduced end-to-end latency, high transmission efficiency, and enhanced system reliability [3]. However, in practice, mobile edge caching usually faces the contradiction of limited storage resource and massive data, raising fundamental issues including where to cache, what to cache, and how to update [4], [5], [6], [7]. Specifically, cache update is critical in the dynamic ICVN environment. On the one hand, the popularity of content items may change with time, since new items keep generating (such as news and entertainment information) [8], [9]. On the other hand, there exists extensive driving-related context information which may vary with time, such as the position of surrounding vehicles, notification of accidents, status of traffic lights, availability of parking lot, and promotion of stores [10]. For the former case, the newly generated popular content items should be cached and replace the unpopular ones, to keep high hit rate at the network edge. The latter case requires to download new versions of the cached items from time to time to guarantee the content effectiveness. Extensive efforts have been devoted to cache update considering the content popularity variation, including popularity prediction and content replacement algorithm design [11], [12], [13]. However, the dynamic content variations of cached items has been seldom considered.

In this work, we investigate the joint cache updating and content delivery scheduling scheme of RSUs in ICVNs, considering the dynamic context information services. The objective is to provide fresh information to vehicles rapidly on demand. A typical ICVN scenario is considered, which consists of producers generating content items, cache-enabled RSUs providing content services, and moving vehicles randomly raising content requests. As the context information may change with the driving environment, the producers continuously generate new versions of content items to reflect the real-time status. Meanwhile, RSUs update the cache timely to deliver fresh content to vehicles. Notice that cache update will consume additional bandwidth resources, which may degrade the content delivery efficiency and introduce longer service latency. Thus, cache update and content delivery should be jointly scheduled to balance content freshness and service latency. In this regard, we propose two schemes, i.e., the RoadSide Unit Centric (RSUC) scheme and the Request Adaptive (ReA) scheme, based on the named data networking (NDN) architecture. The RSUC scheme decouples content update and content delivery by splitting the bandwidth. All cached items are updated in a round-robin manner, while the RSU will serve the requests with the current version of cached content items in a First-Come-First-Serve (FCFS) policy. The ReA scheme updates cached items along with content delivery. Specifically, a request triggers cache update with a certain probability, and then the RSU will fetch the new version of the request item before delivery. Two substantially different performance metrics, i.e., Age of Information (AoI) and service latency are adopted to analyze the proposed schemes. AoI, defined as the time elapsed since the generation of the content, depicts the freshness of the content. Instead, service latency characterizes the response speed of the RSU, i.e., the time needed for a vehicle to receive the content after raising a request. By applying stochastic process and queueing theory, the average AoI and service latency are derived in closed forms under both schemes. Analytical results show that the content freshness and service latency do not always show a trade-off, and the frequent cache update may increase the average AoI and latency simultaneously. Therefore, we further optimize the RSUC and ReA schemes to balance the AoI and latency. Extensive simulations have been conducted using the Monte Carlo method on the OMNeT++ platform to validate the theoretical analysis and evaluate the performance of the two schemes under different scenarios and system parameters. In addition, real-trace system level simulations are conducted using the city map of Erlangen, Germany, based on SUMO and OMNeT++ simulation platforms. Simulation results show that the ReA and RSUC schemes can reduce latency by 65 and 80 percent compared with the conventional pull-based NDN method, and the RSUC scheme can improve the throughput by around one fold compared with the push-based data forwarding method under the given AoI and latency requirements [14].

The main contributions of this work are as follows:

* The joint design of cache update and content delivery is studied under the ICVN architecture considering the content dynamics, where content freshness and service latency are both guaranteed to enhance the quality of experience;
* The average AoI and latency are derived under the proposed schemes, whereby the AoI-latency interplay is quantified through theoretical analysis;
* The proposed schemes are further optimized for AoI-latency balance on the demand of applications.

The remaining of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews related works. Section 3 introduces the architecture of ICVN and proposes cache update and content delivery schemes. The performances of the proposed schemes are analyzed in Section 4, whereby the interplay between AoI and latency performances is studied in Section 5. Simulation results are provided in Section 6, followed by conclusions in Section 7.

# SECTION 2 Literature Review

This section provides a review on the design of ICVN architecture, in-network caching, AoI and timely content services to highlight the novelty of this work.

## 2.1 Vehicular Information Centric Network Architecture

Extensive efforts have been devoted to the ICVN architecture design. Classical Information Centric Networking (ICN) architectures, such as Content Centric Networking (CCN), Named Data Networking (NDN), and MobilityFirst, have been enhanced to adapt to vehicular communication features like high mobility and wireless link uncertainty [15], [16], [17]. Grewe *et al.* have shown that the CCN/NDN based approaches can satisfy most of the vehicular communication requirements like naming, data dissemination, safety and security [2]. Furthermore, Grassi *et al.* have built an NDN-based vehicular communication prototype [18]. Content dissemination is a critical management issue due to the vehicular mobility and link unreliability [19]. A location-based content forwarding scheme has been proposed to allow vehicles fetch data from multiple potential carriers for reliability, which is more robust to vehicle topology variations and link disruptions [20]. Boukerche *et al.* have suggested to use neighboring vehicles with higher link reliability, to improve content delivery rate and reduce duplicated network transmissions [21]. According to the conventional NDN architecture, content items are delivered in a pull-based manner, and the producer can only send the content after receiving a user request. However, such pull-based method may cause long content retrieval latency, and cannot meet the requirements of time-critical applications. Accordingly, some recent studies have also worked on push-based NDN architectures, which enables producers to inject time-critical content to RSUs or even vehicles prior to requests [14], [22].

## 2.2 In-network Caching in Vehicular Networks

In-network caching is one of the key design issues for ICN architectures, and has been extensively studied in the static Internet environments [23]. However, this problem needs to be revisited for the ICVN considering the short-lived connections, time-varying topology, dynamic context information and applications [24]. The very recent works have started exploration in this area, including RSU caching [25], [26] vehicle caching [8], [27], [28], [29].

Caching at RSUs can address the backhaul congestion issues, which is beneficial for both network deployment and service quality enhancement [25]. Efficient RSU content placement algorithm has been proposed to maximize the content retrieval probability by solving an integer linear programming problem, with the cache size optimized [26]. The results have shown that RSU caching is more effective compared with the core-network caching, especially in case of low RSU density or high vehicle density. In addition to RSU caching, the rich storage resources of vehicles can be also utilized. Location-based content items can be pushed to the cache of vehicles prior to requests based on the driving trajectory, which can better support vehicle mobility [27]. Cache-enabled vehicles can also form groups for efficient content delivery in a crowdsourcing manner [28]. Specifically, it is more efficient to cache contents on the vehicles which may stay in hot regions for longer time or have more social connectivities [29]. The very few works have studied cache update based on the dynamics of content popularity [8], whereas the dynamic variation of content information has been merely considered.

## 2.3 Age of Information and Timely Service

The concept of AoI was first introduced to capture the requirement of time-critical vehicular safety applications [30]. In specific, AoI is defined as the time elapsed since the generation of the corresponding content, which quantifies the freshness of knowledge we have about a remote time-varying source [31]. Recent works have adopted this new concept to evaluate the performance of dynamic systems, and accordingly new system scheduling and management methods have been proposed. The AoI performance has been analyzed theoretically by applying queueing models in case of single source and multiple sources under different service mode [32], [33], [34]. Kam *et al.* have further utilized multi-server queueing model to reflect service priority and multi-path transmission diversity [35]. AoI-optimal content update schemes have been proposed for single-source and multi-source heterogeneous systems [36], [37]. Furthermore, AoI has been adopted for the metric of cloud game applications to achieve timely video frame transmissions [38].

The very recent works have implemented the AoI in mobile edge caching [39], [40], [41]. Considering that the popularity of a content item may fade with time, the authors have built a model of request rate based on both historical request rate and content AoI, whereby the optimal content update policy has been devised [39]. However, the dynamic variation of content is ignored. [40] and [41] have considered the content dynamics in mobile edge caching, which are the most related to this work. Yates *et al.* have studied the content update problem for a local cache system in [40] to minimized the average AoI of cached content items. An optimization problem is formulated and solved in an approximated way with relaxation. The main differences between this work and [40] are three-fold: (1) we aim at minimizing the AoI of user-received content instead of local-cached content, (2) the content caching and delivery are jointly optimized, and (3) the service latency is also considered in addition to AoI to provide better quality of experience. A cache-assisted lazy update and delivery (CALUD) scheme has been proposed in our preliminary work [41], which was the first to study the interplay between latency and content freshness performances from the edge caching aspect. Nevertheless, the CALUD scheme is limited to the ideal wireless channel assumption, and each source utilizes dedicated bandwidth in a low-efficient way.

# SECTION 3 Information-Centric Vehicular Network

We focus on the on-road context information, which can be further classified based on the applications and QoS requirements, as listed in Table 1 [10]. The driving-related context information helps to make driving decisions based on the surrounding and macro-scale environment, while the travel-related context information can enrich the travel experience of passengers. The considered ICVN scenario is illustrated in Fig. 1. The context information is collected by distributed sensors or information acquisition systems (called *producers*), and then published to the RSU for future use. Denote by  the set of content items generated by all producers, where  and each content item has the same size . Vehicles are content *consumers* which raise requests randomly. The request arrival process of the content item  is modeled as a Poisson process of rate . A cache-enabled RSU is deployed, and works as a intermediate *content router* to forward the requested content items from producers to vehicle consumers on demand. We propose RSUC and ReA schemes based on the NDN architecture, and the service process of different schemes are illustrated in Fig. 2.

**TABLE 1**Typical on-Road Context Information and Service Requirements

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Catergory | Content |  | Requirements |
| Driving-related | Surrounding vehicles | Position, mobility (speed, steering, headway), condition (gas, engine, lights, wheel pressure) | Latency  ≤ 100 ms AoI ≤ 100 ms |
|  | Road condition | Map, signs, signals, constructions, restrictions |  |
|  | Emergency | Overtaking, lane changing, merge, brake alarm, accident warning, pedestrians |  |
|  | Macro scale | Traffic density, traffic jam, real-time map, parking lot, ETC | Latency ≤ 500 ms AoI ≤ 1s |
| Travel  experience | On-road social networks, air and weather conditions, restaurants and promotions |  | Real-time/elastic |



**Fig. 1.** The considered information-centric vehicular networking scenario.



**Fig. 2.** Content service process: (a) conventional pull-based NDN, (b) proposed RSUC scheme, and (c) proposed ReA scheme.

## 3.1 Conventional NDN Scheme

The conventional NDN architecture adopts a pull-based communication scheme, wherein a source node can only send the content after receiving a request. Accordingly, the producer only publish the name of generated content items to the RSU. Although NDN provides in-network caching opportunities, the dynamics of content items is not considered, and cannot be directly applied to the context information. In this regard, for each request, we consider that the RSU will always pull the up-to-date content versions from the producer upon requests to avoid providing outdated information, as shown in Fig. 2a. Denote by  the available bandwidth of the RSU, which is divided into uplink and downlink channels orthogonally with a splitting ratio . The uplink connects the RSU with producers for content fetching, while the downlink connects the RSU and vehicle users for content delivery. Then, the service process of the RSU can be modeled as a tandem queueing system with two servers. The uplink and downlink average transmission rates are given by1

(1)

respectively, where  and  are the average received signal to interference and noise ratio (SINR) of the uplink and downlink,  and  are the normalized uplink and downlink service rates with full bandwidth allocation.

## 3.2 RSU-Centric Scheme

The RSUC scheme decouples cache content fetching and delivery. Unlike the conventional NDN method, the RSUC scheme is push-based, where the producers can send the content items and new versions to the RSU prior to user requests, as shown in Fig. 2b. In the initialization stage, the producer will broadcast a message involving the information of generated content item. The RSU will send a request when receiving the message, and the produce will push the content to the RSU for cache. Then, the RSU use the uplink for cache update, wherein the producers push the up-to-date content versions to the RSU for future use in a round-robin manner. Meanwhile, the downlink is used for content delivery, wherein the RSU will directly send the cached content version to vehicles through one-hop transmission on demand. The uplink and downlink work independently, using orthogonal bandwidth  and , respectively.

The RSUC scheme can guarantee the average AoI requirement by adjusting the bandwidth splitting ratio . Consider a content item cached in the RSU. The AoI varies with the uplink cache update dynamically, as shown in Fig. 2. Assume the producers can generate new content versions on demand, i.e., zero AoI at the producer. Accordingly, the AoI of a cached item will be set to the uplink transmission time once updated. Then, the AoI increases with time linearly until the next update, according to the definition of AoI [30]. Intuitively, the average AoI can be reduced with more frequent cache update, requiring high bandwidth splitting ratio . However, the available resource for content delivery will be reduced, degrading the service latency. Therefore, the bandwidth splitting ratio  is the key to tune the trade-off between AoI and latency, which will be analyzed in the next section.

## 3.3 Request-Adaptive Scheme

Although the RSUC scheme can realize one-hop content retrieval, the cache update cost may be high. Specifically, some pro-actively pushed content versions may not be used, as shown by the dashed line in Fig. 2b. Thus, we further introduce the ReA scheme for efficient cache update, by exploiting the request arrival information. Under the ReA scheme, the generated content items are pushed to the RSU at the beginning, whereas the cache update is pull-based and depends on vehicle requests, as shown in Fig. 2c. When a vehicle raises a request of item ,  will be updated with probability  at the RSU cache. If the update is not triggered, the RSU will directly send the cached content to the vehicle. Otherwise, the RSU pull the new version from the producer and then delivers the content. Under the ReA scheme, the update frequency of item s depends on both update probability  and request arrival rate , given by . Thus, the average AoI requirement of item s can be guaranteed by adjusting . Compared with the RSUC scheme, the content retrieval latency will be longer when the cache update is triggered under the ReA scheme, due to the two-hop transmission. However, the ReA scheme can conduct finer-grained update control based on content popularity.

# SECTION 4 Freshness and Latency Analysis

This section analyzes the performances of three schemes.

## 4.1 Conventional Scheme Analysis

The service model of the conventional NDN pull-based scheme is shown in Fig. 4. The service time of both servers are considered to follow independent exponential distributions to reflect the randomness of wireless transmission. Accordingly, the queueing system of Fig. 4 is a Jackson queueing network, and the equilibrium joint probability distribution of queue lengths has a product-form solution. Thus, the uplink and downlink transmissions can be analyzed independently as two independent M/M/1 queues with equivalent arrival rate . Therefore, the average latency to serve a content request is given by

(2)

where the two parts correspond to cache update and content delivery, respectively. The average latency  increases with the total traffic arrival rate  and decreases with the normalized uplink and downlink transmission rates. Furthermore, the bandwidth splitting ratio  also influences the latency, and can be optimized by solving the following problem:

(3a)

(3b)

(3c)

(3d)



**Fig. 3.** Illustration of AoI variation for the RSU cached content items under the RSUC scheme.



Fig. 4. Service model of the conventional NDN method.

where the objective is to minimize the average latency, constraints (3 b) and (3 c) guarantee the stability of the uplink and downlink queues, respectively. By analyzing problem (P1), we obtain two important performance metrics: (1) the network capacity, i.e., the maximal request arrival rate that can be accommodated, and (2) the minimal average service latency with the optimal bandwidth splitting, given as Theorem 1.

## Theorem 1.

Under the conventional pull-based NDN scheme, the network capacity  is given by

(4)

and the minimal average service latency for the given traffic arrival rate  is

(5)

## Proof.

Constraints (3 b) and (3 c) can be written a

(6)

Therefore,

(7)

which is equivalent to

(8)

revealing the system capacity.

(P1) is a convex optimization problem with respect to , which can be solved by applying the method of Lagrange multipliers. The Lagrange function is given by

(9)

where , , , and  are the Lagrange multipliers, , ,  and . Take derivative with respect to , we obtain the optimal condition of problem (P1):

(10)

Denote by

(11)

which satisfies (10). We can prove  is feasible.

(12)

according to (7). Thus, constraint (3 b) holds. In addition,

(13)

and constraint (3 c) holds. Therefore,  is the optimal solution to (P1). Substituting  into (2), the minimal average latency can be obtained, given as Theorem 1.

According to the conventional pull-based NDN scheme, the AoI of vehicle-received content includes two parts: (1) the time of uplink transmission, and (2) the dwelling time at the downlink channel including queueing and transmission2. Thus, the average AoI at vehicle side is:

(14)

The average AoI increases with traffic arrival rate, which can be proved by taking derivative of  with respect to . This is reasonable as the queue length of the downlink channel increases with traffic load, which is also responsible for the staleness of information.

## Corollary 1.

According to the derived capacity, service latency and AoI, the conventional scheme has following features regarding system performance. (1) The capacity depends on the normalized service rates of both uplink and downlink channels; (2) The average AoI and latency both increase with traffic load; and (3) The channel unsymmetry degrades the network capacity and service latency.

## 4.2 RSU-Centric Scheme Analysis

Under the RSUC scheme, vehicles obtain the requested content through one-hop downlink transmission. The average service latency is thus given by

(15)

which increases with traffic load and bandwidth splitting ratio .

The analysis of average AoI is more challenging. From the RSU side, the content update of a typical item s can be modeled as a renewal process. The epoch happens when item s is updated in the RSU cache. Denote by  the update interval, i.e., the time duration between two successive content updates of the same item, given by

(16)

where  is the uplink transmission time when updating item .  are independent and identically distributed random variables following exponential distribution of . Thus, the update cycle  follows Erlang-S distribution, and

(17)

Suppose a vehicle requests item  and the downlink transmission begins at time . The AoI of the received content is the summation of two parts: (1) the AoI of item  in the RSU cache at time , and (2) the downlink transmission time for content delivery. For the RSU cache, the AoI of item  at time  also includes two parts: (1) the uplink transmission time to update source  at the last epoch, and (2) the spent time, i.e., the time between  and the last epoch. Denote by  the spent time, given by

(18)

according to the theories of random process. Denote by  and  the uplink and downlink transmission time, respectively. Thus, the average AoI of vehicle received content can be obtained:

(19)

which is a convex function with respect to .

## Theorem 2.

Under the RSUC scheme, the AoI and service latency have a trade-off if the ratio of bandwidth allocated to the uplink is no larger than a certain threshold:

(20)

Otherwise, the AoI and service latency both increase with .

## Proof.

By taking the first- and second-order derivatives of Eq. (19) with respect to , we can prove that the average AoI is a convex function of , with global minimum of . According to Eq. (15), the service latency can be proved to increase with  in a monotone manner. Theorem 2 is thus proved.

Different from the intuition, Theorem 2 indicates that content freshness and service latency do not always have a trade-off. In particular, frequently updating the cached can even degrade the freshness of vehicle-received content, in addition to increasing the service latency. The reason is as follows. When the bandwidth allocated to uplink is small (i.e., small ), increasing  can significantly improve the freshness of cached contents at the RSU, at the cost of reducing the downlink transmission rate. Thus, the AoI and service latency show a trade-off relationship with respect to bandwidth splitting ratio . When sufficient bandwidth is allocated for content update (i.e., large ), further increasing  will no longer improve the freshness of cached contents due to marginal gain effect, whereas the extremely low transmission rate in the downlink will stale the contents delivered to vehicles.

## Corollary 2.

Under the RSU-centric scheme, the bandwidth splitting ratio should be adjusted to balance the AoI and service latency, in the range of .

The threshold of  can be interpreted as saturate point, which indicates the bandwidth allocated to the uplink is sufficient to maintain content freshness. For networks with low uplink transmission rates or large number of sources, the threshold is high and more bandwidth can be allocated to the uplink for content update.

## 4.3 Request-Adaptive Scheme Analysis

The service process of request-adaptive scheme can be modeled by a M/G/1 queue with the arrival rate of . Denote by  and  the uplink and downlink transmission time following exponential distributions of  and , respectively3. Denote by  a 0-1 indicator showing if the content update is triggered. Thus, the service time  if , while  otherwise. As  and  are independent,

(21)

where .  is defined as update ratio, denoting the average probability that a content request triggers cache update. Applying the queueing theory, the average service latency of ReA scheme can be obtained:

(22)

which increases with  (i.e., frequent cache update) and  (i.e., heavy traffic load) and decreases with  and  (i.e., larger service rate).

The network capacity can also be obtained from (22):

(23)

When , the ReA scheme has the equivalent capacity but lower latency compared with the conventional scheme. In addition, the ReA scheme can always outperform the conventional scheme regarding capacity and latency, since  decreases with the update ratio . Specifically, when , the RSU cache never updates and all bandwidth is used for content delivery (i.e., static caching). The capacity increases to the normalized downlink transmission rate , and the service latency decreases to . When  varies within range (0,1), the network capacity and service latency can be traded by sacrificing content freshness.

The AoI performance can be analyzed in the similar way as the RSUC scheme, where the update process of cached item  can also be modeled as a renewal process. However, the update interval is completely different:

(24)

where  denotes the number of requests served between two successive updates of item , and  is the time duration between the departures of the th and th requests4.  are i.i.d. random variables following exponential distribution with mean , applying the properties of M/G/1 queue. Under the ReA scheme,  follows geometric distribution: . Therefore,

(25)

(26)

and the spent time is given by

(27)

If the request for item s triggers update, the average AoI of vehicle received content is given by . Otherwise, the average AoI equals to . As the request triggers update with probability , the overall average AoI of vehicle received content is given by

(28)

Based on the derived analytical results, the trade-off between AoI and service latency can be obtained.

## Theorem 3.

Under the ReA scheme, the AoI and service latency always have a trade-off if  for . Otherwise, the trade-off only holds in the region of .

## Proof.

The average AoI is a convex function of  with a global minimum of . If , the average AoI decreases with . As the average latency increases with , the average AoI and latency have a trade-off relationship.

Notice that the content freshness and service latency cannot be traded off if the uplink is overloaded, i.e., . This may happen due to non-ideal uplink transmissions, e.g., low-power sensor nodes. In this case, restraining the update frequency can enhance both service latency and content freshness, and the update probability should not exceed . On the contrary, the freshness and latency can always be traded off if . Here are two extreme cases.

*Case 1.* As  (i.e., the static caching without update), . All resources are utilized for content delivery, which achieves the minimal service latency .

*Case 2.* When , all requests trigger update. The average service latency achieves the maximum, given by

(29)

The corresponding average AoI is given by , which is the limit of content freshness that can be achieved.

# SECTION 5 RSUC and ReA Scheme Optimization

In this section, the RSUC and ReA schemes are further optimized to enhance the freshness and latency performances simultaneously.

## 5.1 RSUC Scheme Optimization

Based on Eqs. (15) and (19), the RSUC optimization problem can be formulated:

(30a)

(30b)

(30c)

where  is a weight factor, indicating the importance of AoI compared with service latency. The objective is to minimize both the latency and AoI. The first constraint (30) guarantees that the downlink is not overloaded. Problem (P2) is a convex optimization problem, which can be addressed by the method of Lagrange multipliers. The optimal condition is given by:

(31)

Note that , based on the constraint (30 b). Accordingly, the left part of (31) increases with , varying in range of . Therefore, (31) has a unique solution, which can be obtained by dichotomy searching.

The optimal bandwidth splitting ratio  achieves the Pareto-optimality of AoI and latency, which further depends on system parameters. In specific,  increases with S but decreases with . This result indicates that more resources are consumed to maintain the freshness of more content items, or in case of bad uplink channel conditions. Furthermore,  increases with the weight factor WA, revealing the optimal AoI-latency trade-off.

Problem (P2) mainly applies to elastic AoI requirements. For the applications with strict average AoI requirements, the problem can be revised as follows:

(32a)

(32b)

(32c)

(32d)

where  is the threshold for average AoI.

## Theorem 4.

If the traffic load satisfies , the average AoI achieved by RSUC scheme satisfies

(33)

The equality holds if the bandwidth splitting ratio is set to

(34)

## Proof.

According to the constraint (32 c), we have

(35)

where the right part can be rewritten as

(36a)

(36b)

(36c)

and the equality of (36 b) holds if . According to the form of AoI, . Combining (35) and (36), we have

(37)

proving (33) in Theorem 3. Take the equality of (36), the equality of (33) holds. Substitute (33) into , and we obtain (34). Note that , and  under the condition of Theorem 4. Thus,  is feasible to (P3) and Theorem 4 is thus proved.

Theorem 4 reveals the limit of content freshness that can be achieved by the RSUC scheme. In specific, the content freshness degrades with the number of content items. Furthermore, the content freshness is more sensitive to the uplink channel condition, especially with large number of content items. In addition, both the vehicular network capacity and service latency have trade-offs with the AoI constraint, as the minimal value of  satisfying constraint (32 c) decreases with . Here are two cases to show capacity-freshness trade-off. Under the most strict requirement of freshness (i.e., equality of (33)), the network capacity is

(38)

with (34) in Theorem 4. On the contrary, without content freshness requirement (i.e., ), the vehicular network capacity is given by  with . Thus, we can use the RSUC scheme to effectively improve vehicular network capacity in a wider range by sacrificing the content freshness, if there are large number of source nodes or the uplink channel suffers from deep fading. The AoI-latency trade-off can be analyzed similarly.

## 5.2 AoI and Latency Trade-off Under ReA Scheme

The ReA optimization problem can be formulated as follows5:

(39a)

(39b)

(39c)

where the objective is to minimize the overall content update ratio, constraint (39 b) guarantees that the system-level average AoI not to exceed the threshold . As the average service latency increases with the content update ratio, (P4) minimizes the service latency under the given AoI requirement.

Substitute (28) into (39 b), we have

(40)

As (P4) is a convex optimization problem, we can apply Lagrange method to find the solution. The optimality condition is given by

(41)

where , , and  are the Lagrange multipliers. Thus,

(42)

Suppose  and . Substituting (42) into (40) and taking equality, we can obtain

(43)

where

(44)

for notation simplicity. Note that  should take the smaller value which satisfying the equality of (40). Thus, ± should set to + in (43), and

(45)

Accordingly, an iterative algorithm can be designed to solve (P4). Denote by . If , the optimal solution  for , and (P4) is solved. Otherwise,  for . Set , substitute it into (P4), and calculate (45) in the new iteration.

# **SECTION 6** Simulation and Numerical Results

This section conducts system-level simulations to validate the analytical results of AoI and service latency under the RSUC and ReA schemes, by implementing the OMNeT++ simulation platform. In addition, the RSUC and ReA schemes are compared in terms of AoI and latency, under different system parameter settings. Furthermore, the service schemes are evaluated under a practical scenario, where SUMO simulates the real-trace vehicle mobility.

## 6.1 Analytical Results Validation

The analytical results of RSUC schemes are validated through simulations in case of a single producer generating one item, as shown in Figs. 5. The content size  is set to 3 KB, the normalized service rate for cache update and content delivery are set to  and  content items per second, respectively, while the content request arrival rate  varies to reflect different traffic loads. Under the conventional pull-based NDN scheme, the optimal bandwidth splitting is , due to the symmetric channel gains of producers and vehicles. The simulation results are obtained through OMNeT++ simulator, where the vehicle content requests and transmission time are generated randomly based on the Monte Carlo method. The analytical results are calculated based on Eqs. (2) and (14). For the RSUC scheme, the analytical results are based on the calculations of Eqs. (15) and (19), respectively, considering different bandwidth splitting ratio () settings for comparison.

Fig. 5. - 
Analytical results validation of the RSUC scheme, with conventional NDN pull-based scheme as baseline, (a) average service latency, (b) average age of information.


**Fig. 5.** Analytical results validation of the RSUC scheme, with conventional NDN pull-based scheme as baseline, (a) average service latency, (b) average age of information.

Figs. 5a and 5b show that the simulation results of both latency and AoI are quite close to the analytical ones under the conventional NDN and RSUC schemes, which validates the theoretical analysis. Under the conventional pull-based NDN scheme, both the service latency and AoI increase with the content request arrival rate super-linearly. Under the RSUC scheme, the service latency increases while the AoI remains static as the request arrival rate varies for the given bandwidth splitting ratio . This is reasonable since the RSUC scheme decouples the cache update and content delivery. In comparison, the RSUC scheme can perform better than the conventional NDN scheme in terms of both latency and AoI when the network is heavily loaded (eg.,  or ). The reason is that RSUC reduces cache update frequency, and thus relieves traffic congestion. Furthermore, the service latency and AoI does not always trade off with respect to . In specific,  outperforms  in both latency and AoI. Thus, the bandwidth splitting ratio should not be too large, which is consistent with Theorem 2.

The analytical results of ReA scheme are also validated with different update probability, as shown in Fig. 6. The analytical results of latency and AoI are calculated based on Eqs. (22) and (28), respectively. The simulation results are close to the analytical ones. Under the ReA scheme, the service latency increases with the request arrival rate, while the AoI decreases. Therefore, the ReA scheme is more advantageous in the case of heavy loads, compared with the conventional NDN scheme. Furthermore, the service latency and AoI always shows a trade-off with respect to . In specific, increasing  results in higher latency but lower AoI, and  can be treated as an extreme case. This result is consistent with Theorem 3.

Fig. 6. - 
Analytical results validation of the ReA scheme, with conventional NDN pull-based scheme as baseline, (a) average service latency, (b) average age of information.


**Fig. 6.** Analytical results validation of the ReA scheme, with conventional NDN pull-based scheme as baseline, (a) average service latency, (b) average age of information.

## 6.2 AoI and Latency Trade-Off

Both the analytical and simulation results have demonstrated the existence of AoI-latency trade-off under the RSUC and ReA schemes if the cache update is restrained. For better understanding, we further study the AoI-latency trade-off, by tuning the bandwidth splitting and update probability under the two schemes, respectively. The AoI-latency trade-off is demonstrated by the solid lines in Fig. 7, where the corresponding bandwidth splitting ratio  and update probability  are optimized based on problems (P3) and (P4) as the dash lines6. The service latency is shown to first decrease and then level off as the AoI increases, under both schemes. Meanwhile, both  and  decreases with AoI, indicating that less resources are needed for cache update if the freshness requirement is less strict. The results of Fig. 7 reveal that we can reduce the service latency by sacrificing content freshness, which is especially significant when the AoI requirement is more strict. Regarding the AoI-latency trade-off, the ReA scheme is more beneficial when the AoI requirement is strict (i.e., less than 9 ms), and otherwise the RSUC scheme performs better. This result indicates that we need to choose the appropriate scheme based on the application scenario and system status.

Fig. 7. - 
AoI-Latency trade-off under the two schemes, content request arrival rate $\Lambda =200$Λ=200 /s.


**Fig. 7.** AoI-Latency trade-off under the two schemes, content request arrival rate  /s.

Fig. 8 shows the trade-off between AoI and capacity (i.e., the maximal request arrival rate that can be handled as the service latency goes to infinity) under the two schemes, respectively. As AoI increases, the capacity first increases and then levels off under both schemes. Specifically, the capacity converges to the normalized downlink service rate , corresponding to . Furthermore, the capacity increases significantly with AoI when the AoI requirement is strict, similar to the result of AoI-latency trade-off. In comparison, the ReA scheme outperforms the RSUC scheme in terms of capacity for the given AoI requirement. The reason is that the RSUC should always update the cached content regardless of user arrival, suffering from a higher update cost.

Fig. 8. - 
Capacity-AoI trade-off under the two schemes.


**Fig. 8.** Capacity-AoI trade-off under the two schemes.

## 6.3 RSUC-ReA Comparison With Multiple Items

To offer insights into practical ICVN operations, we compare the performance of RSUC and ReA schemes considering the multi-producer scenario, with respect to different system parameters. Fig. 9 shows the AoI-latency trade-off under the two schemes with different number of content items, where each item is requested with an equal probability. As the number of items increases, the trade-off curves of both schemes move rightwards, indicating performance degradation. The reason is that more resources are consumed to maintain the content freshness. In comparison, the ReA scheme can achieve lower latency when the AoI requirement is smaller than a certain threshold. Furthermore, the ReA scheme is more advantageous as the number of items increases.

Fig. 9. - 
Comparison of the two schemes, $R_\mathrm{UL}=R_\mathrm{DL}$R UL =R DL =1000 /s, total content request arrival rate $\Lambda =200$Λ=200 /s, uniform item popularity.


**Fig. 9.** Comparison of the two schemes,  /s, total content request arrival rate  /s, uniform item popularity.

The RSUC and ReA schemes are also compared considering different item popularity. In specific, the Zipf popularity distribution is considered, where the request probability of the sth most popular item is given by

(46)

where the exponent  reflects the concentration of requests. The typical value of  is 0.56, corresponding to the video type services [42]. The comparison of the two schemes in case of Zipf-like popularity is shown in Fig. 10. In specific, the performance of RSUC scheme remains the same whereas the performance of ReA scheme is slightly improved. This result is consistent with the analysis, where the RSUC scheme is not influenced by the request rate of individual items.

Fig. 10. - 
Comparison of the two schemes, $R_\mathrm{UL}=R_\mathrm{DL}$R UL =R DL =1000 /s, total content request arrival rate $\Lambda =200$Λ=200 /s, Zipf item popularity of exponent parameter 0.56.


**Fig. 10.** Comparison of the two schemes,  /s, total content request arrival rate  /s, Zipf item popularity of exponent parameter .

The influence of request concentration on the ReA scheme is further illustrated, as shown in Fig. 11. The results demonstrate that the performance of the ReA scheme can be improved if the requests are more concentrated, i.e., larger Zipf exponent. Notice that the ReA scheme can adjust update frequency of each item, based on the corresponding arrival rate. This avoids frequent update of unpopular contents, and improves efficiency. Fig. 12 further shows the update frequency and AoI of each item, under the ReA scheme. 10 items are considered with Zipf popularity of , and the average AoI requirement is 100 ms. The update probability of each item is different, where the less popular contents are updated less frequently. Accordingly, the AoI of less popular contents are higher. This result is consistent with the analysis in [40], where the less popular contents are set with a lower weight when calculating the average AoI. The results of Figs. 11 and 12 reveal the advantages of the ReA scheme when dealing with heterogeneous content requests.

Fig. 11. - 
Influence of content popularity (ReA scheme), $R_\mathrm{UL}=R_\mathrm{DL}$R UL =R DL =1000 /s, request arrival rate $\Lambda =200$Λ=200 /s.


**Fig. 11.** Influence of content popularity (ReA scheme),  /s, request arrival rate  /s.

Fig. 12. - 
Optimal update control of individual items, (a) update probability, (b) age of information, average AoI 100 ms, $R_\mathrm{UL}=R_\mathrm{DL}$R UL =R DL =1000 /s, request arrival rate $\Lambda =200$Λ=200 /s, Zipf exponent 0.56.


**Fig. 12.** Optimal update control of individual items, (a) update probability, (b) age of information, average AoI 100 ms,  /s, request arrival rate  /s, Zipf exponent .

The influence of asymmetric channels are also investigated. In practice, the channel transmission rates from producers to RSUs may be much lower than that from RSUs to vehicles, considering the equipped hardware like transceivers and antennas. By setting  /s,  /s, we evaluate performance of the two schemes, as shown in Fig. 13. The performance of both schemes degrades significantly, compared with the case of  /s in Fig. 9. Notice that the performance of the RSUC scheme degrades more with larger number of items, and the minimal AoI achieved can increase very fast. By contrast, the minimal AoI achieved by the ReA scheme is not influenced by the number of items, whereas the corresponding latency increases. However, the RUSC scheme completely outperforms the ReA scheme in case of a single item, as shown by the lines with rhombuses.

Fig. 13. - 
Latency-AoI trade-off under the two schemes in case of asymmetric channels, $R_\mathrm{UL} = 300$R UL =300 /s, $R_\mathrm{DL}=1000$R DL =1000 /s, request arrival rate $\Lambda =200$Λ=200 /s, uniform content popularity.


**Fig. 13.** Latency-AoI trade-off under the two schemes in case of asymmetric channels,  /s,  /s, request arrival rate  /s, uniform content popularity.

Based on the comparison of the two schemes, we come to following conclusions: (1) The ReA scheme performs better than the RSUC scheme if the average AoI requirement is smaller than a certain threshold, and vice versa; (2) The ReA scheme is more advantageous with a larger number of items; (3) The ReA scheme adjusts update frequency of individual items based on the popularity, which is more suitable for the heterogeneous application scenarios.

## 6.4 Real-Trace Simulation

To evaluate the performance of the proposed RSUC and ReA schemes in practical scenarios, we further conduct network-level simulations based on OMNeT++ platform. We consider a RSU deployed at an intersection in the city of Erlangen, Germany, which represents a typical urban scenario. 100 sensor nodes are deployed, which are randomly located within a range of 200 m to the RSU. Each sensor publishes one content item, and the content items are sent to the RSU according to the implemented cache update scheme. The mobility traces of vehicles are generated by the SUMO simulator. Each vehicle raises requests randomly at a certain rate, and all items share equivalent popularity. Accordingly, the requests rate received by the RSU varies with vehicle mobility, as illustrated in Fig. 14. The packet size is set to 3 KB, and the transmission rates of cache update and content delivery are both 24 Mbps, according to the 802.11p standard7.

Fig. 14. - 
Illustration of time-varying request arrival rate at the RSU, vehicle mobility trace generated by SUMO.


Fig. 14. Illustration of time-varying request arrival rate at the RSU, vehicle mobility trace generated by SUMO.

We implement the proposed RSUC and ReA schemes at the RSU, and the conventional NDN scheme is also conducted as a baseline. The service latency and AoI of each request are recorded, whereby the average value is calculated. Fig. 15 shows the results of different schemes with specified parameter settings, where the x-axis represents the request arrival rate per vehicle. The results show that the conventional NDN scheme achieves the minimal average AoI while the service latency is the highest. Furthermore, the service capacity of the conventional NDN scheme is also the lowest, which is consistent with the analytical results. For example, the network becomes overloaded as the request arrival rate approaches 12 /s under the conventional NDN scheme.

Fig. 15. - 
Performance evaluation based on SUMO and OMNeT++ platforms: (a) average service latency, and (b) average AoI of user received content items.


Fig. 15. Performance evaluation based on SUMO and OMNeT++ platforms: (a) average service latency, and (b) average AoI of user received content items.

The results demonstrate that both the ReA and RSUC schemes can balance latency and AoI by adjusting the corresponding operational parameters. In comparison, the two schemes can significantly reduce the average latency when the traffic load is high. When the traffic arrival rate is 9 /s, the RSUC scheme with bandwidth splitting ratio of 0.25 can reduce the average latency by nearly 80 percent compared with the conventional NDN scheme, while the ReA scheme can achieve 65 percent reduction. The average AoI will increase to around 0.2 s, which is acceptable for applications whose contents varies at second- or minute-levels. This result indicates the effectiveness to leverage mobile edge caching in ICVNs, while appropriate cache update management schemes is needed.

We further compare the performance of proposed scheme with the push-based VNDN method [14]. The push-based VNDN allows producers to send generated content items to the RSU prior to requests, which has been proposed to reduce the retrieval latency in case of one producer generating critical event-driven content. As an extension of push-based VNDN, we consider that the multiple producers will push new content versions to the RSU in a contention-based manner. In addition, each producer generates new content versions randomly, and the interval between two updates follows uniform distribution within [0,1] second. The bandwidth splitting ratio is set to 0.25 for both the RSUC and push-based VNDN schemes, and the update probability is set to 0.75 for the ReA scheme, corresponding to the optimal parameter settings in Fig. 15. The latency and AoI requirements are set to 100 ms and 1 s, respectively. Then, we compare the throughput and service failure probability of different schemes under various traffic loads, as shown in Fig. 16. The simulation results of Fig. 16a show that the RSUC scheme can achieve the highest throughput, e.g., around two folds of the ones under other schemes when the traffic load is 10 requests/s. The conventional NDN scheme performs quite well at lower traffic load. However, the throughput of the conventional NDN scheme drops when the request arrival rate exceeds 8 requests/s, indicating that the conventional NDN scheme is overloaded. The ReA scheme outperforms the push-based VNDN scheme in terms of throughput and service failure rate. In comparison with the ReA scheme, the push-based VNDN scheme can achieve lower service latency, whereas the AoI is generally high, as shown in Fig. 16c. The former is because of the favorable one-hop transmission in content retrieval, while the latter is due to the inefficient contention-based cache update.

Fig. 16. - 
Performance comparison with the push-based VNDN method [14], AoI requirement of 1 s and latency requirement of 100 ms, (a) throughput, (b) transmission failures, and (c) AoI and latency of request samples.


**Fig. 16.** Performance comparison with the push-based VNDN method [14], AoI requirement of 1 s and latency requirement of 100 ms, (a) throughput, (b) transmission failures, and (c) AoI and latency of request samples.

In general, the RSUC scheme outperforms the ReA scheme according to the simulations. This reason is that the popularity of each item is uniform, and thus the ReA scheme cannot live up to the full potential of fine-grained update frequency. In addition, the update cycle of ReA scheme shows uncertain depending on the random arrival of requests, which may also degrade the freshness performance on average. However, the ReA scheme may outperform the RSUC scheme in case of concentrated requests, which will be studied in future work.

## 6.5 Lessons Learned

Simulations results are summarized to provide insights into practical ICVN cache update and content delivery.

* Both simulation and analytical results demonstrate the existence of AoI-latency trade-off relationship under the RSUC and ReA schemes, if the cache update frequency is restrained.
* The service capacity and AoI requirement also present a trade-off relationship, indicating the costs to maintain content freshness in edge caching.
* The ReA scheme is more beneficial than the RSUC scheme in case of more strict AoI requirement, larger number of items, more concentrated user interests, and lower uplink transmission rates.
* The RSUC scheme can reduce the service latency by 80 percent while guaranteeing the same level of content freshness at high traffic load, in comparison with the conventional pull-based NDN method.
* The RSUC scheme can improve the throughput by around one fold compared with the state-of-the-art push-based VNDN method, when the AoI and latency requirements are set to 1 s and 100 ms, respectively.

# **SECTION 7** Conclusion and Future Work

The RSUC and ReA schemes have been proposed to support the dynamic driving-related context information service in ICVNs, through the joint scheduling of cache update and content delivery. Under the proposed schemes, the interplay between service latency and content freshness has been studied. Analytical results have shown that the service latency and content freshness demonstrate a trade-off when the cache update frequency is restrained, but can degrade simultaneously if the RSU cache is frequently updated. In this regard, the RSUC and ReA schemes have been optimized to balance service latency and content freshness on demand. Simulation results have shown that both the RSUC and ReA schemes can effectively improve content freshness and service latency, compared with the conventional pull-based NDN scheme. Furthermore, the ReA scheme outperforms the RSUC scheme in case of strict freshness requirements, heavy traffic loads, larger number of content items, or concentrated content interests. Future studies will further consider the different content sizes and the co-existence of diversified content types. In addition, content items can be also pushed to cache-enabled vehicles based on user interest prediction, which calls for the joint design of content pushing and updating from user side.
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