Characterizations of Certain Recently Introduced Distributions

Gholamhossein Hamedani

DOI. © 2012 Atlantis Press. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
Various characterizations of the Beta Weibull Geometric distribution of Bidram et al. (2011), the New Generalized Exponential distribution of Bidram et al. (2012), and the Gamma Exponentiated Weibull distribution of Pinho et al. (2012) are presented. These characterizations are based on: (i) a simple relationship between two truncated moments (ii) certain functions of the \( n^{th} \) order statistic, and (iii) certain functions of the 1\(^{st} \) order statistics.
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1. Introduction

It is widely known that the problem of characterizing a distribution is an important problem which has recently attracted the attention of many researchers. Thus, various characterizations have been established in many different directions. The present work deals with the characterizations of three continuous univariate distributions: Beta Weibull Geometric (BWG), New Generalized Exponential Geometric (NGEG) introduced by Bidram et al. [1,2] and Gamma Exponentiated Weibull (GEW) of Pinho et al. [16]. These characterizations are based on: (i) a simple relationship between two truncated moments, (ii) certain functions of the \( n^{th} \) order statistic, and (iii) certain functions of the 1\(^{st} \) order statistic. The BWG distribution is a generalization of Weibull Geometric (WG) distribution proposed by Ortega et al. [15]. The BWG distribution is a five-parameter distribution which depends on scale, shape and, what we call, mixing parameters. This distribution is considered to be a suitable distribution for modeling monotone or unimodal failure rates. We refer the reader to Bidram et al. [1] for a detailed discussion as well as applications of BWG distribution. Bidram et al. [2] introduced the NGEG distribution based on the maximum of \( N \) i.i.d. (independent and identically distributed) random variables \( X_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) whose common distribution is a generalized exponential distribution, where \( N \) has a geometric distribution and is independent of \( X_j \)'s. This resulted in, as pointed out by Bidram et al. [2], a three-parameter distribution with applications to parallel systems with i.i.d. components as well as other biological organisms or industry units. Again, we
refer the reader to Bidram et al. [2] for a detailed discussion as well as applications of NGEG distribution. The GEW distribution is a four-parameter distribution which includes several well-known distributions as special cases. It is shown in [16] that its density function may be expressed as an infinite linear combination of Weibull densities. For further details and the domain of applicability of GEW distribution, we refer the reader to Pinho et al. [16].

An investigator will be vitally interested to know if their model fits the requirements of the BWG or NGEG or GEW distribution. To this end, one will depend on the characterizations of these distributions which provide conditions under which the underlying distribution is indeed one of these distributions. Although in many applications an increase in the number of parameters provides a more suitable model, in characterization problems a lower number of parameters (without seriously affecting the suitability of the model) is mathematically more appealing (see Glänzel and Hamedani [9]). In view of this observation, we reduce the parameters of BWG distribution to four in our characterization of this distribution based on the \( n \)th order statistic.

The pdf (probability density function) and cdf (cumulative distribution function) corresponding to BWG distribution are given, respectively, by

\[
f(x) = f(x; a, b, \alpha, \beta, p) = \frac{(1-p)^b \alpha \beta (\beta x)^{a-1} e^{-b(\beta x)^a} (1 - e^{-b(\beta x)^a})^{a-1}}{B(a,b) \left( 1 - p e^{-b(\beta x)^a} \right)^{(a+b)}}, \quad x > 0, \tag{1}
\]

and

\[
F(x) = F(x; a, b, \alpha, \beta, p) = \frac{1}{B(a,b)} \int_0^{G(x)} t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-1} dt, \quad x \geq 0, \tag{2}
\]

where \( a > 0, b > 0, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0 \) and \( p \in (0,1) \) are parameters \( B(a,b) = \int_0^1 t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-1} dt \) and \( G(x) \) is a cdf corresponding to WG distribution given by

\[
G(x) = (1 - e^{-b(\beta x)^a}) \left( 1 - p e^{-b(\beta x)^a} \right)^{-1}, \quad x \geq 0.
\]

The main parameters \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are shape and scale and \( p \) is mixing parameters, respectively.

Note that for \( a = b = 1 \), \( F(x) = G(x) \) and hence WG distribution is a special case of BWG distribution.

The pdf and cdf of the generalized exponential distribution are

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_1(x; \alpha, \beta) &= \alpha \beta e^{-\beta x} \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x} \right)^{\alpha-1}, \quad x > 0, \\
    F_1(x; \alpha, \beta) &= \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x} \right)^{\alpha}, \quad x \geq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

\( \alpha > 0, \beta > 0 \),

Bidram et al. [2] assume that \( X_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) are \( N \) i.i.d. random variables with cdf \( F_1 \), where \( N \) has a geometric distribution with parameter \( p \in (0,1) \) and is independent of \( X_j \)'s. Then, they define
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\[ X = \max \{ X_j : j = 1, 2, \ldots, N \} \] which has pdf and cdf given, respectively, by

\[
f(x) = f(x; \alpha, \beta, p) = \frac{(1 - p) \alpha \beta e^{-\beta x} (1 - e^{-\beta x})^{\alpha - 1}}{1 - p(1 - e^{-\beta x})^\alpha}, \quad x > 0, \tag{3}
\]

and

\[
F(x) = F(x; \alpha, \beta, p) = \frac{(1 - p) (1 - e^{-\beta x})^\alpha}{1 - p(1 - e^{-\beta x})^\alpha}, \quad x \geq 0, \tag{4}
\]

where \( \alpha > 0, \beta > 0 \) and \( p \in (0, 1) \) are shape, scale and mixing parameters respectively. Bidram et al. [2] call this distribution NGEG.

The pdf and cdf of GEW distribution are given, respectively, by

\[
f(x) = f(x; \alpha, \beta, k, \delta) = \frac{k \alpha \delta}{\beta \Gamma(\delta)} \left( \frac{x}{\beta} \right)^{k-1} e^{-\left( \frac{x}{\beta} \right)^k} \left[ 1 - e^{-\left( \frac{x}{\beta} \right)^k} \right]^{\alpha - 1} \left\{ -\ln \left[ 1 - e^{-\left( \frac{x}{\beta} \right)^k} \right] \right\}^{\delta - 1}, \quad x > 0, \tag{5}
\]

and

\[
F(x) = F(x; \alpha, \beta, k, \delta) = 1 - \frac{\gamma \left\{ -\ln \left[ 1 - e^{-\left( \frac{x}{\beta} \right)^k} \right]^{\alpha} \right\}}{\Gamma(\delta)}, \quad x \geq 0, \tag{6}
\]

where the parameters \( \alpha, \beta, k, \delta \) are all positive and \( \gamma(x, \delta) = \int_0^x u^{\delta - 1} e^{-u} du \).

2. Characterization Results

As we mentioned in the Introduction, the BWG, NGEG and GEW distributions (and their special cases listed in [1, 2] and [16] respectively) may have potential applications in many fields of studies. So, an investigator will be vitally interested to know if their model fits the requirements of the BWG or NGEG or GEW distribution. To this end, one will depend on the characterizations of these distributions which provide conditions under which the underlying distribution is indeed one of these distributions.

2.1. Characterization based on two truncated moments

In this subsection we present characterizations of the BWG, NGEG and GEW distributions in terms of a simple relationship between two truncated moments. We like to mention here the works of Galambos and Kotz [3], Kotz and Shanbahag [14], Glänzel [4–6], Glänzel et al. [7, 8], Glänzel and Hamedani [9] and Hamedani [10–12] in this direction. Our characterization results presented here will employ an interesting result due to Glänzel [5] (Theorem G below).

**Theorem G.** Let \( (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \) be a given probability space and let \( H = [a, b] \) be an interval for some \( a < b \) (\( a = -\infty, b = \infty \) might as well be allowed). Let \( X : \Omega \to H \) be a continuous random variable
with the distribution function $F$ and let $g$ and $h$ be two real functions defined on $H$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}[g(x) \mid X \geq x] = \mathbb{E}[h(x) \mid X \geq x] \eta(x), \quad x \in H,$$

is defined with some real function $\eta$. Assume that $g, h \in C^1(H)$, $\eta \in C^2(H)$ and $F$ is twice continuously differentiable and strictly monotone function on the set $H$. Finally, assume that the equation $h\eta = g$ has no real solution in the interior of $H$. Then $F$ is uniquely determined by the functions $g$, $h$ and $\eta$, particularly

$$F(x) = \int_a^x C \left| \frac{\eta'(u)}{\eta(u)h(u) - g(u)} \right| \exp(-s(u)) \, du,$$

where the function $s$ is a solution of the differential equation $s' = \frac{\eta''}{\eta'' - g}$ and $C$ is a constant, chosen to make $\int_H dF = 1$.

**Remarks 2.1.1.** (a) In Theorem G, the interval $H$ need not be closed.
(b) The goal is to have the function $\eta$ as simple as possible. For a more detailed discussion on the choice of $\eta$, we refer the reader to Glänzel and Hamedani [9] and Hamedani [10–12].

**Proposition 2.1.2.** Let $X : \Omega \to (0, \infty)$ be a continuous random variable and let

$$h(x) = e^{(b-a)(\beta x)^a} (1 - e^{-(\beta x)^a})^{1-a} \left(1 - pe^{-(\beta x)^a}\right)^{a+b}$$

and

$$g(x) = (1 - e^{-(\beta x)^a})^{1-a} \left(1 - pe^{-(\beta x)^a}\right)^{a+b}$$

for $x \in (0, \infty)$. The pdf of $X$, with $a \neq b$, is (1) if and only if the function $\eta$ defined in Theorem G has the form

$$\eta(x) = \frac{a}{b} e^{(a-b)(\beta x)^a}, \quad x > 0.$$ 

**Proof.** Let $X$ have pdf (1), then

$$(1 - F(x))\mathbb{E}[h(x) \mid X \geq x] = \frac{(1 - p)^b}{aB(a,b)} e^{-(\beta x)^a} \left(1 - pe^{-(\beta x)^a}\right)^{a+b}, \quad x > 0,$$

and

$$(1 - F(x))\mathbb{E}[g(x) \mid X \geq x] = \frac{(1 - p)^b}{aB(a,b)} e^{-(\beta x)^a} \left(1 - pe^{-(\beta x)^a}\right)^{a+b}, \quad x > 0,$$

and finally

$$\eta(x)h(x) - g(x) = \frac{a - b}{b} \left[(1 - e^{-(\beta x)^a})^{1-a} \left(1 - pe^{-(\beta x)^a}\right)^{a+b}\right] \neq 0, \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$ 

Conversely, if $\eta$ is given as above, then

$$s'(x) = \frac{\eta'(x)h(x)}{\eta(x)h(x) - g(x)} = a\alpha \beta (\beta x)^{a-1}, \quad x > 0,$$
and hence
\[ s(x) = a(\beta x)^{\alpha}, \quad x > 0. \]

Now, in view of Theorem G, \( X \) has \( cdf \) (2) and \( pdf \) (1).

**Corollary 2.1.3.** Let \( X : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty) \) be a continuous random variable and let \( h(x) \) be as in Proposition 2.1.2. The \( pdf \) of \( X \) with \( a \neq b \), is (1) if and only if there exist functions \( g \) and \( \eta \) defined in Theorem G satisfying the differential equation
\[
\frac{\eta'(x) h(x)}{\eta(x) h(x) - g(x)} = a\alpha \beta (\beta x)^{\alpha - 1}, \quad x > 0.
\]

**Remarks 2.1.4.**
(i) The general solution of the differential equation in Corollary 2.1.3 is
\[
\eta(x) = e^{a(\beta x)^\alpha} \left[ - \int g(x) a\alpha \beta (\beta x)^{\alpha - 1} e^{-h(\beta x)^\alpha} \left( 1 - e^{-(\beta x)^\alpha} \right)^{a - 1} \left( 1 - p e^{-(\beta x)^u} \right)^{-(a+b)} dx + D \right],
\]
for \( x > 0 \), where \( D \) is a constant. One set of appropriate functions is given in Proposition 2.1.2 with \( D = 0 \).

(ii) In Proposition 2.1.2 we assume \( a \neq b \). This condition can be removed with a minor change in the expressions for functions \( h \) and \( g \) as given in the following Corollary.

**Corollary 2.1.5.** Let \( X : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty) \) be a continuous random variable and let
\[
h(x) = e^{(b-1)(\beta x)^\alpha} (1 - e^{-(\beta x)^\alpha})^{1-a} (1 - p e^{-(\beta x)^u})^{a+b-2}
\]
and
\[
g(x) = e^{(b-1)(\beta x)^\alpha} (1 - e^{-(\beta x)^\alpha})^{1-a} (1 - p e^{-(\beta x)^u})^{a+b}
\]
for \( x \in (0, \infty) \). The \( pdf \) of \( X \) is (1) if and only if the function \( \eta \) defined in Theorem G is of the form
\[
\eta(x) = \left( 1 - p e^{-(\beta x)^u} \right), \quad x > 0.
\]

**Proof.** Is similar to that of Proposition 2.1.2 with a little more work to recover \( s(x) \) properly from \( s'(x) \).

**Remarks 2.1.6.**
(i) Clearly there are other triplets of functions \( (h, g, \eta) \) satisfying the conditions of Theorem G. We presented two such triplets in Proposition 2.1.2 and Corollary 2.1.5. (ii) As we mentioned earlier, for \( a = b = 1 \) BWG distribution reduces to WG distribution. In this case, Corollary 2.1.5 will reduce to Proposition 2.1.2 of Hamedani and Ahsanullah [14].

**Proposition 2.1.7.** Let \( X : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty) \) be a continuous random variable and let \( h(x) = 1 \) and \( g(x) = \left\{ 1 - p(1 - e^{-(\beta x)^\alpha})^2 \right\}^2 \) for \( x \in (0, \infty) \). The \( pdf \) of \( X \) is (3) if and only if the function \( \eta \) defined in Theorem G has the form
\[
\eta(x) = (1 - p) \left\{ 1 - p(1 - e^{-(\beta x)^\alpha}) \right\}, \quad x > 0.
\]
Proof. Is similar to that of Proposition 2.1.2 with an observation that for the converse, in recovering \( s(x) \) from \( s'(x) \), one may use \( 1 - p = \{1 - p(1 - e^{-\beta x})^\alpha\} - p\{1 - (1 - e^{-\beta x})^\alpha\} \).

**Proposition 2.1.8.** Let \( X : \Omega \to (0, \infty) \) be a continuous random variable and let

\[
h(x) = \left[1 - e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\gamma}}\right]^{2-\alpha} \left\{-\ln \left[1 - e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\gamma}}\right]\right\}^{1-\delta}
\]

and

\[
g(x) = \left[1 - e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\gamma}}\right]^{4-\alpha} \left\{-\ln \left[1 - e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\gamma}}\right]\right\}^{1-\delta}
\]

for \( x \in (0, \infty) \). The pdf of \( X \) is (5) if and only if the function \( \eta \) defined in Theorem G has the form

\[
\eta(x) = 2^{-1} \left\{1 + \left[1 - e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\gamma}}\right]^2\right\}, \quad x > 0.
\]

**Remark 2.1.9.** A corollary and a remark similar to Corollary 2.1.3 and Remark 2.1.4 (part (i)) can be stated for NGEG and GEW as well.

### 2.2. Characterization of BWG and NGEG based on truncated moment of certain functions of the \( n \)-th order statistic

Let \( X_{1:n} \leq X_{2:n} \leq \cdots \leq X_{n:n} \) be \( n \) order statistics from a continuous cdf \( F \). We present here two characterizations base on certain functions of the \( n \)-th order statistic. We take \( b = 1 \) in (1) and hence \( F(x) = [G(x)]^a \). So, \( F(x) \) will be an exponentiated distribution with base cdf \( G \) and the exponent parameter \( a > 0 \). Our characterizations of BWG (for \( a \neq b \)) and NGEG distributions here will be a consequence of the following proposition, which is similar to the one appeared in our previous work. We give a brief proof of it here for the sake of completeness.

**Proposition 2.2.1.** Let \( X : \Omega \to (0, \infty) \) be a continuous random variable with cdf \( F \). Let \( \psi(x) \) and \( q(x) \) be two differentiable functions on \((0, \infty)\) such that \( \lim_{x \to 0} \psi(x) [F(x)]^n = 0 \) and \( \int_0^\infty \frac{q'(t)}{\psi(t) - q(t)} dt = \infty \). Then

\[
E[\psi(X_{n:n}) \mid X_{n:n} < t] = q(t), \quad t > 0,
\]

implies

\[
F(x) = \exp \left\{- \int_x^\infty \frac{q'(t)}{n[\psi(t) - q(t)]} dt\right\}, \quad x \geq 0.
\]
Proof. If (7) holds, then using integration by parts on the left hand side of (7) and the assumption \( \lim_{x \to 0} \psi(x)|F(x)|^n = 0 \), we have

\[
\int_{0}^{t} \psi'(x)(F(x))^n dx = [\psi(t) - q(t)](F(t))^n.
\]

Differentiating both sides of the above equation with respect to \( t \), we arrive at

\[
\frac{f(t)}{F(t)} = \frac{q'(t)}{n[\psi(t) - q(t)]}, \quad t > 0.
\] (9)

Now, integrating (9) from \( x \) to \( \infty \), we have, in view of (8), a \( cdf \) given by (8).

Remarks 2.2.2. (a) Taking, e.g., \( \psi(x) = (1 - pe^{-\beta x})^{na+1} \) and \( q(x) = (1 - p)(1 - pe^{-\beta x})^{na} \) in Proposition 2.2.1, equation (9) will be

\[
f(x) = \frac{a(1 - p)ab(\beta x)^{\alpha - 1} - b(\beta x)^{\beta - 1} e^{-b(\beta x)^{\beta}}}{(1 - pe^{-\beta x})^{\alpha - 1} (1 - e^{-\beta x})^{\beta}}.
\] (10)

Now, replacing \( (1 - p) \) with \( [1 - pe^{-\beta x}] - p(1 - e^{-\beta x}) \) in (10) we have

\[
f(x) = \frac{a\beta(\beta x)^{\alpha - 1} e^{-b(\beta x)^{\beta}} - p\alpha(\beta x)^{\alpha - 1} e^{-b(\beta x)^{\beta}}}{1 - e^{-\beta x}} - \frac{p\alpha(\beta x)^{\alpha - 1} e^{-b(\beta x)^{\beta}}}{1 - pe^{-\beta x}}
\]

from which, in view of (8), we have \( F(x) = [G(x)]^a \), where \( G(x) \) is \( cdf \) corresponding to WG distribution.

(b) Taking, e.g., \( \psi(x) = \{1 - p(1 - e^{-\beta x})^{\alpha}\}^{n+1} \) and \( q(x) = \{1 - p(1 - e^{-\beta x})^{\alpha}\}^n \) in Proposition 2.2.1, equation (9) will be

\[
f(x) = \frac{a\beta e^{-\beta x}}{1 - p(1 - e^{-\beta x})^\alpha}.
\] (11)

Rewriting (11) we have

\[
f(x) = \frac{p\alpha\beta e^{-\beta x}}{1 - p(1 - e^{-\beta x})^\alpha} + \frac{a\beta e^{-\beta x}}{1 - e^{-\beta x}}
\]

from which, in view of (8), we have \( \frac{F(x)}{F(x)} = \frac{(1 - p)(1 - e^{-\beta x})^n}{1 - p(1 - e^{-\beta x})^n} \).

2.3. Characterization of NGEG and GEW based on truncated moment of certain functions of the 1st order statistic

Our characterizations of NGEG and GEW distributions here will be a consequence of the following proposition, which again is similar to another one appeared in our previous work. We give a brief proof of it here for the sake of completeness.
Differentiating both sides of the above equation with respect to $t$ we have

$$E [\psi_1(X_{1:n}) | X_{1:n} > t] = q_1(t), \quad t > 0,$$

(12)

implies

$$F(x) = 1 - \exp \left\{ \int_0^x \frac{q_1(t)}{n[\psi_1(t) - q_1(t)]} dt \right\}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

(13)

**Proof.** If (12) holds, then using integration by parts on the left hand side of (12) and the assumption $\lim_{x \to \infty} \psi_1(x)[1 - F(x)]^n = 0$, we have

$$\int_0^n \psi_1(x)(1 - F(x))^n dx = - [\psi_1(t) - q_1(t)] (1 - F(t))^n.$$

Differentiating both sides of the above equation with respect to $t$, we arrive at

$$\frac{f(t)}{1 - F(t)} = \frac{-q_1(t)}{n[\psi_1(t) - q_1(t)]}, \quad t > 0.$$

(14)

Now, integrating (14) from 0 to $x$, we have, in view of $\int_0^n \frac{-q_1(t)}{[\psi_1(t) - q_1(t)]} dt = \infty$, a cdf $F$ given by (13).

**Remarks 2.3.2.** (c) Taking, e.g.,

$$\psi_1(x) = \left\{ 1 - p \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x} \right)^{\alpha} \right\}^{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad q_1(x) = \left( 1 - p \right) \left\{ 1 - p \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x} \right)^{\alpha} \right\}^n$$

in Proposition 2.3.1, equation (14) will be

$$\frac{f(x)}{1 - F(x)} = \frac{(1 - p)\alpha \beta e^{-\beta x} \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x} \right)^{\alpha-1}}{\left\{ 1 - p \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x} \right)^{\alpha} \right\} \left\{ 1 - (1 - e^{-\beta x})^\alpha \right\}},$$

(15)

Rewriting (15), we have

$$\frac{f(x)}{1 - F(x)} = \left[ \frac{\alpha \beta e^{-\beta x} \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x} \right)^{\alpha-1}}{1 - (1 - e^{-\beta x})^\alpha} - \frac{p\alpha \beta e^{-\beta x} \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x} \right)^{\alpha-1}}{1 - p \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x} \right)^{\alpha}} \right],$$

from which, in view of (13), we have $F(x) = 1 - \frac{1 - (1 - e^{-\beta x})^n}{1 - p \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x} \right)^n}$, which is cdf of NGEG.
(d) Taking, e.g., $\psi_1(x) = 2 \gamma \left\{ -\ln \left[ 1 - e^{-\left( \frac{x}{\theta} \right)^\alpha} \right]^\delta, \delta \right\}$ and $q_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \psi_1(x)$ in Proposition 2.3.1, equation (14) will be

$$f(x) = \frac{-\frac{d}{dx} \gamma \left\{ -\ln \left[ 1 - e^{-\left( \frac{x}{\theta} \right)^\alpha} \right]^\delta, \delta \right\}}{\gamma \left\{ -\ln \left[ 1 - e^{-\left( \frac{x}{\theta} \right)^\alpha} \right]^\delta, \delta \right\}},$$

from which,

$$F(x) = 1 - \frac{\gamma \left\{ -\ln \left[ 1 - e^{-\left( \frac{x}{\theta} \right)^\alpha} \right]^\delta, \delta \right\}}{\Gamma(\delta)}, \quad x \geq 0,$$

which is cdf of GEW.
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