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GETTING A LIFE
The Letters of Paul
Dean Brackley S.J.

s a nineteen-year-old Jesuit novice, I began to stay up an extra half-hour each night to read the New Testament. What I read in the Letters of St. Paul stunned me: We are for-given and make peace with God, not because of good works we have done, but only when we accept the forgiveness that God freely offers. This is justification by faith, or by grace, alone. Along with this, our sole obligation is to love our neighbor; and, to do that, follow principally not norms (“the Law”), but the Spirit that prompts us from within. This was good news that set me off on an adventure that led, eventually, to understanding life and God in less hide-bound ways.

Years later Karl Mannheim’s Ideology and Utopia set my head spinning. Written in the 1920s, this pioneering work in the sociology of knowledge helped me appreciate how our worldview are highly vulnerable to criticism; they all float on basic assumptions that cannot be proven in a strict sense. Years before, while in college, after discovering Paul, my own childhood view of the world had come crashing down around my ears, introducing me in a painful way to the “crisis of foundations” that Mannheim described so well. Later I learned from Karl Marx (The German Ideology) how our worldviews are rooted in our prac-tice and our social location — so much so that “the dominant ideas of each age are the ideas of the dom-inant social class.” Stephen Toulmin’s The Uses of Argument helped me steer clear of the seductive pre-tensions of positivism. Toulmin persuaded me that, while some arguments are better than others, almost none are air-tight, and certainly none that claim to prove what is real, or right, or important.

Instead of leading to skepticism, these insights helped convince me that the search for truth and for the right thing to do is far more than a matter of the head. It’s about “getting a life.” It’s about confronting the world, especially responding to suffering, and, yes, thinking things through, and also, crucially, taking those leaps of Faith that are more reasonable than not leaps at all (St. Augustine). When we commit our-selves to such an authentic search, we can expect that same Spirit that Paul talks about to confirm that we are on the path to truth and that we are really discovering some of it.

Dean Brackley, S.J., is a theology professor at the University of Central America in El Salvador.

WE ARE INCOMPLETE
AND POOR
Johannes B. Metz, Poverty of Spirit
Astrid O’Brien

The book which, after the Scriptures, has had the greatest impact on my life is a very brief one: Poverty of Spirit, by Johannes B. Metz. In a slim 52 pages, the author has given us a profound meditation on the meaning of the Incarnation and its implications for our own lives. After a forward in which he presents his main theme — our reluctance to recognize and accept the poverty of being human — he considers in turn what it meant for God to become man in Jesus and what it would mean for us to follow his example. Metz is convinced that even if we acknowledge Jesus as our role model in theory, our daily lives often bear witness to a very different standard.

We do not want to be poor and dependent on God for everything. We would rather be rich: self-suf-ficient, and in full control of all that affects us. Are not these the goals our society holds out to us? We prefer not to face our inescapable finitude — the limitations placed on us by our culture, our opportunities, our tal-ents, our physical energy, our financial situation and especially our mortality, which entails the impossibility of realizing all our hopes and dreams. Metz chal- lenges his readers with the “categorical imperative” of the Christian faith. “You shall lovingly accept the humanity entrusted to you! You shall not continually try to escape it!”

Although an academic himself, Metz does not focus specifically on the dangers of the intellectual life; it seems to me to be the temptation to believe in Jesus and live, nevertheless, as a Platonist especially strong for those of us who are engaged in university teaching. The world of ideas is so fascinating, exciting and logical compared to the sordid opacity of the world of material reality! All too easily we can forget that we are “beings in process”, incomplete, and, to that sense, poor. We must choose to become fully human. Success is not guaranteed; it is possible for us to fail. We can betray our humanity by running away from its burdens and difficulties, instead of accepting these as Jesus accepted his. He held back nothing — during his passion he surrendered everything “even the love that drove him to the cross.”

On the other hand, teaching and writing offer many opportunities to let go gracefully: of our insights, published by e-Publications@Marquette, 2006
BRINGING WOMEN TO FULL CITIZENSHIP
Hans-Georg Gadamer, *Truth and Method*
Jean Bethke Elshtain, *Public Man, Private Woman: Women in Social and Political Thought*
Eloise A. Bisher

For me, it is hard to say what books have changed my life because they all seem important and often the last really good book may seem the most exciting. Nevertheless, I have selected two books: Hans-Georg Gadamer's *Truth and Method* and Jean Bethke Elshtain's *Public Man Private Woman: Women in Social and Political Thought*.

Gadamer's work enabled me to figure out how to integrate epistemological traditions in the social sciences with those in the humanities under the umbrella of hermeneutics. Hermeneutics gave me a way to find a way to turn political science into a playing field that allowed both rigor and imagination with a focus on language and politics. This brought together my interests in literature, narratives and politics. Gadamer's hermeneutics has not become as central in the social sciences as has poststructuralism, but the interpretive insights offered in *Truth and Method* draw on "method" (so cherished in the sciences), and "truth" (so necessary in the academy), while showing how methods produce types of truth. Gadamer avoids the trap of relativism as well as the perils of various fundamentalisms. He cherishes a generous playfulness and believes every person to be a gift which makes each one of us worthy of joining conversations which are themselves methods for producing truth.

While Gadamer's notion of conversation partners has guided my academic life, it has been anchored by insights offered by Jean Bethke Elshtain in *Public Man, Private Woman*. This book came out the year I began my first full time teaching position at Gonzaga University, and it gave me a solid basis for understanding how patriarchy has shaped Western philosophy. Beyond this, however, Elshtain draws on the history of Western political philosophy to show how it can be interpreted to help bring women into full citizenship. She provided both critique and hope, and grounded both in Western political philosophy.

Armed with my understanding of Gadamer and patriarchy, I was able to move into women's studies...