What word does one say to his peers, their wives and widows in the quiet of a chapel remembering the deceased doctors he has been privileged to know? One could reflect on the nobility of the calling of the physician—the total dedication that marks his entire professional life if he but remain true to his earliest inspirations and aspirations. One might speak of the long years of difficult preparation occasionally longer than the years left to practice and of the seeming waste of a short medical life viewed in the eyes of the world. One could in this day of discovery and seeming love of particular words—mention the words conscience, commitment, involvement and bearing witness and indicate that the worthy physician has lived these words in their fullest meaning through the centuries. What doctor's wife or widow among you has not known the delayed or missed meal, the cancelled social engagement, the putting the children to bed alone, the shortened evening, or witnessed the bone weary fatigue due to medical conscience, personal involvement and commitment and all because, "Someone was sick—I was needed." And with what magnificent generosity of spirit have not you wives and widows responded? I suggest to you that it probably will not be a dedicated doctor or his wife who will say "Lord when did we see you sick or suffering or in want?" For by the nature of his calling rivalry only by the priesthood if he but be spiritually perceptive the doctor has been and served Christ in the sick, the suffering, the dying.

I would then pass these things by and give to you words of hope—literally the promise of salvation from the gospel of this mass, "No need for surprise—an hour is coming in which all those in the tombs will hear His voice and will come forth those who have done right will rise to live." And finally the liturgical reflection that is the raison d'être for this evening, "It is a holy and wholesome thought to pray for the dead" and wait that the quiet prayer, "Oh Lord remember in your kingdom, the deceased members of The Guild of St. Luke of Boston.
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One great legacy left by the Catholic community to the American historical scene is a succession of ethnic politicians. A procession of ethnic leaders, usually operating from a minority political base, have achieved positions of influence and control through a combination of organizational drudgery and an inborn ambition for the unspoken will of the people. To a large extent, these political leaders have been first or second generation products of European societies which were characterized by persecution and coercion of the disenfranchised. Though their pursuit of power was born of a desire to escape certain vulnerabilities, their use of power has, by and large, been characterized by a sensitivity of the needs of the underdog and a dedication to the obligation of government to protect the defenseless elements in the society. Since most ethnic politicians achieved office after a power struggle with an entrenched Anglo-Saxon Protestant adversary, there was little occasion to agonize over the proper role of a Catholic minority in a pluralistic society. Fierce identification with a conspicuous Catholic position was frequently the key to amalgamating a coalition of other minority groups against an intolerant and oppressive overlord. The infrastructure of this Catholic political system still exists in many areas although there has been a dilution of its homogeneity by the need for some of its principals to broaden their appeal in order to fulfill national aspirations. There has also been a modification of its social preoccupation as its constituents move from the sweat shops to the country clubs. The most unfortunate development in the function of the Catholic political system, however, has been its estrangement from the institutional church during its current epochal battles.
The accumulated expertise and political genius of the many able Catholic politicians is seldom evident in the abortion reform controversy as it develops state by state. This is not to demean the yeoman performances by individual legislators in leading floor fights and developing parliamentary approaches. The problem has been that politicians have tended to defer to the clergy in the development of overall strategies and grassroots campaigns. At the parish level, the clergy have tended to be particularly inert and ineffective and, at times, even antagonistic toward developing organized lay protest against abortion law repeal. The non-involvement of the clergy would seem to derive from a confusion regarding the real issues involved. Instead of keeping the abortion issue in its proper context of life and death, young curates have tended to lump it with contraception. Nothing, it would seem, conflicts so much with young priests post-conciliar self image as an issue even slightly tainted with "pelvic morality". Deprived then, of a coordinated and broadbased voter's protest, the Catholic tactic has typically settled for lofty and inspiring episcopal statements, couched in theological phraseology and devoid of any real or implied threat of political action. Into the void left by the lack of a diocesan grandstandbooster's rhetoric, typically scores a zealous and incredibly energetic group of physicians, lawyers, and other lay people who patch together a Right to Life group out of volunteers already overcommitted to professional obligations and child care. Because these people are high-energy types and because they are absolutely guilt-ridden if they shirk a single opportunity to speak out against abortion, their achievements have been monumental. When arrayed against a sleek and affluent opponent, however, they are constantly frustrated and usually feel as though they are carrying water in a leaking bucket. All of their meager resources are expended in reacting to the manifold legal and legislative thrusts of the anti-life activists. Typically, a suit brought by the American Civil Liberties Union on behalf of a coterie of medical school deans and department heads will end Right-to-Life attorneys into night and frantic sessions to prepare briefs which are usually lucid and well researched. Nowhere to my knowledge, however, has the ordinary of a diocese retained a full-time legal staff to conduct energetic and imaginative defense to bring test cases to court structured to dramatize those issues the law which we want higher courts to weigh in the balance. It would tax our credibility to accept the contention that there are not adequate financial resources available for such an effort. Meanwhile, state and district courts strike down a succession of statutes because of the alleged imprecision of language relating to a threat to the life of the mother. Yet, as physicians, we recognize that this language is so precise and meaningful in practical sense that, for all intents and purpose, no abortions are performed or performed to save a woman's life.

Many have spoken of the problems related to the coexistence of bills to repeal abortion laws and bills to provide aid to private education on the same legislative calendar. It should be obvious that this situation is capable of exploitation by opponents of either if we give the slightest indication of a willingness to trade one for the other. It is also obvious that no legislative program of this type ever succeeds through compromise. No one can imagine a civil-rights program which offered to sacrifice jobs or the vote in return for housing or medical care. From a practical standpoint, it would also seem obvious that any threat to close parochial schools loses its political impact when it is rendered with hand-wringing and timidity and fears regarding its eumenical consequences. In many areas, Catholic school boards are now in the position of the poker player who bluffed with two deuces and lost. In Chicago, for example, after the Catholic school board announced that it would not close its schools, as previously threatened, the public school board refused even to discuss a proposal for shared time.

The same type of situation can now be seen developing with regard to Catholic hospitals as suits are filed to attack conscience clauses and the rights of Catholic hospitals to withhold their premises from abortion, sterilization, and public birth control activities. The same voices of accalamation and accommodation are again raised. The same prophets of doom stride to the podium to intone gloomy prognostications regarding the untenability of the Catholic legal position. We hear that the code of the Catholic Hospital Association is being "revised" and anxieties are now being voiced in doctor's lounges from coast to coast that we may again be on the brink of a corporate cave-in before the battle even gets to the ramparts. Again we listen, in vain, for the language of power play and confrontation. Where is the forceful and charismatic leader who will lead us to a showdown at the gap? Where is the loud ecclesiastical voice which will call for the summary close-down of every Catholic hospital on the day that first Catholic hospital is directed by a court to schedule an abortion. Not in the orderly transferal of facilities from the sisters to the community will we find any political leverage but only in the abrupt and unforewarned control of this large segment of the health care delivery system.

While we scan the horizon for banners and listen for the sound of trumpets, we sink deeper into ennui and Nirvana. The winter of our discontent is lengthening but there is still ample time. As the dominos continue to fall and as attacks on potential life give way to attacks on nascent life and, ultimately, to attacks on deformed life and life nearing its quietus, we still have hope. We hope for the miracle which will cut away the ties which now bind down the energies of our Church, so that this sleeping grant will arise in time to salvage some of our society's values.